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## SERIES INTRODUCTION

The Baylor Handbook on the Greek New Testament (BHGNT) is designed to guide new readers and seasoned scholars alike through the intricacies of the Greek text. Each handbook provides a verse-by-verse treatment of the biblical text. Unlike traditional commentaries, however, the BHGNT makes little attempt to expound on the theological meaning or significance of the document under consideration. Instead, the handbooks serve as "prequels" to commentary proper. They provide readers of the New Testament with a foundational analysis of the Greek text upon which interpretation may then be established. Readers of traditional commentaries are sometimes dismayed by the fact that even those that are labeled "exegetical" or "critical" frequently have little to say about the mechanics of the Greek text, and all too often completely ignore the more perplexing grammatical issues. In contrast, the BHGNT offers an accessible and comprehensive, though not exhaustive, treatment of the Greek New Testament, with particular attention given to the grammar of the text. In order to make the handbooks more user-friendly, authors have only selectively interacted with secondary literature. Where there is significant debate on an issue, the handbooks provide a representative sample of scholars espousing each position; when authors adopt a less known stance on the text, they generally list any other scholars who have embraced that position.

The BHGNT, however, is more than a reliable guide to the Greek text of the New Testament. Each author brings unique strengths to the task of preparing the handbook. As a result, students and scholars alike will at times be introduced to ways of looking at the Greek language that they have not encountered before. This feature makes the handbooks valuable not only for intermediate and
advanced Greek courses, but also for students and scholars who no longer have the luxury of increasing their Greek proficiency within a classroom context. While handbook authors do not consider modern linguistic theory to be a panacea for all questions exegetical, the BHGNT does aim both to help move linguistic insights into the mainstream of New Testament reference works and, at the same time, to help weed out some of the myths about the Greek language that continue to appear in both scholarly and popular treatments of the New Testament.

## Using the Baylor Handbook on the Greek New Testament

Each handbook consists of the following features. The introduction draws readers' attention to some of the distinctive features of the biblical text and treats some of the broader issues relating to the text as a whole in a more thorough fashion. In the handbook proper, the biblical text is divided into sections, each of which is introduced with a translation that illustrates how the insights gleaned from the analysis that follows may be expressed in modern English. Following the translation is the heart of the handbook, an extensive analysis of the Greek text. Here, the Greek text of each verse is followed by comments on grammatical, lexical, and text-critical issues. Handbook authors may also make use of other features, such as passage overviews between the translation and notes.

Each page of the handbook includes a header to direct readers to the beginning of the section where the translation is found (left page header) or to identify the range of verses covered on the two facing pages (right hand header). Terminology used in the comments that is potentially unfamiliar is included in a glossary in the back of the handbook and/or cross-referenced with the first occurrence of the expression, where an explanation may be found. Each volume also includes an index that provides a list of grammatical phenomena occurring in the biblical text. This feature provides a valuable resource for students of Greek wanting to study a particular construction more carefully or Greek instructors needing to develop illustrations, exercises, or exams. The handbooks conclude with a bibliography of works cited, providing helpful guidance in identifying resources for further research on the Greek text.

The handbooks assume that users will possess a minimal level of competence with Greek morphology and syntax. Series authors generally utilize traditional labels such as those found in Daniel Wallace's Greek Grammar Beyond the Basics. Labels that are drawn from the broader field of modern linguistics are explained at their first occurrence and included in the glossary. Common labels that users may be unfamiliar with are also included in the glossary.

The primary exception to the broad adoption of traditional syntactic labels relates to verb tenses. Most New Testament Greek grammars describe the tense system as being formally fairly simple (only six tenses), but functionally complex. The aorist tense, it is frequently said, can function in a wide variety of ways that are associated with labels such as, "ingressive," "gnomic," "constative," "epistolary," "proleptic," and so forth. Similar functional complexity is posited for the other tenses. Positing such "functions," however, typically stems not from a careful analysis of Greek syntax, but rather from grappling with the challenges of translating Greek verbs into English. When we carefully examine the Greek verb tenses themselves, we find that the tense forms do not themselves denote semantic features such as ingressive, iterative, or conative; they certainly do not emphasize such notions; at best they may allow for ingressive, iterative, or conative translations. Although many of the other traditional labels are susceptible to similar critique, the tense labels have frequently led to exegetical claims that go beyond the syntax, e.g., that a particular aorist verb emphasizes the beginning of an action. For this reason, we have chosen not to utilize these labels. Instead, where the context points to an ingressive nuance for the action of the verb, this will be incorporated into the translation.

## Deponency

Although series authors will vary in the theoretical approaches they bring to the text, the BHGNT has adopted the same general approach on one important issue: deponency. Traditionally, the label "deponent" has been applied to verbs with middle, passive, or middle/passive morphology that are thought to be "active" in meaning. Introductory grammars tend to put a significant number of middle verbs in the New Testament in this category, despite the
fact that some of the standard reference grammars have questioned the validity of the label. Robertson (332), for example, argues that the label "should not be used at all."

In recent years, a number of scholars have taken up Robertson's quiet call to abandon this label. Carl Conrad's posts on the B-Greek Internet discussion list (beginning in 1997) and his subsequent formalization of those concerns in unpublished papers available on his website have helped flesh out the concerns raised by earlier scholars. In a recent article, Jonathan Pennington (61-64) helpfully summarizes the rationale for dispensing with the label, maintaining that widespread use of the term "deponent" stems from two key factors: (1) the tendency to attempt to analyze Greek syntax through reference to English translation-if a workable translation of a middle form appears "active" in English, we conclude that the verb must be active in meaning even though it is middle in form; and (2) the imposition of Latin categories on Greek grammar. Pennington (61) concludes that "most if not all verbs that are considered 'deponent' are in fact truly middle in meaning." The questions that have been raised regarding deponency as a syntactic category, then, are not simply issues that interest a few Greek scholars and linguists but have no bearing on how one understands the text. Rather, if these scholars are correct, the notion of deponency has, at least in some cases, effectively obscured the semantic significance of the middle voice, leading to imprecise readings of the text (see also Bakker and Taylor).

It is not only middle voice verbs, however, that are the focus of attention in this debate. Conrad, Pennington, and others also maintain that deponency is an invalid category for passive verbs that have traditionally been placed in this category. To account for putative passive deponent verbs, these scholars have turned to the evolution of voice morphology in the Greek language. They draw attention to the fact that middle morphology was being replaced by passive morphology (the $-\theta \eta$ - morpheme) during the Koine period (see esp. Conrad, 3, 5-6; cf. Pennington, 68; Taylor, 175; Caragounis, 153). Consequently, in the Common Era we find "an increasing number of passive forms without a distinctive passive idea . . . replacing older middle forms" (Pennington, 68). This dia-
chronic argument leads Conrad (5) to conclude that the $-\theta \eta$ - morpheme should be treated as a middle/passive rather than a passive morpheme. Such arguments have a sound linguistic foundation and raise serious questions about the legitimacy of the notion "passive deponent."

Should, then, the label "deponent" be abandoned altogether? While more research needs to be done to account for middle/passive morphology in Koine Greek fully, the arguments, which are very briefly summarized above, are both compelling and exegetically significant. "The middle voice needs to be understood in its own status and function as indicating that the subject of a verb is the focus of the verb's action or state" (Conrad, 3; cf. Taylor, 174). Consequently, users of the BHGNT will discover that verbs that are typically labeled "deponent," including some with $-\theta \eta$ - morphology, tend to be listed as "middle."

In recognizing that so-called deponent verbs should be viewed as true middles, users of the BHGNT should not fall into the trap of concluding that the middle form emphasizes the subject's involvement in the action of the verb. At times, the middle voice appears simply to be a morphological flag indicating that the verb is intransitive. More frequently, the middle morphology tends to be driven by the "middle" semantics of the verb itself. In other words, the middle voice is sometimes used with the verb not in order to place a focus on the subject's involvement in the action, but precisely because the sense of the lexical form itself involves subject focus.

It is the hope of Baylor University Press, the series editor, and each of the authors that these handbooks will help advance our understanding of the Greek New Testament, be used to further equip the saints for the work of ministry, and fan into flame a love for the Greek New Testament among a new generation of students and scholars.

## PREFACE

Since the publication of Acts: A Handbook on the Greek Text in 2003, we have been greatly encouraged by the formal reviews and informal notes we have received in response and we hope that this companion volume proves equally useful. Our work on this project has benefited significantly from the assistance we have received from a variety of different sources. The staff of Baylor University Press has been extraordinarily helpful and once again earned the reputation as an "author friendly" press. We are especially grateful to Diane Smith for her careful work in preparing this lengthy and complex manuscript for publication. In addition, Baylor University has provided much needed release time and financial assistance to Mikeal Parsons through its Sabbatical and Research Committees, and Briercrest College and Seminary generously provided a full-year sabbatical for Martin Culy during which the bulk of his work on the handbook was completed. We are grateful to both institutions for providing such a supportive environment in which to teach and write.

Martin Culy is also grateful for the insights that came from a team of national translators, three of his Briercrest College interns (Joshua Drake, Jonathon Rempel, and Jesse Thiessen), and Philipp and Christine Dill as they worked together on a translation project in Thailand from 2002 to 2007. Working on a translation of the Gospel of Luke during the formative stages of drafting this handbook was immensely valuable. In the final stages of our work, Steve Runge graciously provided draft copies of his forthcoming Discourse Grammar of the Greek New Testament. Few works on Koine Greek have been produced in the past two decades that are as valuable as this impressive volume. It is "must read" material for every serious student of the Greek New Testament. Finally,
the following Briercrest College and Seminary students provided helpful feedback on a late draft of the manuscript: Brandon Crain, Helen Dunn, Autumn Essington, Andrew Haws, David Hay, Tim Macfarlane, John Ottens, and Charis St. Pierre.

Mikeal Parsons would like to register his indebtedness to the following graduate students who worked on various phases of the handbook: Cliff Barbarick, Rick Brumback, Jim McConnell, Jesse Robertson, Brian Small, and Julien Smith. At the end of the process, Alicia Myers, Eric Gilchrest, and Josh Stout were tireless in their efforts to proof the entire manuscript, and Alicia was also responsible for constructing the grammar index.

Martin and Mikeal are delighted to welcome Joshua Stigall on board as a co-author. Josh, who did graduate studies at Briercrest Seminary and is now a fifth-year doctoral student at Baylor University, undertook much of the foundational work for the handbook in its initial phase. Josh is grateful for the opportunity to be included as a co-author in this project at this stage of his professional career. He is also thankful for the support and encouragement he has received throughout his graduate studies that have prepared him to contribute to this work.

We dedicate this book to our families without whose support this volume would never have seen the light of day.

Martin M. Culy
Briercrest College and Seminary
Mikeal C. Parsons
Baylor University
Joshua J. Stigall
Baylor University

## ABBREVIATIONS

| 1QM | "The War Scroll" (Dead Sea Scrolls) |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1QS | "The Manual of Discipline" (Dead Sea Scrolls) |
| 1st | first person |
| 2nd | second person |
| 3rd | third person |
| acc | accusative |
| act | active |
| al | other manuscripts |
| aor | aorist |
| BDAG | Bauer, A Greek-English Lexicon of the NT, 2000 |
| BDF | Blass, Debrunner, Funk, A Greek Grammar of the NT |
| CD | "Damascus Document" (Dead Sea Scrolls) |
| CEV | Contemporary English Version |
| dat | dative |
| ESV | English Standard Version |
| fem | feminine |
| fut | future |
| gen | genitive |
| GW | God's Word (version) |
| IGNTP | The Gospel According to St. Luke. Part One: Chapters 1-12; |
|  | The Gospel According to St. Luke. Part Two: Chapters |
|  | 13-24. Edited by the American and British Committees of |
|  | the International Greek New Testament Project |
| impf | imperfect |
| impv | imperative |
| ind | indicative |
| inf | infinitive |
| KJV | King James Version |
| LCL | Loeb Classical Library |
| LN | Louw and Nida, Greek-English Lexicon |

## LSJ Liddell, Scott, Jones, A Greek-English Lexicon

LXX Septuagint
masc masculine
MHT Moulton, Howard, \& Turner, A Grammar of NT Greek, 4 vols.
mid middle
NASB New American Standard Bible
NCV New Century Version
NEB New English Bible
NET New English Translation
neut neuter
NIV New International Version
NJB New Jerusalem Bible
NLT New Living Translation
nom nominative
NP noun phrase
NRSV New Revised Standard Version
NT New Testament
opt optative
OT Old Testament
pass passive
pc a few other manuscripts
PG Patralogia Graeca
pl plural
plprf pluperfect
$p m \quad$ a great many other manuscripts
PP prepositional phrase
pres present
prf perfect
ptc participle
REB Revised English Bible
RSV Revised Standard Version
sg singular
subj subjunctive
s.v. under the word

TEV Today's English Version
TOB Traduction Ecuménique de la Bible
v.l. variant reading
voc vocative

## INTRODUCTION

Unlike any other book in the New Testament, the Gospel of Luke specifically claims to be a carefully crafted text based on meticulous research. The opening four verses leave no doubt that the author is a master communicator. He writes as someone who is at home not only in the Greek language itself but also with the conventions associated with the biographical genre. The long hours that we have labored in the Greek text of Luke's gospel have not only bolstered our appreciation for its life-giving message, but have also consistently underscored its narrative beauty. At every turn, we have found Luke to be a writer who could hold his own among the biographers of his day. Although a broad treatment of Luke's style goes beyond the concerns of this series, we offer a few observations below. We also discuss several theoretical issues that provide background for the more detailed comments in the handbook that follows.

## Luke's Style

Joseph Fitzmyer (1:109) has pointed out that "though the prologue [1:1-4] shows that Luke could have written the Jesus-story in cultivated, literary Greek, he chose for some reason not to do so." Instead, Luke's gospel appears to be a mix of styles: "(a) the literary style of the prologue(s); (b) the Semitic-flavored Greek of the infancy narrative [see Jung's recent volume]; and (c) the normal style in which he wrote the bulk of the Gospel and Acts" (Fitzmyer, 1:109). This diversity manifests itself in both Luke's vocabulary and grammar, and scholars have frequently focused on one or the other in attempting to describe Luke's style. (Note that many treatments of Luke's style have concentrated on the question of the authorial unity of Luke and Acts, an issue that is beyond the scope of this
handbook. For classic treatments, see Hawkins, Cadbury, Clark 1933, Knox, Argyle, and Turner 1976. For more recent studies, see Parsons and Pervo, Walters, and Gregory and Rowe).

In The Medical Language of St. Luke, originally published in 1882, William Hobart sought to provide evidence for the view that that author of Luke and Acts was the individual referred to in Colossians 4:14 as "Luke the beloved physician." In order to accomplish his goal, Hobart amassed a large number of words unique to Luke and Acts that, when compared to ancient medical writers like Hippocrates and Galen, illustrated that these volumes were written by someone with significant knowledge of the medical field. Later scholars like Cadbury (1920, 40-42), however, have shown that much of Luke's medical language is also found in the LXX, Josephus, Plutarch, and Lucian, demonstrating that one need not be a physician to use such terminology (see also Cadbury, 1933, where he offers a somewhat satirical comparison of Luke and veterinarians, using a methodology similar to that of Hobart).
In a 1909 study, J. C. Hawkins identified 151 words that were "characteristic" of Luke, which he defined as words that occur at least four times in Luke, and either do not appear in Matthew or Mark, or occur in Luke at least twice as often as in Matthew and Mark combined (Hawkins, 15). Hawkins used his findings to help identify how Luke had edited his source material.

A decade or so later, Cadbury, believing that "the vocabulary of an author probably affords the best test for comparing him with the various degrees of education and elegance in contemporary speech and writing" (1920, 4), compared Luke's vocabulary with the vocabulary of Attic Greek prose writers, classical poets, other Atticist writers, the LXX, etc. He concluded that although Luke's vocabulary has "affiliations with the Greek of the Bible," it is not "beyond comparison" with the literary style of the Atticists. This conclusion significantly influenced subsequent opinions of Luke's style, with the Greek of the Third Gospel fairly consistently being viewed as more refined than that of the Synoptics and much of the rest of the New Testament (cf. Wallace, 30). For other important studies of Lukan vocabulary, see Cerfaux, Morgenthaler, Argyle, and Neirynck and van Segebroeck.

Other scholars have focused their attention on Luke's grammatical tendencies. According to Fitzmyer (1:107-8), for example, Luke improves the Greek style of Mark in the following nine ways: He changes the historical present to a past tense form; he eliminates parataxis by substituting either a genitive absolute or a subordinate clause; $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ or $\tau \dot{\varepsilon}$ is often substituted for каi; he introduces the literary $\mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v \ldots \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ construction into the narrative; he often eliminates superfluous personal pronouns; he introduces the attraction of the relative pronoun to its antecedent; he introduces the optative; he introduces indirect questions with the neuter accusative definite article; and, finally, he uses toṽ plus an infinitive to express purpose, result, or explanation. Fitzmyer also notes that despite these literary improvements, Luke's gospel continues to utilize Semitisms (see also Turner 1976, 45-63; McKay, 2). He argues that there are twenty-three examples of words and phrases in Luke that are "clearly of Septuagintal origin" (Fitzmyer, 1:114-15), including
 $\pi \rho o ́ s$ plus the accusative after verbs of speaking, and the pleonastic construction $\dot{\alpha} \pi о к р ө \varepsilon i \zeta$. . . $\varepsilon \frac{\pi}{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$. The most common examples of Semitisms that do not derive from the LXX are the three $\varepsilon$ غ่ย̇veco

 lowed by каi and an indicative finite verb. The regularity of the $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \tau \frac{c}{}$ constructions in Luke's gospel makes them a distinctive feature of his style.

The same is true of Luke's broader use of infinitival constructions. Luke uses infinitives 400 times overall ( 479 times in Acts), compared to 254 times in Matthew, 200 times in Mark, and only 144 times in John. The relative frequency of Luke's use of infinitives puts him on par with the Atticistic authors of the period (see Caragounis, 169-70, n. 127). More important, Luke uses complex infinitival constructions far more often than his counterparts. As Burk's (148) appendix shows, preposition plus articular infinitival constructions occur forty-nine times in Luke, compared to eighteen times in Matthew, twelve times in Mark, and only four times in John. Luke also uses infinitives with the genitive article far more frequently than the other gospel writers (Burk, 146): twenty-four
times ( 25 times in Acts) compared to seven times in Matthew and no examples of this construction in either Mark or John. Luke's use of epexegetical infinitives with a genitive article parallels Paul's usage of this device (see Burk, 68, n. 95). Luke also uses סıà tó plus an infinitive to form a causal clause eight times (see also Acts 4:2; 8:11; 12:20; 18:2, 3; 27:4, 9 ; 28:18), far more often than Matthew (three times), Mark (three times), or John (once). Many of Luke's uses of this construction occur in his unique material (11:8; 18:5; 19:11; 23:8), but it also occurs in $6: 48$, where the Matthean parallel (7:25) uses $\gamma$ 人́ $\rho$ plus an indicative verb; and in 9:7, where neither Mark (6:14) nor Matthew (Matt 14:1-2) used the construction.

Similarly, Luke/Acts accounts for forty-two of the fifty-six examples of $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\tilde{c}}$ plus the infinitive in the New Testament. As Burk notes (110), "Luke's frequent $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\omega}$ plus the infinitive is widely regarded as an imitation of the Hebrew [? plus infinitive]." Using this construction following $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\gamma} v \varepsilon \tau \frac{1}{2}$ a distinct feature of Lukan style among the gospel writers (cf. Marshall, 208), with the only other example of the construction occurring in Mark 4:4. The fact that Luke (8:5//Matt 13:4) drops $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \tau \frac{1}{2}$ even though it is found in his source at this point suggests that Mark's use of the idiom in this instance did not comport well with Luke's view of good style.

Another distinctive feature of Lukan style is his use of the imperfect $\varepsilon$ ë $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ to introduce new developments, a construction that is unattested in Matthew, Mark, or John (cf. its use in Mark 7:20 and John 6:71 for other purposes). Only in Luke 5:36 do we find an account that parallels Matthew and Mark, and here Luke inserts the phrase, where they have nothing, to smooth the transition to the illustration.

These and many of the distinct features of Luke's presentation place his work higher on the literary scale than Matthew, Mark, or John. Although many of the differences between Luke and the other gospel writers can be attributed to discourse level choices that reflect Luke's particular emphases, Luke's style is clearly more polished than the other gospel writers. For helpful surveys of stylistic issues in Luke, see Jung (5-44) and Walters (10-21; 24-36).

## Verbal Aspect

In recent years, there has been significant debate surrounding the issue of verbal aspect in Koine Greek. The most influential "early" works on the topic came from McKay, Porter, and Fanning. More recently, Olsen, Levinsohn, Decker, Campbell, and others have helped move the debate forward. Although the question of whether Greek tenses carry any temporal reference continues to be a matter of dispute, there is now broad agreement that the aorist tense encodes perfective aspect and the imperfect and present tenses encode imperfective aspect. The aspectual value of the perfect tense, on the other hand, remains "one of the most controversial and difficult facets of the Greek verbal system" (Campbell 2007, 161), with McKay and Porter treating it as stative aspect, Fanning and Olsen viewing it as perfective aspect, and Campbell recently positing that it is imperfective aspect. Fortunately, the aorist, present, and imperfect are by far the most common tenses in narrative texts like Luke, with the perfect tense limited almost exclusively to reported speech rather than narrative proper (see below).

Although the terminology is not always used in a uniform manner, most scholars now agree that perfective aspect (aorist tense) is the primary tool for portraying events that are part of the storyline, or the mainline of the narrative (sometimes also called "foreground" material, though Porter uses this term differently). "Background" information, i.e., information that is supplementary to the storyline, is typically placed in the imperfect tense (imperfective aspect). Levinsohn $(2000,174)$ notes that the very nature of the aspectual distinctions makes "it natural in a narrative in Greek for a clause with the verb in the imperfect (which carries imperfective aspect) to be conveying information of less importance than one with the verb in the aorist (perfective aspect)." We need to add the necessary proviso, however, that "the presence of the imperfect in a narrative in Greek is not a signal that the information concerned is necessarily of a background nature" (Levinsohn 2000, 174; emphasis in original). Correlation between perfective and foreground information, and imperfective and background information, are tendencies only, not hard and fast linguistic rules.

Nevertheless, Loney is right to conclude that
Luke manipulates verbal aspect to give organization to his episodic narrative and to create contrastive prominence . . . within individual pericopes. In this way, he follows in the tradition of his historiographical predecessors-most notably Thucydides-who, through their subtle play with verbal aspect, composed narratives concerned with at once the factual representation of the past and their own contemporary, didactic purposes. (Loney, 3)

He goes on to argue that
The basic structure of the whole gospel is a series of episodes outlined by transitional uses of perspective-switching changes of verbal aspect. Given the itinerant nature of the gospel narrative, verbs associated with these changes at the edges of episodes are commonly verbs of motion, since a new pericope usually occurs in a different place. The usual formula for these transitional sections is an aorist verb (commonly of motion) to mark a finite, factual event on the narrative backbone accompanied by one or more imperfective verbs or participles, used, in part, to give background information subsidiary to the motion, but, more importantly, to evoke an internal perspective by which the audience is drawn into the story. (Loney, 18)

Thus, Loney contends that shifts from aorist to imperfect in Luke's narrative frequently correspond to shifts in discourse mode or perspective. "This modulation of aspect change is analogous to a musical cadence moving to the dominant or the tonic to signify the end of an episode in a musical composition" (Loney, 18). As an illustration of this phenomenon, Loney points to Luke 4:1-3, where Luke begins with an aorist verb ( $\dot{\tau} \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon \nu, \mathrm{v} .1$ ), as is typical of what Loney calls "diegetic mode," i.e., a mode of narrative in which the narrator serves "in the role of annalist or evaluator" (Loney, 7; quoting Bakker). Luke then shifts to imperfect verbs (グ $\gamma \varepsilon \tau \frac{1}{}$, v. 1; $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho a \zeta о \dot{\prime} \mu v o \varsigma, ~ v . ~ 2)$, which "heighten the level of the discourse by switching to an internal perspective," or "mimetic mode." In this
mode, according to Loney (8), "the narrator recedes from the audience's view in his role as mediator between past and present and instead affects for his audience a pretended experience of historical events; he creates 'the illusion that events are seen on the spot.'" Then, in verse 3, Luke "changes the perspective of the discourse back to the external, and the remainder of the pericope proceeds in the diegetic mode, carried by aorists" (Loney, 19). In Loney's view, the use of this "cadence," i.e., shifts between modes, serves to help mark boundaries within the narrative (along with a shift
 to both close the preceding pericope and introduce the following one (Loney, 19-21). A similar combination of verbal cadence and a shift in setting are used at the end of the pericope:
V. 14 has an aorist verb of motion, $\dot{\text { íć } \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon v \text {, which }}$
serves as the initial marker of an aspectual cadence. This
verb, along with the prepositional phrase $\varepsilon i \varsigma ~ \tau \eta ̀ v ~ \Gamma a \lambda ı \lambda a i \alpha v$,
signals a change of setting of the narrative for the following
pericope that is in keeping with the cadential/boundary-
marking verbal aspect use of vv. 14-15. Then in v . 15 , two
imperfectives portray the action of Jesus internally, in
the mimetic mode. These imperfective verbs, due to their
markedness in comparison with the more usual perfectives,
heighten the discourse in order to draw attention to the
change of pericope and to give structure to the surrounding
narrative. In v. 16, the perfective driven discourse returns
with $\tilde{\eta} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon$ and the transition is complete. (Loney, 23)

Although we have not made use of Loney's thesis throughout the handbook, he may well be correct in arguing that such patterns of aspectual cadence serve to both help mark discourse level boundaries and "invigorate the narrative, dramatically opening and closing themes and plot-threads" (Loney, 26). Further study of this phenomenon should prove fruitful. What is clear is the fact that Luke's selective use of perfective and imperfective aspects throughout his narrative has some bearing on how Greek readers would have viewed the relative status of the information associated with these aspects.

The perfect tense, on the other hand, plays little or no role in marking the status of information in Luke's narrative, since "58 out of 60 perfects occur within discourse" rather than in narrative proper (Campbell 2007, 175); and the other two instances involve the verb $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \varphi \omega$ being used to introduce Scripture citations (Campbell 2007, 175-77). This correlation of the perfect tense with reported speech leads Campbell $(2007,184-87)$ to argue that the perfect tense shares imperfective aspect with the present tense, which also occurs predominately in reported speech. Campbell distinguishes between the two tenses by maintaining that the perfect encodes "heightened proximity" to an unfolding event or state (see esp. Campbell 2007, 198-99). Here, we believe more work needs to be done, since the perfect tense typically appears to refer to something that has already taken place, rather than portraying events as unfolding. Thus, spatial proximity does not appear to be the most helpful analogy for capturing the semantics of the perfect tense. Nevertheless, Campbell's observations about the narrow distribution of the perfect tense will be important in advancing our understanding of how this tense functions. The key thing to remember at this point is that the perfect tense is largely irrelevant in helping us to understanding the flow and status of information in Luke's narrative.

In contrast, the function of the pluperfect tense, which only occurs sixteen times in Luke, is much clearer and more relevant for following the narrative proper of Luke's gospel. As Campbell notes $(2007,213)$, the pluperfect functions in a manner analogous to the imperfect tense, i.e., to "provide offline material that supplements, describes, or explicates the mainline action." In other words, "the dominant function of the pluperfects within Luke seems to be related to the communication of material that supplements information, describes certain conditions, things, and people, or explicates the reasons and motives behind particular actions and behaviour. This function accounts for 15 of the 16 pluperfects" (Campbell 2007, 215). Indeed, one could argue that this function holds in the remaining example $(22: 13)$ as well. When used in a context where the imperfect tense has already introduced offline material, the pluperfect tends to introduce material that supple-
ments the offline material, i.e., it "supplements the supplement, or gives background to the background" (Campbell 2007, 231).

Campbell offers other helpful guidance on tense usage. According to his analysis, 340 of 371 instances of the imperfect indicative in Luke (88.8\%) occur in narrative rather than in reported speech $(2007,79)$. Similarly, though not as striking statistically, "Luke displays 77.7 percent of aorist indicatives in narrative proper or embedded narrative" (Campbell 2007, 111). Since the aorist tense (perfective aspect) serves to present an event "in summary, viewed as a whole from the outside, without regard for the internal make-up of the occurrence" (Fanning, 97), it naturally provides an external perspective on events that serves to carry a storyline forward. Thus, "perfective (aorist) verbs indicate sequenced events occurring one after another as the 'main line' of the narrative, while imperfective verbs indicate simultaneous occurrences, which fill in background circumstances of the narrative" (Fanning, 19). This does not mean that imperfective verbs introduce material that is unimportant. Rather, they present "offline" material that "provides an inside view into whatever is taking place within the narrative proper, giving explanation, personal thoughts, editorial comment, and so forth" (Campbell 2007, 116).

Campbell (2007, 37-38) also notes that of the 631 uses of the present indicative in Luke, 608 occur in direct discourse (96.3 percent). "Of the 23 present tense-forms not in direct discourse, 9 occur within indirect discourse. These are found in Luke 6:7; 7:37; $9: 33 ; 17: 20 ; 18: 9 ; 19: 3,11 ; 22: 24 ; 23: 6 "$ (Campbell 2007, 38). Of the fourteen remaining instances of the present tense in Luke, nine involve verbs of speech used to introduce discourse ( $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega, \varphi \eta \mu$ i, and $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \omega \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$; Campbell 2007, 41). Campbell thus concludes that "the present is almost exclusively a discourse tense-form in Luke" (2007, 39). To explain this correlation he notes that
it is widely observed that discourse [i.e., reported speech within a narrative] has the effect of slowing down a narrative as the author pauses the sequence of events in order to relate what was said, thought, seen, or heard. . . . This pausing of the narrative sequence creates the effect of taking
the reader inside the narrative, and unfolding the commu-nication-event before the view of the reader. In other words, discourse must inherently form an imperfective context. (Campbell 2007, 54; emphasis in original)

Thus, the present tense, which is imperfective aspect, is a natural choice for reported speech. Where present tense forms are used with verbs that introduce reported speech, this likely reflects, according to Campbell $(2007,56)$, the fact that the present verb is serving "as an aid to the transition from the perfective context of the narrative sequence to the imperfective-proximate context of the discourse." This latter point is likely overstated, since the writer is still making a choice to use a present rather than the typical aorist form in such contexts (see the discussion of the historical present at 7:40 on $\varphi \eta \sigma i v)$.

In the end, there is much to be gleaned from carefully following Luke's use of the aorist and imperfect tenses in his narrative. Luke clearly typically uses the aorist tense (perfective aspect) with mainline material and the imperfect tense (imperfective aspect) with background material, though one cannot simply assume a one-toone correlation between aspect and information status.

## The Use of Conjunctions at the Discourse Level

In attempting to guide readers through the details of the Greek text of the Gospel of Luke, it would have required a second volume to comment on every conjunction. Instead, we offer some brief comments here to summarize our understanding of how the two most common conjunctions function. Although kai and $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ are often assumed to be interchangeable in many contexts, in reality they serve distinct functions that assist readers in tracking the flow and status of information through large blocks of text. Our understanding of these and other conjunctions is heavily indebted to Levinsohn (2000) and Runge, and the many works that they build on.

The conjunction kai is "a coordinating conjunction that may join individual words, phrases, clauses or paragraphs" (Runge $\$ 2.2$ ) that the author does not wish to distinguish in terms of
their status (Porter 1994, 211). More specifically, it "is used as a function word to express the general relation of connection or addition, especially accompaniment, participation, combination, contiguity, continuance, simultaneity, and sequence" (Titrud, 247). While the specific semantic relationship between clauses or sentences linked by каi will vary, clause-initial conjunctive uses of kai generally highlight both thematic continuity and progression of thought, i.e., they "signal that the following clause is still closely related semantically to the preceding one" (Titrud, 251). When clauses are joined by кai, the writer is creating "the impression that these actions take place in close succession, or that one leads to the next" (Runge $\$ 2.2$ ). Thus, "when kai introduces a new sentence or paragraph it indicates a close thematic relation to the preceding sentence or paragraph" (Culy 2004, 5). It is important to note, then, that kai itself does not ever carry an adversative function. Any adversative nuance is a feature of the context rather than the conjunction.

The same is true of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ (cf. Titrud, 253), despite the common contention that it frequently functions in an adversative manner. There is, however, an important difference between kai and $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$. While there may or may not be development in the narrative when кai is present (it is simply not indicated), $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ usually explicitly signals "that what follows is a new, distinct development in the story or argument, based on how the writer conceived it" (Runge §2.3.2; cf. Levinsohn 2000, 72). In some cases, however, it serves to indicate that the writer is shifting between background information and foreground information. The use of kai or $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$, then, is an important indicator of how Luke chose to portray the relationship between events in his narrative.

Although we have been very selective in our comments on these two conjunctions in the handbook, we have at times attempted to illustrate how Luke's use of conjunctions sheds light on what he is doing in his narrative. By keeping the discourse level functions of kai and $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ in mind, and combining this with an understanding of how Luke uses perfective and imperfective aspect, readers of Luke's gospel will be able to gain a clearer picture of how Luke has crafted the flow of his narrative.

## Participles

Although we have listed functions like "causal," "temporal," "means," and the like in parentheses for each adverbial participle, we recognize that strictly speaking these are not functions of the participle itself. They merely describe our understanding of how the participial event relates semantically to the event of the main verb. While there are typically strong contextual indicators to guide us, ultimately, it is context rather than syntax that drives the analysis, with only limited restrictions on tense usage and the location of various adverbial participles in relation to the verb they modify (see, e.g., Wallace, 613-55). How, then, do participles function? "Using participles for some of the action has the effect of condensing and prioritizing the action" (Runge $\$ 12.3 .1$ ). More specifically, "an anarthrous participial clause that precedes the verb typically describes an event that is of secondary importance vis-à-vis the information conveyed in the nuclear [main] clause" (Levinsohn 2000, 183; emphasis in original; see also Runge $\$ 12$ ). Thus, some participles serve to background information. This can be illustrated
 of the verse, the focus is on the act of "showing" ( $\delta \varepsilon \tilde{\xi}$ ov), with the act of "going" (à $\pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} v)$ simply being a necessary precursor. In contrast, "participial clauses that follow the nuclear clause may be concerned with some aspect of the nuclear event itself" (Levinsohn 2000, 184; emphasis in original), or they may describe "a circumstance as merely accompanying the leading verb" (Greenlee, 57). The relative importance of the material in the participial clause that follows the main clause can only be determined through reference to the context (Levinsohn 2000, 185-86). We should also note that unlike sentence initial temporal adverbial clauses, a sentence initial participle (especially a genitive absolute) implies "continuity of situation and other relevant factors with the context, even if it also describes a modification of the temporal setting" (Levinsohn 2000, 188).

Where adverbial participles modify a verb, we have, as a convention, listed the nominative element as the subject of the main verb rather than the participle, regardless of word order. Either way the nominative element is the conceptual subject of both the participle
and the main verb. Similarly, where a nominative element is the subject of two or more conjoined verbs, we have only listed it as the subject of the first verb.

## Word Order

The extreme level of variation in Greek word order could lead casual readers to conclude that there is neither rhyme nor reason to what is placed where in a Greek sentence. In reality, Greek speakers and writers used variations in word order to accomplish, among other things, what English speakers convey through intonation (Runge $\$ 9.2 .2$ ), i.e., to mark certain information as more important. Comments on word order throughout the handbook build on the assumption that "the default position of the verb is at the beginning of the sentence" (Levinsohn 2000, 38; emphasis in original). Major constituents that appear before the verb may thus be viewed as "fronted." Fronting, however, does not necessarily correlate with "emphasis." There are a wide variety of reasons why authors may choose to front a constituent, including to mark a "point of departure" that establishes a frame of reference for what follows (see Levinsohn 2000, 7-28), or to place it in focus, i.e., highlight it as the most important piece of new information that is being conveyed about the topic of the sentence (see Levinsohn 2000, 37-38). Even the shape of the preceding or subsequent clause can influence the word order of a clause (cf. McKay, 6). It is critical , then, to determine what has motivated a particular word order before making claims about its significance. For example, in his analysis of Luke 9:48 (132) and 10:16 (135-36), Kwong notes that the object precedes the verb in the clauses $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \varepsilon ̀ ~ \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$ (9:48), $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \mathrm{u}$ ṽ
 is then used to argue that these passages are more prominent. The problem with this analysis is that Kwong does not recognize that in each case the clause in view appears to be placed in that particular word order to form a chiastic structure that highlights the contrast between that clause and the preceding clause in a manner that is nicely balanced and rhythmic. One might indeed argue that the chiasm makes the passage more marked and thus lends it greater prominence, but such prominence does not necessarily stem from
the marked word order within the clauses Kwong points to. For more on Greek word order, see especially Levinsohn (2000, 7-67) and Runge ( $\$ 9-15$ ). For a helpful overview of recent studies on Greek word order, see Kwong (10-29).

Given the relative "freeness" of Greek word order, it should not be surprising that the most common word order is not always the unmarked or default order. Indeed, McKay (6) suggests that the most common word order in Greek is subject before verb. Thus, although we occasionally include comments based on Kwong's analysis of Luke's word order, we do not subscribe to the view that one can draw a one to one correlation between default or "unmarked" word order and the statistically most common order. Indeed, such a straight statistical approach is fraught with problems. For example, if we follow Kwong's approach in analyzing the

 $\lambda \alpha \tilde{\varphi})$, we might conclude that the word order-verb, indirect object, subject-is a tool for lending prominence to the angel's speech. After all, Kwong (202-3) lists only twenty-four examples of this word order with three constituents (verb, subject, and object), while there are 147 instances of subject-verb-object. (The order verb-subject-object occurs forty-nine times.) We might conclude, then, that the default word order is subject-verb-object, and variations on this order draw attention to that part of the discourse where they occur. It is clear from broader studies of Greek usage, however, that the default order for pronouns is actually immediately following the verb (see Levinsohn 2000, 29), just as we find in Luke $2: 10$, and it is increasingly recognized that the default position for the verb in Koine Greek is preceding the subject and any objects. Thus, although the construction in Luke 2:10 is statistically not the most common, it is the most "basic" and thus involves no prominence marking.

Ultimately, in addressing questions of word order, we need to avoid two pitfalls. First, we must be careful not to attach more significance to word order than is warranted. As McKay (6) points out, "in many contexts there is little, if any, practical difference in emphasis between two or more possible word orders, so that the writer's choice is determined subjectively. Some writers may
exhibit preferences for arranging some phrases or clauses in a particular order, or even for avoiding such regular patterns." On the other hand, we must also recognize that "all the NT writers were sufficiently fluent in Greek and literary in their approach to their work to justify the assumption that many, if not most, of their choices of word order were intended to add some force to their work, whether it be simply emphasis, contrast, balance, smooth or abrupt rhythm, clarity of expression or even ambiguity" (McKay, $6-7$ ). Runge ( $\$ 1.1$ ) is absolutely correct to maintain that analysis of Greek discourse must begin with the presupposition that choice implies meaning. Producing the New Testament books involved making choices regarding word order, choices that almost invariably imply different nuances in how the information is conveyed. How significant those nuances are will vary from text to text.

## A HANDBOOK ON THE GREEK TEXT OF LUKE

## Luke 1:1-4

${ }^{1}$ Since many have attempted to compose an account of the events that have been fulfilled among us-2just as those who were eyewitnesses from the beginning and servants of the word passed on to us- ${ }^{3}$ it seemed good to me as well, (as one) who has carefully investigated everything for a significant amount of time, to write an orderly account for you, most excellent Theophilus, ${ }^{4}$ so that you might know the certainty of the words you were taught.

The high style of the opening to Luke's gospel, which has strong correlations with the work of classical historians (see Fitzmyer, $1: 288$ ), is widely recognized (see Robertson, 121; Marshall, 39). "The preface to his gospel (1:1-4) most demonstrably makes the case for Luke's ability to use the conventions of Greek historiography, both the linguistic (as it is a single, periodic sentence with a balanced, hypotactic structure) and the topical (as it references preceding writers on its subject and the author's own investigation, claims to be a narrative, purports a didactic purpose, etc.)" (Loney, 10). For more on Luke's preface, see Alexander, 48-74; Robbins, 94-108; and Bovon, 1:16-18.

##  $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho о \varphi о \rho \eta \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \omega v \dot{\varepsilon} v \dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\tau} v \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega v$,

${ }^{\prime} E \pi \varepsilon \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \pi \varepsilon \rho$. Causal conjunction. While the term is found nowhere else in the NT (BDF $\S 107$ calls it a "classicism" of Luke) or in the LXX, it is frequently used by Hellenistic writers and "contributes to the formal and literary flavor of the preface" (Nolland, 1:6). It is one of Luke's strategies for relating "his entire composition to a well-known literary introductory form" (Fitzmyer, 1:290-91).
$\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{o}$. . Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon i p \eta \sigma \alpha v$. Luke's reference to the "many" who have attempted to compile narratives before him functions rhetorically to highlight the importance of the events he is describing and to establish a precedent for his work (Nolland, 1:6; cf. Marshall, 41; Green, 38). Luke is also acknowledging his dependence on former writers as he seeks to compile his own account (see Fitzmyer, 1:291).
 verb a critique of past attempts to tell the story of Jesus (Fitzmyer, 1:291-92; cf. Johnson, 30; Bovon, 1:19). Such a reading certainly fits Luke's use of the verb in Acts, where it is used to refer to failed attempts at some action (Acts 9:29; 19:13). The term itself, however, does not imply the failure of previous attempts (see, e.g., LN 68.59). It is best, then, not to read any disparagement into Luke's language, but rather to see it perhaps as a reference to the difficulty of the task (cf. Marshall, 41; Nolland, 1:12).
 verb itself does not tell us whether the writer is compiling oral or written source material.
$\delta ı \dot{\eta} \gamma \eta \sigma \iota v$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{a}$. This term refers to "a discourse consisting of an orderly exposition or narration" (LN 33.11).
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \grave{\tau} \tau \tilde{\omega} v . . . \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega v$. Reference.
$\pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\varphi} о \rho \eta \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{v}$. Prf pass ptc neut gen $\mathrm{pl} \pi \lambda \eta \rho о$ ро $\dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (attributive). Although the location of the participle between the article and the noun it modifies is less common, this construction does occur 101 times in the NT (see Boyer 1984, 177). Fitzmyer (1:293) discusses three possible translations for the verb: (1) "completed, accomplished"; (2) "fully assured"; and (3) "fulfilled, come to fulfillment" as part of God's will. Given Luke's decision not to use a
 is most likely (so Fitzmyer, 1:293; Johnson, 27; Bovon, 1:20). èv $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu i ̃ v$. Association.

##  $\gamma \varepsilon v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o t ~ \tau o v ̃ ~ \lambda o ́ ~ \gamma o v, ~$

$\kappa \alpha \theta \grave{\omega} \varsigma$. The subordinating conjunction draws an analogy
between the work of those who attempted to compose an account and those who had earlier passed on the tradition. Both were attempting to preserve and convey information about the life of Jesus. Since it comes in the middle of a cause-effect construction (see v. 3 on $\varepsilon$ ह́ $\delta o \xi \varepsilon$ ), it should be viewed as parenthetical.
$\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ \delta o \sigma \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta i \delta \omega \mu$. Nolland (1:8) argues that the verb is used here in the general sense of transmitting history, rather than the technical use of the term in passing on tradition in the early church (contra Marshall, 41-42; Fitzmyer, 1:296).
$\dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i} v$. Dative indirect object of $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\delta} \delta o \sigma \alpha v$. The direct object of the verb is left implicit. Levinsohn $(2000,29)$ notes that " $\tau$ he default position for 'unemphatic' pronominal constituents is immediately following the verb, preceding nominal constituents."
 val). Nominative subject of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ \delta o \sigma \alpha v$.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ' $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta ̃ \varsigma$. Temporal, modifying av̉tó $\tau \tau \alpha$.
aủtó $\pi \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ィ кaì $\mathbf{v} \pi \eta \rho$ ह́taı. Predicate nominative. Nolland (1:7) argues that the shared article suggests a single group, perhaps relying on the "Granville Sharp Rule," which states that when two substantives are joined by каi and governed by a single article, they refer to a single referent. According to Wallace (271-72), however, the rule properly applies only to personal, singular, and non-proper nouns. Despite the fact that this phrase involves plural nouns, it is most likely a double description of a single group (Fitzmyer, 1:294; Nolland, 1:7; Johnson, 28; Green, 41; Bovon, 1:21) given the portrayal of the apostles in Acts (Nolland, 1:7). One should not, however, appeal to the presence of a single article as evidence for a single group (contra Nolland, 1:7), since the article syntactically modifies the participle, functioning as a nominalizer, not the nouns. Semantically, the two nouns portray this group as those who had firsthand knowledge of the events (aútórtau) and passed that knowledge on to others (v́rnpétaı . . . тoṽ 入ó $\gamma \circ$ ).
 Plummer, 3). The position of this genitive NP may stem from the fact that when a complex constituent is fronted, it is not unusual for only the first part to be moved. On the other hand, its location may be driven by the fact that it is the portion of the NP that relates to what follows (see Levinsohn 2000, 58).

##  

 is an idiomatic expression for "I decided." The verb introduces a clause that provides the second half of the cause-effect construction begun in verse 1 . Verse 1 provides the reason that led to the result stated in verse 3.

кảuoì. Dative of reference. A shortened form (crasis) of каì غ̇ $\mu$ oí.
 (attributive, modifying кג́ $\mu \mathrm{o}$ ). Attempts to take this participle as adverbial rely on what seems to make sense rather than the syntax itself (see Culy 2003, 441). On the meaning of the verb in this context, see Fitzmyer, 1:296.
$\alpha \ddot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\omega} \theta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v}$. The adverb is here used to denote "duration of time for a relatively long period in the past" (LN 67.90).
$\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu$. Dative complement of $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \kappa о \lambda o v \theta \eta \kappa o ́ \tau ı$. Given its use with $\alpha ้ v \omega \theta \varepsilon \nu$, the substantival adjective should be viewed as neuter ("everything") rather than masculine ("everyone").
$\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho ı \beta \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$. The adverb most likely modifies $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \kappa о \lambda о u \theta \eta \kappa о ́ \tau \iota$ given the fact that (1) $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \iota \beta \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$ tends to follow the verb it modifies (Matt 2:8; Acts 18:25; Eph 5:15; it precedes in 1 Thess 5:2), and (2) it would be awkward for it to modify $\gamma \rho \alpha \neq a \iota$ with the intervening $\kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \xi \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ (so Plummer, 3; Marshall, 43; Fitzmyer, 1:297-98; Klein, 75). Linguistically, it is not plausible for it to modify both $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \kappa о \lambda o v \theta \eta \kappa o ́ t \iota ~ a n d ~ \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \psi \alpha ı ~(c o n t r a ~ N o l l a n d, ~ 1: 9 ; ~ c f . ~ B o v o n, ~$ 1:22).
$\kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \xi \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$. The adverb modifies $\gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha$ and can refer to chronological, spatial, logical, or rhetorical order (BDAG, 490; cf. LN 61.1). Based on Luke's use of the term in Acts 11:4, the focus seems to be on the rhetorical order of the events to be narrated (see Moessner, 1513-28; cf. Green, 43-44). For a detailed discussion of the issues surrounding the word, see Fitzmyer, 1:298-99.
$\gamma \boldsymbol{\rho} \dot{\alpha} \psi \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Aor act inf of $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \varphi \omega \omega$ (complementary treating $\varepsilon$ है $\delta o \xi \varepsilon$ as impersonal, or subject if $\varepsilon ้ \delta o \xi \varepsilon$ is not impersonal: "to write to you . . . seemed good to me").
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Dative of recipient.
$\kappa \rho \dot{\tau} \tau \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \Theta \varepsilon \dot{\varphi} \varphi \iota \lambda \varepsilon$. Vocative. Introduces the recipient of Luke's gospel. The debate over the identity of Theophilus turns, in part, on the meaning of the adjective крátıбтє. While some argue that the adjective refers to "noble status, with the implication of power and authority" (LN 87.55), others see it as a polite form of address used in dedications (BDF $\S 60$; Marshall, 43). It is used in Acts 24:3 and 26:25 in reference to Felix and Festus, but is absent in Luke's reference to Theophilus in Acts 1:1.

## 

îva. Introduces a purpose clause.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi เ \gamma \nu \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$. Aor act subj 2nd sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \gamma \iota \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \omega$. Subjunctive with ǐva. $\pi \varepsilon \rho \bar{\omega} \dot{\omega} v \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \chi \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \varsigma \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\gamma} \gamma \omega v$. The preposition clearly points to "reference." Beyond that the syntax here is ambiguous. (1) The whole construction could be viewed as an internally headed relative clause, i.e., an instance where "the head noun or antecedent is actually inside the relative clause that modifies it" (Culy and Parsons, 3; for a clear example of an internally headed relative clause, see 3:19). Such constructions appear to intensify the semantics of the clause: "concerning the very things you were taught." In the NT, where internally headed relative clauses occur, the relative pronoun and head noun both get their case from their role in the main clause. (2) Alternatively, and perhaps more likely here, the relative clause could be limited to $\pi \varepsilon \rho i$ ì $\tilde{\nu} \nu \alpha \tau \eta \chi \eta \dot{\theta} \eta \varsigma$, with $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega v$ modifying $\tau \eta \geqslant$ $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \varphi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$ : lit. "so that you might know, concerning the things you were taught, the certainty of (those) words/matters."
$\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \chi \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \varsigma$. Aor pass ind 2nd sg катпүх'் $\omega$. Nolland (1:10-11) argues that the use of this word to speak of pre- and post-baptismal instruction of converts is not present at the time of Luke's writing (cf. Green, 45-46). Rather, the word carries the more neutral sense of conveying information, perhaps in a more detailed manner than $\delta \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega$ (see LN 33.225; 33.190). In Acts, it is used in reference to both the instruction of converts (Acts 18:25) and the conveyance of non-religious information (Acts 21:21, 24).
$\tau \grave{̀} v \dot{\alpha} \sigma \varphi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \gamma v \tilde{\varphi} c$. The position of the word in the clause may give it prominence (Plummer, 3; Fitzmyer, 1:300; Green, 45; Bovon, 1:23; Klein, 76, n. 40).

## Luke 1:5-25

${ }^{5}$ In the days of Herod, king of Judea, there was a priest named Zechariah from the priestly division of Abijah. His wife was from the daughters of Aaron, and her name was Elizabeth. ${ }^{6}$ Both were righteous before God and lived in conformity with all the commands and decrees of the Lord; (they were) blameless. ${ }^{7}$ And (yet) they had no children because Elizabeth was barren, and both were (now) well along in years.
${ }^{8}$ Now it happened while he was performing (his) priestly duty before God, when his priestly division was on duty, ${ }^{9}$ according to the custom of the priestly office he drew the lot of offering incense and thus entered the sanctuary of the Lord; ${ }^{10}$ and the whole crowd of people were praying outside at the hour of the incense offering. ${ }^{11}$ Now an angel of the Lord appeared to him, standing at the right side of the altar of incense, ${ }^{12}$ and Zechariah was very distressed when he saw (the angel) and fear overwhelmed him.
${ }^{13}$ The angel said to him, "Do not be afraid Zechariah, because your plea has been heard, and your wife, Elizabeth, will give birth to a son for you; and you will name him John. ${ }^{14} \mathrm{Y}$ ou will have joy and much gladness, and many will rejoice because of his birth. ${ }^{15}$ For he will be great before the Lord. He will never drink wine or alcohol, and he will be filled with the Holy Spirit, while he is still in his mother's womb. ${ }^{16}$ And he will turn many of the children of Israel to the Lord their God. ${ }^{17} \mathrm{He}$ will go ahead before him in the spirit and power of Elijah, to turn the hearts of the fathers to (their) children and the disobedient to the wisdom of the righteous, to make ready for the Lord a people who have been prepared."
${ }^{18}$ Then Zechariah said to the angel, "How will I know this? For I am an old man and my wife is well along in years." ${ }^{19}$ The angel answered, "I am Gabriel, who stands before God, and I have been sent to speak to you and to tell this good news to you. ${ }^{20} \mathrm{You}$ will be silent and not able to speak until the very day these things take place because you did not believe my words, which will be fulfilled in their time!"
${ }^{21}$ Now, the people were waiting for Zechariah and were wondering as he was spending a long time in the sanctuary. ${ }^{22}$ When he came out, he was not able to speak to them, and they realized that
he had seen a vision in the sanctuary. He was making signs to them and continued to be unable to speak.
${ }^{23} \mathrm{And}$ when his days of service were completed, he went to his house. ${ }^{24}$ Some time later, Elizabeth his wife became pregnant, and she kept herself in seclusion for five months, saying, ${ }^{25^{"}}$ This is what the Lord has done for me in the days in which he looked with favor (on me) and took away my shame among the people."

##   

'Eүéveto. Aor mid ind 3rd sg ү'ivoual. Louw and Nida (91.5) note that yivoual can serve as "a marker of new information, either concerning participants in an episode or concerning the episode itself (occurring normally in the formulas $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon ่ v \varepsilon \tau \frac{\delta \dot{\varepsilon}}{}$ or кaì $\left.\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon ่ v \varepsilon \tau o\right) . " ~$ See also $1: 8$ on 'E $\gamma$ ह́veto.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \pi i ̃ ৎ \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \rho a ı \varsigma$. This phrase sets the broad temporal setting for what follows.
'H $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ ©́ $\delta \mathbf{o v}$. However we label this genitive, the sense is "in the days when Herod lived/ruled" (for more on this common construction, see Beekman and Callow, 262).
$\beta a \sigma t \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega c$. Genitive in apposition to 'H $\mathrm{H} \varphi \dot{\delta} \delta o v$.
$\tau \eta \pi \varsigma$ 'Iovסaiac. Genitive of subordination.
iepev่s tic. Nominative subject of 'E $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ह́veтo, though it is most natural to use an impersonal translation: "There was a priest." Levinsohn (2000, 134, n. 1) notes that "In Koine Greek, tıc is often used as an adjective when major participants are introduced" and is also sometimes used to introduce minor participants. The reason for its presence or absence, however, remains unclear.
ojvó $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau}$. Dative of reference.
Zaxapiac. Nominative in apposition to í $\rho \varepsilon$ v́c.

A $\boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\mu} \dot{\alpha}$. The indeclinable noun is genitive and introduces the person the division was named after.
$\gamma \mathbf{v} \grave{\eta}$. Although this nominative noun might superficially appear to be joined with ípev́s tıc as the compound subject of 'Eүモ́veтo, it is better to view the preceding kai as introducing a new clause with
an implied equative verb of which $\gamma \cup v \eta$ is the subject: "and his wife was from . . ." or "and he had a wife from . . ." (lit. "and a wife was to him").
aủt $\underset{\sim}{c}$. Dative of possession.
غ̇к $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\theta} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \rho \omega v$. Source.
'Aapćv. Genitive of relationship.
тò ővoua. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause: "Her name was Elizabeth." The preceding kai again introduces a new clause.
av̉тŋ̃c. Possessive genitive.
'Eスıóáßct. Predicate nominative of a verbless equative clause.

##  

$\tilde{\eta} \sigma a v$. Impf ind 3rd pl $\varepsilon$ ci $\mu i$.
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon}$. Here, the conjunction is used with the imperfect verb to introduce background material, information that Luke is portraying as a new step in the narrative, though it does not represent a new development in the story (see Levinsohn 2000, 76). It is distinctive information that is important for advancing the author's purpose (cf. Levinsohn 2000, 90, 91). The theological disconnect between piety and childlessness helps set up what follows.

סíkatot. Predicate adjective.

évavtiov toṽ $\theta$ coũ. Here, the preposition is not locative, but rather introduces "a participant whose viewpoint is relevant to an event-'in the sight of, in the opinion of, in the judgment of'"(LN 90.20; cf. 1:15).
$\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v o t}$. Pres mid ptc masc nom pl порвv́o $\mu \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Although the participle could be causal and thus introduce the reason God viewed them as $\delta$ íkaıo, it more likely either introduces an attendant circumstance (see 1:24 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \quad 0 \quad \sigma \alpha$ ) of the first clause (see the translation) or modifies an implied $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha v$, thus forming an imperfect periphrastic construction: "Both were righteous before God, were living in conformity with all the commands and decrees of the Lord, (and) were blameless." The verb порعvioual focuses on
lifestyle in this context (LN 41.11; $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon ่ \omega$ is far more commonly used in this sense).
 $\pi о \rho \varepsilon v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o t ~ \varepsilon ̇ v$ (lit. "walking in") appears to be an idiomatic way, perhaps influenced by LXX Greek (see, e.g., 1 Kgs 15:3; 16:26, 29; 22:43; 2 Kgs $8: 18,27 ; 16: 3 ; 21: 21$ ), of referring to living in a particular manner or in accord with a particular standard. What is somewhat distinctive here is the presence of $\alpha \mu \varepsilon \mu \pi \tau \tau o t$.

тoṽ кvpíov. Subjective genitive.
ä $\mu \varepsilon \mu \pi \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$. Predicate adjective of an implied $\eta^{\eta} \sigma \alpha v$. Less likely, the adjective could carry adverbial force (cf. BDF $\$ 243$ ).

##  

кaì. Although an adversative translation may be appropriate, Luke has simply conjoined this description with what precedes leaving the dissonance between the content of verses 6 and 7 implicit.
$\mathfrak{\eta} v$. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ ci $\mu i$.
av̉тoĩc. Dative of possession. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \tilde{\mu} v$.
тย́кvov. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} v$. Lit. "a child was not to them."
$\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ @ótı. This causal conjunction appears in the NT only in Luke's writings (Luke 1:7; 19:9; Acts 2:24, 45; 4:35; 17:31).

ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$ í.
$\dot{\eta}$ 'Eスıóáßعт. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} \nu$.
$\sigma \tau \varepsilon i ̃ \rho a$. Predicate adjective.
à $\mu \varphi \dot{\sigma} \tau \varepsilon \rho о$. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha v$.
 "they had advanced in their days") is an idiom for old age (see also 1:18; 2:35; cf. LXX Gen 18:11; 24:1; Josh 13:1; 23:1; 1 Kgs 1:1). Luke's addition of $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ may reflect a Hebrew source or simply be Lukan style (Nolland, 1:27).
$\pi \rho о \beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa$ ќтєя. Prf act ptc masc nom $\mathrm{pl} \pi \rho o \beta a i v \omega$ (pluperfect periphrastic).

ก̃ $\sigma a v$. Impf ind 3rd pl $\varepsilon i \mu i ́$.

##  av่̉oṽ ěvavtı toṽ $\theta$ عoṽ,

'E $\boldsymbol{\text { évéveto. Aor mid ind 3rd sg } \gamma \text { ivoual. Levinsohn observes }}$ that $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \tau \frac{1}{}$ followed by a temporal expression and sometimes an infinitival clause that serves as the subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \tau$ quent device in Luke/Acts. The temporal expressions relate the general background to the sentences immediately preceding, and the infinitival subject "describes the specific circumstance for the following foreground events" (Levinsohn 2000, 177). Generally, кaì $̇$ ยと́veto followed by a temporal phrase creates a link between specific events of the same episode or previous episodes, but can also create thematic links between episodes (Levinsohn 2000, 179).
 the narrative. Gault $(1990,395)$ argues that the seemingly haphazard use of the two phrases actually indicates either a continuation of the narrative (каì $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \tau$ ) or a "change or shift in the focus of some element of the narrative" ( $\dot{\gamma} \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \tau \frac{1}{} \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ ). Here, $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \tau$ тo $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ introduces "the event line" of the narrative following background information on Zechariah and Elizabeth. In the following material (1:23, $41,51,65$ ), кaì $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \tau 0$ "marks a stage in the respective episode" (Gault 1990, 396; see also Levinsohn 2000, 177; cf. Decker, 85). The common use of $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \tau 0$ followed by a finite verb (as here), with or without an intervening conjunction, appears to have been influenced by the LXX (McKay, 57). For more on Luke's use of $\varepsilon$ ह̇غ́veto, see Plummer, 45; Fitzmyer, 1:118-19.
 contemporaneous time. When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81). Burk notes (110) that "Luke's frequent $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\omega}$ plus the infinitive is widely regarded as an imitation of the Hebrew [? 7 plus infinitive]." In an appendix (14849), he lists thirty-three examples in Luke and nine examples in Acts, for a total of forty-two of the fifty-six occurrences in the NT.
av่̉七òv. Accusative subject of iepatev́sıv. The context makes it clear that the referent is Zechariah (v. 5).
 division." The technically locative PP sets the temporal context for what follows.
ěvavtı toṽ $\theta$ عoṽ．Locative．

##  тòv vaòv toṽ кvpíov，

$\boldsymbol{\kappa \alpha \tau} \dot{\alpha}$ tò है $\theta \mathbf{o c}$ ．Standard．Given the fact that the immediately fol－ lowing context refers to a specific practice or custom，the PP should be taken with $\varepsilon$ है入axe rather than with what precedes or with both what precedes and follows（contra Bovon，1：34，n．29）．
$\tau \tilde{\varsigma} \varsigma$ iepatciac．＂The custom followed by those in the priestly office．＂

ع̇ $\lambda a \chi \varepsilon$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \alpha \dot{\sigma} \omega \omega$ ．Louw and Nida list three senses for this verb：＂to receive，with the implication that the pro－ cess is related somehow to divine will or favor＂（57．127）；＂to choose by lot，probably by the use of marked pebbles or pieces of pottery＂ （30．104）；or＂to be selected by a decision based on the casting of lots， with the possible implication of reflecting divine choice＂（30．106）． Since the context speaks of priestly customs，one of the latter two senses must be in view．

то⿱丷 $\theta v \mu \iota \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha ı$ ．Aor act inf $\theta v \mu ı \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ ．The function of the infini－ tive will depend on the how one understands the semantics of the verb है入 $\lambda$ axe．Did Zechariah draw the lot himself，or was it done for him？Given the parallel construction in Wis 8：19（ $\psi \cup \chi \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \tau \varepsilon$ है入 axov $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \tilde{\eta} \varsigma)$ ，we should likely take $\lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ in an active sense：＂he drew the lot of ．．．＂The genitive infinitive，then，would be epexegetical． This is preferable to taking the genitive infinitive as the object of ع̈ $\lambda \alpha \chi \varepsilon$（so Porter 1994，196），though such an analysis is plausible． Burk（65），however，suggests that we should understand a partitive sense with the genitive article：＂In this text，＇the burning of incense＇ was a responsibility shared by the priests；therefore Zacharias＇ fulfillment of his priestly duty is only a part of this larger service as a whole．＂While conceptually this makes sense，the genitive only functions in this manner when it modifies a quantifier（e．g．，＂all of the people＂）and one should avoid reading the broad conceptual context into the case of the article．
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \boldsymbol{\theta} \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Aor act ptc nom masc sg eio $\dot{\varepsilon} p \chi o \mu \alpha u$（result）．Given the use of $v a o ̀ v$（see below），the participle cannot be temporal（＂when he entered＂），since the entering is subsequent to the drawing of
the lot ( $\varepsilon$ 解 $\alpha \chi \varepsilon$ ). Although it makes good sense for the participle to be a temporal modifier of the infinitive ("offering incense when he entered the sanctuary"), the participle would likely have been accusative if this were the intended sense (see, e.g., 19:15; Acts 9:37; Culy 2003, 446, n. 34; contra NET Bible).

عís tòv vaòv. Locative. The term vaós is rendered "sanctuary" to make explicit that the inner part of the temple, or "holy place" (NET Bible), is in view.
тои̃ кирíov. Possessive genitive.

##  тоṽ $\theta$ vцı่́ $\mu \alpha$ тос.

$\pi \tilde{\alpha} v \tau$ ò $\pi \lambda \tilde{\eta} \theta$ oç. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} v \ldots \pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon u \chi o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v$.
ท̃̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$ i.
тoṽ $\lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{o v}$. Partitive genitive. In Luke and Acts the noun $\lambda$ aós always refers to the Jewish people.
 (imperfect periphrastic). Although Porter (1992, 45-46) argues that in periphrastic constructions "no elements may intervene between the auxiliary verb and the participle except for those which complete or directly modify the participle," this appears to be an artificial rule that Luke does not follow (cf. McKay, 9, who rejects Porter's narrow restrictions). Caragounis (177) argues that such periphrastic constructions typically, but not always, stress the idea of linearity (continuity). Examples of such stress, according to Caragounis (177, n. 147) are found in 1:10, 21; $2: 33,51 ; 4: 38 ; 5: 1,17$, 18,$29 ; 9: 32,45,53 ; 13: 10,11$. In contrast, the periphrastic constructions in 1:22; 2:26; 4:31, 44; 5:10, 16; 8:40; and 11:14 are equivalent to simple forms (Caragounis, 178, n. 151, 179). (Note that there is some confusion here since Caragounis apparently unintentionally includes all of these examples in n .147 as well). The inconsistency regarding the function of periphrastics is simply a feature of a language in transition, with the reduplicated forms of the perfect, pluperfect, and future perfect eventually dropping from Greek in the Late Hellenistic (A.D. 1-300) and Byzantine periods (A.D. 600-1000) (Caragounis, 178).

тก̃ ©̈pa. Temporal.

то⿱丷 $\theta \mathbf{v} \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{c}$. "The hour when the incense was offered" (cf. 1:5 on 'Hpب́ઈou).

##  aбтпрiov тоṽ $\theta$ vцıá $\mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma$.

$\ddot{\omega} \varphi \theta \eta \eta$. Aor pass ind 3 rd sg ó $\rho \alpha \dot{\omega} \omega$. In the passive voice (or perhaps middle; see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction) the verb means, "to appear" (see Wallace, 165, n. 72).
av̉t $\tilde{\omega}$. Dative complement of $\ddot{\omega} \varphi \theta \eta$. Passive forms of ópá $\omega$ almost always take a dative complement in the NT (Matt 17:3; Mark 9:4; Luke 1:11; 22:43; 24:34; Acts 2:3; 7:2, 26, 30; 13:31; 16:9; 26:16; 1 Cor 15:5, 6, 7, 8; 1 Tim 3:16; Heb 9:28). The exceptions occur in Revelation, where the preposition $\varepsilon v$ is used (Rev 11:19; 12:1, 3).
$\ddot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma^{\varepsilon} \lambda \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{c}$ кvpiov. Nominative subject of $\ddot{\omega} \varphi \theta \eta$. While in many cases the anarthrous phrase can refer to "the angel of the Lord" (i.e., an OT way of describing the presence of Yahweh among people; see Wallace, 252), the fact that the angel is later given a name (v. 19) suggests that the phrase is indefinite here (see Nolland, 1:28). The genitive кupiou thus probably denotes source.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \grave{\omega} \varsigma$. Prf act ptc masc nom sg ḯ $\sigma \eta \mu \mathrm{L}$. The participle may be attributive or denote the manner in which the angel appeared.
$\grave{\varepsilon} \kappa \delta \varepsilon \xi \iota \omega \tau \nu$. The preposition (probably technically denoting separation) is characteristically used with the plural form of $\delta \varepsilon \xi$ !ós in a locative sense: "at the right side" (cf. 20:42; 22:69; 23:33; Acts 2:25).

тоṽ $\theta$ vataotn $\boldsymbol{i} \mathbf{o v}$. "The right side in relation to the altar."


èt $\tau \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \chi \eta \eta$. Aor pass ind 3rd sg ta $\alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \omega$. This verb means "to cause acute emotional distress or turbulence" (LN 25.244).

Zaxapiac. Nominative subject of $̇$ ह̇тa $\alpha \dot{\chi} \chi \eta$.
$i \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\omega} \dot{\boldsymbol{v}}$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg ó $\rho \dot{\alpha} \omega$ (temporal).

 $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi$ ’ av̉ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{v} \boldsymbol{v}$. Locative. The PP functions as a marker "of powers,
conditions, etc., which come upon someone or under whose influence someone is" (BDAG, 366.14.b. $\beta$ ).

##   

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ ò $\boldsymbol{a}$ à̇tòv. The PP functions as the indirect object, i.e., addressee, of $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$. With verbs of speech, Luke often uses $\pi \rho$ ò (see 1:19, 55; 2:15, 18, 20; 24:25, 32, 44; Acts 3:22; 4:1; 8:26; 11:14, 20; 21:39; 22:9-10; 26:26, 31; 28:25) rather than a dative NP to introduce the addressee, with no discernable difference in meaning.

بoßoṽ. Pres mid impv 2nd sg $\varphi o \beta \varepsilon \dot{c} \boldsymbol{\mu} \alpha \boldsymbol{a}$ (prohibition). Wallace (724) argues that this is an example of a present imperative with $\mu \eta$ used to call for an end to an act that is already in progress. The sense would then be, "Stop being afraid!" While such a notion may be derived from the context, Porter $(1989,335-61)$ and others have provided strong evidence against the common view that the present imperative refers to action already begun and the aorist to action not yet begun (cf. Acts 22:10, where the present imperative indicates a new action). Indeed, Boyer (1987, 40-45) has argued that the negated present imperative in the NT is used only 74 of 174 times to call for the cessation of action that is already in progress. It is better to recognize that unlike here "general precepts usually occur in the present and specific commands usually occur in the aorist" with imperative verbs (Campbell 2008, 83; emphasis added).

Zaxapía. Vocative.
$\boldsymbol{\delta}$ เótı. Causal. The use of $\delta$ เótı instead of ö öı may reflect Luke's classical style (BDF $\S 456$ ). One could argue that the reason ( $\delta$ เó $\tau$ ) for the command ( $\mu \eta$ ضо $\beta$ oũ) is largely implicit: roughly, "You should not be afraid, since I am actually here to give you good news. ..." All that follows, to the end of verse 17, is part of the extended reason statement.
 and Nida (24.60), this verb carries a different connotation than
$\dot{\alpha} \kappa o v ́ \omega$, i.e., "to listen to someone, with the implication of heeding and responding to what is heard."
ŋ̀ $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \eta \sigma i c$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i \sigma \eta \kappa o v \dot{\sigma} \theta \eta$. The second accent comes from the enclitic $\sigma 00$. A clitic (generally a personal pronoun or a form of $\tau \imath \varsigma$ or $\varepsilon i \mu i)$ is a word that appears as a discreet word in the syntax but is pronounced as if it were part of another word. In linguistic jargon, it is syntactically free but phonologically bound. Enclitics "give" their accent to the preceeding word. For a fuller discussion of Greek clitics (proclitics and enclitics) and their accents, see Carson (1985, 47-50).
oov. Subjective genitive.
$\kappa \alpha i ̀ . ~ I n ~ t e r m s ~ o f ~ s e m a n t i c s, ~ t h e ~ c o n j o i n e d ~ c l a u s e, ~ \grave{~} \gamma \cup v \eta$ oov
 event: "Because God has heard your prayer, your wife Elizabeth is going to bear a son for you." Titrud (250) suggests that in cases such as this, "by syntactically elevating what is logically subordinate, the author is placing more prominence (emphasis) on the clause than it would have had if introduced by a subordinating conjunction."
 subject shifts the focus to Elizabeth.
oov. Genitive of relationship.
'E $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tau$. Nominative in apposition to $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \cup v \eta$. $_{\text {. }}$
$\gamma \varepsilon v v \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon$. Fut act ind 3rd sg $\gamma \varepsilon v v a ́ \omega$.
vióv. Accusative direct object of $\gamma \varepsilon v \nu \eta \dot{\sigma \varepsilon}$.
бot. Dative of advantage (contra Wallace, 142, who treats it as an indirect object).
$\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ̇ \sigma \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ t o ̀ ~ o ̋ v o \mu \alpha ~ a u ̉ t o v ̃ ~ ’ I \omega \alpha ́ v v \eta v$. Lit. "you will call his name John."
$\kappa \boldsymbol{\kappa} \lambda \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sigma \varepsilon \iota$. Fut act ind $2 \mathrm{nd} \operatorname{sg} \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. The future is used with imperatival force.
tò ővo $\mu \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ́ \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma . ~$
av̉тoṽ. Possessive genitive.
'I $\boldsymbol{\omega} \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{v}$. Complement in an object-complement double accusative construction.

##  

हैбтal. Fut ind 3rd sg eipui.
 unusual for a singular rather than plural verb to be used with a compound subject, where the two are treated as a single entity or idea (cf. McKay, 18). It is possible, however, that the subject of हैбтаı is an implied reference to John (NIV: "He will be a joy and delight to you"), which is certainly the case in 1:15. If so, ұapá . . . кaì $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda i \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma$ would function as a predicate nominative.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma o t}$. Dative of advantage.


aủtoũ. Objective genitive.
$\chi \alpha \rho \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \boldsymbol{v} \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$. Fut mid ind 3rd pl $\chi \alpha i \rho \omega$.

##   $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ̃, ~$


$\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$. Causal. Strickly speaking, " $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ constrains the reader to interpret the material it introduces as strengthening an assertion or assumption that has been presented in or implied by the immediate context. . . . The nature of that strengthening, viz., explanation versus inference or cause, is deduced from the content of the material, not from the presence of $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ " (Levinsohn 2000, 69; emphasis in original). "Although the strengthening material is important to the discourse, it does not advance the argument or story. Instead, it supports what precedes by providing background or detail that is needed to understand what follows" (Runge §2.7).
$\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \alpha c$. Predicate adjective.
 rather introduces "a participant whose viewpoint is relevant to an event-'in the sight of, in the opinion of, in the judgment of'" (LN 90.20). This preposition is a favorite for Luke, who uses it twentytwo times in his gospel and thirteen times in Acts. Of the other gospels, John uses it once and Matthew and Mark do not use it at
all. Most of Luke's usages occur in his distinctive material, and in the only two parallel passages both Matthew (Matt 5:9//Luke 4:7) and Mark (Mark 5:33//Luke 8:41) use the simple dative rather than غ̇vढ́tıov.
oĩvov каì oíкع $\rho$ a. Accusative direct object of tị!.
$\pi i n$. Aor act subj 3rd sg $\pi i v \omega$. The subjunctive is used with ov $\mu \dot{\eta}$, which expresses emphatic negation. Campbell $(2008,58)$ suggests that "the reason for which the aorist subjunctive-and not the present-is employed in emphatic future negative constructions is that its perfective aspect suits the portrayal of future events that will not occur."
$\pi \nu \varepsilon \dot{v} \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varsigma} \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{i o v}$. Genitive of content.
$\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg $\pi i \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu$.
 a rather emphatic way of stating that something will be true even from a particular point in time (lit. "yet from his mother's womb"). In light of the later context (1:44), the preposition غ̇к does not appear to point to the action of the verb being true only after John was out of (source) the womb (contra NIV, REB).
$\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ c$. . Possessive genitive.
aủtoṽ. Genitive of relationship.
 $\theta$ عòv aủt $\mathfrak{\omega} v$.

$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \boldsymbol{v i} \tilde{\omega} v$. Partitive genitive.
'I $\sigma \rho \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\eta} \lambda$. Genitive of relationship.

દ̀ $\pi \grave{̀}$ кúpıov. Locative. See also 1:12 on $\varepsilon$ ह́ ${ }^{\prime}$ aủtóv. tòv $\theta$ عòv. Accusative in apposition to kúpıov. av̇t $\tilde{\omega} v$. Genitive of subordination.


 $\mu$ ц่vov.
av̉兀òc. Nominative subject of $\pi \rho \circ \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon$ v́б\& $\tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$. The explicit subject pronoun shifts the focus back to John (Klein, 90, n. 53).

ย̇vढ́rtıov aútoṽ. Locative. See also 1:15. The referent of aủtoũ, the Lord Jesus, can only be derived from the broad context.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \pi \nu \varepsilon \dot{v} \mu \alpha \tau \iota$ каì $\delta v v \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon$. Probably manner rather than means (contra BDAG, 328.5.a. $\beta$ ).
'H入iov. "The spirit and power that were associated with Elijah."
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \psi a l$. Aor act inf $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$ (purpose).
$\kappa \alpha \rho \delta \dot{i} \boldsymbol{\varsigma}$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \psi \alpha \mathrm{al}$. A metonym for the "affections" of the fathers. Metonymy is a figure of speech in which one term is used in place of another with which it is associated. In the expression, "he was reading the prophet Isaiah" (Acts $8: 28$ ), the writer ("the prophet Isaiah") is used as a metonym for his writings ("the book that the prophet Isaiah wrote").
$\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \omega v$. Possessive genitive.
غ̇nì tékva. Locative. See also 1:12 on $\grave{\pi} \pi$ ’ aủtóv.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \iota \theta \varepsilon i ̃ c$. The anarthrous substantival adjective functions as the accusative direct object of an implied $\varepsilon$ ह̇ $\lrcorner \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \dot{\psi} \psi a$.
 stylistic.

Sıkaíwv. The genitive could be (1) subjective (see the translation); (2) attributive ("righteous thinking"); or (3) source ("wisdom from the righteous").
$\dot{\varepsilon} \tau о \boldsymbol{\mu} \dot{\alpha} \sigma a \mathrm{a}$. Aor act inf $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau о \not \mu \alpha ́ \zeta \omega$ (purpose).
кирі̣. Dative of advantage.
$\lambda$ aòv. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau о \not \mu \alpha \dot{\sigma \alpha a}$.
 (attributive).

##   

Kaì. On the possible significance of the choice of this conjunction, see 1:34 on $\delta \dot{\text { e. }}$
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \boldsymbol{\tau} v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
Za $\alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \frac{i \pi}{\pi} \varepsilon v$.

Katà tí $\gamma \mathbf{v} \dot{\sigma} \sigma \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{\iota}$ тои̃то. Lit. "according to what will I know
this?" This interrogative expression, which occurs only here in the NT, is also found in LXX Gen 15:8, where the context likewise involves a response to an outlandish divine promise. This form of the question may imply a stronger challenge (perhaps something like, "How can I believe this?") than Mary's question, Пथ̃ऽ हैбта। тоข̃тo (v. 34).
$\gamma \boldsymbol{v} \dot{\sigma} \sigma \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathrm{t}$. Fut mid ind 1st sg $\gamma \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \omega$.
тoṽтo. Accusative direct object of $\gamma v \dot{\omega} \sigma o \mu \alpha 1$. The antecedent is the angel's speech.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \mu$.
$\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$. Causal (see also 1:15), introducing the reason for Zechariah's skepticism concerning the angelic predictions.

عíh. Pres ind 1 st sg $\varepsilon$ ijui. In the present indicative (except $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ ), the verb $\varepsilon i \mu i$ is an enclitic (see $1: 13$ on $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \eta \sigma i \varsigma)$.
$\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta v \dot{v} \tau \eta$. Predicate nominative.
$\dot{\eta} \gamma \mathbf{v} \boldsymbol{\eta} \dot{\eta}$. Nominative subject of an implied equative verb with $\pi \rho о \beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa \nu \tau \pi \alpha$ (see below on $\pi \rho о \beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa \nu \pi \alpha)$.
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Genitive of relationship.


$\pi \rho о \beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa v i \pi \alpha$. Prf act ptc fem nom sg $\pi \rho o \beta \alpha i v \omega$. Superficially, the participle appears to be attributive, modifying $\gamma u v \dot{\eta}$. It is better, however, to understand an implied ėotiv that has been left out by ellipsis. The participle should thus be read as a perfect periphrastic (cf. 1:7; 2:36).


 каì $\varepsilon u ̉ a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda i \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a i ́ \sigma o l ~ \tau \alpha v ̃ \tau \alpha-$
 dant circumstance). On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction. Runge maintains that where more than one verb of speaking is used to introduce a speech (a "redundant quotative frame"; see Runge $\$ 7$ for a full discussion), as here, "the pragmatic effect is to accentuate a discontinuity or transition in the dialogue, thereby directing attention to the speech that follows. This usage
is most typically found in contexts where there is a change in the direction of the conversation initiated by the new speaker, or the new speaker is about to make what Levinsohn describes as 'an authoritative pronouncement'" (Runge $\$ 7.2 .1$ ). Put another way, "the choice to use a redundant second verb has the effect of slowing the discourse like a speed bump, attracting attention to what follows" (Runge $\$ 7.3 .1)$. Although Levinsohn $(2000,234)$ argues that when $\dot{\alpha} \pi о к р i v o \mu \alpha$ is used to introduce a speech it "indicates that the speaker, while responding to a verbal or non-verbal stimulus, is seeking to take control of the conversation," Runge ( $\$ 7.2 .1$ ) is likely correct in limiting the special pragmatic effects associated with áлокрivouaı to instances where it is used in conjunction with a second verb of speech.

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ่ \gamma \omega$.
av่̉ $\tilde{\omega}$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon \frac{\tilde{i} \pi \varepsilon v \text {. }}{\text {. }}$

$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} i \mu \mathrm{~L}$. Pres ind 1st sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$. On the loss of the accent, see 1:18 on عỉu.

Гa $\beta$ рı̀̀ $\lambda$. Predicate nominative.
$\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \grave{\omega} \varsigma$. Prf act ptc masc nom sg $\pi \alpha \rho i \sigma \tau \eta \mu \mathrm{~L}$ (attributive).
घ̇vढ́mıov toṽ $\theta$ عoũ. Locative. See also 1:15.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \nu$. Aor pass ind 1 st sg $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
$\lambda \alpha \lambda \tilde{\eta} \sigma a \mathrm{I}$. Aor act inf $\lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (purpose).
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \sigma \varepsilon ̀ . ~ I n d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~(s e e ~ 1: 13 ~ o n ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ~ a u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{u} \alpha \gamma \gamma \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \lambda i \boldsymbol{i} \alpha \sigma \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathbf{i}$. Aor mid inf $\varepsilon \dot{\jmath} \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda i \zeta \omega$ (purpose). On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\eta \dot{\eta}$ d́n $\boldsymbol{\sigma i}$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon \dot{3} \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda i \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a i$.
$\tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \dot{\jmath} \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda i \sigma a \sigma \theta a i$. The whole infinitival clause literally reads, "to tell the good news (of) these things to you."

##   

iסov̀. Formally, the particle is "the aor mid impv of عĩ $\delta o v$, i§oũ, except that it is accented $w$. the acute when used as a particle"
(BDAG, 468). The particle (often preceded by kai in narrative texts) is used to seize the listener's/reader's attention and/or emphasize the following statement (LN 91.13; cf. Porter 1989, 123). Levinsohn (2000, 135, n. 3) argues that a new participant introduced immediately following íסov́ "typically has a major role to play in an existing scene," while ìdoú followed by a verb introduces a "significant act" to the scene and "any participant involved is cast in a non-active role" (emphasis in original; cf. Porter 1989, 123). Marshall (208) notes that the use of iסov́ in narrative "appears to be a sign of popular story-telling."

## ع̌on. Fut ind 2nd sg $\varepsilon$ eipi.

$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \pi \tilde{\omega} v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg (future periphrastic). The future periphrastic is rare (Wallace, 648-49), but is used at least four times in Luke (see also 5:10; 21:17, 24; and possibly 17:35; 22:69). In each instance, it appears that the use of the periphrastic construction was motivated by a desire to express the idea of duration (cf. Caragounis, 158). If the simple future tense is perfective in aspect, the future periphrastic construction would serve as its imperfective counterpart (cf. Campbell 2008, 34-36).
$\delta \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v o c .}$. Pres mid ptc masc nom sg (future periphrastic; see above).
$\lambda \alpha \lambda \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \mathbf{l}$. Aor act inf $\lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega($ (complementary).
 an internally headed relative clause (see $1: 4$ on $\pi \varepsilon \rho \grave{\omega} \tilde{\omega} \nu$ катп $\chi \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \varsigma$ $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ and 3:19 on $\pi \varepsilon \rho \dot{̀} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu \tilde{\omega} \nu \varepsilon ̇ \pi o i ́ \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu \pi o v \eta \rho \tilde{\omega} \nu)$, which likely produces an intensive statement: "until the very day." The same expression occurs in 17:27.
$\gamma \varepsilon ́ v \eta \tau \alpha \mathrm{c}$. Aor mid subj 3rd sg $\gamma$ ivoual. Temporal constructions indicating "a point in the future at which a new situation is inaugurated or a standing situation is brought to an end" (Campbell 2008, 60) often make use of the aorist subjunctive (cf. the aorist subjunctive with $\varepsilon$ है $\omega \varsigma$ oṽ in 24:49).
$\tau \alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \eta \eta \tau \alpha$.
$\dot{\alpha} v \theta^{\prime} \tilde{\omega} v$. The preposition $\dot{\alpha} v \tau i$ with a genitive plural relative pronoun forms a causal idiomatic expression (see also 12:3; 19:44; Acts 12:23; 2 Thess 2:10; Culy 1989b, 72-74).


$\mu \mathbf{\mu}$. Subjective genitive.
oïtıvec. Nominative subject of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta$ ض́бov $\tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$. McKay (144) notes that "by the time of the NT some of the indefinite relative forms had fallen out of common use, and others (especially öбтı,, but only in the nominative of the masculine and feminine, and in the nominative/accusative of the neuter) were used interchangeably with the simple forms, apparently more to suit the sound and rhythm of the sentence than to signal the quality of the antecedent" (see also 7:23 on öৎ $\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha ̀ v$ and 9:48 on öऽ $\hat{\alpha} v$ ). Indeed, the so-called "indefinite relative pronoun" "is used with a definite antecedent approximately 90 percent of the time in the NT" (Culy 2004, 28; for a detailed breakdown of the use of öбтıs in the NT, see Culy 1989a, 169-70).
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma o v \tau \alpha ı$. Fut pass ind 3rd pl $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o ́ \omega$. عis tòv кaıpòv aủtũv. Temporal.

##  $\tau \tilde{\omega} \chi \rho o v i \zeta \varepsilon เ v$ ह̀v $\tau \tilde{\varphi}$ vac̣̃ av่̉óv.

ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ ci $u$ i.
ó $\lambda$ aòc. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} \nu . . . \pi \rho о \sigma \delta о к \tilde{\omega} v$.
$\pi \rho \mathbf{o \sigma \delta o к} \tilde{\omega} v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta$ oкd́ $\omega$ (imperfect periphrastic; see also $1: 10$ on $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon v \chi o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. There does not appear to be any semantic difference between this imperfect periphrastic and the imperfect verb ( $\dot{\varepsilon} \theta a \dot{\mu} \mu \alpha \zeta o v$ ) with which it is conjoined (cf. v. 22).
tòv Zaxapiav. Accusative direct object of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta$ oк $\tilde{\omega} v$.
غ̇Өaúभaऍov. Impf act ind 3rd pl $\theta a \nu \mu \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$. McKay (18) points out that a plural verb is often used when a collective noun (here, ó $\lambda$ aò $\varsigma$ ) is the implied subject, even when it follows a clause where a singular verb was used with the same subject ( $\eta \geqslant 0$ o $\lambda \alpha o ̀ \varsigma)$.
$\chi \rho o v i \zeta \varepsilon \iota v$. Pres act inf $\chi \rho \circ v i \zeta \omega$. Used with $\varepsilon \in \tau \tau \tilde{\omega}$ to denote contemporaneous time (see also $1: 8$ on iepatcúziv). When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81). It is possible, following Burk, that this is an example of a circumstantial use of $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\omega}$ plus the infinitive, a usage that "occurs
primarily in modifying verbs that imply emotion" (Burk, 96; Burk lists three other possible-though ambiguous-examples of this usage in Luke: $8: 40 ; 9: 34$; and 12:15). While such an analysis would be consistent with the common use of $\dot{\varepsilon} v$, it is equally possible that all uses of this contruction point to contemporaneous time. Note that all of the putative examples of $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\omega}$ plus the infinitive denoting means that are listed by Wallace (598) may plausibly be read as contemporaneous time as well.

غ̇v $\tau \tilde{\varphi}$ vậ. Locative. See also 1:9 on cíc tòv vaòv. aủtóv. Accusative subject of $\chi \rho \frac{v i \zeta \varepsilon ı เ v . ~}{\text {. }}$

##   $\delta \iota \dot{\mu} \mu \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \nu \kappa \omega \varphi$ ќ̧.

$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \lambda \boldsymbol{\theta} \dot{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\xi} \rho \chi o \mu a ı$ (temporal).
é $\delta$ v́vato. Impf mid ind 3rd sg סúvaual. Zechariah's inability to speak is naturally portrayed with the imperfective ov̉k $\dot{\varepsilon} \delta v ́ v a \tau o$, casting his actions as trying to speak but not being able to, something that is made clear in the second half of the verse.
$\lambda \alpha \lambda \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \mathbf{L}$. Aor act inf $\lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega($ (complementary).
av̉тoĩc. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \alpha \lambda \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha$.
кai. In the syntax, the conjunction simply introduces a coordinate clause. In terms of semantics, however, the conjoined clause introduces the result of the previous event. Titrud (250) suggests that in cases such as this, "by syntactically elevating what is logically subordinate, the author is placing more prominence (emphasis) on the clause than it would have had if introduced by a subordinating conjunction."
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd $\mathrm{pl} \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \gamma \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \omega$.
ö $\tau$. Introduces the clausal complement (ò $\boldsymbol{\pi \tau \alpha \sigma i \alpha v ~} \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\omega} \rho \alpha \kappa \varepsilon v \dot{\varepsilon} v$ $\tau \tilde{\tilde{\omega}} v a \tilde{\varphi})$ of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi(\gamma \iota v \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \omega$. Complements such as this may be thought of as introducing indirect discourse with a verb of cognition.
ò $\pi \tau \alpha \sigma \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{v}$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\omega} \rho \alpha \kappa \varepsilon v$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \omega \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$. Prf act ind 3rd sg ópá $\omega$.
દ̇v $\tau \tilde{e}$ vậ̣. Locative. See also 1:9 on عí̧ tòv vaòv.
aủtòc. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} v \ldots \delta \iota \alpha v \varepsilon v ่ \omega v$.
 and $\delta เ \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon v$ to provide a summary statement of immediately subsequent events and draw the scene to a conclusion.
 phrastic). As in verse 21, there does not appear to be any semantic difference between this imperfect periphrastic and the imperfect verb ( $\delta$ เ $\dot{\mu} \mu v \varepsilon v$ ) with which it is conjoined.
$\delta \iota \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon v$. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\delta \iota a \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega$.
$\kappa \omega \varphi$ óc. The verb $\delta \iota \alpha \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \omega$ can take a predicate adjective, as here.

##  

غ̇yéveto. Aor mid ind 3rd sg pivoual. When used within a pericope, каì غ̇үغ́veto tends to mark an immediate sequence of events (Decker, 85; cf. 1:59; 2:46; 9:29; 19:29; 24:4, 15, 30; and 1:8 on 'Eүย́veto).
$\dot{\omega} \varsigma$. Temporal. Although $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \tau \frac{\omega}{\omega} \varsigma$ followed by a finite verb occurs frequently in the LXX (Gen 27:30; Deut 5:23; Judg 2:4; 1 Sam 4:18; cf. Nolland, 1:66), the construction is limited to Luke's gospel in the NT (1:41; 2:15; 19:29; cf. 11:1).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta \sigma \alpha v$. Aor pass ind 3rd pl $\pi i \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu$.
ai $\dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho a \iota$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \dot{\pi} \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$.
 'Hpé́бov).
av่̉oṽ. Subjective genitive.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg à $\pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi о \mu \alpha 1$.
عiç tòv oî̃ov. Locative.
av่̉oṽ. Possessive genitive.

##  

 $\boldsymbol{\sigma} v \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$. The verb $\sigma \cup \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ can be used in the sense of "to become pregnant, to conceive," with a contextual marker pointing to that sense, e.g., $\dot{\varepsilon} v$
 as here, where the broad context serves that purpose.
＇E $\lambda \iota \sigma \dot{\alpha} \beta \varepsilon \tau$ ．Nominative subject of $\sigma u v \varepsilon ̇ \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon v$ ．
 like this，proper names are typically the subject and the noun in apposition is articular（Wallace，49）．
av̉兀oũ．Genitive of relationship．
$\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon ́ \kappa \rho \cup \beta \varepsilon v$ ．Impf act ind 3rd sg $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \kappa \rho u ́ \beta \omega$ ．The imperfect is used to summarize Elizabeth＇s practice over the course of the sub－ sequent five months．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha v \tau \grave{\eta} v$. Accusative direct object of $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon ́ \kappa \rho \cup \beta \varepsilon v$ ．
$\mu \tilde{\eta} v a \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \dot{v} v \tau \varepsilon$ ．Accusative indicating extent of time．
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{o v o \alpha}$ ．Pres act ptc fem nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$（attendant circum－ stance）．Attendant circumstance participles will generally match the verb they modify in aspect．Thus，aorist verbs will be modified by aorist participles（both perfective aspect），and imperfect verbs will be modified by present participles（both imperfective aspect）． There are，however，exceptional cases where the writer wants to convey the participial action as a process that is an attendant cir－ cumstance of a perfective verb or vice versa（see，e．g．，5：26）．

## 1：25 ő $兀$ Oűt 

ötı．Introduces the clausal complement（direct discourse；see also 1：25 on ő $\uparrow$ ）of $\lambda \varepsilon$ と́ $\gamma o v \sigma \alpha$ ．Levinsohn（2000，264－65）argues that when ötı is used in this way in Luke／Acts，it always signals that the speech that follows terminates or culminates a unit or sub－ unit of the narrative．For a fuller treatment of ötı recitativum，see Levinsohn 1978.
 （lit．＂the Lord has acted in this manner for me＂），points back to Elizabeth becoming pregnant．
$\mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ ．Dative of advantage．
$\pi \varepsilon \pi о і \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ ．Prf act ind 3rd sg $\pi о \iota \varepsilon ่ \omega$ ．
ки́pıos．Nominative subject of $\pi \varepsilon \pi о i \not \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$ ．

aíc．Dative of time．
غ̇п $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tilde{\delta} \delta \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\grave{\varepsilon} \varphi o \rho a ́ \omega \omega$ ．The term is used to express ＂God＇s concern for human beings＂（Fitzmyer，1：329；cf．LN
30.45-"to take special notice of something, with the implication of concerning oneself").
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} v$. Aor act inf $\dot{\alpha} \varphi \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. Given the semantics of $\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi$ о $\rho \dot{\alpha} \omega$, the infinitive could point to either purpose ("he took special notice of me in order that he might remove") or result ("he took special notice of me and thus removed").
ővعıס́́c. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon i ̃ v$. On the second accent, see $1: 13$ on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס $\varepsilon$ そ́ $\sigma$ ís.
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Possessive genitive.


## Luke 1:26-38

${ }^{26}$ In the sixth month, the angel Gabriel was sent by God to a city in Galilee called Nazareth ${ }^{27}$ to a virgin who was engaged to a man named Joseph of the family line of David; and the name of the virgin was Mary. ${ }^{28}$ And he went to her and said, "Greetings, you who are highly favored; the Lord is with you." ${ }^{29}$ Now she was troubled because of what was said and began pondering what kind of greeting this might be.
${ }^{30}$ The angel said to her, "Do not be afraid, Mary, for you have found favor with God. ${ }^{31}$ You will become pregnant and give birth to a son! And you will name him Jesus. ${ }^{32} \mathrm{He}$ will be great and will be called 'Son of the Most High'; and the Lord God will give the throne of his ancestor David to him. ${ }^{33} \mathrm{He}$ will reign over the family line of Jacob forever and his kingdom will have no end." ${ }^{34}$ And Mary said to the angel, "How will this be, since I am not sleeping with a man?" ${ }^{35}$ And the angel responded and said to her, "The Holy Spirit will come upon you and the power of the Most High will overshadow you. So then, the holy (child) who is born will be called the Son of God. ${ }^{36}$ Elizabeth, your relative . . . even she has conceived a son in her old age! Indeed, this is the sixth month for her who is called barren. ${ }^{37}$ For, no claim is impossible for God." ${ }^{38}$ Then Mary said, "I am the servant of the Lord! May it happen to me according to your word." And the angel left her.

##  


$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta$. Aor pass ind 3rd sg à $\pi \frac{\sigma}{\alpha} \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
$\dot{\delta}$ ä $\gamma \gamma^{\varepsilon} \lambda \mathbf{\lambda}$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\lambda} \eta$.
$\Gamma \alpha \beta \rho!\grave{\lambda} \lambda$. Nominative in apposition ó ö $\gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda$ oc.
$\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{o}$ тoṽ $\theta \mathbf{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{v}$. The preposition could refer to either the source (Nolland, 1:39-40, 49) or the agent of the passive verb (Wallace, 433). Many scribes showed that they understood it as agency by changing áró to the more typical útó (A C D $\Theta 33 \mathfrak{M}$ al). See also 6:18 on ả $\pi \grave{̀} \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega v$ ảka $\theta \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \omega v$.

عiç $\pi$ ó $\lambda ı v$. Locative.
$\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \Gamma \alpha \lambda_{\iota} \lambda \alpha i \alpha c$. This is a locative use of the genitive: "a city located in Galilee" (cf. Beekman and Callow, 255).
ñ. Dative of possession. Lit. "to which the name was Nazareth" (see also 1:27; 2:25; 8:41; 24:13).
ővoua. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
$\mathrm{Na} \zeta \alpha \rho \varepsilon \grave{\theta}$. Predicate nominative of a verbless equative clause.

## 1:27 $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \pi \alpha \rho \theta \varepsilon ́ v o v ~ \varepsilon ̇ \mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta \nu ~ a ̀ v \delta \rho i ̀ ~ e ̣ ̃ ~ o ̋ v o \mu \alpha ~ ' I \omega \sigma \grave{\varphi} \varphi$ ह̇ 

$\pi \rho \mathbf{o ̀ \varsigma} \pi \alpha \rho \theta \dot{\varepsilon}$ vov. Spatial, modifying $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta$ in verse 26 .
$\pi \alpha \rho \theta \dot{\varepsilon} v o v$. In light of Mary's comment in 1:34, it is best to understand this word as "virgin," which is its usual meaning (BDAG, 777; LN 9.39).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \eta \nu$. Prf pass ptc fem acc sg $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon v ่ \omega$ (attributive). $\dot{\alpha} v \delta \rho \dot{l}$. Dative complement of $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon \nu \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \eta \eta \nu$.
$\dot{\varphi}$. Dative of possession. Lit. "to whom the name was Joseph" (see also 1:26; 2:25; 8:41; 24:13).
ővoua. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
' $\mathbf{I} \omega \sigma \grave{\eta} \varphi$. Predicate nominative of a verbless equative clause.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi$ ou'kov. Source. Lit. "from the house."
$\Delta a v i \delta$. Possessive genitive.
tò ővo $\mu \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
$\tau \tilde{\varsigma} \boldsymbol{\pi} \alpha \rho \theta \dot{v} v o v$. Possessive genitive.
Mapıá $\mu$. Predicate nominative of a verbless equative clause.

## 1:28 каì $\varepsilon i ̉ \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} v \pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau \eta ̀ \eta ~ \varepsilon ~ \varepsilon i ̃ \pi \varepsilon v, ~ X a i ̃ \rho \varepsilon, ~ \kappa \varepsilon \chi \alpha \rho ı \tau \omega \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta, ~ o ́ ~$ кúpıoc $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ̀$ бoṽ.

 cumstance).
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a u ̉ \tau \grave{̀} v$. Spatial, modifying $\varepsilon$ 家 $\varepsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \kappa \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
X $\alpha i ̃ \rho \varepsilon, \kappa \varepsilon \chi \alpha \rho \iota \tau \omega \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta$. Caragounis (456) argues that the juxtaposition of two similar sounding words would have provided a pleasant rhetorical effect (cf. 8:5 on ó $\sigma \pi \varepsilon i \rho \omega \nu$ тoṽ $\sigma \pi \varepsilon i ̃ \rho a \iota ~ t o ̀ v ~$ oтópov aủtoũ).

Xaĩpe. Pres act impv 2nd sg $\chi \alpha i \rho \omega$. The imperative is used in a "stereotyped manner" and has been reduced "to an exclamation" (Wallace, 493), which can be translated, "Greetings."
$\kappa \varepsilon \chi \alpha \rho ı \tau \omega \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \eta$. Prf pass ptc fem voc sg $\chi \alpha \rho ı$ тó $\omega$ (substantival). The term is used here to designate Mary as "the recipient of divine favor" (Fitzmyer, 1:345; cf. Nolland, 1:50; BDAG, 1081), without reference to her personal worthiness (Marshall, 65): "to show kindness to someone, with the implication of graciousness on the part of the one showing such kindness" (LN 88.66).
ó кúpıoc $\mu \varepsilon \tau \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tilde{v}$. The phrase is frequent in the OT, but appears in a greeting only twice (Judg 6:12; Ruth 2:4).
ó кúpıs. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau$ à $\sigma 0$ ṽ. Association.

##  àб $\pi \alpha \sigma \mu$ òs oṽ̃o¢.

$\dot{\eta}$. Nominative subject of $\delta \iota \varepsilon \tau \alpha \rho \alpha \dot{\chi} \theta \eta$. Particularly within recorded dialogue, a shift in speaker is often marked simply through the use of a nominative article that agrees in gender with its referent, followed by $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ (cf. Wallace, 211-13; see also 3:13; 4:40, 43; 5:33; 7:40, 43; 8:10, 21, 24, 30, 48, 52; 9:13, 19, 21, 59; 10:26, 27, 29, 37; 11:46; $12: 14 ; 13: 8,23 ; 14: 16 ; 15: 27,31 ; 16: 6,6,7,30 ; 17: 37 ; 18: 21,27,29$, 41; 19:34; 20:17, 24, 25; 21:8; 22:9, 10, 33, 34, 35, 38, 38, 57, 70, 71; 23:3, 5, 21, 22, 23; 24:19; cf. 8:56; 9:45; 15:28; 20:5; 22:25). Levinsohn $(2000,219)$ argues that in conversations where each new speaker and addressee is drawn from the speakers or addressees of previous
speeches in the conversation and the participants of the conversation alternate as speakers, this construction serves to mark the material that follows as an intermediate step in the speech or narrative. Here, then, "Mary's non-verbal response to the angel's greeting is not an end in itself; rather, it is but an intermediate step en route to what the angel has to say next. In contrast, the orienters of the speeches in verses 34,35 , and 38 a [i.e., the clauses introducing those speeches] all begin with a verb, implying that they are to be viewed as foreground events in their own right" (Levinsohn 2000, 219).

$\delta \iota \varepsilon \tau \alpha \rho \alpha \dot{\chi} \boldsymbol{\eta} \eta$. Aor pass ind 3rd sg $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \omega$.
 sive translation, see 1:59 on $\varepsilon$ ع́к $\dot{\lambda} \lambda o u v$.
$\pi \mathbf{0} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{o ̀} \boldsymbol{c}$. Predicate adjective of $\varepsilon$ हin $\eta$. This interrogative adjective introduces an indirect question (cf. 8:9; 18:36; 22:23).

عilך. Pres act opt 3 rd sg $\varepsilon$ í $\mu$ i. Wallace (483) notes that the optative occurs "in indirect questions after a secondary tense" and "substitutes for an indicative or subjunctive of the direct question." Only Luke uses it in the NT (1:62; 3:15; 6:11; 8:9; 9:46; 15:26; 18:36; 22:23; Acts 8:20; 10:17; 20:16; 21:33; see also McKay, 110).


##  $\chi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho เ v \pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \tilde{\omega} \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\omega}$.

$\varepsilon \tilde{\kappa} \pi \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{\mathbf{o}} \mathfrak{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{c}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \tilde{i} \pi \varepsilon \nu$.
av่ากี่. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon$ โ̃ $\pi \varepsilon v$.
بоßоṽ. Pres mid impv 2nd sg $\varphi$ oßéouaı (prohibition). On the force of the present imperative, see 1:13 on $\varphi o \beta$ oũ.

Mapıá $\mu$. Vocative.
$\varepsilon \tilde{v} \rho \varepsilon \varsigma . . . \chi \chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota v$. The expression need not imply that the divine favor is the result of human effort (cf. Nolland, 1:51; Bovon, 1:50).
$\varepsilon \tilde{̃} \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$. Aor act ind 2nd sg عủ
үà $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).
$\chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota v$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \tilde{\sim} \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$.
$\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tilde{\omega}$. The sense may be locative ("favor in God's presence"), but more likely $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀$ introduces "a participant whose
viewpoint is relevant to an event＂（LN 90．20）．Thus，＂favor in God＇s estimation．＂

##  ővo $\alpha \alpha$ aủtoṽ＇İбoṽv．

íSoù．See 1：20．
$\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \psi \eta$ ．Fut mid ind 2nd sg $\sigma u \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ ．See also 1：24 on $\sigma u v \varepsilon ̇ \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon$ ．
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \sigma \tau \boldsymbol{\rho}$ ．Locative．
$\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \underline{n}$ ．Fut mid ind 2nd sg $\tau i \kappa \tau \omega$ ．
viòv．Accusative direct object of t $\varepsilon$ ह̇！ ．
 Jesus．＂
 imperatival force．

тò ővoua．Accusative direct object of ка入દ́бعıц．
aủtoṽ．Possessive genitive．
＇İбо⿱丷天ข．Complement in an object－complement double accusa－ tive construction．


oṽtoc．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ हैбтal．The referent is viòv in verse 31.

हैбтаı．Fut ind 3rd sg عìui．
$\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \alpha c$ ．Predicate adjective．
viòs．Complement in a subject－complement double nomina－ tive construction．In a passive construction，the direct object of an active clause becomes the subject（compare＂The dog bit $m e$＂with ＂I was bitten by the dog＂）．When a double accusative clause is pas－ sivized，both the direct object（now subject of the passive verb）and its complement take the nominative case．Thus，in the clause，＂I call him David，＂both＂him＂（direct object）and＂David＂（complement） would be accusative case．But in the clause，＂He is called David，＂ both＂He＂（now the subject）and＂David＂（now the complement of a subject）will be nominative case（see Culy 2009，83－86）．
$\dot{\mathbf{v}} \boldsymbol{\psi} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{v}$ ．Genitive of relationship．The anarthrous adjective is substantival．On the superlative force of the adjective，see Wallace， 301－5（cf．Porter 1994，122－23）．Luke uses the superlative form of this adjective exclusively in reference to God（1：32，35，76；2：14； 6：35；8：28；19：38；Acts 7：48：16：17）．
$\kappa \lambda \eta \theta \eta \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha$ ．Fut pass ind 3rd sg ка入 $\bar{\varepsilon} \omega$ ．
 Nolland（1：52）argues that the phrase is an＂ellipsis to which is to be supplied $\kappa \alpha \theta \tilde{\eta} \sigma \theta$ aı $\varepsilon \pi i$ or a similar form．＂In this case，$\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon \iota$ would be part of a causative construction．No ellipsis，however，is required to make sense of the clause when tò $\theta$ póvov is understood as a metonym（see below）：＂God will give the ruling authority of David his father to him．＂
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ ．Fut act ind 3rd sg $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$ ．
av̉̀ $\tilde{\text { ．}}$ ．Dative indirect object of $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon$ ．On the word order，see 1：2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu i \pi v$.

кט́pıoc．Nominative subject of $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon$.
ó $\theta \varepsilon \mathbf{c} \boldsymbol{c}$ ．Nominative in apposition to kúpıo̧．
tòv $\theta$ póvov．Accusative direct object of $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon$ ．Here，$\theta$ póvov is a metonym（see 1：17 on карঠíac）for＂ruling authority．＂
$\Delta a v i \delta$ ．Possessive genitive．
тoṽ $\pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s$. Genitive in apposition to $\Delta \alpha v i \delta$ ．
av่̉าoṽ．Genitive of relationship．

##  $\beta a \sigma ı \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha v ̉ \tau o v ̃ ~ o v ̉ \kappa ~ ह ै \sigma \tau \alpha ı ~ \tau غ ่ \lambda o \varsigma . ~$

$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon v ́ \sigma \varepsilon เ$ ．Fut act ind 3rd sg $\beta a \sigma ı \lambda \varepsilon v i \omega$ ．
ènì tòv oĩ̃ov．The PP functions as a＂marker of power，author－ ity，control of or over someone or someth［ing］＂（BDAG，365．9）．
＇Iaкஸ̀ß．Possessive genitive．
عíc $\boldsymbol{\tau o v ̀ 夕 ~ a i w ̃ v a c . ~ A ~ t e m p o r a l ~ i d i o m ~ d e n o t i n g , ~ " u n l i m i t e d ~ d u r a - ~}$ tion of time，with particular focus upon the future＂（LN 67．95）．The
 emphatic statement of the lasting／eternal nature of his kingdom．

av̉тoṽ．Subjective genitive（see also 4：43）．
モ̌бтаı．Fut ind 3rd sg eiui．
$\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \mathbf{\lambda}$ ．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ हैбтal．
 äv $\delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \alpha$ ov̉ $\gamma เ \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa \omega$;
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\delta \grave{\varepsilon}$. Although the context is analogous, Mary's question is introduced with $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$, while Zechariah's (v. 18) is introduced with kai. The choice of conjunction suggests that Mary's question is a significant development in the narrative, precipitating the important declaration by the angel in verse 35, while Zechariah's question does not contribute to the advancement of the primary storyline. In other words, the choice of conjunctions reflects the fact that Mary should have asked her question, while Zechariah should not have done so.

Mapıà $\mu$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i ̃ \pi \varepsilon v$.

$\Pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$ हैбтаı тоṽто. Zechariah questions the veracity of the angel's announcement (Katò ti $\gamma v \dot{\sigma} \sigma o \mu \alpha ı ~ \tau o v ̃ \tau o, ~ v . ~ 18) ; ~ M a r y ~ m e r e l y ~ a s k s ~$ how the announcement will come about.
$\Pi \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{c}$. Introduces a direct question. The interrogative adverb


हैбтаı. Fut ind 3rd sg ei $\mu \mathrm{i}$.
тoṽтo. Nominative subject of हैбтaı.
غ̇л $\varepsilon$ ì. Causal.
$\alpha \ddot{\alpha} \delta \rho \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\gamma \iota v \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \omega$.
$\gamma \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\gamma \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \omega$. Lit. "I am not knowing a man." Wallace (533) cites this as an example of the "perfective present." While the context indicates that Mary has never "known a man," the verb tense itself does not indicate this. Indeed, the imperfective aspect may be portraying Mary's current practice of celibacy (see the translation).



 circumstance; see also $1: 19$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ окрı $\theta$ غic). On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av่̉าก̃. Dative indirect object of عĩ̃દv.

 under the influence of the LXX, the verbs refer to the "eschatological coming of the Spirit that will cause the wilderness to become a fruitful field" (cf. Isa 32:15) and associate Mary's experience with "the dramatic way in which God's glory and the cloud marking his presence came down upon the completed tabernacle" (cf. Exod 40:35), respectively. He also argues strongly that neither verb "has ever been used in relation to sexual activity or even more broadly in connection with the conception of a child" (1989, 54; cf. Fitzmyer, 1:351; Marshall, 70; Bovon, 1:52).



ט́ $\psi \mathbf{i} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \mathbf{\tau} \mathbf{v}$. Genitive of source.
غ̇ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma \kappa เ \alpha ́ \sigma \varepsilon ı . ~ F u t ~ a c t ~ i n d ~ 3 r d ~ s g ~ દ ̇ \pi ı \sigma \kappa ı a ́ \zeta \omega . ~}$
 with both accusative (9:34; Matt 17:5) and dative (1:35; Mark 9:7; Acts $5: 15$ ) modifiers in the NT. In the LXX, it is followed by a PP with è $\pi i$ twice (Exod 40:35; Ps 139:8), and modified by a dative complement in Ps 90:4. The fact that Matthew and Luke apparently change Mark's dative to an accusative in the parallel passage suggests that the dative and accusative were used interchangeably.
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\iota}$. The inferential conjunction is an emphatic marker usually denoting that the inference is self-evident (LN 89.47; cf. BDAG, 250).

тò $\gamma \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\omega} \dot{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{v o v} \boldsymbol{v}$ ä $\gamma \mathbf{\iota} \boldsymbol{o v}$. This entire phrase should likely be viewed as the nominative subject of $\kappa \lambda \eta \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \alpha \iota$, with tò $\alpha \not \gamma \iota \circ$ being a substantival adjective ("the holy one"), which is modified by an attributive participle (on the word order, see 1:1 on $\pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho о \varphi о \rho \eta \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon v \omega \nu$ ). The neuter gender is likely the result of an implied neuter noun for "child" ( тó тغ́кvov, or perhaps tó $\beta \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi o \varsigma ;$ see Fitzmyer, 1:351; Nolland, 1:55). There are, however, other possible analyses. Fitzmyer (1:351) argues that öplov functions as the predicate of an implied form of $\varepsilon i \mu i$ i: "the one to be born will
be holy." Such an analysis, however, should be rejected, since it results in an equative clause immediately followed by a finite verb: "the one to be born will be holy will be called. . . ." Others (e.g., Plummer, 25; Nolland, $1: 55$; Bovon, $1: 52$ ) imply that the participle
 functioning as the complement in a subject-complement double nominative construction (see 1:32 on viòs). In this analysis, viòs would be in apposition to ä $\gamma$ เov: "The one who is born will be called holy, the Son of God" (cf. Reiling and Swellengrebel, 60). The word order, however, with ä $\gamma$ lov and viòs separated by the verb, makes this unlikely.
$\gamma^{\varepsilon} v v \dot{\omega} \mu \varepsilon v o v$. Pres pass ptc neut nom sg $\gamma \varepsilon v v \dot{\alpha} \omega$ (attributive, but see above).
$\kappa \lambda \eta \theta \eta \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg ка入 $\bar{\varepsilon} \omega$.
viòs. Complement in a subject-complement double nominative construction (but see above).
$\theta \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ ũ. Genitive of relationship.

##   отвipạ.

íoù. See 1:20.
'E\ıóáßer. The nominative noun introduces the topic of what follows. In a topic construction, the referent that is in focus is placed at the beginning of the sentence. If the topic has a syntactic relationship to the clause that follows, it is generally placed in the case it would bear in that clause and then picked up with a resumptive demonstrative pronoun within that clause (here, aủ $\grave{̀}$ ). Here, the use of the topic construction preceded by íoov and followed by a kaì before the resumptive pronoun makes for a very forceful expression. Nominative topics are typically referred to as pendent or hanging nominatives, or as examples of left-dislocation.
 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ү $ү \vee \grave{̀}$.
oov. Genitive of relationship.
каi. Here, "a marker of an additive relation which is not coordinate" (LN 89.93).
$\alpha u ̉ \tau \grave{\eta}$. Nominative subject of $\sigma u v \varepsilon i \lambda \eta \varphi \varepsilon v$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} v \boldsymbol{v} i \lambda \eta \varphi \varepsilon v$. Prf act ind 3rd sg $\sigma u \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$. See also 1:24 on $\sigma u v \dot{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \beta v$.
viòv. Accusative direct object of $\sigma u v \varepsilon i \lambda \eta \varphi \varepsilon v$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\eta} \rho \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Temporal.
aủtŋ̃c. Possessive genitive.
 statement.
oũ̃oc. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ह̇бтì.
$\mu \grave{\nu}$ どктос. Predicate nominative.
غ̇бтìv. Pres ind 3rd sg عiцí. Although forms of $\varepsilon$ íhí, except $\varepsilon$ ĩ, are enclitic in the present indicative, when a disyllabic enclitic follows a word that has an acute accent on the penult, it retains its accent (Smyth, $\S \$ 183 . d, 187 . e$; see also 1:13 on $\eta$ j $\delta \dot{\eta} \eta \sigma i \varsigma ;$ Carson 1985, 47-50; Culy 2004, 12-13).
av่̉กี̃. Dative of reference.
$\kappa \alpha \lambda o v \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta!$. Pres pass ptc fem dat sg $\kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (attributive).
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \rho \underline{a}$. Complement in a subject-complement double dative construction. Since the conceptual subject of the passive verb is dative (av̉tñ), the complement must bear the same case (see 1:32 on vióc; Culy 2009, 87-91).

## 

ötı. The ötı clause provides a reason for the astounding news of Elizabeth's pregnancy, and indirectly also addresses why Mary can trust Gabriel's words regarding her own imminent pregnancy (cf. Reiling and Swellengrebel, 62; Marshall, 71-72).
$\dot{\alpha} \delta v v a \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Fut act ind 3rd sg á $\delta u v a \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. Lit. "every saying will not be impossible."
$\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}$ тoṽ $\theta \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v}$. The preposition functions as a "marker of one who originates or directs" (BDAG, 756.2). Wallace (433) cites this passage as an example of the rare use of rapá plus genitive to express ultimate agency. Marshall (72) notes that similar wording
 as in LXX 2 Chr 14:10, the preposition is used with the dative rather than the genitive. In LXX Deut 17:8, a similar construction uses à $\pi$ ó with the genitive. Elsewhere, we also find a simple dative
with ả $\delta u v a \tau \varepsilon ́ \omega(L X X$ Job 10:13; 42:2). A similar construction, using $\varepsilon \in v \dot{́} \pi \iota o v$ to denote "in the opinion of" occurs in, e.g., LXX Zech 8:6. The fact that there is extensive manuscript support for $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}$ $\tau \underset{\sim}{\tilde{\omega}} \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\omega}\left(\aleph^{c} A C K \Delta \Theta \Pi \Psi 33 \mathfrak{R} f^{13} p m\right)$ as well as for $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha}$ to $\tilde{v}$ $\theta \varepsilon o v ̃\left(\aleph^{*} \mathrm{~B} D \mathrm{~L} W \Xi 565 p c\right.$ ) suggests that the two may have been used interchangeably. The same may be true of the simple dative, which is found in Codex 788. Taking rapà toṽ $\theta \varepsilon o v ̃$ as original, Nolland (1:40) suggests that many manuscripts "replace a Semitic genitive . . . with the more usual dative."
$\pi \tilde{\alpha} v \hat{\rho} \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \delta v v a \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma$.

##  

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\tau} v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \operatorname{sg} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
Mapıá $\mu$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \tilde{i} \pi \varepsilon v$.
'I反ov̀ $\mathfrak{\eta} \boldsymbol{\delta o v} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\rho} \mathbf{i o v}$. Lit. "Behold! The servant of the Lord."
'ISov̀. See 1:20.
$\dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{o v} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\eta}$. Nominative subject of a nominal clause (see 5:12 on $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta \eta \rho$ ). When used for self-identification this term highlights the speaker's acknowledgment of his or her humble status vis-à-vis the addressee. Marshall (72) notes that $\delta o \tilde{\lambda} \lambda$ os was "used by men in addressing their superiors, especially by righteous men addressing God (1 Sa. 1:11; 25:41; 2 Sa. 9:6; 2 Ki. 4:16)."

кvрiov. Genitive of relationship.
$\gamma$ ह́voıtó. Aor mid opt 3rd sg үivouaı. The optative is used here to express a prayer. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \eta \sigma i c$.
$\mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$. Dative of advantage.
$\boldsymbol{\kappa \alpha \tau \grave { \alpha }} \tau \mathbf{o ̀} \hat{\rho} \tilde{\eta} \mu \dot{\mu}$. Standard. On the second accent, see $1: 13$ on $\dot{\eta}$ ঠ́̇ๆбiく.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Subjective genitive.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{sg} \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi о \mu \alpha \mathrm{t}$.

$\dot{\mathbf{o}} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \gamma \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{o s}$. Nominative subject of $\alpha \pi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon \nu$.

## Luke 1:39-56

${ }^{39}$ In those days, Mary quickly hurried off to the hill country, to a city of Judah. ${ }^{40}$ (There) she entered Zechariah's house and greeted

Elizabeth. ${ }^{41}$ When Elizabeth heard Mary's greeting, the baby jumped in her womb, and Elizabeth was filled with the Holy Spirit. ${ }^{42}$ She exclaimed with a loud shout, "Blessed are you among women and blessed is the fruit of your womb! ${ }^{43}$ Why has this happened to me that the mother of my Lord should come to me? ${ }^{44}$ For when the sound of your greeting reached my ears, the baby jumped for joy in my womb. ${ }^{45}$ Blessed is she who believed that the things that have been spoken to her from the Lord will be fulfilled."
${ }^{46}$ Mary said, "My soul magnifies the Lord, ${ }^{47}$ and my spirit has rejoiced in God my Savior, ${ }^{48}$ because he has looked upon the humble state of his servant. Indeed, from now on, all generations will consider me blessed, ${ }^{49}$ because the Mighty One has done great things for me! Holy is his name! ${ }^{50}$ From one generation to the next, his mercy extends to those who fear him!"
${ }^{51}$ "He has produced strength with his arm; he has scattered those who are arrogant in the thinking of their heart. ${ }^{52} \mathrm{He}$ has brought down rulers from (their) thrones and has lifted up the lowly; ${ }^{53}$ he has filled those who are hungry with good things and has sent those who are rich away empty. ${ }^{54} \mathrm{He}$ has come to the aid of Israel his servant, remembering mercy- ${ }^{55}$ just as he had said to our ancestors-for Abraham and his descendants forever."
${ }^{56}$ Then Mary stayed with her for about three months, and (then) returned to her home.

##  

 went . . . with haste." Culy and Parsons (161) have suggested that
 Semitic construction. The Hebrew verb םוּק (lit. "arise"; Greek, $\left.\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \dot{\sigma} \tau \eta \theta_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ was sometimes used as a helping verb. In the phrase (lit. "arise, go!") in Jonah 1:2, for example, םוּלֵ םוּק appears to carry functional rather than semantic value, adding a connotation of urgency to the second verb (cf. Andersen, 57)." This may be the case here as well, particularly given the use of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi o v \delta \tilde{\eta} c$. It is also possible, though, that the function is not to convey haste but rather to highlight the onset of a journey.
 stance；see above）．

Mapıà $\mu$ ．Nominative subject of દ̇лорєv́Өŋ．
 temporal context for what follows within the general time frame of the preceding events．
 ＂Deponency＂in the Series Introduction．

$\mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \pi \mathbf{0} \mathbf{0} \delta \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ ．Manner．
عís $\pi \mathbf{o ̀} \lambda ı v$ ．Locative．
＇Iov́ $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{a}$ ．This is a locative use of the genitive：＂a city located in Judah＂（cf．Beekman and Callow，255）．

##  ＇Е入ıб⿱㇒日勺 $\beta \varepsilon \tau$ ．


عís tòv oĩkov．Locative．
Zaxapiov．Possessive genitive．






There are several features in verse 41 that suggest that Luke was portraying what follows as a particularly significant development in the narrative．First，in constructions with $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\gamma} v \varepsilon \tau$ temporal expression，the subsequent main verb（here ह̇бкi $\rho \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ） introduces the specific circumstance for the following foreground events（Levinsohn 2000，178；see also 1：8 on＇E $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ह́veto）．Second， the use of the full noun phrase $\dot{\eta}{ }^{\prime} E \lambda_{\iota} \sigma \dot{\alpha} \beta \varepsilon \tau$ in verse 41 rather than a pronoun is another highlighting device，as is the full noun phrase $\tau \tilde{\varrho} \varsigma$ Mapiac．Finally，Luke has used a tail－head construc－ tion in verses 40－41，i．e．，he repeats the reference to Mary greeting Elizabeth in both verses．＂This repetition has the rhetorical effect of slowing down the story prior to the reporting of a particularly
significant event，viz．，the babe leaping in Elizabeth＇s womb （v．41b），which leads to Elizabeth＇s exclamation in v．41a［sic］＂ （Levinsohn 2000，198）．
ėүย̇veto．Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivouaı．See also 1：8 on＇Eүદ́veto．
$\dot{\omega} \varsigma$ ．Temporal．Although $̇$ é $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ veto $\dot{\omega} \varsigma$ followed by a finite verb occurs frequently in the LXX（Gen 27：30；Deut 5：23；Judg 2：4； 1 Sam 4：18；cf．Nolland， $1: 66$ ），the construction is limited to Luke＇s gospel in the NT（1：23；2：15；19：29；cf．11：1）．
ŋ゙коvбとv．Aor act ind 3rd sg àкоv́ш．

т $\mathfrak{c} \varsigma$ Mapiac．Subjective genitive．


тò $\beta \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \boldsymbol{\rho}$ ．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ह̇бкi $\rho \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ．
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \underline{1}$ коı入iạ．Locative．
av̉тñc．Possessive genitive．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta$ ．Aor pass ind 3rd sg $\pi i \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu$ ．
$\pi \nu \varepsilon \dot{\jmath} \mu \alpha \tau \mathbf{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{o v}$ ．Genitive of content．




$\kappa \rho \alpha v \gamma \tilde{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ．In terms of syntax，dative of instrument．In terms of semantics，the manner in which she shouted（cf．4：33；8：28； 19：37；23：46；Acts 7：57，60；8：7）．

عiँ $\pi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
 speech are almost all verbless clauses，which is typical when $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha ́ \rho เ o \varsigma ~ o r ~ a ~ p a r t i c i p i a l ~ f o r m ~ o f ~ \varepsilon u ̉ \lambda o \gamma \varepsilon ́ \omega ~ o c c u r s ~ a s ~ t h e ~ p r e d i c a t e ~$ of an equative construction．Note also the similar language in LXX Judg 5：24：$\varepsilon u ̉ \lambda o \gamma \eta \theta \varepsilon i ́ \eta ~ غ ̇ \kappa ~ \gamma u v a ı \kappa \tilde{\omega} v \operatorname{Ia\eta } \lambda \gamma u v \eta ̀$ X $\alpha \beta \varepsilon \rho$ toṽ Kıvaiou，


Eủ入o $\gamma \eta \mu \varepsilon \dot{v} \eta \eta$ ．Prf pass ptc fem nom sg عủ入o $\gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ ．Wallace（618） notes that＂The perfect（passive）participle ．．．especially seems to function as a predicate participle，＂here as the predicate of a verb－ less equative clause．
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{v}$. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.

$\varepsilon \dot{\lambda} \lambda \mathbf{o} \eta \eta \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v o c$. . Prf pass ptc masc nom sg $\varepsilon \dot{\lambda} \lambda o \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (predicate of a verbless equative clause).
$\mathbf{o} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\jmath} \mathbf{c}$. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.

oov. Possessive genitive.
 غ̀ $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} ;$
$\pi \mathbf{o} \theta \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\mu o t} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ renders the question: "And who am I . . . ?"
$\pi \mathbf{o} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v}$. The adverb functions as an "interrogative expression of cause or reason" (BDAG, 838.3; cf. LN 89.38) and introduces a direct question.
$\mu \mathbf{o l}$. Dative of advantage.
тoṽтo. Nominative subject in a verbless equative clause.
iva. Introduces a clause that is epexegetical to toṽto.

$\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ है $\rho о \mu \alpha ı$.
тои̃ кขрiov. Genitive of relationship.
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Genitive of subordination.
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ̇ \mu \varepsilon ́ . ~ L o c a t i v e . ~$


ídoù. See 1:20.
$\gamma$ à $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15), introducing the reason that Elizabeth knew that Mary was pregnant with the Messiah.
$\dot{\omega}$. Temporal.
غ̇ $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ éveto. Aor mid ind 3rd sg $\gamma$ ivoual.
ŋो $\varphi \omega v \grave{\eta}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ र̇éveto. As Plummer (100) notes, Luke is fond of using $\varphi \omega v \dot{\prime}$ as the subject of $\gamma$ ivoual (see also 3:22; 9:35, 36; Acts 2:6; 7:31; 10:13; 19:34).
$\tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$ व̇ $\sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \mu \boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Epexegetical genitive or genitive of source.
oov. Subjective genitive.

عic $\tau \mathbf{\alpha}$ à $\tilde{\omega} \tau \dot{\alpha}$. Locative. The idiom occurs frequently in the LXX (Gen 23:13; 1 Sam 8:21; Isa 5:9) and occasionally in Luke (9:44; Acts 11:22). On the second accent on $\tilde{\omega} \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$, see $1: 13$ on $\dot{\eta} \delta \varepsilon ́ \eta \sigma i ́ c . ~$
$\mu \mathbf{\nu}$. Possessive genitive.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \kappa i \rho \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg бкıртд́ $\omega$.
غ̇v $\mathfrak{a} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \iota \dot{\alpha} \sigma \varepsilon ı$. Manner.
тò $\beta \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \mathbf{\rho}$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \kappa і \rho \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$.
غ̀v $\tau \underline{̃}$ коı入iạ. Locative.
$\mu \mathrm{m}$. Possessive genitive.


$\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \alpha \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Predicate adjective of a verbless equative clause.
$\dot{\eta} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \dot{v} \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha$. Aor act ptc fem nom sg $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v ่ \omega$ (substantival). Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. The conjunction likely introduces the clausal complement of $\dot{\eta} \pi / \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \dot{\sigma} \alpha \sigma \alpha$ (Nolland, 1:68; Klein, 111, n. 52) rather than the cause of Mary's blessing (cf. Fitzmyer, 1:365).
 fulfillment will be with respect to the things that were spoken to her from the Lord."

$\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \iota \varsigma$. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
тoĩc $\lambda \varepsilon \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} \lambda \eta \mu \varepsilon ́ v o t c . ~ P r f ~ p a s s ~ p t c ~ n e u t ~ d a t ~ p l ~ \lambda a \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega ~(s u b s t a n t i v a l) . ~$ Dative of reference.
av̉тñ. Dative indirect object of тoĩc $\lambda \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \mu \varepsilon$ voıc.
$\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}$ кvpiov. The use of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha{ }_{\alpha}$ with the passive participle makes it possible that the preposition is introducing the agent of the preposition (cf. 1:37 on $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}$ toũ $\theta \varepsilon o v ̃$ ). The fact that the Lord was not the actual speaker, however, makes source perhaps more likely (cf. Bovon, 1:59, who claims that the use of this preposition instead of ánó implies mediation by the angel).

## 

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
Mapıá $\mu$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$. A handful of Old Latin
manuscripts (a bl) substitute ' ${ } \lambda^{\prime} \iota \sigma \dot{\alpha} \beta \varepsilon \tau$ for Mapıá $\mu$. For a discussion of this interesting variant, see Metzger, 109; Fitzmyer, 1:365-66; and Nolland, 1:68.

Meүa入úveı. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\mu \varepsilon \gamma a \lambda u ́ v \omega$.
$\dot{\eta} \psi v \chi \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{0 v}$. Synecdoche for "I." Synecdoche is a figure of speech in which one term is used in place of another with which it is associated. Unlike metonymy (see 1:17 on kapסiac), synecdoche specifically involves a part-whole relationship. Here, a part of the speaker, i.e., "my soul," is used to refer to the whole.
ŋ̀ $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$. Nominative subject of Me $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \lambda$ úveı.
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Possessive genitive.
тòv кúpıov. Accusative direct object of Me $\gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \lambda$ úveı.

## 

$\dot{\eta} \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda i \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \dot{\alpha} \omega$. There has been much debate regarding Luke's use of the aorist tense here (cf. 3:22; 7:35; 15:24). Fitzmyer ( $1: 366$ ) translates the aorist as an English present, calling it a "timeless aorist," noting that it is parallel with $\mu \varepsilon \gamma a \lambda$ úveı in the previous verse (cf. BDF $\$ 333.2$ and Porter 1989, 131-33, who regard the timeless Semitic perfect as having influenced the aorist). Nolland (1:69) regards it as ingressive. Plummer (31-33) translates the aorist tenses in 1:47-55 as English perfects (with the exception of $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ in 1:55), noting that in late Greek the distinction between the two tenses had become less sharp. He reads the six aorist tenses in 1:51-53 as proleptic, speaking of the future as already past (cf. Wallace, 563). Bovon (1:64) suggests that the aorist tenses in this passage may be ingressive, but believes a final solution is impossible. Campbell $(2007,125)$ may be correct in suggesting that such uses of the aorist could simply be for "perfective contrast" with the dominant imperfect aspect (present tense) in reported speech.

тò $\pi \nu \varepsilon \tilde{v} \mu \dot{\alpha}$. Nominative subject of $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \gamma \lambda \lambda i \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$. On the second accent, see $1: 13$ on $\dot{\eta} \delta \varepsilon ́ \eta \sigma i c$.
$\mu \mathbf{\mu}$. Possessive genitive.
غ̇nı̀ $\tau \tilde{\omega} \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\omega} \tilde{\omega}$. Causal.
$\tau \tilde{\varphi} \sigma \omega \tau \tilde{\rho} \rho \dot{1}$. Dative in apposition to $\tau \tilde{\varphi} \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\omega}$. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס $\dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ic.
$\mu \mathbf{o v}$. Objective genitive.
 $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ ànò toṽ võv $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho เ o v ̃ \sigma i v \mu \varepsilon \pi \tilde{a} \sigma \alpha 1$ ai $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha i$,
ötı. Introduces a causal clause.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ ह̇ı $\beta \lambda \varepsilon ́ \pi \omega \omega$.
 state of low status, with the probable implication of humility" (LN 87.60).
$\tau \eta ̃ \varsigma \delta o u ́ \lambda \eta c$. Subjective genitive.
av̉тoṽ. Objective or possessive genitive.
ídov̀. See 1:20.
$\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$. The conjunction is best viewed as broadly strengthening the assertions in verses 46-47 (see also 1:15), though it may be possible to treat it more specifically as inferential and translate "So, from now on..."
ànò toũ vũv. Temporal. The article functions as a nominalizer (also known as a "substantivizer")-a word (or affix) that changes the following word, phrase, or clause into a substantive. The case of the nominalizer is determined by its syntactic role in the sentence. Thus, here the article changes the adverb vũv into the object of the preposition àrò.
 see $1: 13$ on $\grave{\eta} \delta \dot{~} \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ic. The verb means "to regard someone as happy or fortunate in view of favorable circumstances" (LN 25.120).
$\mu \varepsilon$. Accusative direct object of $\mu$ ккрьои̃бiv. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{v} v$.
$\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \iota$ ai $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha i$. Nominative subject of $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho เ о \tilde{\sigma} \sigma i v$.
 av̉тoṽ,
ötı. Introduces a causal clause.
غ̇лоínoźv. Aor act ind 3rd sg rooź $\omega$. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס $\dot{\eta} \eta \sigma i$.
$\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{o}$. Dative of advantage. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu i ̃ v$.
$\mu \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha$. The anarthrous substantival adjective functions as the accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi$ oíךのغ́v.

кai. It is unclear whether the conjunction introduces the second of three conjoined clauses that together form a compound ö ot clause (which would require changing the period after Suvatós to a comma), or it serves to introduce a new main clause. Given the semantics of this clause, ä $\gamma$ เov tò ővo $\mu \alpha$ aủtoṽ, it seems less likely that it is part of a large causal construction (thus the translation).
äpıov. Predicate adjective of a verbless equative clause.
tò ővoua. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
av่̉าov. Possessive genitive.
 av̉tóv.

кaì. See verse 49 on kaì.
 Lit. "His mercy is for generations and generations to those who fear him."
tò ë̀zoc. Nominative subject of an implied equative verb.
av่̉oṽ. Subjective genitive.
 lasts for all generations"), advantage ("his mercy benefits all generations"), or goal ("his mercy extends to every generation").
$\gamma^{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon$ àc кaì $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha ̀ c$. Likely an idiom for "all generations" (cf. the
 will depend on how the whole PP is understood.
 tival). Dative of advantage. Louw and Nida (53.58) cite this passage as an example of the following sense: "to have profound reverence and respect for deity, with the implication of awe bordering on fear." The tendency to neuter the verb $\varphi o \beta$ ćo $\mu \alpha$ and the noun ¢óßoc of a genuine sense of "fear," when it is used in relation to believers' attitude toward God, likely flows from presuppositions that over-emphasize God's imminence at the expense of his tran-
 is very clear that Paul is speaking of "fear" that stems from the sure knowledge of being held accountable by the Lord (5:10), rather
than "reverence." While fear of condemnation is ruled out (1 John 4:17-18), fear toward him to whom we must all give an account (Rom 14:12) remains. Both the verb $\varphi \circ \beta \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ and the noun $\varphi o ́ \beta o \varsigma$ are thus often correctly rendered using the word "fear."

 ¢ávovs סıavoiạ карסía̧ aủtẽvv.
 with his arm."
'Eлоíqбеv кра́тос. Nolland (1:71) notes that this is not "natural Greek and is not found in the LXX, but reflects the Hebrew idiom השטע ליה, which is found in Pss 118:15, 16; 60:14; 108:14."

кра́тос. Accusative neuter singular direct object of 'Епоínбєv.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \beta \rho a x i o v i$. Instrumental. The image may be an allusion to LXX Ps 88:11 (Fitzmyer, 1:368). Metonymy (see 1:17 on карסiac) for "strength." This anthropomorphic way of referring to God is common in the LXX (Exod 32:11; Deut 3:24; 4:34; Ps 70:18; Isa 30:30; 52:10).
av̉тoṽ. Possessive genitive.
$\delta \iota \varepsilon \sigma \kappa$ о́ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \iota \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \kappa о \rho \pi i \zeta \omega$.
ví $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon \rho \eta \varphi \dot { q } v o v c . ~ T h e ~ a n a r t h r o u s ~ s u b s t a n t i v a l ~ a d j e c t i v e ~ f u n c t i o n s ~}$ as the accusative direct object of $\delta \iota \varepsilon \sigma \kappa о ́ \rho \pi ı \sigma \varepsilon v$.
$\delta \mathbf{x} \boldsymbol{v o i a l}$. Dative of reference.
карঠiac. Genitive of source. Metonymy (see 1:17 on карסíac) for "mind."
aủtũv. Possessive genitive.

## 

$\kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon i ̃ \lambda \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg каӨaı $\frac{\varepsilon}{\omega} \omega$.
$\delta v v a ́ \sigma \tau \alpha c$. Accusative direct object of ка $\theta \varepsilon i ̃ \lambda \varepsilon v$.
à $\boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{~} \theta \boldsymbol{\theta} \mathbf{o ́ v} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v}$. Separation.
v̋ $\psi \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg v́ $\psi o ́ \omega$.
тaлcıvov́c. The anarthrous substantival adjective functions as the accusative direct object of $v \not \psi \omega \sigma \varepsilon v$.
 $\sigma \tau \varepsilon 1 \lambda \varepsilon v$ к кvoús.
$\pi \varepsilon \iota v \tilde{\omega} v \tau \alpha \varsigma$. Pres act ptc masc acc $\mathrm{pl} \pi \varepsilon \iota v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ (substantival). Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon ่ v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \dot{v} \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd s $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi i \pi \lambda \eta \mu$.
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \theta \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$. The anarthrous substantival adjective functions as a genitive of content.
$\pi \lambda$ ovtoũv $\tau \alpha$. Pres act ptc masc acc $\mathrm{pl} \pi \lambda$ ovt $\dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (substantival). Accusative direct object of $\grave{\xi} \xi \alpha \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\kappa \varepsilon v o u ́ s . ~ C o m p l e m e n t ~ i n ~ a n ~ o b j e c t - c o m p l e m e n t ~ d o u b l e ~ a c c u s a - ~}$ tive construction.

## 


'I $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \grave{\eta} \lambda$. The indeclinable noun functions as a genitive complement of ảvт $\downarrow \lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \varepsilon \tau \circ$.
$\pi \alpha \iota \delta o ̀ s$. Genitive in apposition to 'I $\rho \rho a \grave{\lambda} \lambda$. Here, $\pi \alpha$ Ĩ likely means, "one who is committed in total obedience to another, slave, servant" (BDAG, 750.3).
av̉тoṽ. Genitive of relationship.
$\mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} v a l$. Aor mid inf $\mu \mu v \eta^{\prime} \sigma \kappa о \mu \alpha ı$. The function of the infinitive is ambiguous. The key seems to be to recognize that $\dot{\alpha} v \tau \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \varepsilon \tau o$ and $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} v a \iota$ probably refer to the same event(s). The most likely options, then, are (1) epexegetical: "He helped Israel . . . that is, he remembered mercy"; or (2) cause: "He helped Israel . . . because he remembered mercy" (Marshall, 85). Other suggestions should be rejected. BDF (\$391.4) proposes result, but "helping" seems to be an expression of "mercy" rather than mercy being the result of helping. Fitzmyer (1:368) and Nolland (1:73), on the other hand, propose purpose, but it does not make sense to say that God helped Israel in order to remember mercy.

ह̀̀ $̇$ źovc. Genitive direct object of $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} v a \mathrm{a}$.


$\kappa \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\theta} \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{c}$. Introduces a comparison between God's activity reported in 1:54 and what he had spoken previously to Abraham and his descendants.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\pi \rho \mathbf{o ̀ \varsigma} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{\iota} \varsigma \pi \alpha \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \rho a \varsigma$. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \alpha u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
$\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Genitive of relationship.
$\tau \tilde{\omega}$ ’ $A \beta \rho \alpha \grave{\alpha} \mu$ каì $\tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\pi} \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \mu \alpha \tau$ ı. Dative of advantage. In order to understand the syntax here, it is important to recognize that $\kappa \alpha \theta \grave{\omega} \varsigma \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu \pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \tau o u ̀ \varsigma ~ \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ p a c ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$ is parenthetical, leaving $\tau \tilde{\omega}$ 'A $\beta \rho \alpha \grave{\alpha} \mu$ каì $\tau \tilde{\omega} \sigma \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \mu \alpha \tau \iota$ as a modifier of $\mu v \eta \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} v a ı$. The verb $\mu \mu \nu \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa \quad \mu \alpha \iota$ does not take an indirect object (contra Johnson, 42-43). Bovon (1:63) notes a similar construction in LXX Ps 97:3:

 stand in apposition to $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \tau o v ̀ \varsigma ~ \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ \rho a \varsigma ~(c o n t r a ~ G r e e n, ~ 99) . ~$.
av̉тoṽ. Genitive of relationship.
عís tòv aiew̃va. An idiom (lit. "unto the age") meaning "forever."
 $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon v$ モiç $\tau$ òv oĩkov aủтŋ̧̃.
"E $\mu \varepsilon \iota v \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega$.
Mapıà $\mu$. Nominative subject of "E $\mu \varepsilon ı v \varepsilon v$.
ov̀v av̉тท̃. Association.
$\dot{\omega} \mathrm{c}$. Here, a marker of approximation (cf. LN 78.42).
$\mu \tilde{\eta} v a \varsigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} \varsigma$. Accusative indicating extent of time.

عís tòv oĩkov. Locative.
aủtŋ̃c. Possessive genitive.

## Luke 1:57-80

${ }^{57}$ Now the time came for Elizabeth to give birth, and she bore a son. ${ }^{58} \mathrm{Her}$ neighbors and relatives heard that the Lord had lavished his mercy on her, and they rejoiced with her. ${ }^{59} \mathrm{On}$ the eighth day they came to circumcise the child and began calling him Zechariah, after the name of his father. ${ }^{60}$ But his mother responded and said, "No! Instead, he will be called John." ${ }^{1}$ They said to her, "There is no one among your relatives who is called by that name." ${ }^{22}$ Now
they were motioning to his father, (to see) what he would want him to be called. ${ }^{63}$ So after asking for a writing tablet, he wrote the following, "John is his name." And everyone was amazed. ${ }^{64}$ Then, his mouth was immediately opened, and his tongue (loosened), and he began speaking and praising God. ${ }^{65} \mathrm{~A}$ nd fear came upon all those living around them, and in the entire hill country of Judea all these matters were being talked about, ${ }^{66}$ and all who heard thought carefully about (these things), saying, "What then shall this child be?" For the hand of the Lord was with him.
${ }^{67}$ Then Zechariah his father was filled with the Holy Spirit and prophesied, saying, ${ }^{68 \text { "Blessed be the Lord God of Israel, because }}$ he has shown his care and redeemed his people. ${ }^{69} \mathrm{He}$ has brought about a mighty deliverance for us in the house of David, his servant- ${ }^{70}$ just as he spoke through the mouth of his holy prophets from long ago- ${ }^{71}$ salvation from our enemies and from the hand of all those who hate us, ${ }^{72}$ thus showing mercy to our ancestors and remembering his holy covenant, ${ }^{73}$ (that is,) the oath that he swore to Abraham our father, to allow us, ${ }^{74}$ after being delivered from the hand of our enemies, to worship him without fear ${ }^{75}$ in holiness and righteousness before him all of our days."
${ }^{76}$ "And you, child, will be called a prophet of the Most High; for you will go before the Lord to prepare his ways, ${ }^{77}$ (that is,) to give the knowledge of salvation to his people with respect to the forgiveness of their sins, ${ }^{78}$ on account of our God's merciful heart, in the context of which the Rising One from on high will visit us ${ }^{79}$ in order to shine upon those sitting in darkness and the shadow of death, (that is,) to guide our feet in the way of peace."
${ }^{80} \mathrm{And}$ the child grew and became strong in the Spirit, and he was in the wilderness until the day of his revealing to Israel.

##  

 the beginning of the sentence shifts attention back to her for the narrative that follows.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta$. Aor pass ind 3rd sg $\pi \dot{\prime} \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu$.
ó $\chi \rho$ óvoc. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ह̇ $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta \eta$.
 entire clause literally reads，＂Now the time of her giving birth was completed for Elizabeth．＂
av̉兀ŋ̀̀v．Accusative subject of โعкعĩv． $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v \nu \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\gamma \varepsilon v v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ ． vióv．Accusative direct object of $\dot{\gamma} \gamma \dot{v} v \eta \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ．



グкоvбav．Aor act ind 3rd pl àкоúw．
oi $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ iotкot кaì oi $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon i ̃ c . ~ N o m i n a t i v e ~ s u b j e c t ~ o f ~ \eta ̋ \kappa о и \sigma \alpha v . ~$ av̉т $\check{c}$ ．Genitive of relationship．
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．Introduces the clausal complement（indirect discourse）of グкоибаv．
 magnified his mercy with her．＂
$\varepsilon ̇ \mu \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \nu v \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\mu \varepsilon \gamma a \lambda u ́ v \omega$ ．
кúpıoc．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ह̇ $\varepsilon \gamma \dot{\gamma} \lambda u v \varepsilon v$ ．
тò है入عoc．Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda u v \varepsilon v$ ．
av̉тoṽ．Subjective genitive．
 preposition $\mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ is used to express the showing of mercy to some－ one（BDAG，636．2．$\gamma$. ；；see also 1：72；10：37）．
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} v \varepsilon \dot{\chi} \chi \alpha \iota \rho o v$. Impf act ind 3rd pl $\sigma v \gamma \chi \alpha i \rho \omega$ ．On the function of an imperfect verb conjoined to an aorist verb，see verse 59 on غ̇к人̀入ouv．
av̉тñ．Dative complement of бuvéरaıpov．

 Zaxapiav．
 pericope，kaì غ̇үย́veto tends to mark an immediate sequence of events（Decker，85；cf．1：23；see also 1：8 on＇Eү＇́veto）．

$\tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta$ ov．Aor act ind 3rd pl $\varepsilon$ है $\rho \chi \circ \mu a 1$ ．
$\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \tau \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \tau \tau$. Aor act inf $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \nu \omega$ (purpose).
тò $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \mathbf{i o v}$. Accusative direct object of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \tau v$.
 this may be a conative imperfect ("they were trying to call"; cf. Wallace, 550). It is much more likely that the imperfect portrays what they were actually doing before Elizabeth corrected them. Conjoining an imperfect active verb to an aorist active verb that has the same subject using каi (here, ŋ̈коvбav; see also 1:64; 2:44; 4:31, 36; 5:26; 7:16; 8:30; 9:16; $13: 13 ; 18: 43 ; 22: 6$ ), or using an imperfect verb that is modified by a preceding aorist participle (2:38; $4: 39 ; 5: 3,28 ; 6: 20 ; 8: 41 ; 9: 11 ; 10: 39 ; 15: 26 ; 16: 5 ; 18: 15 ; 19: 7 ; 22: 41$; 23:47; $24: 15,30,37$ ), appears to be a common means of pointing to (but not emphasizing) the onset of an event that is portrayed as a process, though it does not always function in this manner. When it does, an ingressive translation is appropriate (cf. Rijksbaron, 17-18). The same appears to be true when an imperfect verb follows an aorist temporal clause (see 2:15).

غ̀ $\pi \grave{̀} \tau \tilde{̣}$ ỏvó $\mu \alpha \tau \tau$. The PP is used idiomatically to indicate that the child was named after his father (see BDAG, 366.17).

тoṽ $\pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ c . ~ P o s s e s s i v e ~ g e n i t i v e . ~$
av่̉า0̃. Genitive of relationship.
Zaxapiav. Complement in an object-complement double accusative construction.

##  тal 'I $\omega$ ávvŋร.


 circumstance; see also 1:19 on ároкрıӨغi¢).
$\grave{\eta} \mu \dot{\tau} \tau \eta \rho$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon v$.
av̉兀oṽ. Genitive of relationship.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
Oủxi. This appears to be the standard form of the negativizer when used as a reply, especially when followed by $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ (cf. 12:51; 13:3, 5; 16:30; BDAG, 742.2).
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$. The adversative conjunction introduces a clause that
runs counter expectation．More specifically，$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ functions as＂a ＇global marker of contrast，＇one that＇introduces a correction of the expectation created by the first conjunct；an incorrect expectation is cancelled and a proper expectation is put in its place．＇It provides a corrective to whatever it stands in contrast with in the preceding context，even if it is positive rather than negative＂（Runge $\S 2.9$ ，with quotations from Heckert，23）．
$\kappa \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ．Fut pass ind 3rd sg ка入غ́v．
＇I $\omega \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} v \eta$ ．Complement in a subject－complement double nomi－ native construction（see 1：32 on viòs）．

##  

$\varepsilon i ̃ \pi \alpha v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd pl $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．Luke shows a strong preference for this form（ $1: 61 ; 3: 12 ; 5: 33 ; 6: 2 ; 7: 20 ; 9: 12,13,19,54 ; 17: 5 ; 18: 26$ ； $19: 25,33,34,39 ; 20: 2,16,24,39 ; 22: 9,35,38,49,70,71 ; 24: 5,19,32$ ； Acts $1: 11,24 ; 4: 23,24 ; 5: 29 ; 6: 2 ; 10: 22 ; 12: 15 ; 13: 46 ; 16: 20,31 ; 17: 32$ ； 19：3； $23: 4,14 ; 28: 21$ ）rather than the alternative form عĩ̃ov（11：15； 24：24；Acts 2：37；4：19；21：20）．The same is true of Matthew（16 uses
 John regularly uses عĩ爪ov（ 16 vs． 26 of عĩ $\pi \alpha v$ ）．
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a v ̉ \tau \grave{̀} v$ ．Indirect object（see 1：13 on $\pi \rho$（̧）av̉tòv）．
ötı．Introduces the clausal complement（direct discourse；see also $1: 25$ on ötı）of عĩ̃av．

Oủסzic．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ żбııv．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$ ．Pres act ind 3rd sg eipi．On the loss of accent，see 1：18 on عíp．

oov．Genitive of relationship．
öc．Nominative subject of ка入عiт $\alpha$ ．
$\kappa \boldsymbol{\kappa \varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$ ．Pres pass ind 3rd sg ка入غ́v．


غ̇vと́vevov．Impf act ind 3rd pl $̇$ ह̉vev́w．
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \pi \alpha \tau \rho \dot{l}$ ．Dative indirect object of $\dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon v_{0} v$ ．
av่̉า0̃．Genitive of relationship．
tò．The accusative article functions as a nominalizer（see 1：48

 9：46；19：48； $22: 2,4,23,24$ ；Caragounis（203－5）argues against the nominalizer view，seeing the article as simply an introductory par－ ticle for indirect discourse）．Although Porter $(1994,275)$ suggests that＂the article $\tau$ o is used to distinguish the indirect question＂ from a direct question，the nominalizer is actually optional when indirect questions function as clausal complements／direct objects （see，e．g．，6：11）．
 optative verb is used with the particle $\alpha v$ in the apodosis of an incomplete fourth class condition．Wallace（484）suggests that the implicit protasis is，＂If he had his voice back so that he could give him some name．＂
$\tau i$ ．Complement in a subject－complement double accusa－ tive construction（see 1：32 on viòs）．In this case，the subject and complement are accusative because they occur in an infinitival construction（see also Culy 2009，92）．
$\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda$ ot．Pres act opt 3 rd sg $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$ ．
$\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon i ̃ \sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{a}$ ．Pres pass inf ка入غ่́（complementary）．
aủtó．Accusative subject of ка入عĩoӨaı．

##  ővo $\alpha \alpha$ av̉toṽ．кaì $̇ \theta \alpha u ́ \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha v ~ \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma . ~$

ait $\dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha$, ．Aor act ptc masc nom sg aitt $\dot{\epsilon} \omega$（temporal）．
$\pi \iota v a \kappa i \delta \iota o v$ ．Accusative direct object of aitท＇бац．
है $\gamma \rho a \psi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varphi \omega$ ．
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$（manner）．The translation follows Klein（118，n．21）．
＇I $\omega$ ávvクc．Predicate nominative．The predicate is fronted to place it in focus．

غ̇бтìv．Pres act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon \dot{\prime} \mu i$ ．On the retention of the accent，see 1：36 on દ̇бтìv．
ővoua．Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau i v$ ．As the topic of the conver－ sation，ővoua is best viewed as the subject rather than＇I $\omega$ ávvク¢（cf． Wallace，43，n．21）．
aủtoṽ．Possessive genitive．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \theta a u ́ \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha v$ ．Aor act ind 3 rd pl Өav $\mu \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$ ．
$\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ．Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \theta \alpha \dot{u} \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu$ ．


$\dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon \underset{\varphi}{ } \boldsymbol{\chi} \theta \mathfrak{\eta}$ ．Aor pass ind 3rd sg ảvoí $\omega \omega$ ．
тò $\sigma \tau o ́ \mu \alpha$ ．Nominative subject of $\alpha \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \dot{\varphi} \chi \theta \eta$ ．
av̉тoṽ．Possessive genitive．
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \rho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$ ．Fitzmyer（1：381）notes that with the exception of Matt 21：19，20，this adverb is used exclusively by Luke in the NT， frequently in connection with miracles（Luke 4：39；5：25；8：44，47， $55 ; 13: 13 ; 18: 43 ; 19: 11 ; 22: 60$ ；Acts 3：7；5：10；12：23；13：11；16：26， 33 ）． It is Luke＇s far less ubiquitous counterpart to Mark＇s củ0ús．
$\dot{\eta} \gamma \lambda \tilde{\omega} \sigma \sigma \alpha$ ．Nominative subject of an implied verb．The two ideas， mouth and tongue，are joined together with a verb that only makes sense with the former，forming a zeugma．The idea of a tongue being opened does not occur anywhere in the biblical corpus．The variant reading that supplies the aorist passive $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{v} \theta \eta\left(f^{1} p c\right)$ ，follow－ ing Mark 7：35，suggests that at least a few scribes viewed＂loose＂ as the implied idea．LXX Isaiah 57：4 contains a similar idea，with $\dot{\eta} \gamma \lambda \tilde{\omega} \sigma \sigma \alpha$ as the subject of $\chi \alpha \lambda \dot{\alpha} \omega$（to loosen）．A similar expres－
 $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \gamma \lambda \tilde{\omega} \sigma \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \mathrm{ov}$ ，suggesting that the missing verb could also be $\lambda a \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ ．Given the passive $\dot{\alpha} \nu \varepsilon \omega \dot{\varphi} \chi \theta \eta$ ，however，a passive sense of＂was loosened＂is more likely．
av̉兀oṽ．Possessive genitive．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon$ ．Impf act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ ．On the ingressive translation， see 1：59 on غ̇кર́入ouv．
$\varepsilon u ̉ \lambda o \gamma \tilde{\omega} v$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom sg عủ入oүદ́ $\omega$（attendant circum－ stance；see 1：24 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma$ रouбa；or manner）．
tòv $\theta$ عóv．Accusative direct object of عủ入o $\gamma \tilde{\omega} v$ ．

 $\tau \alpha \tilde{\tau \alpha}$ ，

غ̇ $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ह́vยто．Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual．
 $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi$ ' aủtóv). The use of a discontinuous NP, with the subject ( $\varphi$ ó $\beta \circ$ ) inserted in the middle, likely lends force to the clause.
بóßoc. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \tau \tau$.
 stantival).


$\tau \tilde{c} \varsigma$ 'Iovסaiac. This is a locative use of the genitive: "the hill country located in Judea" (cf. Beekman and Callow, 255).
$\delta \iota \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tau \tau 0$. Impf pass ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \operatorname{sg} \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \rho \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\delta \iota \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \tau 0$. Neuter plural subjects characteristically take singular verbs (see Wallace, 399-400).

##   av̉тoṽ.

 The construction, $\tau i \theta \eta \mu \mathrm{I} \varepsilon \tau_{\tau} \tau \tilde{\eta} \kappa \alpha \rho \delta i ́ a$, , appears to be an idiom meaning something like "to ponder, think about carefully" (cf. LN 30.76; 29.2).

ह̈Өءvto. Aor mid ind 3 rd pl ti $\theta \eta \mu$.
$\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ oi à ảкоv́бavtec. Aor act ptc masc nom pl ảkov́ $\omega$ (substantival). Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon v \tau \tau$. Culy $(2004,56)$ notes that "In constructions where $\pi \tilde{a} \varsigma$ is followed by an articular participle one could take either $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$ or the participle as substantival. .. . Since the nominative singular $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$ does not require an article to make it substantival, and indeed is never articular, either analysis is acceptable (cf. BDF $\$ 413.2$; Robertson, 772-73)." Some compound constructions, such as what we find in 6:47, suggest that the parti-
 $\dot{\alpha} \kappa o v ́ \omega v \mu$ оv $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega v$ кaì пoเ $\omega v$ av̉тov́c. In the context, Jesus is referring to everyone who meets all three of the qualifying characteristics, i.e., he is referring to a single group rather than three separate groups. In passages like 14:11, however, the construction
may favor taking the participle as substantival: $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$ ó $\dot{\sim} \psi \tilde{\omega} v$ घ́autòv

 "mind."
av่̉นั๊v. Possessive genitive.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (manner).
$\mathrm{T} \mathbf{i}$. Introduces an indirect question. Predicate nominative.
тò $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i o v ~ \tau o v ̃ \tau o . ~ N o m i n a t i v e ~ s u b j e c t ~ o f ~ ह ै \sigma \tau \alpha ı . ~$
モ̈бтаı. Fut ind 3rd sg عiuí.
кaì $\gamma$ à $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15). Here, used with кaí, the $\gamma$ áp introduces a supplementary reason for the ongoing discussion and questions regarding what kind of man this child would turn out to be. In other words, the visible evidence of the "hand of the Lord" helped fuel the fire of speculation regarding God's purposes for John.
 "The Lord showed his care/favor for him."

रعì $\rho$. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} v$.
кupiov. Possessive genitive.
ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg عi $\mu i$ i.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau$ ' av่̉าoṽ. Association.
 غ̇пло甲ף่ $\tau \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon \nu \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \nu$,

Kai. Levinsohn (2000, 76-77; emphasis in original) argues that the use of kai rather than $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ here indicates that regardless of the switch of attention to Zachariah, his prophecy "does not develop from what has preceded. It is not a response to the events of vv . 65-66; they may even have occurred after his speech."

Zaxapiac. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta \eta$.
$\dot{\mathbf{o}} \boldsymbol{\pi} \alpha \tau \eta(\rho$. Nominative in apposition to Zaxapiac.
aủtoṽ. Genitive of relationship.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta \eta$. Aor pass ind 3rd sg $\pi i \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu$.
$\pi \nu \varepsilon \dot{v} \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\varsigma} \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{i o v}$. Genitive of content.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \rho о \varphi \eta ่ \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon v ่ \omega$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{v}$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (manner).



Eủ入oүףтòc．Predicate adjective．
кv́pıos ó $\theta$ zòs то⿱㇒⿻二乚㇒＇Iopaŋ̀入．The phrase as a title for God occurs rarely in the LXX and deuterocanonical literature（Ezek 4：13；44：2； Mal 2：16； 2 Macc 9：5；Odes Sol．9：68），and only here in the NT．In
 （Gen 2：8；Exod 3：15； 1 Sam 2：30；Ps 32：12；Isa 8：10）．

кúpıoc．Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause．
ó $\theta \varepsilon \mathbf{o}$ c．Nominative in apposition to kúpıoc．
то⿱̃＇Io $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\eta} \lambda$ ．Genitive of subordination．
ötı．Introduces a causal clause．
 a direct object in the accusative，which must be supplied．Given its case，$\tau \tilde{\omega} \lambda \alpha \tilde{\omega}$ cannot be the syntactic object of the verb，though it is clearly the implied object（cf．NRSV）．Although the full realization of redemption（ $\lambda$ ú $\tau \omega \sigma \tau v$ ）will only come later with the birth，life， and death of Jesus，Zechariah is attributing praise to God for the fact that he has already demonstrated his care for Israel and initi－ ated their ultimate redemption by sending the forerunner of the Messiah．The aorist tense，then，carries its typical role of describing events in a narrative，here an embedded narrative．
è $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{o i} \eta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\imath}$ ．This periphrastic construction（lit．＂he made redemption＂）is equivalent in meaning to $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \nu \tau \rho \dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha \tau o$（＂he redeemed＂），but more poetic．

غ̇поíŋбモv．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi 0 เ \varepsilon \dot{c} \omega$ ．
$\lambda \dot{\tau} \tau \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\tau} v$ ．Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \mathrm{oi} \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ．
$\tau \tilde{\varphi} \lambda \alpha \tilde{\varphi}$. Dative of advantage．
av̉тoṽ．Genitive of relationship．
 av̉тoṽ，
 of salvation for us．＂The term кє́pac is a common metaphor for strength（see，e．g．， 2 Sam 22：3；Pss 17：3；88：18；131：17；148：14；Jer $31: 25$ ）．Louw and Nida（76．16）argue that＂the reference of the
phrase к $\varepsilon$ pac $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i \alpha \varsigma$ is to the role of the Messiah，and accord－ ingly one may often best render this phrase as＇mighty Savior＇or ＇powerful Savior＇＂（cf．Marshall，91）．Although ह̇v oi̋k $\omega$ avì may suggest that a personal referent is in view，on the whole it seems best to give $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i \alpha c$ its usual abstract sense，＂salvation，deliver－ ance，＂rather than＂savior．＂Indeed，such a rendering fits better with what follows in verse 71.

к $\varepsilon$ рac．Accusative direct object of $\eta$ グ $\gamma \varepsilon \iota \rho \varepsilon v$.
$\dot{\eta} \mu i ̃ v$ ．Dative of advantage．
દ̇v oűḳ．Locative．
$\Delta a v i \delta$ ．Possessive genitive．
$\pi \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{\delta} \boldsymbol{\varphi}$ ．Genitive in apposition to $\Delta \alpha v i \delta$ ．Here，$\pi a i ̃ \varsigma ~ l i k e l y ~ m e a n s, ~$ ＂one who is committed in total obedience to another，slave，ser－ vant＂（BDAG，750．3）．
av̉兀oṽ．Genitive of relationship．
 $\varphi \eta \tau \tilde{\omega} \vee$ av̉สоṽ，
$\boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ ．Introduces an analogy between what precedes and what follows，i．e．，God＇s actions are in accord with what he had said through the prophets．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ ．
סıà $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ то́ $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{c}$ ．Means．
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\gamma} \omega v . . . \pi \rho \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \eta \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ ．Possessive genitive．
av่̉oũ．Possessive genitive．
$\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{a} \tilde{\omega} v \mathbf{v o c}$ ．Temporal．The idiomatic PP（lit．＂from an age＂） means，＂long ago，very long ago＂（LN 67．25）．
 ov́vt $\omega v \dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\mu} \varsigma$ ，
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v}$ ．The accusative noun could either be in apposition to кغ́pac in verse 69 following the parenthetical comment in verse 70 （so，e．g．，Marshall，91；Plummer，41），or it could introduce what the prophets spoke about（ $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$, v．70）and thus be an accusative of reference．The former is more likely，as the latter would result in an extended parenthetical comment．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \bar{\varepsilon} \chi \theta \rho \tilde{\omega} v$ ．Separation．
$\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$ ．Genitive of relationship．
દ̇к $\chi \varepsilon \iota \rho o ̀ c . ~ S e p a r a t i o n . ~ H e r e, ~ \chi \varepsilon i \rho ~ i s ~ a ~ m e t o n y m ~(s e e ~ 1: 17 ~ o n ~$ карঠіас）for power．
$\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \omega v \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{v} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \omega v$ ．Pres act ptc masc gen pl $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$（sub－ stantival；see 1：66 on $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ oi $\mathfrak{\alpha} \kappa 0 \cup \dot{\sigma} \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma) . ~ P o s s e s s i v e ~ g e n i t i v e . ~$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{a} \varsigma$ ．Direct object of $\mu \sigma \sigma o v v_{\tau} \omega v$ ．



$\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \alpha \mathrm{a}$ ．Aor act inf $\pi$ oo $\varepsilon \omega$（result）．Although it is possible that the infinitive，after the parenthetical statement in verse 70 and the appositional NPs in verse 71，introduces a purpose clause modify－
 that Zechariah＇s speech uses the perfective／aorist to speak of what
 be read as also reflecting an already realized or actual result（Bock， 1：183；cf．Marshall，92；Klein，124，n．38）rather than an anticipated result，i．e．，purpose（see also $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} v a \iota$ below）．It would also be possible，however，to view the infinitival clause as epexegetical to グүعוреv кє́pac $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a s$（＂he raised up a horn of salvation，that is，he showed mercy to our fathers＂）or epexegetical to $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i \alpha v$ （＂salvation from our enemies ．．showing mercy to our fathers＂）． Fitzmyer（1：384）argues that these two options go together，i．e．， since $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i \alpha v$ is in apposition to $\eta^{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \iota \rho \varepsilon v$ к $\varepsilon \rho a \varsigma ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i \alpha \varsigma, ~ a n ~$ infinitive that is epexegetical to $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i \alpha v$ is also epexegetical to кغ́pac $\sigma \omega \tau \eta p i a \varsigma$ ．Caragounis（109）cites this as an example of the use of the active in place of the middle，though it is unclear why the middle would be expected in this context．

モ̇ $\lambda \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{c}$ ．Accusative direct object of $\pi$ oté $\omega$ ．
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \tilde{\omega} v \pi \alpha \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \omega v$ ．The combination of $\varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon o s$ with the prepo－ sition $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ is used to express the showing of mercy to someone （BDAG，636．2．$\gamma .2 ;$ cf．1：58；10：37）．
$\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$ ．Genitive of relationship．
$\mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} v a \mathrm{l}$ ．Aor mid inf $\mu \mu v \underline{1} \sigma \kappa о \mu a ı$（result）．Conjoined to $\pi o เ \eta ̃ \sigma \alpha \iota$ with кai，$\mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \eta ̃ v a \iota$ will function in the same manner．It does not make sense to say that God raised up a horn of salvation
in order to remember his covenant. The purpose analysis of $\pi$ गoıñaı ... каì $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} v a ı$ should, therefore, likely be ruled out. $\delta \mathbf{\iota} \boldsymbol{\theta} \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\mathbf{i}} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Genitive direct object of $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} v a \mathrm{l}$. av่̉oũ. "The holy covenant he established."

##  סоṽvaı $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu$ ĩv

ő $\boldsymbol{\kappa о} \boldsymbol{v}$. Accusative by inverse attraction (so Plummer, 41; Marshall, 92), i.e., the case has assimilated to the case of the relative pronoun that follows (cf. McKay, 149; see also 20:17 on $\Lambda i \theta \mathrm{ov}$ ). As with attraction, inverse attraction appears to be strictly stylistic in nature. Without inverse attraction one would have expected the genitive case, since ő $\rho \kappa о v$ is syntactically in apposition to $\delta \iota \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta \varsigma$. For more on this rare syntactic construction, see Culy and Parsons, 210; Culy 1989a, 129-46.
öv. Accusative direct object of $\ddot{\mu} \mu \mathrm{o} \sigma \varepsilon v$.
$\omega \not \mu \boldsymbol{\sigma} \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg ỏ $\mu v v \dot{\omega} \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ òs A A $\beta \rho a$ à $\mu$. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho$ ò $\alpha$ aủtòv).
tòv $\pi \alpha \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \alpha$. Accusative in apposition to $A \beta \rho \alpha a ̀ \mu$.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$. Genitive of relationship.
тoṽ $\delta$ oṽval. Aor act inf $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$ (epexegetical to ő $\rho \kappa$ коv; so Fitzmyer, 1:385). Scholars often take the infinitive as introducing a purpose clause (e.g., Bock, 1:184; cf. Plummer, 41, who hedges his bets by saying that the infinitive likely introduces the contents and purpose of the oath). Semantically, however, God did not swear an oath in order to grant these things (contra Burk, 64, who takes the infinitive as both cause and purpose), but rather he swore that he would grant these things. The epexegetical infinitive thus introduces the content of the oath. In terms of semantics, the verb $\delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{t}$ is part of a causative construction here: "He has caused/allowed us to serve him fearlessly" (cf. Acts 2:4, 27; 4:29; 10:40; 13:35; 14:3).
$\dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i} v$. Dative indirect object of $\delta$ ouvvaı (see v. 74 for the direct object).

## 

$\dot{\alpha} \varphi \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\varphi}$. The adverb modifies $\lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \varepsilon \iota v$ and is fronted for emphasis (see Fitzmyer, 1:385).

દ̇к $\chi \varepsilon \iota \rho o ̀ c$. Separation.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \chi \theta \rho \tilde{\omega} v$. Possessive genitive.
 ciples modifying an infinitive will often be accusative rather than nominative, matching the case of the subject of the infinitive, particularly when that subject is unexpressed, as here (cf. Culy 2003, 446, n. 34).
 contra Fitzmyer, 1:385). The verb denotes, "to perform religious rites as a part of worship" (LN 53.14). Bock (1:186) adds, "The term $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon v \dot{v} \omega$ is significant because it refers to the total service one gives to God, not just to the worship or sacrificial service that a faithful Jew would render in the temple or synagogue."
av̉兀ư. Dative complement of $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon$ v่ยเv.



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { èvஸ́rtov av่̉oṽ. Locative. See also 1:15. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} v \text {. "For all the days we live." }
\end{aligned}
$$




Kaì... $\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon}$. While the $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ marks this as a new development or shift in topic in the discourse, the кai indicates that the prophecy about the child, John, is part of the overall action of God in "raising up a horn of salvation" (v. 69).
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{v}$. Nominative subject of $\kappa \lambda \eta \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}$.
$\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{i o v}$. Vocative. On the somewhat abrupt shift to a new addressee, see 22:31 on $\Sigma i \mu \omega \nu \Sigma i ́ \mu \omega v$.
$\pi \rho о \varphi \eta$ ๆ̀тч. Complement in a subject-complement double nominative construction (see 1:32 on viòs).
úqíбтov. Possessive genitive. See also 1:32 on úqíбтov.
$\boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \eta$. Fut pass ind $2 \mathrm{nd} \mathrm{sg} \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\pi \rho о \pi о \rho \varepsilon \dot{v} \sigma \underline{1}$. Fut mid ind 2nd sg $\pi \rho о \pi о \rho \varepsilon \dot{\prime} о \mu \alpha$.
$\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).
évढ́tıov кvpiov. Locative. See also 1:15.
غ́тоцца́баı. Aor act inf غ̇тоцца́ک $\omega$ (purpose).
ódov̀s. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon}$ тouá $\alpha \alpha$ a.
aủtoũ. "The paths he will travel." Here, likely a figurative expression for getting people ready for his arrival.
 นเต̃v aủ่นั๊v,

тoṽ $\delta$ oṽvaı. Aor act inf $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$. The infinitive modifies $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau o \mu \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota$ and could be viewed as either means ("in order to prepare his paths by giving knowledge of salvation") or epexegetical (see the translation), with little difference in meaning (cf. Marshall, 93, and Bock, 1:189, both of whom do not appear to distinguish between the two here). Given the fact that it modifies the preceding infinitive, it is unlikely that native speakers would read it as purpose ("in order to prepare his paths to give knowledge of salvation"; contra Burk, 64, who sees both purpose and causal nuances here).
$\gamma \nu \tilde{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$. Accusative direct object of $\delta$ oũval.
$\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a c$. Objective genitive.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tilde{\omega}$. Dative indirect object of Soũval.
aủtoṽ. Genitive of relationship.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \dot{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \varepsilon$. The PP modifies $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i \alpha s$ and could be taken as reference or instrumental ("salvation . . . by the forgiveness of their sins"; cf. Fitzmyer, 1:386).
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. Objective genitive.
aủtธ̃v. Subjective genitive.

##  

$\delta \mathbf{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \sigma \pi \lambda \dot{\alpha} \gamma \chi{ }^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Cause. The term $\sigma \pi \lambda \dot{\alpha} \gamma \chi \nu \alpha$ (lit. "intestines") is most commonly used in its figurative senses in the NT. Here, it refers to "the psychological faculty of desire, intent, and feeling" (LN 26.11; cf. Col 3:12). The English "heart" is often used in an analogous fashion.

غ̇̀żoug. Attributive genitive.
$\theta$ عoṽ. Possessive genitive, modifying $\sigma \pi \lambda \dot{\alpha} \gamma \chi v \alpha$ ह̇̀ $\lambda$ ह́ouৎ.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$. Genitive of subordination.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v$ oíc. Context. The preposition $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ is sometimes used to introduce the broad context or circumstances in which something takes place. The antecedent of the neuter relative pronoun is the neuter plural $\sigma \pi \lambda \dot{\alpha} \gamma \chi$ va.
 غ̇ $\pi \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \psi a \tau o$ (aorist), found in ${ }^{2} \aleph$ A C D $\Xi \Psi f^{f, 13}$, is probably a scribal correction to bring the verb tense into conformity with verse 68 (Metzger, 110; Nolland, 1:89).
$\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \psi \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\dot{\eta} \mu i ̃$.
 likely messianic referent, see, e.g., Marshall, 94-95; Bock, 1:191-92. Louw and Nida (14.42) render the whole clause with an abstract rather than personal referent: "the dawn of salvation will come upon us."
àvato入̀̀. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \varepsilon ́ \psi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \downarrow$.
غ̇そ v̋qovc. Source.

##  

$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \varphi \tilde{a} v a \iota$. Aor act inf $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \varphi \alpha i v \omega$. The infinitive should be taken as purpose rather than result, given the future tense verb it modifies. As Burk (105) notes: "If the context indicates that the end is intended and not yet realized, then the construction indicates purpose. If the context indicates that the end is not intended and has been realized, then the construction indicates result."
 tival). Dative complement of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \varphi a i v \omega$.

бкiạ̃ $\theta \boldsymbol{a} v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{c}$. This phrase appears to be used as an idiom to refer to imminent danger.
 ¢ãval).

$\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Possessive genitive.

عís ódòv. Locative.
عịŋ่レๆร. Attributive genitive.



$\eta u ̋ \xi \alpha v \varepsilon v$. Impf act ind 3rd sg aủ $\xi \dot{\alpha} v \omega$. Luke concludes this pericope with a summary statement of subsequent events introduced
 where the identical verb forms are used).
غ̇кратаเои̃то. Impf pass ind 3rd sg кратаเów.
$\pi \nu \varepsilon v \dot{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Dative of reference.
ñv. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ echi.

है $\omega \varsigma$ ¢ $\boldsymbol{\mu} \dot{\varepsilon} \rho a \varsigma$. Temporal.
$\dot{\alpha} v a \delta \varepsilon i \zeta \varepsilon \omega c$. "The day when he showed himself."
aủtoṽ. Subjective genitive.
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ ' I \sigma \rho a \eta ̆ \lambda . ~ L o c a t i v e . ~$

## Luke 2:1-7

${ }^{1}$ Now it happened in those days that a decree went out from Caesar Augustus for all the (Roman) world to be registered. ${ }^{2}$ This census was the first while Quirinius was governing Syria. ${ }^{3}$ Everyone was making their way to register, each to his own city. ${ }^{4}$ And so, Joseph also went up from Galilee, from the city of Nazareth, into Judea to the city of David, which is called Bethlehem, because he was from the house and family line of David, ${ }^{5}$ to register with Mary, the woman who was engaged to him, who was pregnant.
${ }^{6}$ Now it happened that while they were there the time came for her to give birth, ${ }^{7}$ and she gave birth to her firstborn son, and wrapped him in strips of cloth and laid him in a manger, because there was no place for them in the guest house.
 Kaíapos Aủ
'Eүย́veto. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual. See 1:8 on 'Eүéveto.
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon}$. The use of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ here indicates that this episode "as a whole represents a new development in the larger story" (Levinsohn 2000, 76).

$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi$ о $\mu \alpha$.
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{o} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \zeta \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$. The reference is to an imperial declaration or decree, rather than a doctrine or dogma (BDAG, 254.1.b).
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ K a i \sigma \alpha \rho o c . ~ S o u r c e . ~$
Aủ $\gamma \mathbf{o v} \sigma \tau \boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Genitive in apposition to Kaíбарос.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \rho \dot{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Pres mid/pass inf $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \varphi \omega$ (epexegetical to $\delta o ́ \gamma \mu \alpha)$. Less likely, the infinitive could be taken as expressing purpose: "a decree went out . . . in order that all the inhabited world might be registered."
 Accusative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$. The feminine participle of oik $\varepsilon \omega$ was used idiomatically to indicate the inhabited world or the world as an administrative unit of the Roman empire (BDAG, 699.2; cf. LN 1.83: "the Roman Empire, including its inhabitants"). The feminine was used because it modifies an implied $\gamma \tilde{\eta}$.

##  Kvp甲viov.

 three ways: (1) גütๆ could be viewed as the nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \tau 0$ and $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi о ү \rho \alpha \varphi \eta ̀ \eta \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta$ as a predicate nominative: "this was the first census." (2) aútๆ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \varphi \dot{\eta}$ could be viewed as the subject and $\pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta$ as a predicate adjective (see the translation). Or, (3) $\alpha u ̋ \tau \eta \dot{\alpha} \pi о ү \rho \alpha \varphi \eta ̀ ~ \pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta$ as a whole could be taken as the subject: "this first census came about." A noun modified by a demonstrative pronoun is normally articular if it is the subject (cf. 1:29). The article is normally not present, however, when the nominative substantive serves as the predicate (Robertson, 767). Thus, option 1 appears to be most likely. This is a good example, however, where the textual tradition provides important evidence of how scribes, who represent ancient speakers of Greek, understood the text. Some manuscripts ( ${ }^{2} \boldsymbol{N}$ A C L R W $\left.\Xi \Psi \Psi^{f, 13} \nsupseteq\right)$ include the article $\dot{\eta}$, making it clear that these scribes viewed $\dot{\alpha} \pi о ү р а \varphi \grave{\eta}$ as the subject
and $\pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta$ as a predicate adjective（option 2 above）．This read－ ing is also supported by two manuscripts（ $\boldsymbol{\aleph}^{*} \mathrm{D}$ ）that reverse the order of $\varepsilon \dot{\gamma} \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \tau 0$ and $\pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta$ ，making it likely that these scribes also took $\pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta$ as a predicate adjective．In an interesting argument， Carlson suggests that $\pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta$ here means＂most prominent＂or ＂most important＂（cf．BDAG，893．2）．The point，then，would be that＂this registration became most important when Quirinius was governing Syria．＂In this reading，Luke is referring to the growing significance of Caesar Augustus＇decree during the later period when Quirinius was governor（cf．Bock，1：908，option 5c）．

غ̇үモ́veto．Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivouaı．
 absolute，temporal．The genitive absolute typically functions as a ＂switch reference＂device that is used when the subject of the parti－ ciple（which must also be in the genitive case）is different from the subject of the main clause（see Healey and Healey；cf．Levinsohn $2000,182)$ ．Levinsohn $(2000,182)$ adds that when the genitive absolute has the same subject as the previous clause，the use of the genitive absolute gives natural prominence to the following main clause by indicating a shift to a new referent．Fuller（152）goes fur－ ther and argues that the genitive absolute＂is often a grammatical strategy for bringing an element of background information into prominence as a piece of necessary prior knowledge，and alerting the reader that this information is important for understanding the impact of the rest of the sentence or even the paragraph or dis－ course．＂Although Fuller rejects the switch－reference view in light of substantial evidence to the contrary in the papyri，the counter evidence may either stem from dialectal，register，and／or genre differences（e．g．，petitions and lists），or may simply point to mul－ tiple functions of the construction．
$\tau \bar{〔} \varsigma \Sigma v \rho i \alpha c$. Genitive of subordination．
Kvрŋviov．Genitive subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu о v \varepsilon$ v́ovtoc．

## 2：3 каі̀ દ̇ло غ́avtoṽ $\pi$ о́入ıข．

 $\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ．Nominative subject of غ̇mopev́ovto．

モ̌кабтос．Nominative in apposition to $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$.
عís тŋ̀v．．．$\pi$ ó入ıv．Locative．
غ́autoṽ．Possessive genitive．

## 




A $\mathbf{v} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \hat{\beta} \eta$ ．Aor act ind 3 rd sg àvaßaivo．
$\delta \grave{\varepsilon}$ каì．Plummer（90）describes $\delta \grave{\varepsilon}$ каí as Luke＇s＂favourite method of giving emphasis．＂Though this is an overstatement，the construction is quite common in Luke（see also 3：9，12；4：41；5：10， 36；6：39；9：61；10：32；11：18；12：54，57；14：12；15：28；16：1，22；18：9； 19：19；20：12；23：35；24：37）．
＇I $\omega \sigma \eta{ }^{\prime} \varphi$ ．Nominative subject of $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \varepsilon \dot{ß} \beta \eta$ ．

$\grave{\varepsilon} \kappa \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{c}$ ．Source．Plummer（52）notes that $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa$ can also be used when referring to a district／province（e．g．，23：55；Acts 7：4），and ánó can be used with towns（e．g．，10：30；Acts 8：26）．So，the choice of prepositions in this verse is not dictated by the particular type of locale．It is possible that $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \pi \dot{\partial} \lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ also points to separation，but it is more likely that it refers to the point of origin for the journey，while $\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{~} \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \Gamma \alpha \lambda_{1} \lambda$ aia p points to the fact that Joseph traveled beyond the borders of Galilee．

Na「a $\boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \theta$ ．Although typically called an epexegetical genitive（cf． Wallace，95－99），the label＂genitive of identification＂（see Beekman and Callow，255－56）is more appropriate：＂from the city called Nazareth．＂

عís тŋ̀v＇Iovסaíav．Locative．
عís $\pi$ ó入ıv．Locative．
$\Delta a v i \delta$ ．＂The city where David reigned．＂
ท̆тıc．Nominative subject of ка入عĩтaı．For more on the so－called indefinite relative pronoun，see 1：20 on oiltıvec．

ка入єĩtaı．Pres pass ind 3rd sg ка入غ́ต．
$\mathrm{B} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mu$ ．Complement in a subject－complement double nomi－ native construction（see 1：32 on viòs）．

عĩvaı．Pres act inf eỉhí．Used with Sià tò to indicate cause．When
infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81).
aủtòv. Accusative subject of عĩvaı.
غ̇ $\zeta$ ổкоv каì татрıã¢. Source.
$\Delta a v i \delta$. Genitive of reference.

##  غ̇ $\gamma \kappa \dot{\jmath} \varphi$.

$\dot{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \rho \dot{\alpha} \psi \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \theta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathbf{t}$. Aor mid inf $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \varphi \omega$ (purpose). Wallace (426) calls this a "permissive middle," i.e., "Joseph allowed himself to be enrolled."
oùv Mapıà $\mu$. Association.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v \eta$. Prf pass ptc fem dat $\operatorname{sg} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon u ́ \omega$ (substantival). At first glance, this participle should be viewed as an attributive modifier of Mapıà $\mu$, with the anarthrous ov́oṇ simply being a second attributive participle: "Mary who was engaged to him (and) was pregnant." The manuscript evidence, however, may point to a slightly different understanding of the syntax. Many manuscripts
 to the UBS ${ }^{4}$ text ( $\mathcal{N}$ C D L W $\Xi p c$ ), while Codex A reads $\tau \underset{~}{\tau}$
 scribes may have understood the feminine $\tau \eta \tilde{\varepsilon} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \mu \varepsilon \dot{v} v \eta$ as substantival in apposition to Mapıà : "the one (fem.) who had been betrothed to him." The addition of $\gamma$ uvarki would simply make this explicit: "the woman who had been betrothed to him."
av̉兀ụ. Dative complement of $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon \nu \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta!$.
ov̋ø!. Pres act ptc fem dat $\mathrm{sg} \varepsilon \mathfrak{\varepsilon} \mu \mathrm{l}$ (attributive).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \kappa \dot{v} \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Predicate dative.

##  


عĩvaı. Pres act inf $\varepsilon i \mu i$. Used with $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\omega}$ to denote contemporaneous time (see also $1: 8$ on iepatcúciv). When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81). This is the first of six temporal constructions in what follows, which
combine to highlight Joseph's family as the central characters in the story, according to Kwong (151-58). They are used either to shift the spotlight back to them when another participant has been in focus or to begin a new episode where Joseph's family is the central character (Kwong, 153).
aủtov̀c. Accusative subject of عĩvaı.
 to give birth were completed."
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor pass ind 3rd pl $\pi i \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu \mathrm{~L}$.
$\alpha i \quad \eta \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho a \mathrm{a}$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta \eta \sigma \alpha v$.
 days of her giving birth").
av่̉ท่่ข. Accusative subject of โعкะĩv.




ย̈тєкยv. Aor act ind 3rd sg тíкт $\omega$.
tòv viòv. Accusative direct object of हैtєкعv.
av̉t $\check{c} \varsigma$. Genitive of relationship.
тòv $\pi \rho \boldsymbol{\omega}$ то́токоv. The adjective is likely attributive, but may be substantival in apposition to tòv viòv.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \gamma \dot{\alpha} v \omega \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\sigma \pi \alpha \rho \gamma \alpha v o ́ \omega$. The verb refers to wrapping an infant in $\sigma \pi \alpha \rho \gamma a v a$, i.e. "strips of cloth like bandages, wrapped around young infants to keep their limbs straight" (Marshall, 106).
aủtòv. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ ह̇ $\pi \pi \alpha \rho \gamma \alpha \dot{v} \omega \sigma \varepsilon v$.

av̉兀òv. Accusative direct object of àvék $\lambda \iota v \varepsilon v$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \varphi \alpha ́ \tau v \eta!$. Locative. The term pátv $\eta$ refers to a manger or feeding box. It could perhaps also be a stable, or a feeding-place under the open sky (BDAG, 1050; LN 6.137).

סוótı. Causal.
 ized dative of possession construction serves to highlight "the lack of a то́тос."

ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon \mathfrak{c} \mu i \dot{1}$.
av่̉oĩc. Dative of advantage. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu i ̃ v$.
то́тос. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} \nu$.
 $\pi \alpha v \delta o \chi \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} 0 v$ to refer to an "inn," suggesting to some that he means something different by като́ $\lambda \nu \mu \alpha$ here. In 22:11, кат $\dot{\lambda} \nu \mu \alpha$ does not refer to an inn, but rather to a "guest room," and it is likely that Luke's point here is that the guest room with relatives or friends was full.

## Luke 2:8-21

${ }^{8}$ Now, there were shepherds in that area, who were living outdoors and keeping watch during the night over their flock. ${ }^{9}$ And an angel of the Lord appeared to them and the glory of the Lord shone around them; and they were very afraid. ${ }^{10} \mathrm{The}$ angel said to them, "Do not be afraid, for I am announcing to you incredibly joyous news that will be for all the people: ${ }^{11}$ Today a Savior has been born for you, who is Christ the Lord, in the city of David. ${ }^{12}$ And this will be the sign for you: You will find a baby wrapped in cloths and lying in a manger." ${ }^{13} \mathrm{And}$ suddenly there with the angel was a multitude of the army of heaven that were praising God and saying, ${ }^{14 " G l o r y}$ to God in the highest places and on earth peace among men of (his) good pleasure."
${ }^{15}$ Now when the angels had gone away from them into heaven, the shepherds began saying to one another, "Let's make the journey (all the way) to Bethlehem and see this thing that has happened, which the Lord has made known to us!" ${ }^{16}$ So they hurried off and found Mary and Joseph and the baby lying in the manger. ${ }^{17}$ When they saw (this), they shared the account of the message that had been spoken to them about this child. ${ }^{18}$ And all who heard were amazed at what had been said to them by the shepherds. ${ }^{19}$ Meanwhile, Mary was keeping all these things in mind and pondering (them) in her heart. ${ }^{20}$ And the shepherds returned glorifying and praising God for all that they had heard and seen, just as had been spoken to them. ${ }^{21}$ And when the eight days relating to his circumcision were over, they (circumcised him and) named him Jesus, (the name) he had been given by the angel before he was conceived in the womb.

##  

Kaì. Although the scene shifts to a new location, Luke does not mark what follows as a new development in the narrative (using $\delta \dot{\varepsilon})$. Indeed, the complete absence of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ in verses $8-16$ suggests to Levinsohn $(2000,76)$ that these verses set the scene for the next new development in the story, which is marked by $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ in verse 17 , where "the shepherds interact with the principle characters."
$\pi о \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu$.


 tive or imperfect periphrastic). The verb denotes: "to spend time outdoors, with the possible implication of living outdoors" (LN
 could modify $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha v$, ruling out the periphrastic interpretation (cf. $1: 10$ on $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon u \chi o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. On the other hand, if the PP modifies the periphrastic construction, it would be natural to place it where it is rather than at the end of the sentence, even if it breaks up the constituents of that construction: "And shepherds were living outdoors and keeping watch during the night over their flock in that area."
$\varphi \cup \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma 0 v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Pres act ptc masc nom $\mathrm{pl} \varphi \cup \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega$ (attributive or imperfect periphrastic; see above).

甲илака̀¢. Cognate accusative. Plummer (55) and Fitzmyer (1:409) both suggest that the plural form points to the shepherds guarding their flocks in shifts.

т $\tilde{c} \varsigma$ vuktòs. Genitive of time or attributive genitive ("night watches"; cf. Fitzmyer, 1:409).

غ̇ $\pi \grave{i} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \mathbf{\prime} \dot{\mu} \nu \eta \nu$. Locative. See also 1:12 on $\varepsilon$ ह̇ ${ }^{\prime}$ aủtóv.
aủtũv. Possessive genitive.


ä $\gamma ү \varepsilon \lambda \mathbf{\lambda}$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \eta$.
корiov. Genitive of source (see also 1:11).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \tau \eta$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \eta \mu$. This verb, which often has the connotation of suddenness (BDAG, 418.1), is used almost exclusively by Luke ( 18 times) in the NT (only 3 times elsewhere).

$\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\mathbf { o }} \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Nominative subject of $\pi \varepsilon \rho 1 \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \mu \psi \varepsilon v$.
корiov. Genitive of source.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \mu \psi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \operatorname{sg} \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \omega$.
av̉兀ov́c. Accusative complement of $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon ่ \lambda \alpha \mu \psi \varepsilon v$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi о \beta \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$. Aor mid ind 3rd pl $\varphi$ о $\beta \dot{\varepsilon} o \mu \alpha ı$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
بóßov. Cognate accusative. Lit. "they feared a fear."


$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av̉тoiç. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu i ̃ v$.
ó äүү₹入oc. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \frac{\pi}{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$.
$\varphi \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tilde{\tau}_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Pres mid impv 2nd pl $\varphi$ о $\beta \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$ (prohibition). See also 1:13 on poßoṽ.
ídov̀. See 1:20.
үà̀ $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).

$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon \dot{\jmath} \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda i \zeta \omega$.
$\chi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu \mu \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \boldsymbol{\nu}$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \cup 3 \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda i \zeta \omega$.
ท̈rıc. Nominative subject of हैб $\sigma \alpha$. For more on the so-called indefinite relative pronoun, see 1:20 on oïtıves.

ह̇бтаı. Fut ind 3rd sg عíhi.
$\pi \alpha v \tau i ̀ \tau \tilde{\omega} \lambda \alpha \tilde{\omega}$. Dative of advantage.

##  $\pi o ́ \lambda \varepsilon ı \Delta a v i \delta$.

ö $\tau$. Introduces a clause that is epexegetical to $\chi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ \nu ~ \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta$. Since $\varepsilon v ̉ \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda i \zeta o \mu \alpha \iota ~ a l r e a d y ~ h a s ~ a ~ c o m p l e m e n t ~(\chi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \nu ~ \mu \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \nu$ ), the ötı cannot introduce a clausal complement (indirect discourse) of $\varepsilon \dot{J} \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda i \zeta$ о $\mu \alpha$ ı. Similarly, taking it as causal is unlikely given the
preceding causal clause (contra Marshall, 109, who says it expresses both content and reason).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \boldsymbol{\eta} \eta$. Aor pass ind 3rd sg тíkт $\omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative of advantage. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu i ̃ v$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega \tau \grave{\eta} \rho$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \theta \eta$.
öc. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ह̇бтıv.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$. On the loss of accent, see $1: 18$ on عiju.

X $\boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ òs. Predicate nominative of
кv́pıos. Nominative in apposition to Xpıбтòs.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \pi o ́ \lambda \varepsilon ı$. Locative.
$\Delta$ avid. "The city where David reigned."



тои̃тo. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative of advantage.
тò $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} 0 v$. Predicate nominative of a verbless equative clause.
 The whole clause stands in apposition to the cataphoric demonstrative тoũto (see also 10:11 on тoũтo).
$\varepsilon \dot{\varphi} \rho \dot{\jmath} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \varepsilon$. Fut act ind $2 \mathrm{nd} \mathrm{pl} \mathrm{\varepsilon} \mathrm{\dot{ } \mathrm{\rho} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \kappa \omega$ (predictive future). }
$\beta \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \boldsymbol{\rho}$. Neuter accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \dot{\rho} \rho \eta \dot{\jmath \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon . ~}$
غ̇б $\pi \alpha \rho \gamma \alpha v \omega \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v o v$. Prf pass ptc neut acc sg $\sigma \pi \alpha \rho \gamma \alpha v o ́ \omega$. Although the participle could be viewed as attributive, it is better to treat it as the complement in an object-complement double accusative construction (see also 2:46; 7:10; 11:25; 19:30; 24:2). First, the verb عن́píбк $\omega$ clearly can take a double accusative (see, e.g., Acts 5:10). Second, the complement in such constructions is often a participle. Finally, the attributive analysis clearly does not work in some analogous texts (e.g., 2:46; 11:25).
 above).
èv $\varphi$ á $\tau v \underset{1}{ }$. Locative.
 $\rho a v i o v a i v o v ́ v \tau \omega v ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \theta \varepsilon o ̀ v ~ к a i ̀ ~ \lambda \varepsilon \gamma o ́ v \tau \omega v, ~$
$\bar{\varepsilon} \xi \propto i \varphi v \eta \varsigma$. This temporal adverb refers "to an extremely short period of time between a previous state or event and a subsequent state or event" (LN 67.113).

غ̇ץย̇veto. Aor mid ind 3rd sg $\gamma$ ivoual.
$\sigma u ̀ v \tau \tilde{\omega}$ à $\gamma \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda(\omega$. . Association.
$\pi \lambda \tilde{\eta} \theta$ oc. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \tau 0$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \boldsymbol{a} \varsigma$. Partitive genitive.
oủpavıov. Genitive of source or attributive genitive.
aỉvov́vt $\omega v$. Pres act ptc masc gen pl aivé $\omega$ (attributive, modifying $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \tau a ̃ \varsigma)$.
tòv $\theta \varepsilon$ òv. Accusative direct object of aivoúvt $\omega v$.
$\lambda \varepsilon \gamma o ́ v \tau \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc gen pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (attributive, modifying $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau ı \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma)$.

##  عv̉ঠокі́ac.

$\Delta \dot{\mathbf{o}} \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
ह̇v $\mathfrak{v} \psi i \sigma \tau \boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Given the conjoined clause, this should be taken as locative: "the highest place," i.e., heaven (Marshall, 111; BDAG, 1045.1).
$\theta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tilde{\varphi}$. . Dative of advantage.
ènì $\gamma \tilde{\eta} c$. Locative.
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\imath} \boldsymbol{\eta}$. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.

عúסoкiac. Most scholars now agree that the phrase $\dot{\alpha} v \theta \rho \dot{\sigma} \pi \boldsymbol{\tau}$ عủסokiac reflects a common first century Jewish way of expressing "those upon whom God's favor rests" (see, e.g., Plummer, 58; Marshall, 112; Fitzmyer, 1:411-12; Bock, 1:220). It should, therefore, not be read as a description of people who themselves show good will. The variant reading with the nominative eviסoкia ( ${ }^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}^{2}$ $\mathrm{L} \Theta \Xi \Psi \Re f^{1,13} p m$ ), would place $\varepsilon u ̉ \delta o \kappa i \alpha$ in apposition to $\varepsilon i \rho \eta \dot{\prime} \downarrow \eta$. The genitive reading ev̉סoкiac, however, has stronger manuscript support ( $\boldsymbol{\aleph}^{*} \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{~B} \mathrm{~B}^{\star} \mathrm{D} \mathbf{p} \boldsymbol{c}$ ) and is preferred.




ė $\gamma \varepsilon$ ह́veto. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual.
$\dot{\omega} \varsigma$. Temporal. Although $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \tau \frac{\omega}{\omega} \varsigma$ followed by a finite verb occurs frequently in the LXX (Gen 27:30; Deut 5:23; Judg 2:4; 1 Sam $4: 18$; cf. Nolland, $1: 66$ ), the construction is limited to Luke's gospel in the NT (1:23, 41; 19:29; cf. 11:1).
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \mathbf{o v}$. Aor act ind 3rd pl ànćp $\chi о \mu a 1$.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ' av̀t $\tilde{\omega} v$. Separation.
عís tòv oủpavòv. Locative.
oi $\alpha$ ä $\gamma \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda}$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta$ ov.
oi $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\prime} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda o u v$. The subject is naturally fronted to shift focus to the shepherds.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda o u v$. Impf act ind 3rd pl $\lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. On the ingressive translation, see 1:59 on ėка́入ouv.
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \alpha ̀ \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda o v c$. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
$\Delta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \hat{\varepsilon} \omega \omega \mu \varepsilon v$. Aor act subj 1st pl $\delta \iota \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi o \mu \alpha ı$ (hortatory). Plummer (59) notes Luke's fondness for this verb, which is used thirty-one times in Luke/Acts compared to twelve times in the rest of the NT.
 $9: 38 ; 11: 19,22$ ). In each case, the construction seems to communicate or acknowledge that a relatively significant amount of travel is involved.
$\delta \grave{\eta}$. This conjunction is a marker "of relatively weak emphasis" (LN 91.6).
ع̌ $\omega \varsigma$ В $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \mu$. Locative.
$\imath \delta \omega \mu \varepsilon v$. Aor act subj 1st pl ópáw (hortatory).
тò $\dot{\rho} \tilde{\eta} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ тoṽтo. Accusative direct object of $\uparrow \delta \omega \omega \mu \varepsilon$.
$\gamma \varepsilon \gamma o v o ̀ c$. Prf act ptc neut acc sg $\gamma$ ivoual (attributive).
ő. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \vee \omega \dot{\rho} \iota \sigma \varepsilon v$.


$\dot{\eta} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma v \dot{\omega} \rho \iota \sigma \varepsilon v$.


$\tilde{j} \lambda \theta a v \sigma \pi \varepsilon \dot{\prime} \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Lit. "they went hurrying." The translation follows the NET Bible.
 always uses $\tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta$ ov except here. Similarly, he uses the form $\tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \alpha v$ twice out of eleven examples in Acts. In contrast, he prefers عĩ $\pi \alpha v$ over عĩtov (see 1:61).
$\sigma \pi \varepsilon v \dot{\sigma} \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom $\mathrm{pl} \sigma \pi \varepsilon v \dot{\delta} \omega$ (manner).
$\dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon \tilde{v} \rho a v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon u \rho i \sigma \kappa \omega$. The compound verb likely points to the intentional searching associated with finding the location (cf. LN 27.28) rather than highlighting the moment of discovery (contra Bovon, 1:92). While عúpíбк occurs only with the 2nd aorist ending ( -ov ) for the third plural form in the NT, Luke uses the 1st aorist ending ( $-\alpha v$ ) with the compound form of the verb.
 object of $\alpha v \varepsilon \tilde{v} p a v$.
$\kappa \varepsilon i \mu \varepsilon v o v$. Pres mid ptc neut acc sg кعĩ $\mu \alpha$. The participle should be understood as the complement in an object-complement double accusative construction (see 2:12 on $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \gamma a v \omega \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v o v$ ), though it could be attributive.


##  av̉тoĩৎ $\pi \varepsilon \rho \grave{~ \tau o v ̃ ~} \pi \alpha เ \delta i o v ~ \tau o v ่ \tau o v . ~$

ídóvtec. Aor act ptc masc nom pl ópá $\omega$ (temporal).
dè. See verse 8 on Kaì.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \omega \boldsymbol{\rho} เ \sigma \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd $\mathrm{pl} \gamma \nu \omega \rho i \zeta \omega$. There is no indirect object specified for $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma v \omega \dot{\rho}$ เбav. This has led some to assume the object is Mary and Joseph (e.g., CEV: "they told his parents"), which might be supported by verse 19 , and others to take the direct object as unspecified people who heard the shepherds' account of these events (e.g., NIV: "they spread the word"), which is supported by verse 18. Luke's syntax may intentionally allow for both interpretations, as does our translation, since the context makes it clear that both are true (cf. Reiling and Swellengrebel, 120).
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \grave{i}$ то⿱丷 $\mathbf{~} \mathfrak{\eta} \eta \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{c}$. Reference. Lit. "they made known about the word..."
$\lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Aor pass ptc neut gen sg $\lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (attributive). av̉тoĩc. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau o \varsigma$.




 of $\varepsilon$ غ̇av́ $\mu \alpha \sigma \alpha v$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \theta \alpha \dot{u} \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \theta \alpha \nu \mu \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \grave{̀} \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \omega v$. Reference. The verb $\theta a v \mu \alpha \dot{\zeta} \zeta \omega$ is rarely used with $\pi \varepsilon \rho i$ (cf. Josephus, Ant. 3.322; Plutarch, Quest. conv. 615.E.11). The sense here may be "all who heard wondered about what had been said."
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \omega v$. Aor pass ptc neut gen $\mathrm{pl} \lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (substantival). vísò $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \pi \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\mu} \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \omega v$. Ultimate agency.
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ́ \varsigma . ~ I n d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~(s e e ~ 1: 13 ~ o n ~ \pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$



$\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha \ldots$. . $\tau \grave{\alpha} \dot{\rho} \eta \dot{\mu} \mu \tau \alpha \alpha \tau \alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\sigma u v-$ $\varepsilon \tau \eta \dot{\rho} \varepsilon$. The fronting of the adjective, resulting in a discontinuous NP, may add intensity to the NP (cf. the parallel language in 2:51:
 see also 1:65; 7:1; Acts 5:20). Less likely, Távta alone could be the direct object of $\sigma u v \varepsilon \tau \eta \dot{\rho} \varepsilon$, with $\tau \alpha ̀ ~ \dot{\rho} \eta \mu \mu \tau \alpha$ тaṽ $\tau \alpha$ serving as the direct object of $\sigma v \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o v \sigma \alpha$ : "Mary was keeping everything in mind and pondering these things in her heart."
$\sigma u v \varepsilon \tau \dot{\rho} \rho \varepsilon$. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\sigma u v \tau \eta \rho \varepsilon ́ \omega$.
$\sigma v \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ov $\sigma \alpha$. Pres act ptc fem nom sg $\sigma \nu \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ (attendant circumstance; see 1:24 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma o v \sigma \alpha)$. The verb, used with $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\eta}$ карסiá, means to "give careful thought to, consider, ponder" (BDAG, 956.2).
 av̉тŋ̃c. Possessive genitive.

 aủtov́s.
$\dot{v} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \psi \boldsymbol{\alpha} v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \dot{\jmath} \pi о \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$. oi $\pi о ц \varepsilon \dot{v \varepsilon \varsigma . ~ N o m i n a t i v e ~ s u b j e c t ~ o f ~} \dot{\tau} \pi о \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$.

aivoũvtec. Pres act ptc masc nom pl aivé่ (manner).

غ̇лı̀ $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota v$. Cause.
oĩc. Dative by attraction to $\pi \tilde{\sigma} \sigma เ v(\operatorname{see} 5: 9$ on $\tilde{\omega} v$ ). Without attraction we would have expected the neuter accusative $\ddot{\alpha}$, since the relative pronoun is the syntactic direct object of ぞкоибаv кaì عĩठov.
ŋ̋коvбav. Aor act ind 3rd pl ảкоv́ $\omega$.
عĩסov. Aor act ind 3rd pl ópá $\omega$.
$\kappa \alpha \theta \grave{\omega} \varsigma$. Introduces an analogy between what they had heard and seen and what God had told them through the angel.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$. Aor pass ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \operatorname{sg} \lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a u ̉ \tau o u ́ c . ~ I n d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~(s e e ~ 1: 13 ~ o n ~ \pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$



ö $\tau \varepsilon$. Temporal. On the significance of the temporal construction, see 2:6 on عĩval.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$. Aor pass ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \pi i \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu \mathrm{l}$.

 lit. "the eight days for circumcising him"; contra Burk, 87-88, who labels it purpose).
aủtóv. Accusative direct object of $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \tau \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \tau v$.
кaì. Plummer (62) argues that the conjunction is almost like the English "then," and introduces an "apodosis." Nowhere else in Luke/Acts (though perhaps Acts 22:20) is the main clause
introduced with кai after a őt clause，though кai is often present when the main clause follows an infinitival temporal clause with غ̇ $\gamma \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \tau о ~(s e e, ~ e . g ., ~ 2: 6, ~ 28 ; ~ 9: 18, ~ 51 ; ~ 14: 1 ; ~ 19: 15 ; ~ 24: 15) . ~$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$ ．Aor pass ind 3rd sg ка入є́ $\omega$ ．
тò ővoua．Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$ ．Lit．＂his name was called Jesus．＂
av่̉oṽ．Possessive genitive．
＇İбoũc．Complement in a subject－complement double nomina－ tive construction（see 1：32 on viòs）．

тò $\kappa \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon ̇ \varepsilon$ ．Aor pass ptc neut nom sg $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega$（substantival）． Nominative in apposition to tò ővo $\mu \alpha$ ．
v́лò тoũ ả $\gamma \gamma \dot{\text { ćlov．Ultimate agency．}}$
$\sigma v \lambda \lambda \eta \mu \varphi \theta \tilde{\eta} v \alpha a$ ．Aor pass inf $\sigma u \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ ．Used with $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o v ̃ ~ t o ~$ denote subsequent time，i．e．，the event of the main verb precedes the event of the infinitive（cf．Wallace，596）．When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition，they are always articular（Burk，81）． On the meaning of the verb，see also 1：24 on $\sigma u v \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon v$ ．
av̉兀òv．Accusative subject of $\sigma \cup \lambda \lambda \eta \mu \varphi \theta \tilde{\eta} v a u$ ．
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \underline{1}$ коı入iạ．Locative．

## Luke 2：22－40

${ }^{22}$ When the days leading up to their purification were finished， according to the law of Moses，they brought him up to Jerusalem to present（him）to the Lord－${ }^{23}$ just as it is written in the Law of the Lord that every male who opens the womb will be called holy to the Lord－${ }^{24}$ and in order to give a sacrifice according to what is said in the Law of the Lord：＂a pair of doves or two young pigeons．＂
${ }^{25}$ Now，there was a man in Jerusalem whose name was Simeon． This man was righteous and devout，waiting for the consolation of Israel；and the Holy Spirit was upon him．${ }^{26}$ It had been revealed to him by the Holy Spirit that he would not die until he saw the Christ of the Lord．${ }^{27} \mathrm{He}$ came in the Spirit into the temple precincts；and as the parents brought the child Jesus in，in order that they might do in regard to him according to what is customary from the Law， ${ }^{28}$ he took him in his arms and blessed God and said，
${ }^{29 " N o w ~ y o u ~ a r e ~ d i s m i s s i n g ~ y o u r ~ s e r v a n t ~ i n ~ p e a c e, ~ L o r d, ~ a c c o r d-~}$ ing to your word，
${ }^{30}$ for my eyes have seen your salvation
${ }^{31}$ which you prepared in front of all the people,
${ }^{32}$ a light to give revelation to the Gentiles and glory to your people Israel."
${ }^{33} \mathrm{His}$ father and mother were amazed at the things that were being said about him. ${ }^{34}$ Then Simeon blessed them and said to Mary, his mother, "This (child) is destined to lead to the fall and rise of many in Israel and to be a sign to be spoken against ${ }^{35}$ indeed, a sword will go through your own soul-so that the thinking of many hearts might be revealed."
${ }^{36}$ Now, Anna was a prophetess, a daughter of Phanuel from the tribe of Asher. She was extremely old, since she had lived with her husband for seven years after their marriage, ${ }^{37}$ and she had been a widow for eighty-four years, who did not leave the temple (but) worshipped with fasting and prayer night and day. ${ }^{38} \mathrm{At}$ that very moment, she approached (them) and began giving thanks to God and speaking about him to all who were waiting for the redemption of Jerusalem.
${ }^{39}$ Now, when they had completed everything the Law of the Lord required, they returned to Galilee, to their own city of Nazareth. ${ }^{40} \mathrm{And}$ so the child grew and became strong, becoming filled with wisdom, and the grace of God was upon him.

##   $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \tilde{\sigma \alpha \iota} \tau \tilde{\omega}$ кขрі̣ $\varphi$,

Kaì. Although the ő $\tau \varepsilon$ clause shifts the scene temporally, and aorist verbs continue to move it forward ( $\mathfrak{\alpha} v \dot{\eta} \gamma \alpha \gamma o v, ~ v . ~ 22 ; ~ \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$,
 throughout what follows (vv. 22-39) to link clauses, avoiding a developmental $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ until verse 40.
ő $\tau \varepsilon$. Temporal. On the significance of the temporal construction, see $2: 6$ on हĩval.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$. Aor pass ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \pi \dot{\mu} \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu$.
ai $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \alpha \mathbf{1}$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta \sigma \sigma \alpha$.
тои̃ ка $\theta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{v}$. Epexegetical genitive. Lit. "the days of purification."
av่̉ $\tilde{\omega} v$. Objective genitive. The unexpected use of the plural
form，rather than $\alpha u ̉ \tau \eta ̃ \varsigma ~ r e f e r r i n g ~ t o ~ M a r y ~ a l o n e, ~ l i k e l y ~ r e f l e c t s ~ L u k e ~$ portraying the purification rites as a＂family matter＂（Nolland， 1：117）．

катà tòv vó $\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{o v}$ ．Standard．
M $\omega$ üбと́ $\omega$ c．＂The law that Moses wrote．＂
$\dot{\alpha} v \dot{\eta} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \gamma \mathbf{o v}$ ．Aor act ind 3rd pl $\dot{\alpha} v \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega$ ．
av̉兀òv．Accusative direct object of áv $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \alpha \gamma o v$ ．
عic＇İробо́ $\lambda \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ．Locative．Luke prefers this Greek form of the name（Plummer，64），though he also uses the Jewish form（see v． 25）．
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \mathrm{L}$ ．Aor act inf $\pi \alpha \rho i \sigma \tau \eta \mu \mathrm{I}$（purpose）．
$\tau \tilde{\mu} \kappa v \rho i(\varphi$. Dative indirect object of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha u$.

##  

$\kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\omega} \varsigma$ ．Introduces a comparison or analogy．
$\gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \rho a \pi \tau \alpha 1$ ．Prf pass ind 3rd sg $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \varphi \omega$ ．The use of the perfect tense may help highlight the significance of the scriptural quota－ tion（cf．Campbell 2007，208－9）．Luke appears to be alluding to Exod 13：2， 12.

غ̇v vó $\mu \boldsymbol{\omega}$ ．Locative．
кขрiov．Genitive of source．
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．Introduces a clause that is epexegetical to the unexpressed subject of $\gamma \varepsilon ́ \gamma \rho a \pi \tau \alpha 1$.
$\Pi \tilde{a} v$ ä $\rho \sigma \varepsilon v$ ．Nominative subject of $\kappa \lambda \eta \theta \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \alpha u$ ．
$\delta \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{v o i ̃} \gamma \mathbf{o v}$ ．Pres act ptc neut nom sg $\delta \iota \alpha v o i \gamma \omega$（attributive）．
$\mu \eta \dot{\eta} \rho a v$ ．Accusative direct object of $\delta$ avoĩ $\gamma o v$ ．The choice of $\mu \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \rho($ only here and Rom 4：19 in the NT）rather than the synony－ mous and more common koi入ia，which Luke has already used five times（ 22 in the NT），is dictated by the fact that it rather than кoi入ia is characteristically used with $\delta \iota \alpha v o i \gamma \omega$ or àvoi $\gamma \omega$（see LXX）．
ä $\boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{o v}$ ．Complement in a subject－complement double nomina－ tive construction（see 1：32 on viòs）．
$\tau \underset{\sim}{\tilde{c}}$ кvpị̣．Dative of advantage．
$\boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\eta} \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathbf{\alpha}$ ．Fut pass ind 3 rd sg ка入 $\dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ ．



тoṽ $\delta o u ̃ v a ı . ~ A o r ~ a c t ~ i n f ~ \delta i \delta \omega \mu ı ~(p u r p o s e) . ~$
Ovoiav. Accusative direct object of סoũval.
катà тò $\varepsilon \grave{\rho \eta} \mu$ и́vov. Standard.
тò $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \dot{\rho} \rho \eta \mu \dot{\chi} \boldsymbol{v o v} v$. Prf pass ptc neut acc sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (substantival). On the force of the perfect, see 2:23 on $\gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \rho a \pi \tau \alpha$.

غ̇v $\tau \tilde{\varrho}$ vó $\mu \varphi$. Locative.
кирíov. Genitive of source.
 Although the allusion is to Lev 12:8, the use of そعũץoc (neut sg) here, rather than $\delta$ v́o, matches Lev 5:11.
$\tau \rho v \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v} \omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Partitive genitive.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \tilde{\omega} v$. Attributed genitive.



ídov̀. Levinsohn $(1992,113)$ notes that íOov is sometimes used to introduce a major character in a narrative, as here. See also 1:20.
$\alpha \ddot{\alpha} v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma$. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} v$.
ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$ i.
$\grave{\varepsilon} v$ ’İpouøa入̀̀ $\mu$. Locative.
$\dot{\omega}$. Dative of possession. Lit. "to whom the name was Simeon" (cf. 1:26, 27; 8:41; 24:13).
ővoua. Nominative subject in a verbless relative equative clause.
$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\omega} v$. Predicate nominative of a verbless equative clause.
 clause.
 clause. The term $\varepsilon \dot{v} \lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\eta} \varsigma$ is used only by Luke in the NT (see also Acts 2:5; 8:2; 22:12). Plummer (66) notes that Plutarch uses this term in the sense of "carefulness about religious duties, piety." It is possible that the conjoined terms סíkaıoৎ кai qủ $\lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\eta} \varsigma$ represent a
 Simeon's devotion to the Law.
$\pi \rho o \sigma \delta \varepsilon \chi$ о́ $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v o c .}$ ．Pres mid ptc masc nom sg $\pi \rho o \sigma \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi$ о $\mu \alpha$ ． Although the participle could be taken as temporal（＂while he awaited ．．．＂），given the lack of an explicit main verb it is perhaps better to take it as an additional predicate in apposition to סikaıos кaì عủ入んßウ่s．
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \dot{\kappa} \lambda \eta \sigma \tau v$ ．Accusative direct object of $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \dot{\mu} \varepsilon v o \varsigma$.
то $\mathfrak{v}$＇Iб $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\eta} \lambda$ ．Objective genitive．
$\pi v \varepsilon \tilde{v} \mu \alpha \ldots$ ．．ä $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{o v}$ ．Although it is unusual for $\tilde{\eta} v$ to come between the noun and its attributive adjective，both words should be con－ strued as the nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} v$ ．The discontinuous NP may lend prominence to this clause．

ท̃v．Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ ci $\mu$ i．
غ̇ $\pi^{\prime}$ av̉tóv．Locative（see 1：12 on $\grave{\varepsilon} \pi^{\prime}$ aủtóv）．



ก̃v．Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ ciui．

$\kappa \varepsilon \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon \dot{v} \boldsymbol{v} v$ ．Prf pass ptc neut nom sg $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau i \zeta \omega$（plu－ perfect periphrastic）．The periphrastic construction is equivalent to a simple pluperfect verb（see 1：10 on $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon v \chi o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v)$ ．The verb means＂to impart a divine message，to make known a divine injunc－ tion or warning＂（BDAG，1089．1）．

$\mu \grave{~ i ̀ ~} \delta \varepsilon i ̃ v ~ \theta a ́ v a \tau o v . ~ L i t . ~ " n o t ~ s e e ~ d e a t h . " ~ " ~$
ídeĩv．Aor act inf ópá $\omega$（indirect discourse；subject of the passive verb）．
$\theta \dot{\alpha} v a \tau 0 v$. Accusative direct object of ídeiv．
$\pi \rho i ̀ v$［ $\eta$ ］．Temporal．
$\ell \delta \mathrm{n}$ ．Aor act subj 3rd sg ópáw．Subjunctive with $\ddot{\partial} v$ ．This is one of two passages in the NT，both in Luke＇s writings（see also Acts $25: 16$ ），where $\pi \rho i v$ is used with an indicative verb rather than an infinitive（McKay，161）．

кирiov．The genitive should likely be taken as source（＂the Messiah from the Lord＂）or subjective（＂the one anointed by the Lord＂）．



$\tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg ép $\quad$ о $\mu \alpha \mathrm{l}$ ．
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\varphi} \pi v \varepsilon \dot{v} \mu \alpha \tau \iota$ ．This expression is likely an idiomatic way of referring to being under the influence of the Spirit（see also 4：1；cf． 10：21）．

عiç tò ícoóv．Locative．The term ícoóv refers to the temple pre－ cincts，as opposed to vóo $\varsigma$ ，which refers to the temple sanctuary proper（cf．1：9 on عís tòv vaòv）．As Bock（1：240）notes，given the presence of Mary，they must have been in either the court of the Gentiles or the court of women．
 temporaneous time（see also $1: 8$ on iepatev่eเv）．When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition，they are always articular（Burk， 81）．On the use of the aorist infinitive，see $3: 21$ on $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} v a l$. On the significance of the temporal construction，see $2: 6$ on हivval．
 term，rather than the more specific＂Joseph and Mary，＂helps keep the focus of attention on Jesus rather than on his parents（cf．Runge \＄15．2．3）．

＇İбooũv．Accusative in appositon to tò touliov．The redundant use of＇I $\eta$ ooṽ to identify the child，which linguists called＂over－ specification，＂serves to help keep the focus on Jesus rather than on his parents，who are the ones carrying out the action（cf．Runge \＄15．2．3）．

aủtov̀c．Accusative subject of toiñ $\sigma a$.

тò $\varepsilon i \theta$ $\theta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v o v$ ．Prf pass ptc neut acc $\operatorname{sg} \dot{\varepsilon} \theta i \zeta \omega$（substantival）．This verb means to＂conform to custom or tradition＂（BDAG，276）．

то⿱亠乂刂 vó $\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{0} \mathbf{v}$ ．Genitive of source or epexegetical genitive．
$\pi \varepsilon \rho i ̀ ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ̃ . ~ R e f e r e n c e . ~$
 $\theta \varepsilon o ̀ v$ кaì $\varepsilon i ̃ \pi \varepsilon v$ ，
av่̉òs．Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \xi a \tau 0$ ．The referent is Simeon． On the use of the conjunction with aútós here，see $4: 15$ ．
 arms．＂

モ̇ $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \xi a \tau 0$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \frac{\mu a ı . ~}{\text { ．}}$
$\boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathbf{v} \tau \mathbf{o ̀} . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~ \varepsilon ̇ \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \xi a \tau o . ~$
عís tàc á $\gamma \kappa \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda a \varsigma$ ．Locative．
عủ入ó $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon \cup ̉ \lambda o \gamma \varepsilon ́ \omega$ ．
тòv $\theta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ òv．Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon u ̉ \lambda o ́ \gamma \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu$ ．
$\varepsilon \tilde{i} \pi \varepsilon \nu$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．

##  

Nṽv．The sentence－initial position likely makes the adverb more emphatic．
 used as a euphemistic way to refer to death（see，e．g．，LXX Gen 15：2；Num 20：29）．Bock（1：241）suggests that the present tense used with $v \tilde{v} v$＂serves to express the readiness of the watcher to die．＂ Plummer（68）argues that the three words－áro入v́عı，$\delta$ oṽ ${ }^{\prime}$ óv，and $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \pi 0 \tau \alpha-$＂show that the figure is that of a manumission of a slave， or of his release from a long task．＂
 accent，see $1: 13$ on $\eta$ $\delta$ غ́ $\eta \sigma$ ís．
oov．Possessive genitive．
ठ́̇блота．Vocative．
$\boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \grave{\alpha}$ тò $\dot{\rho} \tilde{\eta} \mu \dot{\alpha}$ ．Standard．On the second accent，see $1: 13$ on $\dot{\eta}$ ঠ́̇ๆбi¢．
oov．Subjective genitive．
ع̇v $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \rho \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\imath} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ．Manner．

## 2：30 őtı عĩ $\delta o v$ oi ỏ $\varphi \theta a \lambda \mu$ oí $\mu$ ov тò $\sigma \omega \tau \eta ́ \rho เ o ́ v ~ \sigma o v$,

ő $\tau$ ．Introduces a causal clause．
عíSov．Aor act ind 3rd pl ó $\rho a ́ \omega$ ．
oi $\mathbf{o} \varphi \theta \boldsymbol{a} \lambda \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \tilde{i} \delta o v$. The phrase oi ò $\varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu o i ́ \mu o v$ is a synecdoche (see 1:46 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \psi v \chi \grave{\prime} \mu \circ v$ ) for "I." $\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Possessive genitive.
тò $\sigma \omega \tau \dot{\prime} \rho \iota o ́ v$. Accusative direct object of عĩ $\delta$ ov. The use of the less common substantival form of the adjective $\sigma \omega \tau$ ท́pıos ( 5 times in the NT, 3 in Luke/Acts), rather than the noun $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i \alpha$ ( 46 times in the NT), should probably be attributed to the poetic nature of this discourse (cf. 3:6). On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס $\dot{\text { É }} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ic. oov. Subjective genitive.

## 2:31 ö ŋ̀ $\tau \circ \dot{\prime} \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi о v ~ \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega \nu \tau \tilde{\omega} v \lambda \alpha \tilde{\omega} v$,

ö. Accusative direct object of $\mathfrak{\eta} \tau o \dot{\mu} \mu \sigma \alpha \varsigma$.

ката̀ $\pi \rho \mathbf{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega \pi \mathbf{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v}$. Lit. "according to the face." Here, this phrase is an idiom meaning, "a position in front of an object, with the implication of direct sight" (LN 83.34; cf. BDAG, 888.1.b. $\beta .7$; Acts 3:13; 16:9 v.l.).
$\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \lambda \alpha \tilde{\omega} v$. Possessive genitive.

## 

Lit. "A light for revelation of the Gentiles and glory of your people Israel."
$\varphi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$. Accusative in apposition to tò $\sigma \omega \tau \eta$ poóv.
عíc à $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \psi \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{v}$. Purpose.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \theta v \tilde{\omega} v$. Genitive of reference.
$\delta \mathbf{o} \xi \boldsymbol{\xi} v$. This accusative could be conjoined to either $\varphi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$ (salvation ... namely, a light that brings revelation to the Gentiles and [is] the glory of Israel) or to $\dot{\alpha} \pi о к \dot{\alpha} \lambda \cup \psi \iota \nu$ (salvation . . . namely, a light that brings revelation to the Gentiles and [brings] glory to Israel).
$\lambda \alpha o v ̃$. Genitive of reference.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma o v}$. Genitive of relationship.
'I $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{1} \boldsymbol{\eta} \lambda$. Genitive in apposition to $\lambda \alpha o \tilde{v}$.
 $\lambda a \lambda o u \mu \varepsilon ́ v o เ \varsigma ~ \pi \varepsilon \rho i ̀ ~ a u ̉ \tau o v ̃ . ~$
ñv. Impf ind 3rd sg عi $\mu i$ i. McKay (18) notes that with compound
subjects, "If one of the subjects is more important than the others a singular verb may be attached to it, especially when the verb precedes its subject. . . . This is also the case when two (or more) subjects are treated as if they were a single entity," as here (cf. 8:19). In this case, the main verb is singular, while the participle is plural. The imperfective verb ( $\tilde{\eta} v \ldots \theta a v \mu \alpha \dot{\zeta}$ о $\ldots \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ) is used to introduce a background comment about Jesus' parents' state of mind before returning to the mainline of the narrative in verse 34 with aorist verbs.
 $\mu \dot{\alpha} \zeta$ оvtєৎ. The use of the phrase ó $\pi \alpha \tau \eta ̀ \rho$ aủtoṽ кaì $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho$ rather than "Joseph and Mary" helps keep the focus of attention on Jesus rather than on his parents (cf. Runge $\$ 15.2 .3$; see also v .27 on toùs үоvعĩc).
av̉тои̃. Genitive of relationship.
$\theta a v \mu \dot{\alpha} \zeta \boldsymbol{o v \tau \varepsilon}$. Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\theta \alpha u \mu \alpha ́ \zeta \omega$ (imperfect periphrastic; see also 1:10 on $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon v \chi$ о́ $\mu \varepsilon v o v)$ ).

 val).
$\pi \varepsilon \rho i ̀ ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ̃ . ~ R e f e r e n c e . ~$




av̉tov̀c. Accusative direct object of عủ入ó $\gamma \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\eta \dot{\mu i v}$.
$\Sigma u \mu \varepsilon \dot{\omega} v$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon u ̉ \lambda o ́ \gamma \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ M a \rho i a ̀ \mu . ~ I n d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~(s e e ~ 1: 13 ~ o n ~ \pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a u ́ t o ̀ v) . ~$
$\tau \eta ̀ v \mu \eta \tau \dot{\rho} \rho \alpha$ av̉тoṽ. The use of this phrase is clearly redundant, given the fact that Mary has already been a central participant in the narrative and readers were reminded in the previous verse that Mary is Jesus' mother. This overspecified reference to Mary focuses attention on her link to Jesus and "has the effect of confirming the center of attention [is Jesus] since it contradicts the expectation of
the main actor being the center of attention" (Runge $\$ 15.2 .3$; cf. v. 27 on tov̀s үoveĩc).
$\tau \grave{v} \boldsymbol{\nu} \mu \eta \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \alpha$. Accusative in appositon to Mapià $\mu$.
av̉兀oṽ. Genitive of relationship.
'ISoù. See 1:20.
oṽ̃тoc. Nominative subject of кعĩтaı.
кєĩaı. Pres mid ind 3rd sg кєĩนa. Here, the verb means, "be appointed, set, destined" (BDAG, 537.3.a).

$\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \tilde{v}$. Subjective genitive.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\sim}{ }^{\prime}$ 'I $\sigma \rho a \eta ̀ \lambda$. Locative.

$\dot{\alpha} v \tau \iota \lambda \varepsilon \gamma o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v$. Pres pass ptc neut acc sg $\dot{\alpha} v \tau i \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\gamma} \gamma \omega$ (attributive).


$\kappa \alpha i ̀ . ~ T h e ~ e d i t o r s ~ o f ~ t h e ~ U B S ~ ~ a r e ~ l i k e l y ~ c o r r e c t ~ t o ~ m a r k ~ t h i s ~ c l a u s e ~$ off, taking the conjunction as introducing a parenthetical comment, particularly since the text moves "from a broad audience in 2:34, to a personal referent in 2:35a, and then back to a broad audience in 2:35b" (Bock, 1:248; see also Fitzmyer, 1:439-40; Bovon, 1:148).
ooṽ. Possessive genitive.
[ $\delta \mathbf{\varepsilon} \mathbf{\varepsilon}]$. A number of manuscripts (B L W $\Xi \Psi p c$ ) omit the conjunction to smooth out the text. Its presence ( $\kappa$ A D $\Theta 053 f^{f, 13} \mathfrak{M}$ ), along with the fronting of the genitive and the intensive aútñc, shifts focus to Mary (cf. Levinsohn 2000, 62) and perhaps highlights the significance of her pain in the overall development of the narrative. av̉tท̃c. See above on [ $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}]$.
 refers to intense emotional pain. For further discussion, see Bock, 1:248-50.



ö $\pi \omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Purpose, likely modifying the statement in verse 34 rather
than everything between 'Iסov̀ and jo $\mu$ рaia (contra Plummer, $71)$. Luke's preference for ǐva over ö $\pi \omega \varsigma$ in purpose clauses reflects the shift from Attic, where ö $\pi \omega \varsigma$ was dominant, to Koine (see Caragounis, 181-82). Of its fifty-three occurrences in the NT, ö $\pi \omega \varsigma$ is used with äv only four times (also Acts 3:20; 15:17; Rom 3:4), which according to Marshall (123) reflects the classical usage. Rijksbaron ( $62, n .1$ ) suggests that the use of $\alpha v v$ in this construction "indicates that, once the state of affairs of the main clause is realized, it is very well possible that the state of affairs of the dependent clause will be realized as well." It is notable that two of the four instances are quotations from the LXX (Plummer, 71). It is not surprising that the more archaic form appears here as well, given the text's poetic character.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \boldsymbol{\kappa} \alpha \lambda \nu \varphi \theta \tilde{\omega} \sigma \iota v$. Aor pass subj 3 rd pl $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \kappa \alpha \lambda u ́ \pi \tau \omega$. Subjunctive with ő $\pi \omega \varsigma$ öv.
$\grave{\varepsilon} \kappa \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \alpha \rho \delta \mathbf{t} \tilde{\omega} v$. Source. Here, $\kappa \alpha \rho \delta \mathbf{\omega} \tilde{\nu} v$ is a synecdoche (see 1:46 on $\eta \dot{\eta} \psi \chi \dot{\eta} \mu \circ v)$ for "people."


##   

Kaì. There is a clear shift in the narrative focus as Anna is introduced, but Luke continues to use kai rather than the developmental $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ (cf. v. 22).

ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$ i. Luke provides an extensive introduction to Anna using an explicit equative clause here, followed by clauses where $\tilde{\eta} v$ is implied in verses 36 b and 37.
"A $\nu v a$. Nominative subject of $\eta \sim$.
$\pi \rho о \varphi \tilde{\eta} \tau \iota c$. Predicate nominative of $\tilde{\eta} v$.
$\theta v \gamma \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \eta \rho$. Nominative in apposition to "Avva.
Фavovì $\lambda$. Genitive of relationship.
غ̇к $\varphi$ или̃ऽ. Source.
’Аøŋ́p. "The tribe (named after) Asher" or "the tribe (named) Asher."
aű̃ๆ. Nominative subject of an implied equative verb with $\pi \rho о \beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa \nu \tilde{\alpha} \alpha$.
$\pi \rho о \beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa \nu i ̃ \alpha \dot{\varepsilon} v \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \alpha ı \varsigma ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha i ̃ \varsigma$ ．Luke makes use of what appears to be an idiom（see $1: 7$ on $\pi \rho o \beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ̇ v ~ \tau a i ̃ \varsigma ~ \grave{~} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \alpha, \varsigma$ $\alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v ~ \grave{j} \sigma \alpha v)$ ，here emphasizing extreme old age by using the adjec－ tive $\pi$ о $\lambda$ 入aĩc：lit．＂she was advanced in many days．＂
$\pi \rho о \beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa v i \pi \alpha$ ．Prf act ptc fem nom sg $\pi \rho o \beta a i v \omega$（pluperfect peri－ phrastic）．Having introduced Anna with the verb $\mathfrak{j} v$, Luke feels free to leave this verb implicit in the clause that begins verse 37：aủtŋ $\chi \dot{\eta} \rho \alpha$ ．The same is likely the case here（cf．1：7，18）．

 The function of the participle is made more difficult by the lack of an explicit main verb for it to modify．It should likely be viewed as a modifier of the periphrastic（ $\tilde{\eta} v) \pi \rho o \beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa v i \alpha \alpha$（cf．Plummer，72）． The participial clause and verse 37 then provide an explanation for her advanced age．
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \grave{a}$ ảv $\delta \rho$ òs．Association．
है́tŋ $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \dot{\alpha}$ ．Accusative indicating extent of time．
$\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\pi} \grave{o} \tau \eta \tilde{\varsigma} \tau \alpha \rho \theta \varepsilon v i \alpha c$. Temporal．Lit．＂from the time of her virgin－ ity．＂
av̉兀ŋ̃c．Subjective genitive．

 каì $\grave{\mu} \mu \varepsilon ́ \rho a v$.
aủt $\grave{\text { ．}}$ ．Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause．
$\chi \dot{\eta} \rho a$ ．Predicate nominative of a verbless equative clause．
 noted，this construction is ambiguous．It could either indicate that her widowhood had extended from the death of her husband until she was（now）eighty－four years old，or that she had been a widow for a span of eighty－four years，making her age somewhere around 105．Interestingly，many manuscripts（ ${ }^{2} \mathcal{N} \mathrm{~W} \Theta 053 f^{f, 13} \mathfrak{M}$ ）read $\dot{\omega} \varsigma$ rather than $\varepsilon \approx \omega \varsigma$ ，indicating that she had been a widow for＂about 84 years，＂while two manuscripts（D it）omit $\varepsilon$ é $\omega$ ．
ŋ̈．Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \varphi$ íбтатo．
ạ̀íт市тo．Impf mid ind 3rd sg à $\varphi i \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ ．

тоṽ íع $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathbf{v}$. Genitive of separation.
 рعи́ouбa.
$\lambda \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon \dot{v} 0 v \sigma \alpha$. Pres act ptc fem nom sg $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon \dot{v} \omega$ (attendant circumstance; see 1:24 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma o v \sigma \alpha)$. On the meaning of the verb, see 1:74 on $\lambda a \tau \rho \varepsilon$ vicı.
$\boldsymbol{v} \mathbf{\kappa} \kappa \tau \alpha$ каì $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \boldsymbol{\alpha} v$. Accusative indicating extent of time. Hyperbole.

##  

 demonstrative use of aủtóc, see 10:21 on 'Ev aủtñ rñ $\check{n} \rho \alpha$.

غ̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \tilde{a} \sigma \alpha$. Aor act ptc fem nom sg $\varepsilon$ غ́¢i $\sigma \tau \eta \mu$ (temporal). BDAG (418.1) notes that this verb often carries a "connotation of suddenness."
 ingressive translation, see $1: 59$ on $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \dot{\alpha} \lambda o u v$. Only here in the NT: "to acknowledge one's thankfulness, restricted in NT usage to contexts in which God is the one being thanked" (LN 33.351).
$\tau \tilde{\varphi} \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\varphi}$. . Dative complement of $\alpha v \theta \omega \mu \circ \lambda$ оүعĩто.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon$. Impf act ind 3 rd sg $\lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. On the ingressive translation, see 1:59 on غ̇к人́入ouv.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho i ̀ ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ̃ . ~ R e f e r e n c e . ~$

 indirect object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon$.
$\lambda \dot{v} \tau \rho \omega \sigma \iota v$. Accusative direct object of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon ́ v o ı s$.
'İроvoàn' $\mu$. The indeclinable proper noun could be an objective genitive, modifying a verbal noun ( $\lambda \dot{\prime} \tau \rho \omega \sigma \iota v$ ). The textual tradition, however, suggests that most scribes understood it as a dative of location: A D E G H K L N X $\Delta \Theta \Psi 0530130 f^{13} 2833 \mathfrak{R}$ Lect and others all read $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ 'İpovoa $\lambda \dot{\eta} \mu$. Here, 'İpovoa $\lambda \dot{\eta} \mu$ should be understood as a synecdoche (see 1:46 on $\dot{\eta} \psi v \chi \eta \dot{\mu} \mu \mathrm{ov}$ ) for "Israel" (Omanson, 112).


$\dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{c}$. Temporal conjunction. On the significance of the temporal construction, see 2:6 on हivval.

غ̇t $\dot{\lambda} \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\pi \alpha \dot{v} \tau \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ v o ́ \mu o v . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~ ह ̇ \tau \varepsilon ́ \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha v . ~$ Lit. "everything that was according to the law."
$\tau \grave{\alpha}$. The accusative article functions as an adjectivizer or nominalizer (see 1:48 on ànò toũ vũv), changing the PP, кatà tòv vó $\mu \mathrm{ov}$, into an adjectival modifier of $\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha$ or the accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha v$ modified by an adjectival $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau$.

катà tòv vóuov. Standard.
кขрiov. Genitive of source.

عiç $\tau \grave{\nu} v$ Гa入ı $\lambda \alpha i \alpha v$. Locative.
عís $\pi \mathbf{o} \lambda \iota \imath$. Locative.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha u \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. Possessive genitive.
$\mathrm{N} \alpha \zeta \alpha \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \theta$. This indeclinable proper noun could either be genitive of identification (see 2:4 on $\mathrm{Na} \zeta \alpha \rho \dot{\theta} \theta$ ) or an accusative in apposition to tó $\lambda \iota v$.



$\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon}$. The set of successive scenes that began in 2:22 concludes here with a summary statement of subsequent events introduced with
 where the identical verb forms are used).
$\eta u ̋ \xi \alpha v \varepsilon v$. Impf act ind 3rd sg aủ $\dot{\alpha} v \omega$.
غ̇кратаเoṽтo. Impf pass ind 3rd sg кратаıów.
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho o v ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v$. Pres pass ptc neut nom sg $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o ́ \omega$ (attendant circumstance; see 1:24 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \quad 0 \quad$; ; or manner).

бopiá. Dative of content. Some ancient manuscripts read бочiaৎ, a genitive of content.
$\chi \dot{\alpha} \rho ı \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} v$.
$\theta \varepsilon \boldsymbol{c} 0$ ũ. Genitive of source.
ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ i $\mu i$ i.
غ̀ $\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}$ aủtó. Locative (see 1:12 on ह̇ $\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}$ aủtóv).

## Luke 2:41-52

${ }^{41}$ Now, his parents went to Jerusalem year by year at (the time of) the feast of the Passover. ${ }^{42}$ When he was twelve years old, in the context of going up according to (their) custom relating to the feast, ${ }^{43}$ when they had finished their time (there), as they were returning, the boy Jesus stayed in Jerusalem; and his parents were not aware of it. ${ }^{44}$ Now, since they thought that he was in their caravan, they went a day's journey and (then) began looking for him among (their) relatives and friends. ${ }^{45}$ When they did not find (him), they returned to Jerusalem to search for him.
${ }^{46}$ It turned out that after three days they found him in the temple sitting in the midst of the teachers, listening to them and asking them questions. ${ }^{47}$ Now, all those who heard him were astonished by his insight and answers. ${ }^{48}$ When (his parents) saw him, they were stunned; and his mother said to him, "Child, why did you act this way towards us? Your father and I were frantically searching for you!" ${ }^{49}$ Then he said to them, "Why is it that you were searching for me? Were you not aware that I have to be in my father's (house)?" ${ }^{50}$ But they did not understand what he said to them.
${ }^{51}$ Then he went down with them (from Jerusalem) and came to Nazareth; and he was in submission to them. His mother kept all the(se) things in her heart. ${ }^{52}$ And Jesus was progressing in wisdom and in maturity and in favor with God and people.

##  

غ̇лорєи́ovto. Impf mid ind 3rd pl to vides a helpful example of why it is inappropriate to argue that the imperfect signals a series of events or a customary event (contra, e.g., McKay, 44). The imperfect portrays the event as a past/remote process, here background information for what follows, while the
phrase кат' हैtoৎ specifies that it was a customary process.
oi $\gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \mathbf{o v \varepsilon i ̃ c . ~ N o m i n a t i v e ~ s u b j e c t ~ o f ~ e ̇ t o \rho \varepsilon v ่ o v \tau o . ~}$
av̉тoṽ. Genitive of relationship.
$\kappa \boldsymbol{\kappa} \tau$ ' हैтос. Distributive.
عís 'İpovба入̀̀ $\mu$. Locative.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\tau} \frac{10}{}$. Dative of time. Or perhaps, "for the feast."
то $\mathfrak{\pi} \boldsymbol{\pi} \sigma \chi \chi$ a. "The feast associated with the Passover."

##  

ö $\tau \varepsilon$. The temporal setting for this pericope is located using a complex series of temporal constructions. The first one, öt $\varepsilon$ £่ $\gamma \varepsilon ่ v \varepsilon \tau$ $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \tilde{\omega} v \delta \dot{\omega} \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ (v. 42), provides the broad temporal setting. This is then narrowed with two conjoined genitive absolute constructions (vv. 42-43), which are followed by a fourth temporal construc-
 elements serve to raise increasingly the question for the reader: What's going to happen? In the middle of verse 43, we finally find out, as Luke resumes the storyline with two conjoined aorist verbs ( $\dot{\sim} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \varepsilon เ \nu \varepsilon v, ~ \check{\varepsilon} \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \alpha v$ ): This account is about Jesus being left behind and his parents not knowing it had happened. For more on the significance of the temporal construction, see 2:6 on हĩval.
ė $\gamma \varepsilon ̀ v \varepsilon \tau<$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg $\gamma$ ivoual.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \kappa \alpha$. The case could be viewed as a genitive of time (see Culy and Parsons, 74). It may be better, though, to view the combination of $\varepsilon i \mu i$ or $\gamma i v o \mu a ı$ with $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ and a numeral as a conventional way of introducing someone's age (BDAG, 401): lit. "when he was (a boy) of 12 years" (cf. 3:23; 8:42; Mark 5:42; Acts 4:22; LXX 2 Sam 19:33; 2 Chr 24:15; DanTh 6:1).
àvaßaıvóvt $\omega v$. Pres act ptc gen masc $\mathrm{pl} \dot{\alpha} v a \beta a i v \omega$. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvєv́ovтo¢), temporal. Lit. "as they were going up." See also verse 43 on $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \epsilon \omega \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega v$.
$\alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. Genitive subject of àvaßaıvóvt $\omega v$.
ката̀ тò है $\theta$ oç. Standard.
т $\varsigma \varsigma \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$. Genitive of reference. Lit. "the custom relating to the feast."

 үoveĩc av่̉าข̃.
$\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ \omega \sigma \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$. Aor act ptc gen masc pl $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ o ́ \omega$. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvev́ovto¢), temporal. Commenting on the different tenses used with $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \epsilon \omega \sigma \dot{\alpha} v \tau \omega \nu$ and $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \beta \alpha$ óvóv $\omega \nu v$, Porter argues that the "traditional temporal determinations on the basis of tense form make nonsense of the passage" (1989, 370), since completing the days (aorist tense) would be anterior to going up to the feast (present tense). Instead, Porter points to the aspectual functions of the two tenses and argues that the present participle, $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \beta \alpha เ v o ́ v \tau \omega v$, describes the entire trip in progress and the aorist participle, $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \epsilon \omega \sigma \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \omega \nu$, "is used as a transition to summarize the event as complete."
$\tau \alpha ̀ \varsigma ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho a \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \omega \sigma \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega v$. Lit. "when they completed the days."
 contemporaneous time (see also $1: 8$ on ípatev́عiv). When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81).
av̉tov̀s. Accusative subject of ט̇too $\tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \varepsilon \imath v$.

'İбovic. Nominative subject of $\dot{u} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \varepsilon เ v \varepsilon v$. Jesus is the only subject of a finite verb in verses 42-43, clearly focusing the attention on him.
ó $\pi$ raĩc. Nominative in apposition to 'I $\eta$ oov́c.


oi $\gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v \varepsilon i ̃ c . ~ N o m i n a t i v e ~ s u b j e c t ~ o f ~} \varepsilon$ है $\gamma \omega \omega \sigma \alpha v$.
av่̉oṽ. Genitive of relationship.


 av̉兀òv. Accusative subject of عĩval.

عĩvaı. Pres act inf $\varepsilon$ eipí (indirect discourse with a verb of cognition; cf. 1:22 on ötı).

ह̇v $\tau \underline{1}$ ovvosiạ. Association. Although ovvodia occurs only here in the NT, Epictetus, Josephus, and Strabo all use the term to describe a group of people traveling together (Fitzmyer, 1:441).
$\tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \mathbf{o v}$. Aor act ind 3rd pl
$\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \rho a c$. Given the fact that this must be singular rather than plural, the case should be viewed as genitive of time (lit. "a journey of a day") rather than accusative (plural) indicating extent of time (contra Caragounis, 146, n. 29).
ódòv. Accusative of measure.
 translation, see 1:59 on غ̇к $\alpha$ خouv.
èv toĩ̧ $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon v ̃ \sigma ı v ~ к a i ̀ ~ \tau o i ̃ ৎ ~ \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau о i ̃ ৎ . ~ A s s o c i a t i o n . ~$

##  aủtòv.

 causal).
$\dot{v} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \boldsymbol{\alpha} v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\dot{\pi} \boldsymbol{\tau} \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$.
عís 'İpovoalìn. Locative.
$\dot{\alpha} v a \zeta \eta \tau 0 \tilde{v} v \tau \varepsilon c$. Pres act ptc masc nom $\mathrm{pl} \dot{\alpha} v \alpha \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (purpose).
aủtòv. Accusative direct object of ảvaऍŋๆтоũvtec.

 غ̇ $\pi \varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \tilde{\omega} v \tau \alpha \alpha$ aủtov่s.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\gamma} v \varepsilon \tau=$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg $\gamma$ fivoual. When used within a pericope, кaì $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \tau o ~ t e n d s ~ t o ~ m a r k ~ a n ~ i m m e d i a t e ~ s e q u e n c e ~ o f ~ e v e n t s ~$ (Decker, 85; cf. 1:23; see also 1:8 on 'E $\gamma$ ह́vعтo), though here an intervening event (three days of searching) is implied.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \grave{~} \mu \varepsilon ́ \rho \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau \rho \varepsilon i ̃ c . ~ T e m p o r a l . ~$
عũpov. Aor act ind 3rd pl عúpíck $\omega$.
aủtòv. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon u ̃ \rho o v$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{v} \boldsymbol{i} \varepsilon \rho \tilde{̣}$. Locative.
 conjoined participles ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \zeta$ óp $\mu \varepsilon$ vov . . . каì ảкоv́ov $\tau \alpha$. . . каì $\varepsilon ̇ \pi \varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \tilde{\omega} v \tau \alpha)$ function as a complement in an object-complement double accusative construction (see also 2:12 on غ̇б $\pi \alpha \rho \gamma \alpha v \omega \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v$ ). Caragounis (109, n. 58) argues that the middle has been improperly used here in an effort to imitate Atticistic diction. It is possible, however, that the nuance conveyed by the middle is intended to reflect Jesus' parents dismay that he would take a seat for himself in the midst of important people.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \omega$. Locative.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \dot{\lambda} \omega v$. Partitive genitive.
àкov́ovta. Pres act ptc masc acc sg ảkoú $\omega$. See above on $\kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \zeta \dot{\text { ó- }}$ $\mu \varepsilon$ vov.
av̉̃ $\omega \tilde{v}$. Genitive object of ảkov́ovta.
غ̇ $\pi \varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \tilde{v} v \tau \alpha$. Pres act ptc masc acc sg غ̇ $\pi \varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \dot{\alpha} \omega$. See above on $\kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \zeta$ о́ $\mu \varepsilon v o v$.
av่̉ov́c. Accusative direct object of $\grave{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \omega ̃ \nu \tau \alpha$.






aủtoṽ. Genitive object of àkov́ovtec.
 be taken as a hendiadys or doublet (see 8:15 on $\varepsilon$ ह̇v карסíạ ка入ñ каì $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \tilde{n})$ : "his intelligent answers" (Turner, 335-36; cf. Black, 135). av̉̃oũ. Subjective genitive.



íOóvtec. Aor act ptc masc nom pl ópá $\omega$ (temporal). aủtòv. Accusative direct object of i̊óvivc.
$\grave{\xi} \xi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \dot{\alpha} \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha v$. Aor pass ind 3 rd pl غ̇к $\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \omega$. The implied sub-
ject is clearly Jesus' parents. The verb means, "to be so amazed as to be practically overwhelmed" (LN 25.219).
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3 rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ òs av̉tòv. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho$ òs av̉tòv).
$\dot{\eta} \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i ँ \pi \varepsilon v$.
av̉兀oṽ. Genitive of relationship.
Téкvov. Vocative.

$\dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i} \nu$. Dative of disadvantage.
ídov̀. See 1:20.


oov. Genitive of relationship.
 The verb, which is only used by Luke in the NT (also 16:24, 25; Acts 20:38), means "to experience great distress or anxiety" (LN 25.236).

غ̇ఢŋтои̃ $\mu \varepsilon \dot{v}$. Impf act ind 1st $\mathrm{pl} \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. On the second accent, see

$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \zeta \eta \tau$ тoũ $\mu \varepsilon \dot{v}$.

##  

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ ò $\boldsymbol{a}$ aủtov́s. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho$ ò $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ aủtòv).
Ti ötı. This same construction occurs in Acts 5:4, 9 and is probably a shortened form of $\tau i \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\gamma} \gamma \mathbf{o v v}$ ö $\tau i$ : "Why has it happened that ..." (Culy and Parsons, 87).
ö $\tau \mathbf{l}$. Introduces a clause that is epexegetical to $\tau i$ (Wallace, 460).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon i ̃ \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$. Impf act ind 2nd pl $\zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. On the second accent, see

$\mu \varepsilon$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \tau \tau \varepsilon$.
oủk ไٌßعıธє. The construction expects an affirmative response.
ไٌ $\delta \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon$. Plprf act ind $2 \mathrm{nd} \mathrm{pl} \mathrm{oĩ} \mathrm{\delta a}$.
ötı. Introduces the clausal complement (indirect discourse with a verb of cognition; see 1:22 on őтı) of $\mathfrak{n} \delta \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon$.


The dative article functions as a nominalizer, changing the genitive NP toṽ $\pi \alpha \tau \rho o ́ s$ into the object of the preposition (and making it plural). Although the PP modifies the infinitive it precedes the main verb, giving it prominence. The whole expression could mean (1) "in my father's house" (so e.g., BDAG, 689.2.g; Plummer, 77; Bock, 1:270); (2) "among those who belong to my father," with the $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ being associative; or (3) "about my father's business." Although the use of the plural article may seem unusual, the first interpretation is both the most likely and the most widely held view (Bock, 1:270). Fitzmyer ( $1: 443$ ) and others cite a number of instances of this construction in both biblical (e.g., LXX Esth 7:9, ėv toĩ, A $\mu \alpha v$, "at Haman's house"; cf. Job 18:19) and extrabiblical sources (e.g., Josephus, Ag. Ap. 1.118, ह̇v тoĩৎ тoṽ $\Delta$ tò, "in the house/ temple of Zeus"; Ant. 16.302, غ̇v тoĩs 'Avtıtátpov, "in the house of Antipater").

тoṽ $\pi \alpha \tau \rho o ́ c . ~ P o s s e s s i v e ~ g e n i t i v e . ~$
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Genitive of relationship.
$\delta \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\delta \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}$ (impersonal).
عĩvaí. Pres act inf $\varepsilon \dot{\mu} \mu i$. If $\delta \varepsilon i ̃$ (or $\mathfrak{z} \xi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ) is viewed as an impersonal verb, the infinitive should be labeled complementary. The infinitive could also, however, be viewed as the subject: "To be in my father's house was necessary." On the second accent, see 1:13

$\mu \varepsilon$. Accusative subject of عĩvai.

## 

av̉тoi. Nominative subject of $\sigma v v \tilde{\eta} \kappa \alpha v$. The antecedent of the pronoun is clearly the same as the antecedent of aútov́s in verse 49: Jesus' parents.
$\sigma v v \tilde{\eta} \kappa \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\sigma v v i \neq \mu$.
тò $\mathfrak{\rho} \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$ ő $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. Lit. "the word that he spoke."
тò $\hat{\rho} \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\sigma v \vee \eta ̃ \kappa \alpha v$.
ö. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. av̉兀oĩc. Dative indirect object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$.



$\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \beta \eta$. Aor act ind 3rd sg катаßаivc. Given the characteristic manner in which travel to and from Jerusalem was spoken of, i.e., going up to (ảvaßaiv $\omega$, e.g., 18:31; 19:28; Acts 11:2; 15:2; 21:12, 15; 24:11; 25:1, 9 ) or going down from ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \beta \alpha i v \omega$, e.g., 10:30; Acts 8:26; 25:7), reference to Jerusalem was sometimes left implicit (see, e.g., 18:14; John 12:20; Acts 8:15; 18:22; 24:1). In idiomatic English, the clause кaté $\beta \eta \mu \varepsilon \tau$ ' aủt $\tilde{v} v$ could be rendered, "He left Jerusalem with them."
$\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ av่̉ $\tilde{\omega} v$. Association.
$\tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg êp $\rho \circ \mu \alpha u$.

$\tilde{\eta} v$. Impf ind 3 rd sg $\varepsilon$ ei $\mu$ i. The pericope concludes with a series of imperfect verbs that provide a summary of subsequent actions and

 fect periphrastic). Bovon (1:115) claims that "the periphrastic form and the present participle emphasize duration." The fact that this verb does not occur in the imperfect tense in the NT or LXX makes it more likely that the periphrastic form functions like a simple imperfect (contra also Fitzmyer, 1:445).
aủtoĩc. Dative complement of ט́tотаббо́ $\mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \eta \dot{\tau} \tau \boldsymbol{\rho}$. Nominative subject of $\delta \iota \varepsilon \tau \eta \dot{\rho} \varepsilon$.
av่̉า0̃. Genitive of relationship.
$\delta \iota \varepsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Impf act ind 3 rd sg $\delta \iota a \tau \eta \rho \varepsilon ่ \omega$. The verb here means, "to keep someth. mentally with implication of duration" (BDAG, 238.1).
$\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha \pi \grave{\alpha} \dot{\rho} \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\delta ı \varepsilon \tau \eta \dot{\rho} \varepsilon$.
ह̇v $\tau \underline{1}$ ка $\rho \delta \dot{i} \alpha$. Locative. See also 1:66.
av̉тñc. Possessive genitive.


'İбoṽg. Nominative subject of $\pi \rho \circ \varepsilon$ ќко $\pi \tau \varepsilon v$.
$\pi \rho о \varepsilon ́ \kappa о \pi \tau \varepsilon v$. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\pi \rho о к о ́ \pi \tau \omega$.
 tion and article $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \tau \tilde{\eta}$ relate to each of the three dative nouns in the PP. They may have been omitted by some scribes (A C D $\Theta \Psi$ $\left.f^{1,13} 1241\right)$ to make more clear the parallel construction among the three expressions ("wisdom, stature, and grace"). Although $\dot{\eta} \lambda$ ıкía rarely means "stature" in Hellenistic literature (Green 1997, 157; Fitzmyer, 1:446), it clearly carries that sense in 19:3, and is a plausible meaning here (so Plummer, 79; Bock, 1:274; cf. BDAG, 436.3).
$\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\omega}$ каì $\dot{\alpha} v \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{c}$. Here, the preposition likely introduces participants "whose viewpoint is relevant to an event-'in the sight of, in the opinion of, in the judgment of'" (LN 90.20; cf. BDAG, 757.2).

## Luke 3:1-14

${ }^{1}$ In the fifteenth year of the reign of Tiberius Caesar, when Pontius Pilate was governor of Judea and Herod was tetrarch of Galilee, and when Philip his brother was tetrarch of the region of Iturea and Trachonitis and Lysanius was tetrarch of Abilene, ${ }^{2}$ in the time of the high priest Annas and Caiaphas, the word of God came to John, the son of Zechariah, in the wilderness. ${ }^{3}$ And he went into the region around the Jordan proclaiming a baptism of repentance for the forgiveness of sins.
${ }^{4}$ As it is written in the book of the words of Isaiah the prophet: "The voice of one who is shouting in the wilderness: 'Prepare the way of the Lord; make straight his paths. ${ }^{5}$ Every valley will be filled, and every mountain and hill leveled. The crooked (paths) will become straight, and the rough ways smooth. ${ }^{6}$ And all people will see the salvation of God.'"
${ }^{7}$ So then, (John) was telling the crowds who were coming out to be baptized by him, "Offspring of vipers! Who warned you to flee from the coming wrath? ${ }^{8}$ Therefore, produce fruit worthy of repentance and do not begin to say among yourselves, 'We have a father-Abraham.' For I tell you, God is able to raise up children for Abraham from these stones. ${ }^{9}$ The ax, in fact, is already laid at the root of the trees. Thus, every tree that does not produce good fruit will be cut down and thrown into the fire."
${ }^{10} \mathrm{And}$ the crowds were asking him, "What, then, should we do?" ${ }^{11}$ In reply, he proceeded to tell them, "The one who has two shirts should share with the one who does not have (one), and the one who has food should do the same." ${ }^{12}$ Then tax collectors also came to be baptized, and they said to him, "Teacher, what should we do?" ${ }^{13} \mathrm{He}$ told them, "Do not collect any more than you are ordered to." ${ }^{14}$ (Some) soldiers were also asking him, "What about us? What should we do?" He said to them, "Do not extort money from anyone, or blackmail (them); and be satisfied with your wages."





 temporal expressions in verses 1-2 "has the effect of creating a build-up for the introduction of something important that follows: John's ministry" (Runge \$10.2).
$\tau \tilde{\varsigma} \varsigma \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mu \boldsymbol{\nu} \mathbf{v i a c .}$. Genitive of reference.
Tı $\beta$ عрiov Kaía人poc. Subjective genitive.
 absolute (see 2:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvعúovtoc), temporal.

Пovtiov Пı入átov. Genitive subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvะv́ovtoc.
 Genitives of subordination.
$\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$. The use of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ rather than kai in the middle of this list is surprising. The choice of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$, however, which typically marks a new development in the narrative, may well serve to help divide the lengthy list of background information into more manageable chunks.
$\tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha \alpha \rho \chi о \tilde{v} \tau \tau 0 \varsigma$. Pres act ptc masc gen sg $\tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha \alpha \rho \chi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. Genitive
 $\tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha \alpha \rho \chi$ ои̃vтоร in this verse function in the same way.
 тєт $\rho \alpha \alpha \rho \chi$ о̃vтоऽ.

av̉toṽ. Genitive of relationship.

'Iтоираías каі̀ Tрахшvitıסос. Genitive of identification (see 2:4 on $\mathrm{Na} \zeta \alpha \rho \grave{\varepsilon} \theta)$ : "the region called Iturea and the region called Trachonitus."

##  

 genitive means 'in the time of'" (see also 4:27).
"Avva каì Kaïá $\varphi \alpha$. Genitive in apposition to $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \iota \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega \varsigma$. The use of the singular $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi เ \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega \varsigma$ with this compound appositional NP may reflect the continuing presence and power of Annas even after Caiaphas assumed the high priesthood (Green 1997, 169).

غ̇ $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ と́veto. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual.
$\rho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \tau . ~}$
$\theta \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ ṽ. Genitive of source.

đòv . . . viòv. Accusative in apposition to 'I $\omega$ ávv $\eta$ v.
Zaxapiov. Genitive of relationship.



$\eta \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg ěp $\quad$ о
عíc $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha v[\tau \grave{\eta} v] \pi \varepsilon \rho i \chi \omega \rho o v$. Locative. Followed by a river name in the genitive case $\pi \varepsilon \rho i \chi \omega \rho \circ \varsigma$ indicates the region surrounding the river (BDAG, 808).

тои̃ 'Iop反́ávov. Genitive complement of $\pi \varepsilon \rho i \chi o \rho o v$ (see above).
$\kappa \eta \rho v i \sigma \sigma \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg кпрv́бо $\omega$. Although the participle could be be taken as purpose (cf. Fitzmyer, 1:459), given the fact that we are dealing with movement throughout an area, it more likely points to the manner in which he traveled.
$\beta \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o i a c ̧ \varepsilon$ ci¢ $\mathfrak{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \iota v \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \omega ̃ v$. The syntactic complexity of this construction flows from the fact that it includes three nouns ( $\beta \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha, \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o i \alpha \varrho, ~ a ̈ \varphi \varepsilon \sigma เ v)$ that are verbal ideas. John
was preaching that people should repent and be baptized so that their sins would be forgiven.
$\beta \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Accusative direct object of кпри́ $\sigma \sigma \omega v$.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o i \alpha c$. "Baptism characterized by repentance or associated with repentance."

घiç ä $\varphi \varepsilon \sigma เ v$. Purpose or goal.
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. Objective genitive.

##   

$\dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\varphi}$. Introduces an analogy between John's actions and Isaiah's prophecy.
$\gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \rho \boldsymbol{\rho} \pi \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Prf pass ind 3rd sg $\gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varphi \omega$. On the force of the perfect, see 2:23.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \beta \dot{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Locative. This is an extremely rare way of introducing references to Scripture and occurs almost exclusively in Luke/Acts (also 3:4; 20:42; Acts 1:20; 7:42; Mark 12:26). The phrase $\varepsilon \dot{v} \beta i \beta \lambda \omega$ $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ occurs only here.
$\lambda \dot{o} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \omega \boldsymbol{v}$. Genitive of content.
'Hoailov. Genitive of source or subjective genitive. In this type of genitive construction, the agent ('Hбaïou) carries out an implicit action (speaking) that produces the head noun ( $\lambda \dot{o} \gamma \omega v$ ): "the words that Isaiah spoke" (see Beekman and Callow, 261; cf. 4:17 on toũ $\pi \rho о \varphi$ ŋ́тоv).

$\Phi \omega v \grave{\eta}$. In the context of Isa 40:2, $\Phi \omega v \grave{̀}$ could be the nominative subject in a verbless clause ("This is the voice of one shouting ..." or "There will be a voice of one shouting ...") or a hanging nominative ("The voice of one shouting . . .").
$\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{o} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{c}$. Pres act ptc masc gen sg $\beta$ od́ $\omega$ (substantival). Possessive genitive.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \tau \underline{n} \mathfrak{\varepsilon} \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \varphi$. Locative.
'Eтоцца́бате. Aor act impv 2nd pl غ́тоц $\mu \dot{\zeta} \zeta \omega$.
т $\grave{\imath} v \mathbf{~ o ́ d o ̀ v . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~ ' E \tau о \mu a ́ \sigma a \tau \varepsilon . ~}$
кирiov. "The way the Lord will travel."

عu̇日ciac. Complement in an object-complement double accusative construction.
$\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} เ \tilde{\tau} \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd pl $\pi$ otz่ $\omega$.

av่าoṽ. "The path he will travel."

##   

$\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \varphi \alpha ́ \rho \alpha \gamma \xi$. Nominative subject of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha u$.
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha a$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o ́ \omega$.
$\pi \tilde{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v}$ ö $\rho \mathbf{o c ̧}$ каì $\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{o v v o ̀}$. Nominative subject of $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon เ v \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha l$. The term $\beta$ ouvós occurs only here and in 23:30 in the NT and refers to "a relatively low elevated land formation, in contrast with ő $\rho \circ$ "" (LN 1.48).
$\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon เ v \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon เ v o ́ \omega$. Lit. "will be made low." It is not unusual for a singular rather than plural verb to be used with a compound subject (here $\pi \tilde{\alpha} v$ őpoc kaì $\beta$ ovvòs) where the two are treated as a single entity or idea (cf. McKay, 18).
 into straight."

ह̈бтal. Fut ind 3 rd sg $\varepsilon$ ej $\mu \mathrm{i}$. Neuter plural subjects characteristically take singular verbs (see Wallace, 399-400).

عiç ev̉̇ciav. Culy and Parsons (68) note that "the preposition eic with an accusative noun is frequently used as a substitute for a predicate modifier to indicate equivalence. ... The construction usually occurs in Old Testament quotations, and thus typically reflects a Semitic influence" (see 13:19; 20:17). The future tense of $\varepsilon i \mu i$ is typical in this construction (see Wallace, 47; cf. BDAG, 291.8.a.ß).



## 

ő $\psi \varepsilon \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{I}$. Fut mid ind 3rd sg ópá $\omega$.
$\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha ̀ \rho \xi$. Nominative subject of ő $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon \tau \alpha u}$. Synecdoche (see 1:46 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \psi \cup \chi \dot{\eta} \mu \circ v)$ for "all people." Hyperbole.

тò $\sigma \omega \tau \dot{j} \rho \iota o v$. Accusative direct object of ő $\psi \varepsilon \tau \alpha u$. The use of the less common substantival form of the adjective $\sigma \omega \tau$ tipos ( 5 times in the NT, 3 in Luke/Acts), rather than the noun $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i \alpha$ ( 46 times in the NT), should probably be attributed to the poetic nature of this discourse (cf. 2:30).

тoṽ $\theta \varepsilon \boldsymbol{c}$ ṽ. Subjective genitive.

##   $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda о$ и́бทৎ о̉ $\rho \gamma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$;

"E $\lambda \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon v$. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Marshall (138) suggests that "the imperfect precedes the statement of some length . . . or perhaps indicates that this was what John habitually said." The former can be abandoned, given the fact that the aorist is frequently used in such contexts elsewhere (e.g., 12:22; 14:25). Nolland (1:147) follows the latter view and translates, "he would say" (see also 5:36). Used with the resumptive ouvv, the imperfect may be the aspect of choice since it fleshes out the imperfective кๆрv́бб $\omega v$ (v. 3).
oṽv. Resumptive. The conjunction could mark what follows as a new development in the narrative that is connected to the quote that precedes, but the conceptual link to verse 3 (i.e., the connection between baptism and repentance) suggests that the oũ̃ marks a return to the storyline after the intervening quote (cf. Levinsohn 2000, 85; Runge $\$ 2.5$ ).

 tive).
$\beta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} v a l$. Aor pass inf $\beta a \pi \tau i \zeta \omega$ (purpose).
$\dot{v} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{\prime}$ aủ̃oṽ. Ultimate agency.
$\Gamma \varepsilon \nu v \dot{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$. Vocative.
غ̇ $\chi เ \delta v \tilde{\omega} v$. Genitive of relationship.
 complement of "E $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$. Marshall (139) summarizes how this rhetorical question has been understood: (1) Who has warned you to flee from the coming wrath? (2) Who has shown you how to flee
from the coming wrath? (3) Who has shown you how to flee from the coming wrath merely by coming to be baptized? The typical nuance of $\dot{v} \pi о \delta \varepsilon i \kappa v v \mu \mathrm{t}$ and the context both seem to support the third option.

тic. Nominative subject of $\dot{\text { vicé }} \delta \varepsilon ı \xi \varepsilon v$.
 that this verb, when used with an infinitive, can mean "warn" (BDAG, 1037.2), that nuance is likely a feature of the context rather than the semantics of the verb. The verb itself appears to simply indicate "directing someone's attention to something" (cf. BDAG, 1037.1).

ن́ $\mu i ̃ v$. Indirect object of $\mathfrak{v} \pi \varepsilon ́ \delta \varepsilon ı \xi \varepsilon v$.
$\varphi v \not \varepsilon \varepsilon ̃ v$. Aor act inf $\varphi \varepsilon \cup ́ \gamma \omega$ (direct object).

$\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \mathbf{\lambda} \boldsymbol{v} \sigma \eta \varsigma$. Pres act ptc fem gen sg $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ (attributive).




oṽv. The inferential conjunction seems to suggest that since simply coming to be baptized will not save them (v. 7), they should therefore demonstrate true repentance if they want to successfully flee from the coming wrath (cf. Plummer, 89; Nolland, 1:148).

карлоѝц. Accusative direct object of $\pi$ оוŋ́батє.
$\dot{\alpha}$ दíouc. The adjective could be viewed as either attributive or as the complement in an object-complement double accusative construction.
$\tau \tilde{¢} \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o i^{\alpha} \varsigma$. Genitive complement of $\dot{\alpha} \xi i o v \varsigma$.
$\alpha \mathfrak{\alpha} \rho \xi \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$. Aor mid subj 2nd pl ${ }^{\alpha} \rho \chi \omega$ (prohibitive).
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon เ v$. Pres act inf $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (complementary).
ėv $\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha v \tau o i ̃ c . ~ A s s o c i a t i o n . ~$
Пatépa. Accusative in apposition to tòv $\left.\begin{array}{c}A \\ \beta\end{array}\right) \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu$.
モ̋ $\chi о \mu \varepsilon v$. Pres act ind 1st pl $\varepsilon$ é $\chi \omega$.
 ŋ́ үuvŋ̀).
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \boldsymbol{\omega}$. . . $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\imath} \boldsymbol{v}$. This phrase is an example of what Runge (\$5) calls
a "meta-comment," a device that breaks the flow of the discourse in anticipation of something important, effectively highlighting the proposition that it introduces.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1 st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\gamma$ à $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
ötı. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also $1: 25$ on ötı) of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.

Súvataı. Pres mid ind 3rd sg סúvapaı.
ó $\theta$ còc. Nominative subject of $\delta$ úvataı.
غ̇к $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \lambda i \theta \omega v$ тoú $\tau \omega v$. Source.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \tau ̃ \rho a l$. Aor act inf $\varepsilon$ غ̇үءip $\omega$ (complementary).
$\tau \varepsilon ่ \kappa v a$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ ย̇عipal.
$\tau \tilde{\omega}{ }^{\text {A }} \mathbf{A} \beta \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu$. Dative of advantage.

 $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$.
§ $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ кaì. See 2:4.
$\grave{\eta} \mathfrak{a} \xi i v \eta$. Nominative subject of кعĩт $\alpha$.

$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon} v \delta \rho \omega v$. Partitive genitive.
кะĩтat. Pres mid ind 3rd sg кєĩนa.
 $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \tau \alpha$,
oṽv. Introduces an inference that can be deduced from the previous statement.
$\pi \boldsymbol{\pi}$ oṽv. Pres act ptc neut nom sg rotz่ $\omega$ (attributive).
карло̀v ка入òv. Accusative direct object of toooṽv.
غ̇кко́ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tau \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Pres pass ind 3rd sg غ̇ккó $\pi \tau \omega$. Lit. "is cut down." The future translation stems from the fact that John is using this statement to warn of a future consequence if repentance is not forthcoming. Rijksbaron (25; emphasis in original) suggests that "in a way similar to the use of the present in historical narrative, the present indicative may be used to mark decisive moments in the future."
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varsigma} \pi \tilde{0} \rho$. Locative.
$\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$. Pres pass ind 3rd sg $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$. See above on $\varepsilon$ غ́кко́ттєтаı.

## 

غ̇л $\boldsymbol{\eta} \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \omega v$. Impf act ind 3 rd pl ह̇п $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \dot{\alpha} \omega$.
aủtòv. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \eta \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \omega v$. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu i ̃ v$.
oi őx入ot. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \pi \eta \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \omega v$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (attendant circumstance; see $1: 24$ on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \quad 0 \quad \sigma \alpha$; or manner). On the possible significance of the extra verb of speech, see 7:39 on $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$ ह̇v $\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha u \tau \tilde{\omega} \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \nu$ and $1: 19$ on à $\pi о к р ө$ вic.

Ti oṽv $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{o} \mathfrak{\eta} \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$. This question is repeated two more times in this section (vv. 12, 14; cf. Acts 2:37). Codex D adds the phrase iva $\sigma \omega \theta \tilde{\omega} \mu \varepsilon v$ here (also in vv. 12, 14; Acts 2:37) to clarify the intent of the question.

Tí. Accusative direct object of $\pi$ oı $\sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$.
$\pi о \not ŋ \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$. Aor act subj 1st pl $\pi$ ot $\dot{\omega} \omega$ (deliberative subjunctive).

##  


 see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction. It is quite common to find $\dot{\alpha} \pi о к \rho ө \theta$ zic used as an attendant circumstance participle (redundant) with $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon v$ (see, e.g., 1:19, 35; 4:8, 12; 5:5, 22, 31 ). Occasionally, however, Luke and other writers use this form of the participle to modify a present verb (here, 13:8), an imperfect verb (3:11), or a future verb (13:25). Although attendant circumstance participles generally match the verb they modify in aspect (see 1:24 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma o v \sigma \alpha$ ), with $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \kappa \rho i v o \mu \alpha ı$ the aorist appears to be a set form for this usage.
è $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av่̉oĩc. Dative indirect object of $\check{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$.
 subject of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \delta$ ót $\omega$.

סv́o $\chi \iota \tau \tilde{\omega} v a c$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ é $\chi \omega v$. The term $\chi ı \tau \dot{v}$ refers to a garment worn next to the skin and under a cloak, like a shirt, but which would have been longer than a Western style shirt.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta} \tau \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Aor act impv 3rd sg $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
 indirect object of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \delta$ ó $\tau \omega$.
 subject of лоเะit $\omega$.
$\beta \rho \omega \dot{\mu} \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ é $\chi \omega v$.

 $\Delta ı \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon, \tau i ́ \pi o เ \eta ่ \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v ;$
$\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ кaì. The $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ introduces the next development in the narrative, while the kaì is adverbial ("also" or "even"; see also 2:4).

$\tau \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{\omega} v a a^{\text {. Nominative plural subject of } \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \text { ov. }}$
$\beta a \pi \tau เ \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} v a l$. Aor pass inf $\beta \alpha \pi \tau i \zeta \omega$ (purpose).
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. On the form, see 1:61.
$\pi \rho$ òs av̉tóv. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho$ òs aủtòv).
$\Delta ı \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$. Vocative.
$\tau$ i. Accusative direct object of $\pi$ ою $\eta \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$.
$\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \grave{\eta} \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$. Aor act subj 1st pl $\pi$ otz่ $\omega$ (deliberative subjunctive).
 $\mu \varepsilon ́ v o v$ ט́ $\mu i ̃ v ~ \pi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon . ~$
$\dot{\text { on }}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon \nu$ (see 1:29 on $\dot{\eta}$ ).
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ ò $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ aủtov́ৎ. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \alpha u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
Mŋן $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} v \pi \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \mathbf{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v}$. Accusative direct object of $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Fronted for emphasis.
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀$ tò $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \mu \varepsilon$ v́vov. Comparison.
$\delta \iota \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v o v$. Prf pass ptc neut acc sg $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \omega$ (substantival).
 which has been commanded to you."
$\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd pl $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega$. With tax collectors as the agent of the verb, in this context $\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ points to "collecting" the taxes they have been instructed to collect. It is inappropriate, however, to associate any connotation of extortion with the verb
itself (contra BDAG, 860.1.b; Marshall, 143). The negative connotation comes from the phrase M $\eta \delta \dot{\varepsilon} v \pi \lambda \varepsilon$ ह́ov $\pi \alpha \rho a ̀$ tò $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v$ úniv rather than from the verb.




غ̇ $\pi \eta \rho \dot{\rho} \tau \omega v$. Impf act ind 3rd pl $̇ \pi \varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \dot{\alpha} \omega$.
$\delta \dot{\varepsilon} . \ldots$ кà̀. See verse 12.
av̉ $\tau \grave{v} v$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ ह̇ $\pi \eta \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \omega v$.
 stantival). Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \eta \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \omega v$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma}$. Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (attendant circumstance; see 1:24 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma o v \sigma \alpha$; or manner).

Ti. Accusative direct object of $\pi$ oı $\quad \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$.
$\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon$. Aor act subj 1st $\mathrm{pl} \pi$ oté $\omega$ (deliberative subjunctive).
$\dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} c$. Nominative plural subject of $\pi о \emptyset \eta \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$. The use of the adverbial kai with the explicit subject pronoun serves to strongly distinguish the group of speakers from the preceding groups (see the translation). Lit. "What should we do, even us?"
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.

M $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon} v \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Accusative direct object of $\delta \iota a \sigma \varepsilon i \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$.
$\delta \iota a \sigma \varepsilon i \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act subj 2nd $\mathrm{pl} \delta \iota a \sigma \varepsilon i \omega$ (prohibitive). The clause could be rendered: "Don't shake down anyone."
$\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon ̀ ~ \sigma v \kappa о \varphi a v \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \eta \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act subj 2nd $\mathrm{pl} \sigma \cup \kappa о \varphi a \nu \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (prohibitive). BDAG (955) lists two senses for this verb: "to put pressure on someone for personal gain" or "to secure someth. through intimidation." Louw and Nida (33.434), on the other hand, give it a legal nuance: "to bring false charges against someone, especially with the intent of personal profit." The latter appears to be more consistent with earlier usage (see LJS, 1671).
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa \varepsilon \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \theta \varepsilon$. Pres mid impv 2nd pl $\alpha \rho \kappa \varepsilon ́ \omega$.
тoĩc ò $\psi \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v i o t s}$. Dative of reference. Robertson suggests this might function as a dative of instrument (532).
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Possessive genitive.

## Luke 3:15-20

${ }^{15} \mathrm{While}$ the people were waiting and all of them were wondering in their hearts about John, whether perhaps he might be the Christ, ${ }^{16}$ John responded by saying to all of them, "I baptize you with water, but one more powerful than me is coming, the strap of whose sandals I am not qualified to untie. He will baptize you with the Holy Spirit and fire. ${ }^{17}$ His winnowing fork is in his hand to clean up his threshing floor and gather together the wheat into his storehouse; but he will burn the chaff in unquenchable fire."
${ }^{18}$ So, exhorting (them to do) many other things as well, (John) was proclaiming the good news to the people, ${ }^{19}$ but Herod the tetrarch, since he had been rebuked by John concerning Herodias, his brother's wife-and concerning all the horrible things Herod had done- ${ }^{20}$ added even this on (top of) everything (else): he locked John up in prison.

##   Xpıotós,

Проббока̃vтос. Pres act ptc masc gen sg $\pi \rho 0 \sigma \delta$ oкd́ $\omega$. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu \circ$ vev́ovtoc), temporal.

тoṽ $\lambda \alpha o \tilde{v}$. Genitive subject of Проб
 Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \mu$ оvєv่ovтo¢), temporal.
$\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega v$. Genitive subject of $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda o \gamma \iota \zeta о \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega \omega$.
 "mind."
av่̉tũv. Possessive genitive.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \mathbf{~} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tilde{\text { v }}$ 'I $\omega$ ávvov. Reference.
$\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \varepsilon$. As a marker of inquiry, $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \varepsilon$ can be rendered, "can it be," "perhaps," or "whether" (BDAG, 648.3; BDF §370.3).
av̉tòc. Nominative subject of عin.

ó X $\boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{c}$. Predicate nominative.


 ह̇v $\pi v \varepsilon \dot{v} \mu a \tau ı$ à $\gamma_{i} \varphi$ каì $\pi v \rho \dot{\rho}$.
 àтокріӨєіс.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (means).
$\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \nu$.
ó 'I $\omega \dot{\alpha} v \nu \eta \eta$. Nominative subject of à ácкрivato.
'E $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\omega}$. Nominative subject of $\beta a \pi \tau i \zeta \omega$. The fronted explicit subject pronoun helps highlight the focus of the contrast.
$\mu \grave{\varepsilon} v .$. . $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$. Here, this construction sets up a contrast between John and Jesus. "The presence of $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} v$ not only anticipates a corresponding sentence containing $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ but frequently, in narrative, it also downgrades the importance of the sentence containing $\mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v$. In particular, the information introduced with $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} v$ is often of a secondary importance in comparison with that introduced with $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ " (Levinsohn 2000, 170).
v̈ $\delta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \mathrm{t}$. Dative of instrument or less likely dative of location. Although both are possible, as is also the case with $\dot{\varepsilon} v \pi \nu \varepsilon \dot{v} \mu a \tau \iota \dot{\alpha} \gamma i \varphi$ kaì tupi, the ambiguity should not lead to a both/and interpretation (contra Wallace, 155). The parallel structure in this verse illustrates the fact that the simple dative was often used interchangeably with the preposition $\varepsilon$ v.
$\beta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{\tau} \boldsymbol{\zeta} \boldsymbol{\omega} \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\beta \alpha \pi \tau i \zeta \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{a} \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $\beta a \pi \tau i \zeta \omega$.

 accent, see 1:13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס $\varepsilon$ そ́ $\sigma$ ís.
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Genitive of comparison.
oṽ. Since íkavós is modified by a complementary infinitive here, and it takes nominal complements in the dative case (BDF §187.8) not the genitive, the relative pronoun must be a possessive genitive

$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \dot{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}$. Pres act ind 3rd sg عiцi.
ikavòs. Predicate nominative. Here, "pert. to meeting a stan-
dard, fit, appropriate, competent, qualified, able, w. the connotation worthy, good enough" (BDAG, 472.2).
$\lambda \tilde{v} \sigma a \mathbf{l}$. Aor act inf $\lambda \hat{u} \omega$ (complementary).
đòv i $\mu \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Accusative direct object of $\lambda \tilde{v} \sigma \alpha ı$.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \dot{v} \pi \mathbf{o} \delta \eta \mu \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \omega v$. Partitive genitive.
aủtoṽ. Possessive genitive.
aủtòc. Nominative subject of $\beta$ atriбधı.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{a} \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $\beta a \pi \tau i \sigma \varepsilon$.
$\beta a \pi \tau i \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon$. Fut act ind 3rd sg $\beta a \pi \tau i \zeta \omega$.
 (see above on űסatı).

 катакаv́бยı $\pi v \rho \grave{~ a ̀ \sigma \beta z ̇ \sigma \tau \varphi . ~}$
 his hand." This clause indicates readiness to carry out the action of the infinitive.
oṽ. Possessive genitive.
тò $\pi \tau$ viov. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.

aủtoũ. Possessive genitive.
$\delta \iota \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta \tilde{a} \rho \alpha{ }^{\prime}$. Aor act inf $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta a i p \omega$ (purpose).
$\tau \grave{v} v a ̈ \lambda \omega v a$. Accusative direct object of $\delta \iota a \kappa \alpha \theta \tilde{a} \rho a ı$.
aủtoũ. Possessive genitive.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} v \boldsymbol{\alpha} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v$. Aor act inf $\sigma \cup v \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega$ (purpose).
тòv $\sigma \tilde{\tau} \tau 0 v$. Accusative direct object of ouvaүaүعiv.

av̉toũ. Possessive genitive.
тò . . . ả́ $\mathbf{\chi v \rho o v . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~ к а \tau \alpha к а u ́ \sigma \varepsilon ı . ~}$
катакаv́бยเ. Fut act ind 3rd sg катакаí .
$\pi v \rho \grave{c} \alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha} \sigma \beta \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \omega$. Dative of instrument.
 $\lambda a \dot{o} v$.

По入入ᄉ̀ $\mu$ ह̀v oũ̃v каì ětعра. Note the similar context in John

20:30, where the same type of construction is used to sum up a wide range of events.

По $\lambda \lambda$ à ... ët $\varepsilon \rho \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \tilde{\omega} v$. По $\lambda \lambda \alpha \grave{\alpha}$ is fronted for emphasis.
$\mu \dot{\varepsilon} v$. Here, $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} v$ is used as a correlative conjunction to set up a contrast, with its counterpart $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ occurring in 3:19 (see also 3:16 on $\mu \grave{\varepsilon} v \ldots \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ ). According to Runge ( $\$ 2.8$ ), the "sole function [of $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} v$ ] is to create the expectation that some related element will follow."
oṽv. Levinsohn $(2000,170)$ states that when oũ̃v follows $\mu \varepsilon \dot{v}$, it functions in one of its two typical ways: resumptive or inferential. Here, after indicating a resumption of the storyline with oũ̃v, Luke uses the imperfect $\varepsilon v ̉ \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda i \zeta \varepsilon \tau$ тo to provide a summary statement of subsequent events that sets up the final event in this part of the narrative that focuses on John (v. 20).
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \tilde{\omega} v$. Pres act ptc neut nom sg $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. The relationship between the participial clause and the main clause could be understood in several ways, the most likely being (1) attendant circumstance (see 1:24 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon}$ रovoa): "So, he exhorted (them to do) many other things as well and proclaimed the good news to them"; or (2) manner or means: "So, he proclaimed the good news to them, (by) exhorting them. . . ." In the first reading, the ethical instruction is distinct from the good news, while in the second the ethical instruction is mixed in with the proclamation of the good news (manner) or the actual means that he used to do so. Our translation retains some of this ambiguity.

tòv $\lambda$ aóv. At a surface level, the accusative tòv $\lambda$ aóv functions as the direct object of $\varepsilon v ̉ \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda i \zeta \varepsilon \tau \circ$, even though tò $\lambda$ גóv is the cognitive recipient or indirect object of $\varepsilon u ̉ \eta \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda i \zeta \varepsilon \tau \%$ ("he preached good news to them"). This represents a syntactic phenomenon known as "advancement" in which the indirect object has "advanced" to the direct object slot, and therefore bears accusative case. This same phenomenon is illustrated in English by comparing "I gave the ball to the boy" with "I gave the boy the ball." In the second sentence, the recipient of the ball, which typically is introduced using the preposition "to" has advanced to the direct object slot, and therefore loses the "to." This phenomenon serves to heighten
the topicality (or importance) of the advanced item and downgrade the topicality of the displaced item (see Culy 2009, 102-5). For other examples, see 20:40; Acts 8:25; 13:32; 14:41; 16:10.

##   

о . . . 'H $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \varphi \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \theta \eta \kappa \varepsilon \nu(3: 20)$.
סغ̀. See 3:18 on $\mu$ ह̀v.
о́ $\tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha \alpha \dot{\rho} \rho \eta \varsigma$. Nominative in apposition to ó 'H $\rho \dot{\varrho} \delta \eta \varsigma$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \chi \dot{\mathbf{o}} \boldsymbol{\mu \varepsilon v o c . ~ P r e s ~ p a s s ~ p t c ~ m a s c ~ n o m ~ s g ~} \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \chi \omega$ (causal).
vín' aủtoṽ. Ultimate agency.


тоṽ $\alpha$ $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \rho 0 \tilde{v}$. Genitive of relationship.
av่̉тov. Genitive of relationship.
 the most striking example of an internally headed relative clause (see also $1: 4$ on $\pi \varepsilon \rho \dot{~} \dot{\omega} v$ ка $\tau \eta \chi \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \varsigma \lambda \dot{\prime} \gamma \omega v$ ) in the NT. Part of the NP that the relative clause modifies ( $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi 0 \nu \eta \rho \tilde{\omega} v$ ) has been placed in the middle of the relative clause. We might have expected
 the neuter accusative plural relative pronoun functioning as the direct object of غ̇поí $\sigma \varepsilon v$. Instead, Luke has used the internally headed relative clause to intensify the semantics of the relative clause (with $\pi$ ov $\rho \tilde{\omega} v$ being rendered something like "horrible things," "atrocious things," or "appalling things"). In this construction, $\tilde{\omega} \nu$ (the direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi o i \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ) now receives its case from the preposition $\pi \varepsilon \rho i$, and the head noun $\pi 0 \vee \eta \rho \tilde{\omega} v$ retains the case that it would have had governed by $\pi \varepsilon \rho \mathrm{i}$ as well. The semantic intensification stems from the "head" of the relative clause being placed in a highly marked word order.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho і ̀ \boldsymbol{\pi} \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \omega \nu .$.

 explicit subject here, when Herod is clearly the referent, suggests that this clause is a parenthetical addition that indicates John's
rebuke extended beyond the issue with Herodias, while his rebuke for marrying Herodias was the particular event that precipitated John's arrest.

##  

$\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi \rho о \sigma \tau i \theta \eta \mu$.
тоṽто. Accusative direct object of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \theta \eta \kappa \varepsilon$.
غ̇лı̀ $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota v$. Locative.
катє́к入єเбยv. Aor act ind 3rd sg катаклеí $\omega$.

èv $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa \tilde{1}$. Locative.

## Luke 3:21-38

${ }^{21}$ Now it happened that as all the people were baptized, when Jesus had also been baptized and was praying, heaven was opened ${ }^{22}$ and the Holy Spirit descended upon him in bodily form like a dove, and a voice came from heaven: "You are my beloved son; I am very pleased with you."
${ }^{23}$ Now, he, namely Jesus, was about thirty years old when he began (his ministry), being the son, as it was assumed, of Joseph, the son of Eli, ${ }^{24}$ the son of Matthat, the son of Levi, the son of Melchi, the son of Jannai, the son of Joseph, ${ }^{25}$ the son of Mattathias, the son of Amos, the son of Nahum, the son of Esli, the son of Naggai, ${ }^{26}$ the son of Maath, the son of Mattathias, the son of Semein, the son of Josech, the son of Joda, ${ }^{27}$ the son of Joanan, the son of Rhesa, the son of Zerubbabel, the son of Shealtiel, the son of Neri, ${ }^{28}$ the son of Melchi, the son of Addi, the son of Cosam, the son of Elmadam, the son of Er, ${ }^{29}$ the son of Joshua, the son of Eliezer, the son of Jorim, the son of Matthat, the son of Levi, ${ }^{30}$ the son of Simeon, the son of Judah, the son of Joseph, the son of Jonam, the son of Eliakim, ${ }^{31}$ the son of Melea, the son of Menna, the son of Mattatha, the son of Nathan, the son of David, ${ }^{32}$ the son of Jesse, the son of Obed, the son of Boaz, the son of Sala, the son of Nahshon, ${ }^{33}$ the son of Amminadab, the son of Admin, the son of Arni, the son of Hezron, the son of Perez, the son of Judah, ${ }^{34}$ the son of Jacob, the son of Isaac, the son of Abraham, the son of

Terah, the son of Nahor, ${ }^{35}$ the son of Serug, the son of Reu, the son of Peleg, the son of Eber, the son of Shelah, ${ }^{36}$ the son of Cainan, the son of Arphaxad, the son of Shem, the son of Noah, the son of Lamech, ${ }^{37}$ the son of Methuselah, the son of Enoch, the son of Jared, the son of Mahalalel, the son of Cainan, ${ }^{38}$ the son of Enosh, the son of Seth, the son of Adam, the son of God.

##  

'Eүéveto. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual. Following his analysis of غ̇үย̇veto with temporal infinitival constructions in which the infinitival clause "describes the specific circumstance for the following foreground events" (Levinsohn, 2000, 177), Levinsohn (2000, 178) goes on to argue that the implication of that construction here is that "the coming of the Spirit upon Jesus [the content of the infinitival clauses] is but the specific circumstance for the following foreground events, viz., his temptation by the devil and subsequent ministry." See also 1:8 on 'Eүغ́veto.
$\beta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} v a \mathbf{c}$. Aor pass inf $\beta a \pi \tau i \zeta \omega$. Used with $\varepsilon \in v \tau \tilde{\omega}$ to denote contemporaneous time (see also $1: 8$ on í $\rho a \tau \varepsilon v ่ \varepsilon เ v)$. When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81). Some scholars argue that this construction usually indicates contemporaneous time, but when $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\varphi}$ precedes an aorist infinitive, it denotes antecedent action (e.g., BDF $\$ 404.2$; see also the extended discussion in Campbell 2008, 105-8, 112, n. 13). Porter (1994, 201), however, maintains that "the tense-forms of the infinitive are not time-bound." In a helpful discussion of this construction, Burk (94-96), like Porter, concludes that infinitive tenses encode aspect not time. One is left wondering, however, how the aorist infinitive differs from the present infinitive. It appears that the difference between $\varepsilon \dot{v} \tau \tau \tilde{\omega} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon เ \nu$ aủtòv (11:27) and
 between the English "as he was speaking" and "as he spoke." The temporal relation to the main verb would then remain contemporaneous, while the aspect would shift from imperfective (present) to perfective (aorist).
ä $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha$ tòv $\lambda a$ àv. Accusative subject of $\beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} v a \mathrm{a}$. The Attic practice of using är $\pi \alpha \varsigma$ after a consonant and $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$ after a vowel is not followed by Luke and many other Koine Greek writers (BDF $\$ 275$ ).
'I $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma o v ̃}$. Genitive subject of $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau o \varsigma$.
$\beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \dot{v} v \tau 0 \varsigma$. Aor pass ptc masc gen sg $\beta a \pi \tau i \zeta$ oual. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvعúovtos), temporal.
$\pi \rho o \sigma \varepsilon v \chi o \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v$. Pres mid ptc masc gen sg $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\chi} \chi \circ \mu \alpha$. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon$ коvєv́ovтo¢), temporal.
$\dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon \omega \chi \theta \tilde{\eta} v a l$. Aor pass inf $\dot{\alpha} v o i \gamma \omega$. On the surface, there are two ways that the syntax could be construed. (1) The conjoined
 could be viewed as the subject of 'Eүéveto (so Levinsohn 2000, 178): lit. "The heavens opening and the Holy Spirit descending and the voice from heaven (all) happened as . . . Jesus . . . was praying." (2) The conjoined infinitival clause, àve $\omega \chi$ Ө̃ val . . . кaì катаß $\tilde{\eta} v a 1 . .$. , could be part of a larger temporal infinitival clause:
 $\ldots \gamma^{\varepsilon} v$ ह́のӨaı. In this reading, the kaì that precedes 'I $\eta$ бoṽ links the second infinitive with the first one: "Now it happened that as all the people were baptized $\ldots$ and the heavens had opened, and the Holy Spirit had descended, and a voice had come from heaven." The lack of any subsequent finite verb points to the first option.




$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \tilde{\eta} v \alpha \mathbf{v}$. Aor act inf ката $\beta a i v \omega$. See verse 21 on $\alpha \mathfrak{\alpha} v \varepsilon \omega \chi \theta \tilde{\eta} v \alpha u$.

 it clear that the Spirit came upon Jesus in a visible manner (Bock, $1: 338)$. This point is demonstrated by the evidence of $\mathfrak{P}^{4}$ which
 ä $\gamma เ \circ v \pi v \varepsilon v ่ \mu \alpha \tau!$ : "the Holy Spirit descended in spiritual form"), thus providing "a creative alternative to the difficult idea of the Spirit descending in bodily form" (Comfort, 331).
$\dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{c}$. Introduces an analogy between the descent of the Spirit and a dove.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \grave{\alpha} v$. The text appears ambiguous regarding whether the Holy Spirit descended in bodily form upon him as a dove descends (so Bock, 1:338), or descended in bodily form upon him as a dove, i.e., in the form of a dove (so Plummer, 99). The accusative case, however, seems to be used because the noun is part of an elliptical construction in which $\pi \varepsilon \rho \circ \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho a ̀ v$ is the subject of the infinitive:


غ̇ $\pi^{\prime}$ aủtóv. Locative (cf. 1:35 on દ̇ாì $\sigma \grave{\varepsilon}$ ).
$\varphi \omega v \grave{\eta} \nu$. Accusative subject of $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon ́ \sigma \theta a l$. As Plummer (100) notes, Luke is fond of using $\varphi \omega v \dot{\prime}$ as the subject of $\gamma$ ivoual (see also 1:44; 9:35, 36; Acts 2:6; 7:31; 10:13; 19:34).

ह̀ $\xi$ oủpavoṽ. Source.

 this utterance in the LXX, see Gen 22:2; Ps 2:7; and Isa 42:1.
$\Sigma \dot{v}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ĩ.
عĩ. Pres act ind 2nd sg عipí.
ó viós. . . ó á $\mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \eta \boldsymbol{\tau}$ óc. Predicate nominative.
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Genitive of relationship.
èv ooi. Reference.
 why some scholars (e.g., Porter, Decker, Campbell) maintain that the aorist tense, like the other tenses, does not explicitly refer to time, though it is used most often to refer to past events (cf. 1:47; $7: 35 ; 15: 24$ ). Here, God is simply portrayed as speaking of his pleasure with Jesus as a whole action or simple event by using the aorist tense/perfective aspect (cf. McKay, 27) rather than as a process (imperfective aspect). There is no indication in the context that God's pleasure with Jesus begins at this point, which would require that God also began to be pleased with Jesus at the transfiguration (see the use of عv́dóкๆ $\sigma \alpha$ in 17:5; contra, e.g., Wallace, 544, and Nolland, 1:164-65, who take this as an ingressive aorist).

##   <br>  with the proper name could indicate emphasis ("Jesus himself"), or simply be used to place Jesus in focus for what follows.

ñv. Impf ind 3rd sg عipi. The verb could be connected to
 $\tau \rho เ \dot{\alpha} \kappa v o \tau \alpha$, or ' $\mathrm{I} \omega \sigma \grave{\eta} \varphi$. If $\mathfrak{\eta} v$ is taken with either the first or third option, then $\dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon \grave{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \tau \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau \rho \mid \alpha \dot{\alpha} \kappa o v \tau \alpha$ is left unconnected to the rest of the sentence (Nolland, 1:170-71). It is best, then, to view $\eta^{\circ} v$
 (see below on $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ ), with $\dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon \grave{i}$ marking that age as approximate (see also 9:14; 23:44; Acts 1:15; 19:7). If this is correct, the participle must be adverbial.
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \mathbf{o} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v o c}$. Pres mid ptc masc nom sg ảp $\rho \omega$ (temporal).
$\dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon$ i. Comparative conjunction.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \rho \boldsymbol{\alpha} \kappa \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. The case could be viewed as a genitive of time (see Culy and Parsons, 74). It may be better, though, to view the combination of $\varepsilon \dot{\mu} \mu i$ or $\gamma i v o \mu a ı$ with $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ and a numeral as a conventional way of introducing someone's age (BDAG, 401): lit. "when he was (a man) of 30 years" (cf. 2:42; Mark 5:42; Acts 4:22; LXX 2 Sam 19:33; 2 Chr 24:15; DanTh 6:1).
$\ddot{\omega} v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\varepsilon i \mu i($ attributive).
vióc. Predicate nominative.
$\dot{\omega}$. Comparative conjunction.

'I $\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \eta \mathrm{\varphi} \varphi$. Genitive of relationship. The geneology of Jesus begins here, and the remaining verses contain no verbs. Instead, 3:24-38 contains a string of genitives of relationship tracing the lineage of Jesus.

тo $\tilde{v}$ ' $\mathbf{H} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{i}$. It is not uncommon to refer to the son of someone by simply using a genitive form of the proper name without viós (here, lit. "Joseph of Eli").

3:24-38 тoṽ Ma日Өà $\tau$ тoṽ $\Lambda \varepsilon v i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̃ ~ M \varepsilon \lambda \chi i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̃ ~ ' I a v v a i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̃ ~ ' I \omega \sigma \grave{\varphi ~} \varphi$ ${ }^{25} \tau o v ̃ ~ M a \tau \tau \alpha \theta i ́ o v ~ \tau o v ̃ ~ A \mu \grave{\omega} \varsigma ~ \tau o v ̃ ~ N a o v ̀ \mu ~ \tau o v ̃ ~ ' E \sigma \lambda i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̃ ~ N a \gamma \gamma a i ̀ ~$











 тoṽ Ad̀̀ $\mu$ тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ.

Throughout the genealogy, each name is accompanied by a genitive article to indicate that a relationship is involved: " X (the son) of Y " (see v. 23 above on тoũ ' $\mathrm{H} \lambda \mathrm{i}$ ).

## Luke 4:1-15

${ }^{1}$ Now Jesus, full of the Holy Spirit, returned from the Jordan and was led about in the wilderness under the Spirit's influence ${ }^{2}$ for forty days and was tempted by the devil. During those days he ate nothing; and when they were over, he was hungry. ${ }^{3}$ Then the devil said to him, "If you are the Son of God, tell this stone to become bread." ${ }^{4}$ Jesus answered him, "It is written, 'A person must not live on bread alone.'"
${ }^{5}$ After bringing him up (to a high mountain), he showed him in just a moment of time all the kingdoms of the inhabited world. ${ }^{6}$ Then the devil said to him, "I will give to you all this authority and their glory, because it has been handed over to me and I give it to whomever I desire. ${ }^{7}$ Therefore, if you will bow down before me, all (of it) will be yours!" ${ }^{8}$ Jesus responded and said to him, "It is written, 'Worship the Lord your God and serve him alone.'"
${ }^{9}$ Then he brought him to Jerusalem and stood (him) on the pinnacle of the temple and said to him, "If you are the Son of God, throw yourself down from here. ${ }^{10}$ For it is written, 'He will give orders concerning you to his angels, to protect you.' ${ }^{11}$ And, 'They will lift you up in (their) hands, so that you do not strike your foot against a stone.'" ${ }^{12}$ Jesus responded and said to him, "It is said, 'Do not put the Lord your God to the test.'"
${ }^{13}$ When he had carried out every temptation, the devil left him until (another) opportune time; ${ }^{14}$ and Jesus returned in the power of the Spirit to Galilee. And news about him spread throughout the entire surrounding area. ${ }^{15} \mathrm{He}$ was teaching them in their synagogues and being praised by everyone.

##  'Io

'İбoṽc. Nominative subject of $\dot{\pi} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon v$. Levinsohn (2000, 76) argues that the sentence-initial reference to Jesus "reestablishes him as the center of attention, as the narrative resumes following the genealogy of 3:23-28."
$\delta \grave{\varepsilon}$. The conjunction introduces the next development in the narrative.
$\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\rho} \boldsymbol{\eta} \varsigma$. The adjective could be taken as a substantival nominative adjective in apposition to 'I $\bar{\sigma}$ oũc: "Jesus, the One who was full of the Holy Spirit. . . ." It is probably better, though, to view it as a predicate nominative in a shortened form of a relative clause

 7:55; cf. BDF §418.6): "Jesus who was full of the Holy Spirit." There does not appear to be any significant difference between using the stand alone adjective, as here, and using $\dot{v} \pi \alpha \dot{\rho} \rho \omega \nu \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \rho \eta s$
 relative clause.
$\pi \nu \varepsilon \dot{v} \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varsigma} \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{i} \mathbf{o v}$. Genitive of content.
$\dot{v} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\dot{v} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$.

ท̉ $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tau \mathrm{o}$. Impf pass ind 3rd sg ä $\gamma \omega$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\varphi} \pi v \varepsilon \dot{v} \mu a \tau \tau$. It would be natural to view the preposition as



 the distinction between $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ and $\dot{\iota} \pi \mathbf{o}$, prepositions that are not typically used interchangeably. It is better to view this expression as an idiomatic way of referring to being under the influence of the Spirit (see also 2:27; cf. 10:21).

غ̇v $\tau \underline{n}$ ह̇ $\rho \dot{\eta} \mu \varphi$. Locative. Caragounis notes that Attic Greek distinguished between the use of $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ plus the dative with verbs of rest and eic plus the accusative with verbs of motion. Here, we may have an example of the fact that the NT writers did not maintain this sharp distinction, as $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ is used with a verb of motion (ク้үعтo; Caragounis,
 allel passages（Matt 4：1；Mark 1：12）and in many manuscripts for this passage（ $A \Theta \Xi \Psi 0102 f^{f, 13} 33 \mathfrak{N v g}$ ），suggests that many scribes continued to maintain the distinction．On the other hand，the use of $\varepsilon v v$ rather than $\varepsilon i c$, particularly given the choice of the imperfec－ tive ク̋ $\gamma \varepsilon \tau$ т，may reflect a desire to communicate that Jesus was＂led about in the wilderness＂rather than＂led to the wilderness．＂

##   

 Given the fact that $\eta \gamma \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau o$（v．1）is already modified by two PPs（ $\varepsilon v$
 fies $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \zeta^{\circ} \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma: ~ " a n d ~ w a s ~ t e m p t e d ~ f o r ~ f o r t y ~ d a y s . " ~ T h e ~ u s e ~ o f ~ t h e ~$ imperfective ク̋үยто，however，makes it more likely that it modifies the main verb（see the translation）．
$\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho a \zeta \grave{o} \mu \varepsilon v o c$. Pres pass ptc masc nom sg $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \dot{\zeta} \zeta \omega$ ．If the analysis above is correct，the participle likely introduces an attendant cir－ cumstance（see 1：24 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \circ 0 \sigma \alpha$ ）rather than a purpose clause（＂led ．．．in order to be tempted＂）．


oủסغ̀v．Accusative direct object of $\begin{gathered} \\ \varphi\end{gathered} \gamma \bar{\varepsilon} v$ ．

$\sigma \cup v \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon เ \sigma \tilde{\omega} v$ ．Aor pass ptc fem gen $\mathrm{pl} \sigma u v \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ ．Genitive absolute（see 2：2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvєv́ovтос），temporal．
av̉兀 $\omega \tau$ ．Genitive subject of $\sigma \cup v \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \tilde{v} v$ ．The antecedent is п́ $\mu \varepsilon ́ \rho \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \rho \alpha ́ к о \nu \tau а . ~$

غ̇лモivãev．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi \varepsilon เ v \alpha ́ \omega$ ．



Eĩ̃ $\varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$ ．
av̉兀 $\tilde{\omega}$ ．Dative indirect object of عĩ $\tau \varepsilon v$ ．
ó $\delta$ tá $ß \mathbf{o} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{o c}$ ．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \frac{\pi}{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$ ．

Ei. Introduces a first class condition, in which the protasis is assumed to be true for the sake of argument.
v́ıòs. Predicate nominative of $\varepsilon$ ĩ. The fronting of útò, , resulting in a discontinuous NP (v́ò . . . тoũ $\theta$ عoũ), likely serves to convey a taunting tone (cf. v. 9).

тoṽ $\theta$ عove. Genitive of relationship.
عĩ. Pres act ind 2nd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i ́$.
$\varepsilon i \pi \varepsilon ̀ . ~ A o r ~ a c t ~ i m p v ~ 2 n d ~ s g ~ \lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega . ~$
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \lambda i \theta \omega \tau \operatorname{\tau ov} \tau \omega$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon i \pi \varepsilon \grave{\varepsilon}$.
îva. The îva could introduce either a clausal complement of $\varepsilon i \pi \varepsilon \grave{\varepsilon}$ (lit. "say to this stone that it should become bread"; so Wallace, 475) or a purpose clause ("speak to this stone so that it might become bread"; cf. Plummer, 110).

ү่́vŋтat. Aor mid subj 3rd sg $\gamma$ ivoual. Subjunctive with îva.
ä $\rho \tau \boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Predicate nominative of $\gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \eta \eta \tau \alpha$.

## 4:4 каì à $\pi \varepsilon \kappa \rho i \theta \eta \pi \rho o ̀ ̧ ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ v ~ o ́ ~ ’ I \eta \sigma o v ̃ ৎ, ~ Г غ ́ \gamma \rho a \pi \tau \alpha ı ~ o ̋ \tau ı ~ O v ̉ к ~ غ ̇ \pi ' ~$ 

 $\dot{\alpha} \pi о к \rho ı \theta \varepsilon i \varsigma$. . On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction. See also 1:19 on à $\pi о к \rho \theta \varepsilon i \varsigma$.
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ v . ~ I n d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~(s e e ~ 1: 13 ~ o n ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
ó 'İбoũc. Nominative subject of à $\pi \varepsilon \kappa \rho i \theta \eta$.
$\Gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \rho a \pi \tau \alpha \mathrm{c}$. Prf pass ind 3rd sg $\gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varphi \omega$. On the force of the perfect, see 2:23.
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse) of $\gamma \varepsilon ́ \gamma \rho a \pi \tau \alpha 1$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ ä $\rho \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{v} \boldsymbol{\varphi}$. Here, the preposition serves as a "marker of basis for a state of being, action, or result" (BDAG, 364.6.a).
 universal, timeless, and/or solemn force" (Wallace, 569).


##  

$\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\alpha$ vá $\gamma \omega$ (temporal).
av̉兀òv. Accusative direct object of $\alpha \mathfrak{\alpha} \alpha \gamma \alpha \gamma \dot{\omega} v$.

ह̋రعı $\xi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\delta \varepsilon і к \nu \nu \mu$.
av̉т $ฺ$. Dative indirect object of $\check{\varepsilon} \delta \varepsilon ı \xi \varepsilon v$. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i} v$.
$\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \varsigma \tau \alpha ̀ \varsigma \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \boldsymbol{i}^{\prime} \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ है $\delta \varepsilon ı \xi \varepsilon \nu$.
$\tau \eta ̃ \varsigma$ оіккоицд́vŋ̧. Partitive genitive.
غ̇v $\boldsymbol{\sigma \tau \iota \gamma \mu \tilde { \eta } .}$. Temporal.
X $\rho$ óvov. Partitive genitive.

 $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega \delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{~L}$ à̉兀ŋ́v.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \mathrm{u} v$.

$\Sigma$ oì. Dative indirect object of $\delta \omega \sigma \omega$. The fronted pronoun probably highlights the supposed largesse of the devil's offer.
$\delta \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega$. Fut act ind 1st sg $\delta \dot{\delta} \delta \omega \mu$.
 object of $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega$.
 dent is тàऽ $\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha \varsigma ~(v .5) . ~$
ö $\tau \mathbf{\tau}$. Introduces a causal clause.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \boldsymbol{o}$. Dative indirect object of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \delta o \tau \alpha ı$. The fronted pronoun highlights the devil's proclaimed ability to carry through on his promise, and creates a parallel structure with the preceding clause.
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \delta o \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Prf pass ind 3rd sg $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{~L}$.

 give it to whomever I want to give it"). Thus, the indefinite relative pronoun (see 9:48 on "Oऽ $\varsigma \dot{\text { èa }} v$ ) introduces a headless relative clause
 object of $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
$\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$. Pres act subj 1st sg $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$. Subjunctive with $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{a} v$.
$\delta i \delta \omega \mu$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
$\alpha u ̉ \tau \eta \dot{v}$. Accusative direct object of $\delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{I}$.

## 

$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{v}$. The nominative pronoun could be viewed as the fronted subject of $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa v v \eta \dot{\eta} \varsigma$. It may be better, though, since subjects of a conditional clause generally occur within that clause, i.e., after the $\varepsilon i$ or $\varepsilon \dot{\alpha} \alpha$, , to view this as a topic construction (see 1:36 on 'E $\lambda \iota \sigma \dot{\alpha} \beta \varepsilon \tau$ ) that is used to create a greater sense of weight to the devil's offer (cf. John 12:32): lit. "Therefore, you, if you will bow down before me, everything will be yours!"

غ̇àv. Introduces the protasis of a third class condition.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa v v \eta \dot{\eta} \eta \varsigma$. Aor act subj 2nd sg $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa v v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. Subjunctive with ċáv.
ėvórtıov غ̇นoṽ. Locative.
ย゙бтаı. Fut ind 3rd sg عiuí.
бoṽ. Possessive genitive.
$\pi \tilde{a} \sigma \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ हैб $\tau \alpha$.


 circumstance; see also 1:19 on $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \kappa \rho เ \theta \varepsilon i \varsigma) . ~ O n ~ t h e ~ v o i c e, ~ s e e ~$ "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av̉ఁฺั. Dative indirect object of عĩ̃દv.
$\Gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \rho a \pi \tau \alpha \mathrm{I}$. Prf pass ind 3rd sg $\gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varphi \omega$. On the force of the perfect, see 2:23.

Kúpıov. Accusative direct object of $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \nu v \eta \dot{\sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma . ~}$
tòv $\theta$ cóv. Accusative in apposition to Kúpıov.
$\sigma \boldsymbol{o v}$. Genitive of subordination.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa v \nu \eta \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \iota \varsigma$. Fut act ind 2nd sg $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa v v \varepsilon ́ \omega$ (imperatival future; see also $4: 4$ on そֹ่бєтaı).

$\lambda a \tau \rho \varepsilon \dot{v} \sigma \varepsilon เ \varsigma$. Fut act ind 2nd sg $\lambda \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon \dot{v} \omega$ (imperatival future; see also $4: 4$ on $\zeta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \alpha \iota)$. On the meaning, which is roughly synonymous with $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \cup v \eta \dot{\sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ h e r e, ~ s e e ~ 1: 74 . ~}$

##   غ̇v $\tau \varepsilon \tilde{\theta} \theta \varepsilon \nu$ ка́ $\tau \omega$.

"Hүaүعv. Aor act ind 3rd sg ä $\gamma \omega$. aủ̀òv. Accusative direct object of "H $\gamma \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v$.
عiç ’Ípovoa入̀̀ $\mu$. Locative.
 verb (aủtóv) appears to have been made explicit by many scribes (A D $\mathfrak{M} f^{i} 33 p m$ ), though it may reflect assimilation to Matt 4:5b (cf. Marshall, 172).
 or high point of a building" (LN 7.53) or more generally, "the tip or extremity of anything" (BDAG, 895).

тoṽ ípoũ. Partitive genitive.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av̉โนั. Dative indirect object of عĩ̃દv.
Ei. Introduces a first class condition, in which the protasis is assumed to be true for the sake of argument.
v́tòc. Predicate nominative of $\varepsilon$ ĩ. The fronting of úòs, resulting in a discontinuous NP (v́ò . . . тoũ $\theta$ عoũ), likely serves to convey a taunting tone (cf. v. 3).

عĩ. Pres act ind 2nd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$ i.
$\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon$. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \mathbf{̀} v$. Accusative direct object of $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon$.

##  тои̃ $\delta \iota \alpha \varphi \cup \lambda \dot{\alpha} \xi \alpha \iota \sigma \varepsilon$,

$\gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \boldsymbol{\rho} \pi \tau \alpha 1$. Prf pass ind 3rd sg $\gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varphi \omega$. On the force of the perfect, see 2:23.
$\gamma$ 人à $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).
ötı. Introduces a clausal complement (direct discourse; see also
1:25 on ö öt; Levinsohn 2000, 268) of $\gamma \varepsilon \dot{\gamma} \gamma \rho a \pi \tau \alpha$.
Toĩc $\mathfrak{a} \gamma \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda$ oıs. Dative complement of $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha$.
av่̉าõ. Possessive genitive.

$\pi \varepsilon \rho \grave{\text { in }} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{0}$. Reference.
$\tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{v} \delta \boldsymbol{\iota} \varphi \boldsymbol{v} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \xi \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathbf{l}$. Aor act inf $\delta ı \alpha \varphi \cup \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega$. Conceptually, the infinitival clause could represent a purpose clause, be epexegetical to the main verb, or introduce indirect discourse. The use of the genitive article, however, rules out the indirect discourse analysis. Either of the other two is possible.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Accusative direct object of $\delta ı \alpha \varphi \cup \lambda \dot{\alpha} \xi \alpha$.

##  $\lambda i \theta o v$ tòv $\pi o ́ \delta \alpha$ oov.

ötı. Introduces a conjoined clausal complement (direct discourse; see also 1:25 on ött; Levinsohn 2000, 268) of $\gamma \varepsilon ́ \gamma \rho a \pi \tau \alpha l(v$. 10).
'Eォì $\chi \varepsilon \iota \rho \tilde{\omega} v$. Locative.
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{v} \sigma \boldsymbol{i} v$. Fut act ind 3rd pl ailp $\omega$. On the second accent, see 1:13

$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Accusative direct object of ảpoũoiv.
$\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \mathbf{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Introduces a negative purpose clause.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa о ́ \psi \eta$. Aor act subj 2nd sg $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa o ́ \pi \tau \omega$. Subjunctive with $\mu$ п́тотв.
$\pi \rho \mathbf{o ̀ ~} \lambda i \theta \mathbf{o v}$. The preposition here denotes "extension toward a goal, involving presumed contact and reaction-'against'" (LN 84.23).

тòv $\pi \mathbf{o ́} \delta a$. Accusative direct object of $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa o ́ \psi \eta \varsigma$.
oov. Possessive genitive.

##  $\pi \varepsilon เ \rho a ́ \sigma \varepsilon เ \varsigma ~ \kappa u ́ \rho เ o v ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \theta \varepsilon o ́ v ~ \sigma o v . ~$


 "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ่ \gamma \omega$.

av่̉ $\tilde{\omega}$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu i ̃$.
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ı. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also $1: 25$ on őtı) of عĩส

Eíp $\eta \tau \alpha \mathbf{c}$ ．Prf pass ind 3 rd $\operatorname{sg} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．This form of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ，used to introduce an OT quote，is simply a stylistic variant（cf．Matt 4：7） for $\gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \rho a \pi \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$（Marshall，173）．On the force of the perfect，see 2：23 on $\gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \alpha \pi \tau \alpha$ ．
 see also 4：4 on そŋ́бモтаı）．

đòv $\theta$ cóv．Accusative in apposition to kúpıov．
$\boldsymbol{\sigma o v}$ ．Genitive of subordination．

## 4：13 Kaì $\sigma v \nu \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ́ \sigma \alpha \varsigma ~ \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha ~ \pi \varepsilon ı \rho \alpha \sigma \mu o ̀ v ~ o ́ ~ \delta ı \dot{\alpha} \beta o \lambda o \varsigma ~ a ̀ \pi \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta ~ a ̀ ~ \pi ’ ~$ av̉toṽ äXpı каıpoṽ．

$\boldsymbol{\sigma} v \tau \tau \lambda \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sigma a \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\sigma \cup v \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$（temporal）．A form of this verb is used in 4：2，forming an inclusio around the temptation narrative．
$\pi \alpha \dot{v} \tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \sigma \mu o ̀ v$ ．Accusative direct object of $\sigma \cup v \tau \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma a \varsigma$ ．What Luke means by＂every temptation＂is unclear．The phrase may（1） indicate that these three temptations were just a sample of the full spectrum of temptation that Jesus was subjected to（cf．BDAG， 793．2．a：＂when the devil had exhausted every way of tempting＂）； （2）reflect Lukan hyperbole；（3）portray the three temptations listed as representative of＂every temptation＂（cf．Fitzmyer，1：517－18）； or（4）simply refer to＂all（three）temptations＂that were just men－ tioned．On the whole，given the beginning of the pericope that places Jesus in the wilderness for forty days，（1）is the most likely （cf．Heb 4：15）．
ó $\boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{t} \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta o \lambda o s . ~ N o m i n a t i v e ~ s u b j e c t ~ o f ~} \mathfrak{a} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \eta$ ．
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \eta$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\dot{\alpha} \varphi i \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ ．
à $\pi$＇av̉toṽ．Separation．
äx $\boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\kappa}$ кıı $\rho \mathbf{o v ̃ . ~ T e m p o r a l . ~}$

 av̉тoṽ．
 Гa入ı入aiav．Luke uses parallel language to form an inclusio with

 close of this pericope．
$\dot{v} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\dot{v} \pi о \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \psi \omega$ ．

èv $\tau \underline{1} \delta \boldsymbol{\delta v a ́ \mu \varepsilon ı . ~ M a n n e r . ~}$
то⿱亠乂，$\pi \nu \varepsilon v \dot{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ． ．Genitive of source．
عís $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ Гa入ı入aiav．Locative．
$\varphi \eta \dot{\mu} \mu$ ．Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ．Although Bovon（1：151） argues that $\varphi \eta^{\prime} \mu \eta$ means＂renown＂or＂fame，＂rather than＂report＂ （BDAG，1052－53），due to the presence of $\delta о \xi \alpha \zeta$ ó $\mu \varepsilon v o$ ，in $4: 15$ ，this is likely reading the context into the semantics of the noun．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon \in \xi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi o \mu \alpha ı$ ．Lit．＂went out．＂

$\pi \varepsilon \rho і$ av̉兀oũ．Reference．

##  vícò $\pi \alpha \dot{v} \tau \omega v$ ．

av̉tòc．Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \delta i \delta a \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v$ ．The pronoun should not be viewed as emphatic（see Plummer，117；Wallace，323，n． 16）．Luke frequently uses kaì aủtòs to shift attention back to the main character when the preceding clause had a different subject． Plummer（149）calls this Luke＇s＂favourite form of connexion in narrative．＂
 NCV，NET Bible）render this verb using an ingressive construction： ＂He began to teach．＂Such a nuance is common when imperfect verbs follow a conjoined aorist verb or are preceded by a modifying aorist participle（see 1：59 on غ̇ká $\lambda \mathrm{ouv}$ ）．In this case，however，the subject of this verb is not the same as the preceding aorist verb．It is better，then，to take the imperfect verb as simply portraying the teaching as a process（cf．Bock，1：392；Plummer，117）and providing a summary statement of Jesus＇subsequent activities that sets up the following scene．

aủtũv．Possessive genitive．
$\boldsymbol{\delta o} \boldsymbol{\xi} \alpha \boldsymbol{\zeta} \boldsymbol{\mathbf { o }} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v o c}$. Pres pass ptc masc nom sg $\delta_{0} \xi \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$ (attendant circumstance; see 1:24 on $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma \alpha)$.
vínò $\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \omega v$. Ultimate agency. Hyperbole.

## Luke 4:16-30

${ }^{16} \mathrm{He}$ came to Nazareth, where he had been raised, and according to his custom he entered the synagogue on the Sabbath day and stood up to read. ${ }^{17}$ The scroll of the prophet Isaiah was given to him, and after unrolling the scroll he found the place where it had been written,
${ }^{18}$ "The Spirit of the Lord is upon me,
because he has anointed me.
He has sent me to announce good news to the poor,
to proclaim release to the prisoners
and gaining of sight to the blind,
to set the oppressed free,
${ }^{19}$ to proclaim the year of the Lord's favor."
${ }^{20} \mathrm{After}$ rolling up the scroll, he gave it back to the attendant and sat down; and the eyes of everyone in the synagogue were fixed on him. ${ }^{21}$ Then he began to speak to them: "Today this Scripture has been fulfilled in your hearing." ${ }^{22}$ Everyone was speaking well of him, and they were amazed at the gracious words that were coming out of his mouth and were saying, "Isn't this man Joseph's son?" ${ }^{23}$ So he said to them, "You will surely quote me this proverb, 'Physician, heal yourself,' (and say,) 'Do as many things as we heard happened in Capernaum here in your hometown too!'" ${ }^{24}$ Then he said, "I assure you, 'No prophet is acceptable in his home town.' ${ }^{25}$ The truth is, there were many widows in Israel during the days of Elijah, when the sky was closed for three years and six months, when a severe famine came upon the entire land. ${ }^{26}$ And Elijah was sent to none of them but rather to a widow in Zarephath in Sidon. ${ }^{27}$ Also, many lepers were in Israel at the time of Elisha the prophet, and none of them were cleansed except Naaman the Syrian."
${ }^{28}$ Everyone in the synagogue was filled with anger when they heard these things. ${ }^{29}$ They got up and drove him out of the city, and then brought him to the brow of the hill on which their city had been built in order to throw him off. ${ }^{30}$ But after passing through the middle of the crowd, he went on his way.



$\tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ êp $\chi o \mu \alpha ı$ ．
عís Na 〔a $\alpha$ á．Locative．
oṽ．The genitive relative pronoun without an antecedent func－ tions as a locative adverb：＂where＂（cf．4：17；10：1；23：53；24：28）．

ท̃v．Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ i $\mu i$ i．
$\tau \varepsilon \theta \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon ́ v o c$. Prf pass ptc masc nom sg $\tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$（pluperfect peri－ phrastic）．The periphrastic construction is equivalent to a simple pluperfect verb（see 1：10 on $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \cup \chi$ о́ $\mu \varepsilon v o v$ ）．

кaтà tò عíw日òc．Standard．
đò $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \omega \theta$ Ò̀．Prf act ptc neut acc sg $\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \omega \theta \alpha$（substantival）．
av̉兀ఱ̣．Dative of reference or possession（cf．Acts 17：2）．

$\tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\beta} \beta \dot{\alpha} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ．Epexegetical genitive．Luke and other biblical writers appear to use the plural（also $4: 31 ; 6: 2 ; 13: 10 ; 24: 1$ ）and singular（ 13 times）forms of $\sigma \dot{\alpha} \beta \beta \alpha \pi o v$ to refer to the Sabbath day interchangeably（cf．Marshall，181；BDAG，909．1．b．$\beta$ ），even with the same construction as here（see $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \underset{\sim}{\text { q．}}$ тov $\sigma \alpha \beta \beta \dot{\alpha} \tau o v$ in 13：14， 16 and 14：5）．

$\dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \eta$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg àviotqul．
$\dot{\alpha} v a \gamma v \tilde{\omega} v a l$. Aor act inf $\alpha \mathfrak{v a} \gamma \iota v \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \omega$（purpose）．


$\varepsilon ̇ \pi \varepsilon \delta \dot{o} \theta \eta$ ．Aor pass ind 3 rd sg $\varepsilon$ ह̇ $\pi \iota \delta i \delta \omega \mu$ ．
 1：2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i} v$ ．
$\beta \mathbf{\beta} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{i} \mathbf{o v}$ ．Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \delta \delta \dot{\theta} \eta$ ．
то⿱㇒⿻二乚㇒ $\boldsymbol{\pi \rho о \varphi} \mathfrak{\eta} \tau 0 v$ ．In this type of genitive construction，the agent （ $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \dot{\eta} \tau 0 v$ ）carries out an implicit action（writing）that produces the head noun（ $\beta, \beta \lambda$ iov）：＂the book that the prophet wrote＂（see Beekman and Callow，261；cf．3：4 on＇Hбaïov）．
'Hoaïov. Genitive in apposition to $\pi \rho о \varphi$ ท́tov. $\dot{\alpha} v a \pi \tau \dot{\jmath} \xi \alpha$, . Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\dot{\alpha} v a \pi \tau \cup \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \omega$ (temporal). тò $\beta \iota \beta \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{i o v}$. Accusative direct object of $\alpha \mathfrak{v} v a \pi \tau \dot{\jmath} \xi a c$.
$\varepsilon v ̃ \rho \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg é̇píซk $\omega$.
тòv tó $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{v}$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \tilde{̃} \rho \varepsilon v$.
oṽ. The genitive relative pronoun without an antecedent functions as a locative adverb: "where" (see also 4:16; 10:1; 23:53; 24:28).

ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$ i.
$\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \dot{v} \boldsymbol{v o v}$. Prf pass ptc neut nom sg $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \varphi \omega$ (pluperfect periphrastic). The periphrastic construction is equivalent to a simple pluperfect verb (see 1:10 on $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon u \chi$ о́ $\mu \varepsilon v o v$ ).



$\Pi \nu \varepsilon \tilde{v} \mu \alpha$. Nominative subject of an implied equative verb. кирiov. Possessive genitive.
غ̀ $\pi^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \dot{\mu}$. Locative. See also 1:12 on $\varepsilon$ ह̇ $\boldsymbol{\prime}^{\prime}$ av̉tóv.
oṽ. Genitive object of $\varepsilon$ हivekev: lit. "because of which."
عivveкยv. This poetic form of the causal preposition was common in Epic and Ionic Greek (see LSJ, 563). In the NT, it occurs only here and in 2 Cor 3:10, while the more common ěveка occurs twentyfour times.

モ̌ $\chi \rho \iota \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg xpí $\omega$. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס $\varepsilon$ そ́ $\sigma$ бic.
$\mu \varepsilon$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ é $\chi \rho \iota \sigma \varepsilon ́ v$.
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\jmath} \alpha \gamma \gamma^{\varepsilon} \lambda i \boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha \sigma \theta a \mathrm{a}$. Aor mid inf $\varepsilon \dot{̉} \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda i \zeta \omega$ (purpose). Although
 tuation appears after $\pi \tau \omega \chi$ oic, it is more likely that Luke followed the MT and LXX which require a semi-colon after the first $\mu \varepsilon$ (so Marshall, 183). This leaves $\varepsilon \dot{J} \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda i \sigma a \sigma \theta a \mathrm{a}$ and the subsequent infinitives as modifiers of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \kappa \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v$ (see the translation). If the UBS ${ }^{4}$ is followed, the verse would be translated: "The Spirit of the Lord is upon me, because he anointed me to announce good news to the poor; he has sent me to proclaim release to the prisoners and gaining of sight to the blind, to send out the oppressed in liberty."
$\pi \tau \omega \chi$ оĩ. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon v ̉ a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda i \sigma a \sigma \theta a 1$.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \kappa \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v$. Prf act ind 3 rd sg $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$. On the second accent, see $1: 13$ on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס $\varepsilon$ そ́ $\sigma$ oic.
$\mu \varepsilon$. Accusative direct object of à $\tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \dot{v}$.
$\kappa \eta \rho \dot{\zeta} \xi \alpha \mathbf{\alpha}$. Aor act inf к $\boldsymbol{\rho} \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \omega$ (purpose).
$\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\prime} \boldsymbol{\prime}$. Dative indirect object of кךрú $\xi \alpha$, or less likely dative of advantage. The term aix $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega \tau \tau$ ("captive") occurs only here in the NT.
$\alpha \nprec \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \iota v$. Accusative direct object of кпрú $\xi \alpha$ a.
$\tau \cup \varphi \lambda$ оĩc. Dative indirect object of an implied кпрú $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\alpha}$, or less likely dative of advantage.
$\dot{\alpha} v \dot{\alpha} \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi ı v$. Accusative direct object of an implied кךрú $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\alpha ı}$.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \tau \varepsilon \tilde{i} \lambda \alpha \mathbf{l}$. Aor act inf $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ (purpose, modifying $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \kappa \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon})$ ). Plummer (122) notes the use of asyndeton in this verse. If the punctuation suggested above is adopted, the three
 $\kappa \eta \rho v ́ \xi \alpha \iota$ in 4:19, have no connecting conjunctions.
$\tau \varepsilon \theta \rho a v \sigma \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v c$. Prf pass ptc masc acc pl $\theta \rho a v ́ \omega$ (substantival). Accusative direct object of à $\pi o \sigma \tau \varepsilon \tilde{\lambda} \lambda a$ a.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \dot{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \varepsilon$. Manner. Used in conjunction with $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi o \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$, the whole expression (lit. "to send out in liberty those who have been oppressed") carries the idea of setting someone free.

## 

 к $\varepsilon$ v).

кvpiov. The genitive (lit. "year of the Lord") introduces the agent of unstated events: "the year when the Lord will show favor to his people."


$\pi \tau \dot{\xi} \xi \alpha \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\pi \tau \cup \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \omega$ (temporal or attendant circumstance).

тò $\beta \mathbf{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{i o v}$. Accusative direct object of $\pi \tau \cup \dot{\xi} \alpha \varsigma$.
$\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{o} \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{o v} \mathbf{c}_{\text {. }}$ Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{I}$ (attendant circumstance or temporal).
 participants are typically introduced without an article, the fact that the attendant has implicitly been introduced already by locating the scene in a synagogue explains the use of the article here (see Levinsohn 2000, 148-49; cf. Plummer, 123).

غ̇к $\dot{\theta} \theta เ \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg каӨǐ $\omega$.
$\pi \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \omega v$. Possessive genitive. The fronted position of the hyperbolic adjective lends it additional force.
oi ò $\varphi \theta \boldsymbol{a} \lambda \mu \mathbf{o}$. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha ̉ \tau \varepsilon v i \zeta o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\eta} \sigma \omega v a \gamma \omega \gamma \tilde{n}$. Locative.
ท̃ँซav. Impf ind 3rd pl $\varepsilon i \mu i$.
à $\tau \varepsilon v i \zeta o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon v i \zeta \omega$ (imperfect periphrastic).
$\alpha$ ủtư. Dative complement of $\dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon v i \zeta o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. With $\dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon v i \zeta \omega$, the thing or person being stared at can be introduced by the preposition $\varepsilon i \varsigma($ (see Acts $1: 10 ; 3: 4 ; 6: 15 ; 7: 55 ; 11: 6 ; 13: 9 ; 14: 9 ; 23: 1$ ) or using the dative case (see 22:56; Acts 3:12).

##  

$\eta ้ \rho \xi \alpha \tau 0$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg a̋ $\rho \chi \omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon}$. In verses $16-17$, the main verbs are all introduced with kai because these events, though part of the storyline, are setting the secne for the first major development in the narrative, which is introduced with $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ here.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon เ v$. Pres act inf $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (complementary).
$\pi \rho$ ò $\boldsymbol{a}$ ảtoùc. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
ötı. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see

$\Sigma \dot{\eta} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\nu}$. Temporal adverb.
$\pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \tau \alpha \mathrm{c}$. Prf pass ind 3rd sg $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \dot{\omega} \omega$. The implied agent is Jesus himself.

$\dot{\varepsilon} v$ toĩc $\mathfrak{\omega} \sigma \dot{v} v \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. This locative idiom (lit. "in your ears") points to the fact that those in the synagogue have directly witnessed the fulfillment of the Scripture Jesus read.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Possessive genitive.



$\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ д̇ $\alpha \rho \tau \dot{\rho} \rho o u v$. More hyperbole.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \dot{\rho} \rho o v v$. Impf act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. Here, the sense of $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ is "to affirm in a supportive manner" (BDAG, 618.2).

ह̇Өaúua̧ov. Impf act ind 3rd pl $\theta a v \mu \alpha \dot{\zeta} \zeta \omega$.

$\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \chi$ 人́pıтoc. Attributive genitive. Although Marshall (186) argues that $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \chi$ ג́pıtoc here refers to divine grace, Caragounis (420) is likely correct to suggest that Luke used this term in its more typical Greek sense to describe Jesus' speech as gracious or pleasant.
 (attributive).
غ̇к тоṽ бто́ $\mu \alpha \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$. Source.
av̉тoṽ. Possessive genitive.
è $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mathbf{o v}$. Impf act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
 a rhetorical question that expresses bewilderment concerning how Jesus could possibly teach as he did given his background.
vióc. Predicate nominative. The word order lends prominence to the clause.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg عiцi. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عíp.
'I $\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \eta \mathbf{\eta}_{\varphi}$. Genitive of relationship.




$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho \mathbf{o ̀} \varsigma$ aủtov́c. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho$ ò $\varsigma$ aủtòv).
חávt $\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{c}$. The adverb is used in statements of "strong affirmation" (Plummer, 125).

غ่คعĩ兀غ́. Fut act ind 2nd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. The future tense simply indicates

Jesus anticipating their challenge to him (cf. Nolland, 1:199). On the second accent, see $1: 13$ on $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\eta} \eta \sigma i \varsigma$.
$\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{o t}$. Dative indirect object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\dot{\eta} \mu i ̃ v$.
 (126) notes, here $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta 0 \lambda \eta$ refers to "a single figurative saying, proverb, or illustration," rather than a parable (cf. 5:36; 6:39).
'Ia $\boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Vocative.
$\theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \dot{\pi} \varepsilon v \sigma o v$. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon v ่ \omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{v}$. Accusative direct object of $\theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \dot{\pi} \varepsilon v \sigma o v$. While the reflexive pronoun literally refers to Jesus himself (Nolland, 1:199), the function of the entire proverb in this context is to ask Jesus to work his wonders in his hometown (Marshall, 187).
ö $\sigma \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Accusative direct object of $\mathfrak{\eta} \kappa \sigma \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$. The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ö), which as
 the direct object of noínoov.
ŋ̉кои́ $\sigma \mu \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 1st pl àkov́ $\omega$.
$\gamma_{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v o ́} \mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \alpha$. Aor mid ptc neut acc pl $\gamma$ ivoual. The participle should probably be understood as the complement in an objectcomplement double accusative construction. BDF (\$416), on the other hand, refers to it as a supplementary participle with a verb of perception.


$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{n} \pi \alpha \tau \rho i \delta \mathbf{I}$. Locative. The phrase further specifies the referent of $\tilde{\omega} \delta \varepsilon$.

бov. Possessive genitive.

##  ह̀v $\tau \tilde{1} \pi \alpha \tau \rho i \delta ı$ av̉̃oṽ.

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Aor act ind $\mu \mathrm{rd} \operatorname{sg} \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$. Plummer (127) argues that $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ carries adversative force here. It is likely better, however, simply to view it as introducing the next major development in the narrative.
${ }^{\prime} \mathbf{A} \mu \grave{\eta} v$. This particle is used to signal "a strong affirmation of what is stated" (BDAG, 53.1.b). Rhetorically, the whole expression, 'A $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu$
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{\cup} \dot{\mu i v} v$, serves to introduce a statement of high importance (see also 12:37; 18:17, 29; 21:32; 23:43; cf. Runge $\$ 5.3$ ) by combining both a meta-comment (see $3: 8$ on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{\cup} \mu i \pi v$ ) and $\dot{\alpha} \mu \eta ̀ \nu$. It appears to be the Semitic equivalent of $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \tilde{\omega} \varsigma \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\mu} \nu(9: 27 ; 12: 44 ; 21: 3)$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \boldsymbol{\omega}$ ט́ $\mu \mathrm{i} v$. See also 3:8.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1 st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
ő $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also $1: 25$ on ötı) of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
ov̉סعic $\pi \rho \mathbf{\rho} \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ દ̇бтıv.
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{c} \boldsymbol{\varsigma}$. Predicate nominative. Fitzmyer (1:537) argues that $\delta \varepsilon \kappa \tau o ́ \varsigma$ is purposely used here to recall its use in 4:19. The parallel accounts (Mark 6:4 and Matt 13:57) use ätıцос.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg عipi. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عip.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \tilde{1} \pi \alpha \tau \rho i \delta t$. Locative. There is nothing emphatic about the placement of the PP at the end of the sentence (contra Nolland, 1:200).
av̉тoṽ. Possessive genitive.



 truth."
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi{ }^{\prime} \dot{a} \lambda \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \dot{\boldsymbol{i}} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. . The PP appears to be roughly synonymous with the adverb $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$ (cf. 20:21; 22:59) and basically equivalent in meaning to 'A $\mu$ ) $v$ in this type of construction (cf. Fitzmyer, 1:537; 4:24 on 'A $\mu \eta \nu$ ). The use of this phrase strengthens the force of the statement that follows (Runge $\$ 5.4 .2$ ), particularly in combination with the meta-comment $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{v} \mu i \pi v($ see $3: 8$ ).
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \dot{\mathrm{u}} \mu \mathrm{v} \nu$. See 3:8.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \mathbf{0} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ì $\chi \tilde{\eta} \rho a \mathbf{l}$. Nominative subject of $\eta \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha v$.
ท̃ँซav. Impf ind 3rd pl $\varepsilon i \mu i$.

غ̇v таĩৎ $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \alpha ı \varsigma . ~ T e m p o r a l . ~$
'H $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{i o v}$. On the function of the genitive, see $1: 5$ on 'Hp $\varphi$ © $\delta o u$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\varphi}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{I} \sigma \rho a \eta \dot{\lambda} \lambda$. Locative.
ötع. Temporal.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \sigma \theta \eta$. Aor pass ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{sg} \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \omega$. This is an example of the divine passive (cf. Marshall, 189).
ó ov̉pavòs. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \lambda \varepsilon i \sigma \theta \eta$.

$\dot{\omega}$. Temporal.
é $\gamma \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \tau \frac{1}{2}$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg $\gamma$ ivoual.
$\lambda_{1} \mu$ ò $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\gamma} v \varepsilon \tau \tau$.
غ̇ $\pi \grave{l} \pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \grave{\eta} v \gamma \tilde{\eta} v$. Locative. The expression, $\tau \grave{\eta} v \gamma \tilde{\eta} v$, may either mean "land" (cf. BDAG, 196.3) and refer to Israel, or "earth" and be an example of hyperbole (Plummer, 128).

## 4:26 каì $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ o u ̉ \delta \varepsilon \mu i \alpha v ~ a v ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v ~ \varepsilon ̇ \pi \varepsilon ́ \mu \varphi \theta \eta ~ ’ H \lambda i a c ~ \varepsilon i ̉ ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \varepsilon i ́ \varsigma ~ \Sigma \alpha ́ \rho \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha ~$ 

$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o u ̉ \delta \varepsilon \mu i a v . ~ L o c a t i v e . ~$
av̉̃ $\omega ̃ v$. Partitive genitive.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \varphi \theta \eta$. Aor pass ind 3 rd sg $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \omega$. This is another example of the divine passive (see 4:25; Marshall, 189).
'H $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{i a}$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \varphi \theta \eta$.
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\mu} \grave{\eta}$. Louw and Nida (89.131) describe this idiom as "a marker of contrast by designating an exception-'except that, but, however, instead, but only.'" Here, $\varepsilon i$ ì $\mu \dot{\eta}$ points to someone who is not a member of "them," i.e., "to none of them, but to someone else" (Marshall, 189; see also 5:21 on $\varepsilon i \not \mu \eta$ ).

عís $\Sigma$ á $\rho \varepsilon \pi \tau \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Locative.
$\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega} \mathbf{v i a}$. This is a locative use of the genitive: "to Sarepta located in Galilee" (cf. Beekman and Callow, 255).
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma v v a i ̃ \kappa \alpha ~ \chi \grave{\rho} \rho a v$. Locative. The adjective $\chi \grave{\rho} \rho \alpha \nu$ could be attributive ("to a bereaved woman") or represent the more typical substantival form ("widow") and be accusative in apposition to үuvaĩka (lit. "a woman, a widow"). Marshall (189) argues that the expression is a Greek translation of 1 Kgs 17:9 (הנמלא השא).


$\pi \mathbf{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{o l} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \pi \rho o \mathrm{i}$. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha v$.
ท̃ $\sigma \alpha v$. Impf ind 3rd pl $\varepsilon$ íhí.
غ̇v $\tau \tilde{e}$ 'I $\sigma \rho a \eta ̀ \lambda$. Locative.
 genitive means 'in the time of'" (see also 3:2).

тои̃ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\rho о \varphi} \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \mathbf{\tau} \mathbf{v .}$. Genitive in apposition to 'E入ıбaiov.
ov̉סعi¢ . . . $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon i} \mu \grave{\mu}$. The repetition of this expression from verse 26 lends force to the broad point Jesus is making.
ov̉ $\delta \varepsilon i \grave{c}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ėка $\theta a \rho i \sigma \theta \eta$.
aủtũv. Partitive genitive.


Naıù̀v. Nominative subject of an implied èk $\alpha \theta$ apí $\theta \eta$.
ó इúpoc. Nominative in apposition to Naıuàv. See also 1:24 on ŋ் $\gamma \cup \vee \eta$.
 т $\alpha$ ข̃ $\tau \alpha$
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$. Aor pass ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \pi i \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu \mathrm{~L}$.
$\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta \sigma \alpha v$.
$\theta \nu \mu \boldsymbol{\sim}$. Genitive of content.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{n} \sigma v v a \gamma \omega \gamma \tilde{n}$. Locative.
ảкоט́ovtec. Pres act ptc masc nom pl ảкоv́ف (temporal).
$\tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of ảkov́oviعৎ.



 cumstance). Although this could conceivably be another instance of the participial form of $\dot{\alpha} v i \sigma \tau \eta \mu \mathrm{being}$ used as a helping verb to convey a sense of haste (see 1:39 on Avaotãoa . . . غ̇торعט́Өŋ . . . $\mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi o u \delta \tilde{\eta} \varsigma)$, it is perhaps more likely that here it literally refers to getting up from a seated position.

غ̇乡 $\dot{\varepsilon} \beta a \lambda o v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd pl $\grave{\kappa} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ ．
aủ̃òv．Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ ż $\dot{\varepsilon} \beta a \lambda o v$ ．
$\varepsilon \approx \xi \omega \tau \eta ̃ \varsigma \pi o ́ \lambda \varepsilon \omega c$ ．Locative．
$\eta \eta^{\eta} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \gamma \mathbf{o v}$ ．Aor act ind 3rd pl ä $\gamma \omega$ ．
av̉tòv．Accusative direct object of グ $\gamma \alpha \gamma o v$.
ह゙மऽ ỏ óppúoc．Locative：＂extension up to or as far as a goal＂（LN 84．19）．

тoṽ őpovc．Partitive genitive．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi$＇oṽ．Locative．


av̉tũv．Possessive genitive．
катакр $\eta \mu \nu \mathbf{i} \sigma \alpha$ ．Aor act inf катакр $\mu \nu i \zeta \omega$ ．Used with $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ to indicate purpose．Boyer（ $1985,11-12$ ）maintains that this is the only legitimate example of $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ with an infinitive indicating pur－ pose in the NT．It is not helpful to argue that it expresses＂intended result＂（contra BDAG，1107．2．b），since＂if the context indicates that the end is intended and not yet realized，then the construction indi－ cates purpose．If the context indicates that the end is not intended and has been realized，then the construction indicates result＂ （Burk，105）．The variant reading，which replaces the $\check{\omega} \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon i \varsigma$ tò（A C $\Psi[1424] \mathfrak{\Re})$ ，suggests that not all scribes were comfortable using $\omega \not \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ to introduce a purpose clause（McKay，128）．
av̀tóv．Direct object of катакр $\mu \nu \mathbf{v i \sigma \alpha ı}$ ．

## 

av̉tòs．Nominative subject of غ̇ropev́عтo．The use of the pro－ noun shifts attention to Jesus as the subject and helps highlight the contrast between the crowd＇s intentions and what actually transpired．
 ner）．
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{\iota}$ à $\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{\varepsilon ́ \sigma o v}$ av̉tãv．Spatial．Lit．＂through their midst．＂Although Plummer（130）maintains that＂The addition of $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma o v$ is for emphasis，and seems to imply that there was something miraculous in His passing through the very midst of those who were intend－ ing to slay Him，＂the PP merely provides necessary specification
regarding what he passed through and the text gives no indication of whether his actions were miraculous or not.
av̉̃డ̃v. Partitive genitive.


## Luke 4:31-37

${ }^{31} \mathrm{He}$ went down to Capernaum, a city in Galilee. He was teaching them on the Sabbath, ${ }^{32}$ and they were amazed at his teaching because he spoke with authority. ${ }^{33}$ Now, there was a man in the synagogue who had the spirit of an unclean demon, and he shouted with a loud voice, ${ }^{34 " A h!~ W h y ~ a r e ~ y o u ~ i n t e r f e r i n g ~ w i t h ~}$ us, Jesus the Nazarene? Have you come to destroy us? I know you! You're the Holy One of God!" ${ }^{35}$ Jesus rebuked him, saying, "Silence! Come out of him!" Then, after the demon had thrown him down into their midst, it came out of him without hurting him at all. ${ }^{36}$ They were all amazed and began talking with one another and saying, "What's going on here? He rebukes the unclean spirits with authority and power, and they come out!" ${ }^{37}$ And news about him continued to spread to every part of the surrounding region.

##  $\delta \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega v$ av̉兀où̧ $\varepsilon$ èv тoĩ̧ $\sigma \dot{\alpha} \beta \beta a \sigma เ v$.

Kaì. In this pericope, all of the clauses are linked using кai, with no examples of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$. Levinsohn $(2000,73)$ argues that when this phenomenon occurs "the paragraph itself does not represent a new development in the story, but rather provides the setting for the next development." It is unclear, however, how Levinsohn's analysis fits with this passage.
$\kappa \alpha \tau \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg катє́p $\quad$ о $\mu \alpha$.
عíc Kapapvaò̀ $\mu$. Locative.
$\pi \mathbf{~} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\imath v}$. Accusative in apposition to Kapapvaoù $\mu$.
 located in Galilee" (cf. Beekman and Callow, 255).

ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg ei $\mu i$ i.
$\delta \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\delta \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega$ (imperfect periphrastic). Some maintain that the use of the imperfect periphrastic implies that Jesus was engaged in "an ongoing ministry of teaching"
(Johnson, 83; Fitzmyer, 1:544). While this is a possible reading of the periphrastic construction (see further below), given the parallel account in Mark 1:31, where the context points to a particular day, it is perhaps better to view Luke's language here as simply indicating that Jesus was in the process of teaching them on the Sabbath day. This is consistent with Caragounis, who argues that although the use of the periphrastic participle typically stresses "the idea of linearity" (177), it is equivalent to a simple imperfect verb in this context (178, n. 151). We should note, however, that this could be an instance of an imperfect verb conjoined to a preceding aorist verb that should be rendered with an ingressive translation (see 1:59 on ėkd́ ${ }^{\prime}$ ouv): "Then he went down to Capernaum, a city in Galilee, and began teaching them on the Sabbath."
aùtov̀c. Accusative direct object of $\delta \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega v$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v$ тоĩs $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \beta \beta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\imath} \boldsymbol{v}$. Temporal. On the plural form $\sigma \dot{\alpha} \beta \beta a \sigma ı v$, see $4: 16$. In this instance, given the use of the imperfective verb ( $\tilde{\eta}^{\pi} v$ $\delta \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega v)$, it is possible that the plural denotes multiple Sabbath days (Fitzmyer, 1:544; cf. Plummer, 131-32; Marshall, 191; but see above on $\delta \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega v)$.
入óүoc aủtoṽ.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma o v \tau o . ~ I m p f ~ m i d / p a s s ~ i n d ~ 3 r d ~ p l ~ \varepsilon ̇ \kappa \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \omega$.
غ̇ாì $\tau \mathfrak{n} \delta \mathbf{t} \delta \alpha \chi \mathfrak{n}$. Causal.
av่̉тoũ. Subjective genitive.
ötı. Causal.
 ity."
 ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg عi $\mu i$.
ó $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{o} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{o c}$. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta}^{2} v$.
av่̉oṽ. Subjective genitive.


$\varepsilon ่ v \tau \tilde{n} \sigma v \nu \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \tilde{n}$. Locative.
ñv. Impf ind 3rd sg عiui.
$\alpha \ddot{\alpha} v \rho \omega \pi \boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} \nu$.
$\varepsilon \notin \chi \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\begin{gathered} \\ \chi \\ \omega\end{gathered}$ (attributive).
$\pi \nu \varepsilon \tilde{v} \mu \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ है $\chi \omega \nu$.
$\delta \alpha \iota \mu \boldsymbol{v i o v a d} \kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Epexegetical genitive or genitive of possession (Plummer, 132). The expression $\pi v \varepsilon \tilde{\mu} \mu \alpha$ סatuoviou ákaӨd́ptov occurs only here in the NT. While Jews might view the use of $\dot{\alpha} k \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau o v$ with $\delta \alpha \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ viov as redundant, for Greeks a $\delta a \mu$ óviov could be either benevolent or malevolent (cf. Acts 17:18; Bovon, 1:162).

$\varphi \omega v \frac{n}{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta$. In terms of syntax, dative of instrument. In terms of semantics, the manner in which they shouted (cf. 1:42; 8:28; 19:37; 23:46; Acts 7:57, 60; 8:7).

##  

"E $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$. This form could either be an exclamation of anger, displeasure, or dismay (e.g., NIV; Plummer, 133; Fitzmyer, 1:545) or an imperative form of $\dot{\alpha} \alpha \omega$ ("Leave us alone!"; e.g., NRSV; Green, 223). Green argues that the latter reading is supported by the use of $\varepsilon \dot{\alpha} \omega$ in verse 41.
$\tau i \operatorname{j} \mu \tilde{\imath} v$ кaì $\boldsymbol{\sigma o i}$. This idiom (lit. "What to us and to you?") serves to highlight distance between the two referents (cf. Keener $2003,506)$ and may here be translated, "What do we have to do with you?" "What do we have in common?" or "Leave us alone!"
 comes from Marshall (193), who points to the use of this expression in the LXX (Josh 22:24; Judg 11:12; 2 Sam 16:10; 19:22; 1 Kgs 17:18; 2 Kgs 3:13).
$\tau i$. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i} v$. . . ooi. Dative of respect or possession. Lit. (perhaps) "What is there with reference to us and you?"
'İбoũ. Vocative. In narrative texts, the vocative normally occurs in the sentence-initial position except (1) when another constituent must occur in that position, such as a one-word adverbial phrase or an interjection; or (2) when there is an "increased social distance
between the interlocutors" (Clark 1999, 102). The latter may occur when a superior addresses an inferior, when an inferior addresses a superior, or when a rebuke is involved (see Clark 1999, 101-4).
$\mathrm{N} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \zeta \alpha \rho \eta \nu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon}$. Vocative in apposition to 'I $\eta \sigma 0$ ũ.
 $\mathrm{NA}^{27} / \mathrm{UBS}^{4}$ text (cf. also NRSV), the words could also be taken as a statement, "You have come to destroy us" (Omanson, 114).

$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Aor act inf $\dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \mathrm{I}$ (purpose).
$\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{a} \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \lambda \hat{\varepsilon} \sigma \alpha ı$.
oí $\delta \dot{\alpha}$. Prf act ind 1st sg oĩ $\delta \alpha$. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \eta \sigma i c$. Efforts to use oĩ $\delta \alpha$ to argue for the stative aspect of the perfect tense miss the fact that "oí $\delta \alpha$ is already stative simply because of its lexical meaning, and irrespective of its expression as a perfect indicative" (Campbell 2007, 188; emphasis in original). For Wallace (579-80), this helps explain the use of the perfect tense when present temporal reference appears to be in view.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Accusative direct object of oĩ $\delta \dot{\alpha}$. This is an example of prolepsis, or anticipatory emphasis. In this construction, the conceptual subject of the verb in an indirect statement (e.g., oĩ $\delta \alpha$ tiva $\sigma \varepsilon$ عĩvaı) is "given prominence by being expressed as the object of the leading verb" (see McKay, 103, 108; cf. 13:25, 27; 24:7; Matt 25:24; John 9:8; 1 John 4:3). What could have been an indirect statement (tiva oع عĩvaı) becomes an interrogative clause that stands in apposition to the direct object: lit. "I know you, that is, who you are, the Holy One of God."
tic. Predicate nominative.
$\varepsilon$ हĩ. Pres act ind 2nd sg $\varepsilon$ ípí.
$\dot{\mathbf{o}} \mathbf{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{\circ}$. Nominative in apposition to tic.
тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ. Genitive of relationship.

 à $\pi$ ' av̉тoṽ $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon ̀ v ~ \beta \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \psi a v$ av̉tóv.
 "rebuke, reprove, censure," as well as "speak seriously, warn" as meanings for this verb. Fitzmyer (1:546) indicates that in
conjunction with exorcisms, the nature of the verb is more technical, demonstrating God's sovereignty over evil powers.
 1:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu i ̃ v$.

$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (means). Although the participle modifies a verb of speech, $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \tau \iota \mu \dot{\alpha} \omega$ is not itself typically used to introduce discourse. Thus, this is not an example of a redundant use of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ in participial form.
$\Phi ц \mu \dot{\omega} \theta \eta \tau \iota$. Aor pass impv 2nd sg $\varphi \mu$ о́ $\omega$.


$\dot{\rho} \tilde{\tau} \psi \boldsymbol{\alpha} v$. Aor act ptc neut nom sg $\dot{\rho} i \pi \tau \omega$ (temporal).
aủtòv. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\rho} i ̃ \psi a v$.
tò $\delta \alpha \iota \mu o ́ v ı o v . ~ N o m i n a t i v e ~ s u b j e c t ~ o f ~ \tilde{\varepsilon} \zeta \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$.
عiç $\tau \mathbf{o ̀} \mu$ ह́бov. Locative.

à $\pi^{\prime}$ av่̉тov. Separation.
$\mu \eta \delta \dot{\varepsilon} v$. The neuter accusative is used to form an adverbial expression of manner: "in no way" (cf. BDAG, 647.1.b. $\beta$ ).
$\boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\alpha} \psi \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ptc neut nom sg $\beta \lambda \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \omega($ manner).
av̉tóv. Accusative direct object of $\beta \lambda \dot{\alpha} \psi \alpha v$.



 to mark an immediate sequence of events (Decker, 85 ; see also 1:8 on 'Eүย́vยто).
 one."

غ̇үと́veto. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үívoual.
$\theta \dot{\alpha} \mu \beta \mathbf{o c}$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \tau \circ$.
 ring to all who were present in the synagogue at the time.
$\sigma v v \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda o v v$. Impf act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \sigma u \lambda \lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. On the ingressive translation, see 1:59 on غ̇к $\dot{\alpha} \lambda o u v$.
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \dot{\lambda} \lambda$ ovc. Association; but see also 1:13 on $\pi \rho$ ò av̉tòv.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (attendant circumstance; see 1:24 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \sigma \sigma \alpha)$.

Tís ó 入ó $\mathbf{\gamma o c}$ o oṽtoc. Lit. "What is this word/matter?" The translation follows a suggestion made in the NET Bible's footnote.

Tíc. Predicate nominative.
ó $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{o ́ \gamma o s}$ oṽ̃oc. Nominative subject of an implied equative verb.
ötı. The conjunction could introduce a causal clause (Plummer, 135), which would involve implicit information ("We ask this question because . . ."; Marshall, 193) or a clause that is epexegetical to ó入ójoc oũtoc, with oũtoc pointing forward to the őtı clause ("What is this matter, namely, with authority . . ."). The translation is one way of rendering the latter reading.
 (cf. v. 32) highlights the fact that it is Jesus' authority and power that are the cause of astonishment (Bock, 1:435).



 хढ́pov.

ท̃ $\chi \mathbf{o c . ~ N o m i n a t i v e ~ s u b j e c t ~ o f ~} \grave{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \pi о \rho \varepsilon \cup ่ \varepsilon \tau о$.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho і$ aủzoṽ. Reference.
عís $\boldsymbol{\pi} \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha$ тó $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{0}$. Locative.
$\tau \tilde{\subsetneq} \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \not \subset \omega \dot{\omega} \rho o v$. Partitive genitive.

## Luke 4:38-39

${ }^{38}$ Then, after leaving the synagogue, he entered the house of Simon. Now, Simon's mother-in-law was suffering with a high fever and they asked him to help her. ${ }^{39}$ So, he stood over her and rebuked the fever; and it left her. Then she immediately got up and began to serve them.

4:38 Ava ${ }^{\text {A }}$


 gogue."

Aváviàs. Aor act ptc masc nom sg àviot $\eta \mu \mathrm{l}$ (temporal).
à $\pi$ ò $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \sigma v v \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$. Separation, modifying 'Avaбтàc.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sigma \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ ioć $\rho \chi o \mu \alpha$.
عís тŋ̀v oikiov. Locative.
$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \dot{\boldsymbol{\prime}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\omega} \mathbf{v o c}$. Possessive genitive. Levinsohn $(2000,151)$ cites this as a counter-example to Apollonius' Canon, i.e., the view that both genitive modifiers will be articular if the noun they modify is articular, and anarthrous if the head noun is anarthrous. He suggests, instead, that the article will be present with the genitive when reference to that person is anaphoric.
$\pi \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \grave{\alpha}$. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} v \sigma \nu v \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta$.
тoṽ $\Sigma i \mu \omega v o c$. Genitive of relationship.
ñv. Impf ind 3rd sg عiuí.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} v \boldsymbol{v} \chi \boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\eta} \eta$. Pres pass ptc fem nom sg $\sigma u v \varepsilon ́ \chi \omega$ (imperfect periphrastic). LSJ (1714.5) notes that when used with a dative complement, as here, the sense is "to be constrained, distressed, afflicted, and generally, to be affected by anything whether in mind or body" (cf. BDAG, 971.5).
$\pi \nu \rho \varepsilon \tau \tilde{\varphi} \mu \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega$. Dative complement of $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta$.
$\eta \dot{\eta} \omega \dot{\tau} \eta \sigma \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3 rd pl $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \omega \tau \dot{\alpha} \omega$. The subject is unspecified but likely refers to members of Simon's household (Fitzmyer, $1: 550$ ), though it could refer to the disciples who accompanied Jesus. Several Latin witnesses (D, b, c, d, e, vgmss) add кaì 'Avס ${ }^{\text {º́ov }}$
 plural verb (Willker, 48).
av̉ $\tau \grave{v} v$. Accusative direct object of $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \rho \dot{\tau} \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \grave{̀}$ av̉兀ŋ̃c. Reference. Lit. "concerning her."

##  

غ̇ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \dot{\alpha} \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi i \sigma \tau \eta \mu \mathrm{~L}$ (attendant circumstance).


$\tau \tilde{\omega} \pi \nu \rho \varepsilon \tau \tilde{\omega}$. Dative complement of $̇ \pi \varepsilon \tau \tau \dot{\mu} \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$.
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi \tilde{\eta} \kappa \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3 rd sg $\dot{\alpha} \varphi i \eta \mu$.
$\boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathbf{u ̉} \tau \dot{\eta} v$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \varphi \tilde{\eta} \kappa \varepsilon v$.
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \rho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$. See 1:64.
$\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{a} \sigma \tau \tilde{a} \sigma \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Aor act ptc fem nom sg $\dot{\alpha} v i \sigma \tau \eta \mu \mathrm{I}$ (temporal). See also

 lation, see 1:59 on غ̇ка́ ${ }^{\prime}$ ouv.
av่̉oĩc. Dative complement of $\delta$ ıŋкóveı.

## Luke 4:40-41

${ }^{40}$ Now, as the sun was setting, all who had relatives who were sick with various diseases brought them to him. And he laid (his) hands on each one of them and healed them. ${ }^{41}$ Now, demons were also coming out of many, who were crying out and saying, "You are the Son of God!" But he was rebuking them and not allowing them to speak, because they knew that he was the Christ.



$\Delta$ úvovtoc. Pres act ptc masc gen sg $\delta u ́ v \omega$. Genitive absolute (see
2:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvєv́ovтос), temporal.

$\ddot{\alpha} \pi \boldsymbol{\alpha} \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\gamma \alpha \gamma o v$. Hyperbole.
öбot. Nominative subject of عĩ $\chi$ ov.
عĩxov. Impf act ind 3 rd pl é $\chi \omega$.
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \varepsilon v o u ̃ v \tau \alpha \varsigma$. Pres act ptc masc acc $\mathrm{pl} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon ́ \omega$. Accusative direct object of عĩXov. Lit. "those who were sick."
vóбoıs лоькі入аıя. Dative of reference.
$\eta \not \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \gamma \mathbf{o v}$. Aor act ind 3rd pl ${ }^{\circ} \gamma \omega$.

$\pi \rho$ òs aủtóv. Spatial.
$\dot{\mathbf{o}}$. The nominative article functions as the subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \theta \varepsilon \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon v \varepsilon v$ (see also 1:29 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ).
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \iota \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \omega$. Dative of location.
av่̉ธ๊̃. Partitive genitive.

 stance, see 1:24 on $\lambda \dot{\gamma} \gamma o v \sigma \alpha ;$ or means).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \theta \varepsilon \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon v \varepsilon v$. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon v \dot{\omega}$.
aủtov́c. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \cup \varepsilon v$.




§ $\grave{\varepsilon}$ кaì. See 2:4.
$\delta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{o j} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\eta} \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau 0$. Neuter plural subjects characteristically take singular verbs (see Wallace, 399-400), though some scribes ( $\left.\boldsymbol{\aleph} \mathrm{C} \Theta f^{1} 331241 \mathrm{al}\right)$ used the plural $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\eta} \rho \chi$ огто here.
ànò $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{0} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \tilde{\omega} v$. Separation.
$\kappa \rho[\alpha v \gamma] \dot{\alpha} \zeta \boldsymbol{o v} \tau \alpha$. Pres act ptc neut nom $\mathrm{pl} \kappa \rho \alpha v \gamma \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$. The participle could introduce an attendant circumstance (see 1:24 on $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma o v \sigma \alpha)$, specify the manner in which the demons were coming out, or be attributive. The use of the plural form may make the attributive reading slightly more natural by connecting the participle more obviously to the plural סaınovia than the singular غ̇そŋ்рхєто.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \mathbf{v} \tau \alpha$. Pres act ptc neut nom pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (see above on $\kappa \rho[\alpha u \gamma]-$ áそovта).
ötı. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse) of $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma o v \tau \alpha$.
$\Sigma \mathbf{v}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ĩ.
عĩ. Pres act ind 2nd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$ í.
ó viòs. Predicate nominative.
тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ. Genitive of relationship.
 stance; see 1:24 on $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma \alpha)$.

ع̌'a. Impf act ind 3rd sg ėá $\omega$. Although McKay (43; emphasis in original) suggests that when "a negative is attached to an imperfect
the effect is often equivalent to idiomatic English would not or could not," such a "rule" appears to be far too broad.
av̉ $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Accusative subject of $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{v} v$.
$\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tau ̃$. Pres act inf $\lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (complementary).
ötı. Introduces a causal clause.
ไ̋ $\delta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha v$. Plprf act ind 3 rd pl oĩ $\delta \alpha$.
tòv Xoıotòv. Predicate accusative.
aủzòv. Accusative subject of عĩvaı. Given two accusatives, one being articular and the other a pronoun, the pronoun is normally the subject of the infinitival construction (Wallace, 196).

عivvau. Pres act inf $\varepsilon$ el í (indirect discourse with a verb of cognition; cf. 1:22 on öтı).

## Luke 4:42-44

${ }^{42}$ The next morning, he left and went to a deserted place. The crowds (though) were looking for him. They came up to him and were trying to prevent him from leaving them. ${ }^{43}$ But he said to them, "It is necessary for me to proclaim the kingdom of God in other cities as well, because I was sent for this reason." ${ }^{44}$ So he preached (broadly) in the synagogues of Judea.

##   

$\Gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta \varsigma \delta \varepsilon ̀ ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \rho a c$. Lit. "and when it was day."
Гعvoućvŋऽ. Aor mid ptc fem gen sg $\gamma$ ivoual. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvعúovtoc), temporal. Runge ( $\$ 12.3 .2$ ) uses this passage to illustrate how temporal uses of the genitive absolute differ from other temporal constructions. A temporal PP like $̇ v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \omega$ $\sigma \alpha \beta \beta \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$ (6:6) or $\tau \underline{1} \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \alpha$ (9:37), for example, will serve to mark discontinuity between the preceding and following events. In contrast, the genitive absolute is used to "describe the state of affairs in which the main action occurred," thus implying relative continuity.

$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\grave{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha 1$ (attendant circumstance).

"Deponency" in the Series Introduction.



aủtóv. Accusative direct object of $̇ \pi \varepsilon \zeta$ そ̇тovv.

हैטऽ aủtoṽ. Spatial. This improper preposition takes a genitive object.
 hindering him in order that he not go away from them."

катยะŋоv. Impf act ind 3rd pl катغ́ $\chi \omega$. The appropriateness of the "conative" translation flows from the context, which makes it clear that the crowds' efforts were unsuccessful. The imperfect is naturally used in such circumstances because "trying" is by nature a process.
av̉tòv. Accusative direct object of катعĩ $\chi$ v.

à $\pi^{\prime}$ av่̉ $\tilde{\omega} v$. Separation.

 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \nu$.
o. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$ (see 1:29 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ).
$\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ̀ c . ~ I n d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~(s e e ~ 1: 13 ~ o n ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ~ a u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ı. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse) of ยĩสยง.
$\tau \alpha i ̃ ৎ ~ \varepsilon ̇ \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \rho a \iota \varsigma ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \varepsilon \sigma เ \nu ~ \varepsilon v ̉ a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda i \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a i \mu$. The fronting of the entire complementary infinitival clause, except t门̀ $\beta \alpha \alpha \sigma \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \alpha \nu$ тoṽ $\theta \varepsilon o v ̃$, lends force to Jesus' statement.
$\tau \alpha i ̃ \varsigma ~ \dot{\varepsilon ̇ t \varepsilon ́ p a ı s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \varepsilon \sigma ı v . ~ D a t i v e ~ o f ~ l o c a t i o n . ~}$
$\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda i \boldsymbol{i} \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha i$. Aor mid inf $\varepsilon \dot{\jmath} \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda i \zeta \omega$ (complementary; see

$\mu \varepsilon$. Accusative subject of $\varepsilon \dot{J} \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda i \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha i$.
$\delta \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\delta \varepsilon i ̃$ (impersonal).
тŋ̀v $\boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \sigma \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon v ̉ \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda i \quad \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a i$.

тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ. Subjective genitive. The phrase does not merely refer to a region belonging to God (possessive genitive), but rather carries the connotation of God's active reign.
ötı. Introduces a causal clause.
غ̇ $\pi \grave{̀}$ тои̃то. Here, the preposition is a "marker of purpose, goal, result" (BDAG, 366.11).
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \nu$. Aor pass ind 1 st sg $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$.

## 

ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ eipi.
$\kappa \eta \rho v ́ \sigma \sigma \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg к $\eta \rho v ́ \sigma \sigma \omega$ (imperfect periphrastic). The imperfect is used here to introduce a summary statement of subsequent activities.

عíc $\tau \grave{\alpha} \varsigma ~ \sigma v v a \gamma \omega \gamma$ àc. Locative.
$\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ 'Iovסaiac. This is a locative use of the genitive: "the synagogues located in Judea" (cf. Beekman and Callow, 255). Here, Luke has apparently used 'Iovסaia to refer to the entire Roman province of Judea, rather than the southern district of Judea, thus conveying a broader sense of scope for Jesus' preaching even though he remains in Galilee at this point in Luke's account (cf. Marshall, 198-99; Fitzmyer, 1:557-58). Mark 1:39 uses عíc ö $\lambda \eta \nu$ ๆŋ̀ $\nu$ $\Gamma \alpha \lambda_{\iota} \lambda \alpha i \alpha v$ to convey the broad scope (cf. Matt 4:23).

## Luke 5:1-11

${ }^{1}$ Now it happened that while the people were crowding around him and listening to the word of God, Jesus was standing beside Lake Gennesaret. ${ }^{2}$ And he saw two boats by the (shore of the) lake, but the fishermen, having gotten out of them, were washing their nets. ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ got into one of the boats, which was Simon's, and asked him to put out a little way from the shore. Then, after sitting down, he began teaching the crowds from the boat. ${ }^{4}$ When he had finished speaking, he said to Simon, "Put out into the deep (water) and lower your nets for a catch." ${ }^{5}$ Simon responded and said, "Master, although we worked hard through the whole night we caught nothing; but because you say so I will lower the nets." ${ }^{6}$ And when they had done this, they caught a huge number of fish. Now, their nets were about to tear, ${ }^{7}$ so they signaled to their partners
in the other boat so that they would come and help them. And they came and filled both boats so that they were about to sink. ${ }^{8}$ When Simon Peter saw (this), he fell down at Jesus' feet, saying, "Go away from me, because I am a sinful man, Lord!" ${ }^{9}$ For he and all those with him were overcome with amazement because of the catch of fish they had caught. ${ }^{10}$-And so were James and John, the sons of Zebedee, who were partners with Simon.-Then Jesus said to Simon, "Don't be afraid. From now on you will be catching people." ${ }^{11}$ And when they had brought the boats ashore, they left everything behind and followed him.

## 5:1 'Eүُ  Гєขvŋбарє̀т,

'Eүध́veto. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual. See 1:8 on'Eүย́veto.
 him."

 contemporaneous time (see also $1: 8$ on í $\rho a \tau \varepsilon v ่ \varepsilon เ v)$ ). When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81).

àkov́धıv. Pres act inf ảkov́w. The conjunction kaì links this infinitive to the previous one, making it clear that it too is used with $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ $\tau \tilde{\omega}$ to denote contemporaneous time, rather than being a purpose infinitive (contra, e.g., Kwong, 81, n. 64; NRSV, TEV, NLT, CEV, NCV). Fitzmyer (1:565) notes that a number of scribes (CD $\Theta \Psi f^{1_{3}}$ $33 \mathfrak{M}$ ) substituted toṽ ảкоúยıv for кaì ảкov́عıv making it a purpose infinitive. When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81).
tòv $\boldsymbol{\lambda o ́} \gamma \mathbf{o v}$. Accusative direct object of ảkoúsıv.
тoṽ $\theta \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v}$. Genitive of source or subjective genitive.
aủtòs. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} v \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \omega \grave{c}$. On the use of the conjunction with aútós here, see 4:15.

ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ i $\mu i$ i.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \grave{\omega} \varsigma$. Prf act ptc masc nom sg ïб $\tau \eta \mu \mathrm{l}$ (pluperfect periphrastic).
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \lambda i \mu \nu \eta \nu$. Locative.
$\Gamma \varepsilon \nu v \eta \sigma \alpha \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \tau$. Epexegetical genitive or accusative in apposition to $\lambda i \mu \nu \eta \nu$.



عĩ $\delta \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg ópá $\omega$.
סv́o $\pi \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ oĩa. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ हĩ $\delta v$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \tilde{\omega} \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \lambda i \mu v \eta \nu$. Lit. "standing by the lake."
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \tilde{\omega} \tau \alpha$. Prf act ptc neut acc pl ï $\sigma \tau \eta \mu$. The participle could be viewed as either attributive or as the complement in an objectcomplement double accusative construction, since ópá $\omega$ often takes a double accusative.
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ v \lambda i \mu v \eta \nu$. Locative.
oi . . . $\dot{\mathbf{\alpha}} \lambda \iota \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ है $\pi \lambda \nu v o v$.
à $\pi^{\prime}$ av̉t $\omega$ v. Separation.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{\alpha} \beta \dot{\alpha} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom pl $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \beta a i v \omega$ (temporal).
ë $\pi \lambda \nu v o v$. Impf act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \pi \lambda u ́ v \omega$.




$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \beta$ àc. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\varepsilon \mu \beta \alpha \operatorname{aiv} \omega$ (temporal or attendant circumstance).

عiç ęv. Locative.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \pi \lambda o i \omega v$. Partitive genitive.
ö. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} v$.
j̀v. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i ́$.
$\Sigma i \mu \omega v o c$. Possessive genitive.
$\eta \dot{\eta} \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \dot{\alpha} \omega$.
aủ̀òv. Accusative direct object of ท̉ $\rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$.
à $\boldsymbol{\text { ò }} \boldsymbol{\tau \eta ̃} \varsigma \gamma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$. Separation.

ò $\lambda \mathbf{i} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{o v}$. Adverbial accusative indicating extent of space (BDAG, 703.2.c). The semicolon following ob $\lambda i \gamma o v$ in the $\mathrm{NA}^{27}$ is more
appropriate than the comma in the $\mathrm{UBS}^{4}$, since the following clause with $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ represents a new development in the narrative.
$\kappa \alpha \theta i \sigma \alpha \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg каӨi $\zeta \omega$ (temporal).
 direction from which something comes" (BDAG, 296.2).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \delta i \delta a \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v$. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\delta \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega$. On the ingressive translation, see 1:59 on દ̇кव́入ouv.
tov̀s őx $\boldsymbol{\lambda o v}$. Accusative direct object of $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \delta i \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v$.


$\dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{c}$. Temporal.

$\lambda \alpha \lambda \tilde{\omega} v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (complementary).
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ òs tòv $\Sigma \dot{\prime} \mu \omega \nu \alpha$. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a v ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$

عic tò $\beta$ á $\theta \mathbf{o c}$. Locative.
$\chi \alpha \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd sg $\chi \alpha \lambda \dot{\alpha} \omega$.
тà $\delta \mathbf{i ́ k} \tau v a$. Accusative direct object of $\chi \alpha \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Possessive genitive.
عiç ä $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{a v}$. Purpose.

 סíktva.

 circumstance; see also $1: 19$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \kappa \rho(\theta \varepsilon i \varsigma)$ ). On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
$\Sigma i \mu \omega v$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \frac{\pi}{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\tau}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
'Eлıఠт $\dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha$. Vocative. This form of address is used only in Luke (also 8:24, 24, 45; 9:33, 49; 17:13). The term refers to "a person of high status, particularly in view of a role of leadership" (LN 87.50)
and was sometimes used in reference to teachers or tutors (see BDAG, 381). The parallel accounts use $\delta \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$.


ov̉ $\delta$ èv. Accusative direct object of $\grave{\lambda} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \mathrm{o} \mu \varepsilon v$. Fronted for emphasis.

غ̇ $\pi \grave{i}$. . . $\tau \tilde{\varrho} \tilde{\rho} \dot{\rho} \eta \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \tau i$. Cause. Lit. "at your word." On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס $\dot{\eta} \eta$ бic.

бov. Subjective genitive.
$\chi \alpha \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega$. Fut act ind 1st sg $\chi \alpha \lambda \dot{\alpha} \omega$.
тà Síkтva. Accusative direct object of $\chi \alpha \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega$.



$\pi \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom pl $\pi$ oté $\omega$ (temporal).
бuvéк $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\sigma \cup ү \kappa \lambda \varepsilon i \omega$.

 follows and is introduced by a $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$, it seems more appropriate to put a period after $\pi 0 \lambda$ ú.
íx $\theta \mathbf{v} \omega \mathbf{v}$. Partitive genitive.
$\delta \iota \varepsilon \rho \rho \dot{\jmath} \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau 0$. Impf pass ind 3rd sg $\delta \iota \alpha \rho \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma \cup \mu \mathrm{I} / \delta \iota \alpha \rho \eta \dot{\sigma \sigma \omega}$. Neuter plural subjects characteristically take singular verbs (see Wallace, 399-400). The use of the imperfect tense (lit. "their nets were tearing") is roughly equivalent to the English "were about to tear" or less likely "started tearing." The rhetorical function is likely not to describe the status of the nets, but rather to emphasize the magnitude of the catch.

$\boldsymbol{a}$ ט̉tũv. Possessive genitive. Given the following кai and the fact
 follows, a comma after aút $\tilde{\nu} \nu$ would be more appropriate than a period.




кат $\varepsilon$ vevoav. Aor act ind 3 rd pl катavev่ $\omega$.
тoĩs $\mu \varepsilon$ то́ $\chi$ оıя. Dative complement of katévevoav.

 stance). The participle is accusative because it modifies the infinitive.

тoṽ . . . $\sigma v \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta a \boldsymbol{c}$. Aor mid inf $\sigma u \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ (purpose). Although "they signaled to their partners in the other boat to come and help them" is likely the most natural translation, it implies that the infinitive introduces indirect discourse, which is ruled out by the presence of toũ.
av่̉тoĩ. Dative complement of $\sigma u \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta \alpha u$.

$\varepsilon ̈ \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\pi i \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu$.
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \varphi \dot{\tau} \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ т $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \lambda$ oĩa. Accusative direct object of $\check{\pi} \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$.
$\beta v \theta i \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \mathrm{a}$. Pres pass inf $\beta v \theta i \zeta \omega$. Used with $\check{\omega} \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ to indicate result. On the use of the imperfective aspect here, see verse 7 on $\delta เ \varepsilon \rho \rho \eta$ ŋбббєто.
av̉tá. Accusative subject of $\beta u \theta i \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta a u$.


í $\delta \dot{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg ó $\rho \alpha ́ \omega$ (temporal).
$\Sigma i \mu \omega v$ Пغ́т $\rho \boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Nominative subject of $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon ́ \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon ́ \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi \rho о \sigma \pi i \pi \tau \omega$.
тoĩc $\gamma \mathbf{o}$ varıv. Dative complement of $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon ́ \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$. Lit. "at the knees."
'Inбoṽ. Possessive genitive.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (manner).
"E $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\varepsilon$ é $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi o \mu \alpha u$.
$\mathfrak{a}^{\pi}{ }^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mu \mathbf{v}$. Separation.
ötı. Introduces a causal clause.
$\dot{\alpha} v \grave{\eta} \rho \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ óc. Predicate nominative.
$\varepsilon i \mu \mathrm{t}$. Pres act ind 1st sg. On the loss of the accent, see $1: 18$ on $\varepsilon i \mu \mathrm{t}$.

кúpıя. Vocative. The placement of the vocative at the end of the sentence likely highlights the status distance between Peter and Jesus (see 4:34 on 'I $\eta \sigma 0$ ũ).


$\theta \dot{\alpha} \mu \beta \mathbf{o c} \ldots \pi \varepsilon \rho t \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\sigma} \chi \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v}$ aủtòv. Lit. "amazement overcame him."
$\theta \dot{\alpha} \mu \beta$ oc. Nominative subject of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \chi \varepsilon v$.
$\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$. Causal (see also 1:15): "(He did this) because . . ."
$\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon ́ \sigma \chi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon ́ \chi \omega$.
aủtòv кaì $\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha \varsigma$ tov̀c $\sigma$ v̀v aủtụ̆. Accusative direct object of $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon ́ \sigma \chi \varepsilon \nu$.
tov̀c. The accusative article functions as a nominalizer (see 1:48 on ánò $\tau 0 \tilde{v} v \tilde{v}$ ), changing the PP , oùv av̉t $\tilde{\omega}$, into part of the accusative direct object of $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon ́ \sigma \chi \varepsilon \nu$.
ov̀v aủtẹ̃. Association.
غ̇nì $\tau \underline{1}$ ä $\gamma \rho \underline{\text { al }}$. Cause.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v i \chi \chi \theta \dot{v} \omega v$. Objective genitive or genitive of content.
$\tilde{\omega} v$. Genitive by attraction to $\tau \tilde{\omega} v i \chi \theta \dot{v} \omega v$. "Sometimes a relative pronoun takes the case of its antecedent rather than the case it would bear as a constituent of the relative clause" (Culy and Parsons, 2). Without attraction we would have expected oũ $\varsigma$, since the relative pronoun is the syntactic direct object of $\sigma 0 v \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \beta$ ov. Attraction occurs "a total of more than 50 times throughout the New Testament" and "appears to be a stylistic device with no pragmatic function" (Culy and Parsons, 2). It is particularly common in Luke's writings: $5: 9 ; 9: 43 ; 15: 16 ; 24: 25$; Acts $1: 1,21,22 ; 2: 22 ; 3: 25$; 6:10; 7:16, 17, 45; 9:36; 10:39; 17:31; 20:38; 21:19; 22:10; 24:13; 26:2; and possibly Acts 13:38.
$\sigma v v \dot{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \beta o v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$.



 (amazement had overcome) James and John." Here, $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ introduces a parenthetical comment.
 ( $\theta \dot{\alpha} \mu \beta$ оऽ) $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon ́ \sigma \chi \varepsilon \nu$.
viov̀c. Accusative in apposition to 'Iák $\omega \beta$ ov kaì 'I $\omega \alpha \dot{v} \nu \eta \nu$.
Z $\varepsilon \beta \varepsilon \delta \alpha i o v$. Genitive of relationship.
oil. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha v$.
ก̃ซav. Impf ind 3rd pl عíui.
кotvovoi. Predicate nominative.
$\tau \tilde{\tilde{\varphi}} \Sigma \dot{\boldsymbol{i}} \mu \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$. Dative of association.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \tau o ̀ v \Sigma i ́ \mu \omega v a$. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ \tau o ̀ v) . ~$

بоßoṽ. Pres mid impv 2nd sg $\varphi$ о $\beta$ ह́o $\mu a l$ (prohibition).
ảnò $\boldsymbol{\tau} \tilde{0}$ vũv. Temporal. See also 1:48.
$\dot{\alpha} v \theta \rho \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} v$, . Accusative direct object of $\zeta \omega \gamma \rho \tilde{\omega} v$. Fronted for emphasis.

हैб!̣. Fut act ind 2nd sg عiuí.
$\zeta \omega \gamma \rho \tilde{\omega} v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\zeta \omega \gamma \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (future periphrastic; see 1:20 on $\sigma \omega \tau \tilde{\omega} v)$.

##  

$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{o} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom pl ката́ $\gamma \omega$ (temporal). When used in a nautical context, the verb refers to bringing a boat into a harbor or to shore, as here (cf. LN 54.16; BDAG, 516; Acts 27:3; 28:12).

т $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{o} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Accusative direct object of kataүаүóvtec.
غ̇nì т $\boldsymbol{v} v \gamma \tilde{\eta} \nu$. Locative.
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom pl $\dot{\alpha} \varphi \dot{i} \eta \mu \mathrm{I}$ (attendant circumstance).
$\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \varphi \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$.



## Luke 5:12-16

${ }^{12}$ And it happened that while he was in one of the cities there was a man full of leprosy there! When he saw Jesus, he fell on his face and begged him, saying, "Lord, if you want to, you are able to make me clean." ${ }^{13}$ (Jesus) stretched out (his) hand and touched
him, saying, "I do want to. Be clean!" And immediately, the leprosy left him. ${ }^{14}$ Then he commanded him to speak to no one: "Instead, go and show yourself to the priest and present the offering relating to your cleansing, just as Moses commanded, for a testimony to them." ${ }^{15}$ Even so, the news about him went on spreading, and many crowds were gathering to hear (him) and to be healed from their illnesses; ${ }^{16}$ but he was withdrawing into the wilderness and praying.



éरéveto. Aor mid ind 3rd sg ү'voual. As is common, here kaì غ่ $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ と̇ยto helps mark the beginning of a new pericope (cf. 5:17; 7:11; 8:1; 9:18; 11:1; 14:1; 17:11; 20:1; see also 1:8 on 'Eүह́veto).

عĩvaı. Pres act inf $\varepsilon i \mu i$. Used with $\varepsilon \in \tau \tau \tilde{\omega}$ to denote contemporaneous time (see also 1:8 on iepatcúciv). When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81).
av̉ $\tau$ òv. Accusative subject of eĩvau.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \mu(\underset{a}{\tilde{a}} \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. Plummer (151) notes that this expression is "peculiar to Luke" in the NT (see also 5:17; 8:22; 13:10; 20:1).

Ėv $\mu$ uạ̃. Locative.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \pi \dot{\Delta} \lambda \varepsilon \omega v$. Partitive genitive.
íoov. See 1:20.
$\dot{\alpha} v \grave{̀} \rho$. Although some might prefer to call this a nominative absolute, it is better to view it as the nominative subject of an implicit equative verb or to recognize that nominative nouns can be used to construct nominal clauses that do not contain a verb (Porter 1994, 85).
$\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \boldsymbol{\rho} \varsigma$. Predicate adjective.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \rho a c$. Genitive of content.
$i \quad i \delta \dot{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg ópá $\omega$ (temporal).
тòv 'In $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{o v} v$. Accusative direct object of $i \delta \dot{\omega} v$.
$\pi \varepsilon \sigma \grave{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\pi i \pi \tau \omega$ (attendant circumstance).

$\dot{\varepsilon} \delta \varepsilon \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ oual. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
av̉兀oṽ. Genitive object of $\varepsilon \delta \delta \check{\eta} \theta \eta$.
$\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$（means；cf．4：34）．
Kúpıє．Vocative．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v$ ．Introduces the protasis of a third class condition．
$\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \eta \varrho$ ．Pres act subj 2nd sg $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$ ．Subjunctive with $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{a} v$ ．
$\delta \dot{v} v a \sigma a i \mu \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \theta a \rho i \sigma \alpha 1$ ．This clause is the apodosis of the condi－ tion．

סúvaбai．Pres mid ind 2nd sg $\delta u ́ v a \mu a l$ ．On the second accent，see

$\mu \varepsilon$ ．Accusative direct object of $\kappa \alpha \theta a \rho i \sigma \alpha ı$.
$\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho i \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ．Aor act inf $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho i \zeta \omega$（complementary）．


ėктвivac．Aor act ptc masc nom sg ėktعivف（attendant circum－ stance）．

$\eta ँ \psi \alpha \tau 0$ ．Aor mid ind 3rd sg $\alpha \pi \tau \omega$ ．
aủ兀oṽ．Genitive object of グ $\uparrow$ ato．
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$（manner）．
$\Theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$ ．Pres act ind 1st sg $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$ ．
$\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho i \sigma \theta \eta \tau \tau$ ．Aor pass impv 2nd sg каӨaрi $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\omega}$ ．
عù $\mathbf{\varepsilon} \dot{\varepsilon} \omega \varsigma$ ．See 5：25 on $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \rho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$ ．
$\dot{\eta} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \rho \alpha$ ．Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ．
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha u$ ．
à $\boldsymbol{\pi}$＇av̉tov．Separation．



av̉tòs．Nominative subject of $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \gamma \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v$ ．On the use of the conjunction with aủtóc here，see 4：15．
$\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\eta} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \iota \lambda \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ ．
$\alpha$ ả่ $\tilde{\tilde{c}}$ ．Dative complement of $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\eta} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon ı \lambda \varepsilon v$ ．
$\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v i ̀$ ．Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon i \pi \varepsilon i ̃ v$.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\pi} \varepsilon \tau v$. Aor act inf $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$（indirect discourse）．
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ ．The adversative conjunction introduces a clause that runs counter expectation（see also 1：60）．Rather than doing the natural
thing (spreading the news of his healing), the man is to do the necessary thing (follow the instructions in the Law of Moses regarding being made clean from leprosy).
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\lambda} \theta \dot{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} p \chi o \mu \alpha ı$ (attendant circumstance). Attendant circumstance participles take on the mood of the verb they modify. This one should thus be rendered with an imperative translation (cf. 7:22; 7:3 on $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} v$ ). As is fairly common in Hellenistic texts, the preceding indirect discourse gives way to direct discourse (cf. Acts 1:4-5; 23:22; 25:4-5; BDF $\$ 470.2$ ).
$\delta \varepsilon i ̃ \xi o v$. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\delta \varepsilon i ́ \kappa v v \mu$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \mathbf{o} v$. Accusative direct object of $\delta \varepsilon \tilde{\xi} \xi \mathrm{ov}$.
$\tau \tilde{\omega}$ iع $\rho \varepsilon \tilde{i}$. Dative indirect object of $\delta \varepsilon \tilde{\xi} \xi$ ov.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \varepsilon$. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\pi \rho о \sigma \varphi \varepsilon ́ \rho \omega$.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho і$ тои̃ ка $\theta \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \mu$ ои̃. Reference.
oov. Objective genitive.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon ̇ \tau \alpha \xi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega$.
M $\omega \ddot{\sigma} \sigma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \dot{\tau} \tau \xi \varepsilon v$.

aủtoĩc. Dative of advantage.

 aย̉тตัข.
 imperfect verbs (also $\sigma \cup v \eta ́ \rho \chi о \nu \tau 0 ; ~ \tilde{\eta} v$ טंтох $\omega \rho \tilde{\omega} v, \mathrm{v} .16$ ) at the end of a pericope to summarize subsequent events.
 is contrast," $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ depends on context to indicate a contrast. However, "due to its function of marking what follows as something new and distinct, [ $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}]$ readily allows an adversative sense." In contrast to Mark's account (1:45), where it is the former leper who spreads the news despite Jesus' command, here the text simply indicates that the news spread. Seeing a contrast between Jesus' instructions to the former leper and the news spreading, then, flows from the parallel account rather than the present context (contra NLT).
ó $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ó $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{o c}$. Nominative subject of $\delta$ เท́p
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \grave{a} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{v}$. Reference.

бuvŋ́ $\rho \chi$ оvto. Impf mid ind 3 rd pl бuvغ́ $\rho \chi \rho \mu a \mathrm{I}$. See above on סıท่ ¢хєто.

àкои́عıv. Pres act inf àkov́ $\omega$ (purpose).
$\theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon v \in \sigma \theta a a$. Pres pass inf $\theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon v ่ \omega$ (purpose).

av่̉tãv. Subjective genitive.

## 

av̉tòc. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} v \dot{v} \pi \sigma \chi \omega \rho \tilde{\omega} v$. The use of the explicit subject pronoun and $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ shifts attention back to Jesus.

ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg عi $\mu \mathrm{i}$.
 periphrastic).

$\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon v \chi$ о́ $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v o c .}$. Pres mid ptc masc nom sg $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \dot{\chi} \chi \circ \mu a l$ (imperfect periphrastic).

## Luke 5:17-26

${ }^{17}$ And it happened on one of the days (during that period of time) that he was teaching, and Pharisees and teachers of the law, who had come from every village of Galilee and Judea and (from) Jerusalem, were sitting (there listening). And the power of the Lord was present for him to heal. ${ }^{18}$ Now, there were (some) men who were carrying a man on a cot who had been paralyzed! They were trying to carry him in and place him in front of (Jesus), ${ }^{19}$ and when they could not find a way to bring him in, because of the crowd, they went up on the roof and lowered him with his cot down among them through the roof in front of Jesus. ${ }^{20} \mathrm{~Wh}$ en he saw their faith he said, "Man, your sins have been forgiven." ${ }^{21}$ Then the scribes and the Pharisees began to reason, saying (to themselves), "Who is this man who speaks blasphemies? Who but God alone is able to forgive sins?" ${ }^{22}$ Recognizing what they were thinking, Jesus responded and said to them, "Why are you fretting in your hearts? ${ }^{23}$ What is easier, to say, 'Your sins have been forgiven,' or to say, 'Get up and walk'? ${ }^{24} \mathrm{So}$, in order that you may know that the Son of Man has authority on earth to forgive sins"-he said to the paralyzed man-"I tell you, get up and, when you've picked up your bedding, go home!" ${ }^{25}$ And imme-
diately he stood up before them, picked up what he had been laying on, and left for his home glorifying God. ${ }^{26} \mathrm{And}$ amazement gripped everyone and they began glorifying God; they were filled with awe and thus were saying, "We have seen incredible things today!"




èरéveto. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual. As is common, here kaì $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon ่ v \varepsilon \tau \frac{1}{c}$ helps mark the beginning of a new pericope (cf. 5:12; see also 1:8 on 'Eүع́veto).

غ̇v $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathfrak{a} \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$. See 5:12.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \mu i \tilde{a}$. Temporal.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \rho \tilde{\omega} \nu$. Partitive genitive.
av่̉ธ̀̀. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} v \delta \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega v$. On the use of the conjunction with aủtós here, see 4:15.
ñv. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ i $\mu i$ i.
$\delta \mathbf{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\delta \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega$ (imperfect periphrastic).

ก̃ $\sigma \alpha v$. Impf ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \varepsilon i \mu i$.
$\kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{o}$. Pres mid ptc masc nom pl кá $\theta \eta \mu \alpha ı$ (imperfect periphrastic).

 with oi $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tau \varsigma ~(v .21)$, with its use here possibly intended to alert Luke's audience to the primary role of the "scribes."
oï. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha v \varepsilon$ غ̀ $\lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta$ ótec. Some scribes omit oĭ
 (D), both indicating "that it is the sick (rather than the leaders) who have come from all parts to be healed" (Omanson, 115). The REB, which follows these variants, translates the verse: "Pharisees and teachers of the law were sitting round him. People had come from every village in Galilee and from Judaea and Jerusalem."

ท̃ $\sigma \alpha v$. Impf ind 3rd pl $\varepsilon i \mu i$.
 phrastic).

т $\check{\varsigma}$ Га入ı入aiac кaì＇Iovoaiac．This is a locative use of the geni－ tive：＂every village located in Galilee and Judea＂（cf．Beekman and Callow，255）．
＇Iєpovбà $\dot{\prime} \mu$ ．The indeclinable noun is genitive and could modify an implicit $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa$（see the translation）or be part of the same NP with
 In the latter case the reference would be to all the villages of Jerusalem rather than to Jerusalem itself．The first option，however， is to be preferred since（1）the context suggests that Luke wanted to emphasize that Jewish leaders had come even from their seat of power in Jerusalem，and（2）the villages of Jerusalem would have been included in the＂villages of Judea．＂
$\delta \dot{v} v a \mu \iota$ ．Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} \nu$ ．
кvpiov．Genitive of source．Here，кupiov has a different refer－ ent than the aútóv that follows．Thus，the reference is not to Jesus＇ own power，but rather to power that comes from the Lord，i．e．，the Father．

ท̃v．Impf ind 3rd sg عiui．
 When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition，they are always articular（Burk，81）．On the voice，see further below．
aủtóv．Accusative subject of iã $\sigma \theta$ al．Most scribes（A C D E H N $\mathrm{X} \Delta \Theta \Pi \Psi f^{f, 13} 33 \mathfrak{刃}$ Lect $p m$ ）wrote aủtoúc rather than aủtóv（ $\boldsymbol{\aleph}$ B L W $\Xi 5445792542 p c$ ）．In the latter reading，the pronoun could be viewed as the subject of a passive ião $\sigma$ al（＂in order that they might be healed＂）or as the object of a middle iãoӨaı（＂in order to heal them＂）． A few scribes wrote $\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha \varsigma, ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau o v ̀ \varsigma ~ \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha \varsigma, ~ o r ~ \tau o v ̀ \varsigma ~ a ̀ \sigma \theta \varepsilon v o u ̃ v \tau \alpha \varsigma, ~$ all of which would serve as direct objects of a middle ião $\sigma$ aı．

 ย̇vف́лtıov aủtoṽ．
íoov̀．See 1：20．
$\alpha{ }^{\alpha} v \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\rho} \varepsilon$ ．Nominative subject of a nominal clause（see 5：12 on àvท̀p）．
$\varphi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \mathbf{\rho} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\varphi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \omega$（attributive）．
ènì к入ivŋc. Locative.
äv $\theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \mathbf{v}$. Accusative direct object of $\varphi \varepsilon ́ \rho o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$.
öc. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \lambda \nu \mu \varepsilon \dot{v} v o \varsigma$.
ก̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ i $\mu i$ i.
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \lambda \nu \mu \varepsilon ́ v o c$. Prf pass ptc masc nom sg $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \dot{v} \omega$ (pluperfect periphrastic).



$\theta \varepsilon i ̃ v a l . ~ A o r ~ a c t ~ i n f ~ t i \theta \eta \mu u(c o m p l e m e n t a r y) . ~$
[av̉tòv]. Accusative direct object of $\theta$ हĩval.
èvต́mıov aủtoṽ. Locative. See also 1:15.




 clause serves as the direct object of ev́póvte¢.
roiac. Used on its own in this context, the genitive interrogative pronoun appears to introduce the means by which they will accomplish their task, perhaps modifying an implied ódoũ: "by what way" (cf. BDAG, 844.2.b. $\beta$ ).
 (him) in."
aủtòv. Accusative direct object of عỉøعvغ́ $\gamma \kappa \omega \sigma เ v$.
סıà tòv őx $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{o v}$. Cause.
$\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \beta \dot{\alpha} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom pl $\alpha$ vaßaiv $\omega$ (attendant circumstance).

غ̇̃ì tò $\delta \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$. Locative.
 paralytic through the tiles ( $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \tau \tilde{v} \nu \kappa \varepsilon \rho \dot{\alpha} \mu \omega \nu$ ), while Mark (2:4) reports that they "unroofed the roof" by "digging through" it
 type of roof. Luke has likely chosen to use кє́pa $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ с because of the roof style that was familiar to his audience, using $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \kappa \varepsilon \rho \alpha ́ \mu \omega \nu$ as a synecdoche (see 1:46 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \psi u \chi \dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{\mu} \boldsymbol{u}$ ) for "roof."
$\kappa \alpha \theta \tilde{\eta} \kappa \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl каӨín $\mu$.
aủtòv. Accusative direct object of каӨŋ̃каv.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{v} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\imath} \boldsymbol{\iota} \delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\varphi}$. Association.
عis tò $\mu$ ह́бov. Locative. This likely refers to the midst of the whole group (so the translation above) rather than Jesus (contra NET Bible).

 á $\mu \alpha \rho \tau i \alpha ı$ бov.
$i \delta \grave{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg ópáw (temporal or causal).
$\tau \grave{\eta} v \pi i \sigma \tau \iota v$. Accusative direct object of $i \delta \dot{\omega} v$.
av่̉นั๊v. Subjective genitive.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
'A $v \theta \rho \omega \pi \varepsilon$. Vocative.
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi \dot{\varepsilon} \omega v \tau \alpha i$. Prf pass ind 3 rd pl $\dot{\alpha} \varphi i \eta \mu$. On the second accent, see

oot. Dative of advantage. Lit. "your sins are forgiven for you." On the word order, see 1:2 on $\dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i} v$.
$\alpha i ́ \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \boldsymbol{\rho} \tau \mathbf{i} \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \varphi \dot{\varepsilon} \omega v \tau \alpha \dot{1}$.
oov. Subjective genitive.



ŋ̆ $\rho \xi \alpha \nu \tau 0$. Aor mid ind 3rd pl ä $\rho \chi \omega$.

oi $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon i ̃ \leftrightharpoons ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ o i ~ Ф а \rho ı \sigma \alpha i ̃ o ı . ~ N o m i n a t i v e ~ s u b j e c t ~ o f ~ そ ้ \rho \xi а \nu \tau о . ~$

$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma}$ ) $\tau \varepsilon$. Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (means; see 4:35 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v)$.

Tíc. Predicate nominative.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg ei $\mu \mathrm{i}$. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عiju.
oṽ̃ $\mathbf{z}$. . Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$.
öc. Nominative subject of $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\text { I. }}$
$\lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon$ ĩ. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu i \alpha c$. Accusative direct object of $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}$.
tic. Nominative subject of סúvatal.
סúvataı. Pres mid ind 3rd sg סúvapaı.
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau i \alpha c$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} v a \downarrow$.
à $\varphi \varepsilon i ̃ v a ı . ~ A o r ~ a c t ~ i n f ~ a ̀ \varphi i ́ \eta \mu ~(c o m p l e m e n t a r y) . ~$
$\varepsilon \mathfrak{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \mu \grave{\eta}$. Louw and Nida (89.131) describe this expression as "a marker of contrast by designating an exception-'except that, but, however, instead, but only.'" Runge ( $\$ 4.2 .2$; emphasis in original) argues that "in cases where the exceptive clause [introduced by $\varepsilon i$ $\mu \eta$ '] follows the main clause . . . and is preceded by either a negated main clause or an interrogative clause, the exceptive clause receives emphasis with respect to the main clause."
$\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{o} \mathbf{v o c}$ ó $\theta$ عós. Nominative subject of the implicit $\delta$ úvatal д́царті́a̧ à $\varphi \varepsilon$ Ĩvaı.


 عĩлєv. Lit. "Jesus, knowing their thoughts, answering, said . . ."
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \gamma v o v ̀ c$. Aor act ptc mase nom sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \gamma \iota \downarrow \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \omega$ (causal or temporal: "When he recognized . . .").


aủtธ̃ขv. Subjective genitive.
 circumstance; see also $1: 19$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi о к \rho(\theta \varepsilon i \varsigma)$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ́ \varsigma . ~ I n d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~(s e e ~ 1: 13 ~ o n ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \alpha u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
$\delta \iota \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{i} \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$. Pres mid ind 2nd pl $\delta \iota a \lambda o \gamma i \zeta о \mu \alpha ı$.
غ̇v таĩ̧ карסíaıc. Locative.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Possessive genitive.


$\tau i$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Although interrogative pronouns
typically function as predicate nominatives in equative clauses, here the comparative adjective $\varepsilon$ v̇копढ่тєpov fills that role.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg ei $\mu \mathrm{i}$. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عíp.

ยủкотढ́тєроv. Predicate nominative.
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \varepsilon \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v$. Aor act inf $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. The entire conjoined infinitival clause




бot. Dative of advantage. Lit. "your sins are forgiven for you." On the word order, see 1:2 on $\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{v}$.
$\alpha i \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau i \alpha u$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \varphi \dot{\varepsilon} \omega v \tau \alpha i$.
бov. Subjective genitive.
ŋ̀. Disjunctive conjunction.

$\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \dot{\tau} \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd sg $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.



îva. Introduces a purpose clause.
$\varepsilon i \delta \tilde{\eta} \tau \varepsilon$. Prf act subj 2 nd pl oĩ $\delta \alpha$. Subjunctive with ivva. On the use of the perfect tense with this verb, see 4:34 on oĩ $\delta \dot{\alpha}$.
ö $\tau \mathbf{r}$. Introduces the clausal complement (indirect discourse with a verb of cognition; see 1:22 on ő $\tau$ ) of عiס $\eta \tau \varepsilon$.
ó viòs тoṽ áv $\boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{v}$. Culy and Parsons (146) note that "in the Gospels and in other early Jewish literature (cf. 1 Enoch 70-71; 4 Ezra 13; 4Q286) this expression is used as a messianic title (drawn from Daniel 7:13-14)."
ó viòs. Nominative subject of é $\chi \varepsilon$.
то⿱̃ $\mathfrak{a} v \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{o v}$. Genitive of relationship.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi$ ovoiav. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ eै $\chi \varepsilon$ ו.
モ̈ $\chi \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 3rd sg ê $\chi \omega$.
غ̇ாì т $\check{\varsigma} \gamma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$. Locative.
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi \iota \varepsilon ̇ v a ı$. Pres act inf ạ̀ín $\mu$ (epexegetical).
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau i ́ a \varphi$. Accusative direct object of ả $\varphi$ เદ́vaı.
$\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \lambda \nu \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega$ ．Prf pass ptc masc dat sg $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \mathcal{v}^{\circ} \mu \alpha a l$（sub－ stantival）．Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon i ̃ \pi \varepsilon v$ ．
$\Sigma$ oì．Dative indirect object of $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \iota \rho \varepsilon$ ．Pres act impv 2nd sg غ̇үعip $\omega$ ．
äpac．Aor act ptc masc nom sg aîp $\omega$（temporal）．The mismatch in aspect（aorist participle plus present main verb）leads us to adopt the temporal reading rather than take the participle as introducing an attendant circumstance．In the latter case，the participle would carry imperatival force（see $5: 14$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} v$ ）．This would be the more typical construction and a few scribes altered the text to the imperative $\tilde{\alpha} \rho o v$ to convey that thought（ N D 1424 pc ），likely influ－ enced by the parallel account in Mark 2：9．The syntax here provides a slightly different nuance．

тò $\boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{\imath} \boldsymbol{v i} \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{\iota} \mathbf{v}$ ．Accusative direct object of äpac．On the second accent，see $1: 13$ on $\eta \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\eta} \eta \sigma i ́$ ．
oov．Possessive genitive．
торعи́ov．Pres mid impv 2nd sg торєv́o $\mu a 1$ ．
عiç tòv oĩkóv．Locative．On the second accent，see 1：13 on $\dot{\eta}$

oov．Possessive genitive．Lit．＂your house．＂


$\pi \alpha \rho \propto \chi \rho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$ ．When Luke read $\varepsilon v ่ \theta v ́ c$ in Mark＇s gospel，he appears to have＂immediately＂decided to edit his source．Where there are parallel accounts，he systematically either removes عủ⿴囗⿱一兀寸⿱㇒⿻二乚㇒（（see 4：1／／ Mark 1：12；4：33／／Mark 1：23；1：28／／Mark 4：37；1：29／／Mark 4：38； 1：30／／Mark 4：38；2：8／／Mark 5：22；4：15／／Mark 8：12；4：16／／Mark 8：13； 5：2／／Mark 8：27；22：47／／Mark 14：45）or replaces it with $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \rho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$ （5：25／／Mark 2：12；8：44／／Mark 5：29；8：55／／Mark 5：42；18：43／／Mark 10：52；22：60／／Mark 14：72）．He also substitutes $\varepsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \omega \varsigma$ one time （5：13／／Mark 1：42），and only makes use of عủ $\theta$ v́s as a temporal adverb himself in one passage（6：49）．See also 1：64 on $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \rho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$ ．
àvactàc．Aor act ptc masc nom sg ảvíoтqu（attendant circum－ stance）．The shift from $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon i \rho \omega$ to $\dot{\alpha} v i \sigma \tau \eta \mu \mathrm{t}$ suggests that they could be used synonymously in contexts such as this，with the shift simply
being stylistic variation（cf．6：8；8：55；Mark 5：41－42；but see also 1：39


ह̇vஸ́rıov aủt $\boldsymbol{\omega} v$ ．Locative．See also 1：15．
äpac．Aor act ptc masc nom sg aîp $\omega$（attendant circumstance）．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi$ ’ ö．Locative．The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause（see $6: 2$ on ö）consisting only of these two elements， which as a whole（ $\dot{\varphi} \varphi^{\prime}$ ö кат $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \varepsilon \iota \tau o$ ）serves as the direct object of äpac．

катย́кยıто．Impf mid ind 3rd sg ката́квццаı．
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg à $\pi \varepsilon \dot{\rho} \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ ．
عíc tòv oîkov．Locative．
aủtoṽ．Possessive genitive．
反o $\dot{\alpha}_{\alpha} \zeta \omega v$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\delta 0 \xi \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$（manner）．
tòv $\theta$ عóv．Accusative direct object of $\delta 0 \xi \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega v$ ．



モ̌кбтабıc．Nominative subject of
$\check{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3 rd sg $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ ．
ä $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha c$ ．Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ है $\lambda \alpha \varepsilon v$ ．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \delta \dot{\delta} \xi \Omega \zeta \mathbf{o v}$ ．Impf act ind 3rd pl 反o $\xi \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$ ．On the ingressive transla－ tion，see 1：59 on غ̇ká $\lambda$ ouv．

тòv $\theta \mathbf{\varepsilon} \mathbf{o ́ v}$ ．Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ ह́ $\delta \dot{\xi}\{a \zeta o v$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ．Aor pass ind 3rd pl $\pi i \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu$ ．
$\varphi$ óßov．Genitive of content．
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{o v \tau \varepsilon}$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$（result）．
ötı．Introduces the clausal complement（direct discourse；see also 1：25 on öтı）of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma$ оvтє¢．

Et $\delta o \mu \varepsilon v$ ．Prf act ind 1st pl oin $\delta a$ ．On the use of the perfect tense with this verb，see $4: 34$ on oí $\delta$ á．
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \dot{\delta} \delta \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ．Accusative direct object of E＂סou

## Luke 5：27－32

${ }^{27}$ After these things he went out and noticed a tax－collector， named Levi，sitting at the tax booth，and said to him，＂Follow me．＂ ${ }^{28} \mathrm{And}$ he left everything behind and began following him without delay．
${ }^{29}$ Levi prepared a huge banquet for him at his house，and there was a large crowd of tax collectors and others who were dining with them．${ }^{30}$ But the Pharisees and their scribes were complaining to his disciples and saying，＂On what basis do you eat and drink with tax collectors and sinners？＂${ }^{31}$ Jesus responded and said to them，＂Healthy people do not have need of a doctor but those who are sick do．${ }^{32}$ I have not come to call righteous people，but（to call） sinners to repentance．＂

 $\mu \mathrm{o}$ ．

Kaì．Levinsohn $(2000,71)$ notes that every sentence in verses 27－32，not taking the embedded reported speeches into consid－ eration，begins with a kai，the default conjunction．This unusual pattern of conjunctions suggests that the entire paragraph serves to provide＂the setting for the new development＂（Levinsohn 2000， 73 ），which is introduced by $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ in verse 33 ．In other words，verses 27－32 are presented as setting up the exchange in verses 33－39．
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tilde{\tau} \tau \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ．Temporal．


$\tau \varepsilon \lambda \omega \dot{\nu} \eta \nu$ ．Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \theta \varepsilon \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \tau 0$ ．
ỏvó $\mu \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．Dative of reference．
ムعvìv．Accusative in apposition to $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \omega \dot{\omega} \eta \eta$ ．
$\kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon v o v$. Pres mid ptc masc acc sg ка́ $\theta \eta \mu \alpha \mathrm{a}$ ．Complement in an object－complement double accusative construction（with $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \omega ́ v \eta \nu)$ ．

غ̇nì tò $\tau \varepsilon \lambda(\dot{v} v o v$. Locative．
$\varepsilon і ̃ \pi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
av่̉ $\tilde{\omega}$ ．Dative indirect object of عĩ $\frac{1}{}$ v．

$\mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ ．Dative complement of＇Aко入ои́Өء．

## 

$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \iota \pi \dot{\omega} v$ ．Aor act ptc masc nom sg ката入 $\operatorname{\varepsilon i\pi } \omega$（attendant cir－ cumstance）．
$\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \iota \pi \omega ̀ v$.
 stance). The fact that the action of this participle would have to precede the action of the first participle supports the view that it here serves as a helping verb conveying a sense of haste ("without
 aorist form appears to be fixed when $\dot{\alpha} v i \sigma \tau \eta \mu \mathrm{t}$ is used as a helping verb, accounting for the mismatch in verbal aspect here (see 1:24 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \circ 0 \sigma \alpha)$.
ŋ่колои́ $\theta \varepsilon$. Impf act ind 3 rd sg áко入ou $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. On the ingressive translation, see 1:59 on غ̇к $\alpha$ خouv.


 катакві́цعvo.

غ̇ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{o} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi$ oté $\omega$. Levinsohn $(2000,18)$ notes that since the locative PP $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau n ̃$ oikiạ aủtoṽ does not occur at the beginning of the sentence as a point of departure, Luke is portraying the events in this verse as being in close continuity with what precedes, i.e., Levi's choice to follow Jesus is expressed, first of all, by preparing a feast for him (cf. Fitzmyer, 1:591).

$\Lambda \varepsilon v i c$. Nominative subject of ह̇лoí $\sigma \varepsilon v$.
aủt $\tilde{\omega}$. Dative of advantage.
દ̇v т $\mathfrak{n}$ oỉkía. Locative.
av่̉oṽ. Possessive genitive.
ñv. Impf ind 3rd sg عi $\mu i$ i.
ö $\chi$ 入oc $\pi \mathbf{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ùc. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} \nu$.
$\tau \varepsilon \lambda \omega v \tilde{\omega} v$ кaì $\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega v$. Partitive genitive.
oï. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu$ катаквí $\varepsilon$ عоь.

$\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} a v ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. Association.
 periphrastic; see also 1:10 on $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon v \chi$ о́ $\mu \varepsilon v o v$ ).

##   $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda \tilde{\omega} v$ ह̇ $\sigma \theta i ́ \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ каі̀ $\pi i v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon ;$


 $\gamma \cup \zeta$ оv. On the meaning of oi $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon і ॅ$, see 5:17 on Фарıбаĩot каì vонобıб்́бка入о.
av่̉tẽv. Genitive of relationship.
$\pi \rho$ òs tov̀s $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau$ àc. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
av̉тoṽ. Genitive of relationship.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \varepsilon$ ¢. Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (attendant circumstance; see 1:24 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma 0 \cup \sigma \alpha)$.
$\Delta$ ıà $\tau i$. Cause. Lit. "because of what?"
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \tilde{\omega} v \tau \varepsilon \lambda \omega v \tilde{\omega} v$ каì $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda \tilde{\omega} v$. Association.
 and Mark 4:16, where the singular $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta i \varepsilon \iota$ is used to focus on Jesus' actions, here the Pharisees and scribes direct their charge against Jesus' disciples as well with the second plural verb.
$\pi i v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 2nd $\mathrm{pl} \pi i v \omega$.
 ě $\chi$ оvбıv oi
 circumstance; see also $1: 19$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \kappa \rho ı \theta i \varsigma)$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ ò $\varsigma$ aủtov́c. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
х $\boldsymbol{\rho \varepsilon \boldsymbol { \varepsilon } \boldsymbol { \alpha } v . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~ e ́ x o v o ı v . ~}$

oi ípıaivovtec. Pres act ptc masc nom pl úpıaivف (substantival). Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ع̌ $\chi$ ovoıv.
ia $\boldsymbol{\rho} \rho \mathbf{0}$. Objective genitive, modifying $\chi \rho \varepsilon i \alpha v$.
 a substantival participial construction, to form an idiom meaning "to be ill" (BDAG, 502.1.a).
oi . . . è $\chi \mathbf{o v t e c . ~ P r e s ~ a c t ~ p t c ~ m a s c ~ n o m ~} \mathrm{pl}$ है $\chi \omega$ (substantival).


##  votav.


$\kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \alpha \mathbf{a}$. Aor act inf ка入غ́ш (purpose).
Sıкaiovs. Accusative direct object of ка $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \alpha$.
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ ov̀c. Accusative direct object of an implied ( $\dot{\lambda} \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda u \theta \alpha)$ ка入દ́бaı.

عís $\mu \varepsilon \tau \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ votav. Purpose.

## Luke 5:33-39

${ }^{33}$ Then they said to him, "John's disciples fast frequently and offer prayers, as the (disciples) of the Pharisees also do; but the ones belonging to you eat and drink (all the time)." ${ }^{34}$ So Jesus said to them, "Surely, you are not able to make the wedding guests fast while the bridegroom is with them? ${ }^{35}$ The days will come, though, when the bridegroom is taken away from them. Then, in those days, they will fast."
${ }^{36}$ Then he continued speaking with an illustration: "No one after tearing a patch from a new garment puts it on an old garment! Otherwise, he will both tear the new one and the patch from the new one will not fit the old one. ${ }^{37}$ And no one puts new wine into old wineskins. Otherwise, the new wine will burst the wineskins, it will spill out, and the wineskins will be ruined. ${ }^{38}$ Instead, new wine must be stored in fresh wineskins. ${ }^{39}$ And no one drinking old (wine) wants new. For he says, 'The old is better.'"

5:33 Oí $\delta$ غ̀ $\varepsilon$ ĩ $\pi \alpha v \pi \rho o ̀ ̧ ~ a v ̉ \tau o ́ v, ~ O i ́ ~ \mu \alpha \theta \eta \uparrow \tau a i ̀ ~ ’ I \omega a ́ v v o v ~ v \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon v ่ o v \sigma ı \nu ~$
 бol̀ ह̇бӨíovбıv кaì đivovaıv.

Oi. The article functions as the nominative subject of عĩtav (see also 1:29 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ).

عĩ $\pi \alpha v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. On the form, see 1:61.
$\pi \rho$ òs aủtóv. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho$ òs aủtòv).
Oí $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha i ̀$. Nominative subject of $\nu \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ v่ovoıv.
＇I $\omega$ ávvov．Genitive of relationship．
$\nu \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon v ่ o v \sigma เ v$ ．Pres act ind 3rd pl $\nu \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon v ่ \omega$ ．
$\pi \nu \kappa v \alpha ̀$ ．Adverbial accusative．
$\boldsymbol{\delta \varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} เ \varsigma$ ．Accusative direct object of $\pi$ тоьои̃vтaı．
$\pi \boldsymbol{\pi}$ oũvtat．Pres mid ind 3rd $\mathrm{pl} \pi o เ \varepsilon ่ \omega$ ．
oi．The article functions as a nominalizer（see 1：48 on ả兀ò toṽ vũv）， changing the genitive phrase $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ Фарıбai $\omega v$ into the nominative
 Alternatively，it may simply modify an implied $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha i$.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$ Фapıб⿱i $\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Genitive of relationship．
oi．The article functions as a nominalizer（see 1：48 on ànò toṽ $v \tilde{v}$ ），changing the dative $\sigma o i$ into the nominative subject of غ̇бӨiouøıv and rivouøıv．

бoi．Dative of possession or reference．The shift to the dative （oi ．．．ooì）from the genitive（Oí $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha i ̀ ~ ' I \omega \alpha ́ v v o u ~ a n d ~ o i ~ \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ Фapıซai $\omega v$ ）likely helps to sharpen the contrast that is being drawn and strengthen the rhetorical jab（see the translation）．

غ̇o日iovotv кaì đivovotv．In this context，the phrase may point to ＂feasting＂or＂partying．＂

と̇o日iovoıv．Pres act ind 3rd pl $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta^{\prime} \omega$ ．
$\pi i v o v o t v$ ．Pres act ind 3rd pl $\pi i v \omega$ ．

##  


ยіँสยv．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
$\pi \rho$ ò $\boldsymbol{a}$ aủtoúc．Indirect object（see 1：13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \alpha u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
Mì．The negativizer indicates that a negative answer is expected to this question．

סúvao日e．Pres mid ind 2nd pl סúvapaı．
тov̀s viov̀s toṽ $v \boldsymbol{v} \mu \varphi \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{v} \mathbf{v o c .}$ Accusative subject of $v \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon \tilde{\sigma} \sigma a$ ．This idiom means something like＂wedding guests．＂The fronted posi－ tion helps highlight the absurdity of having such important people fast on such an occasion．
$\tau 0 \tilde{v} v v \mu \varphi \tilde{\omega} v o c$. Genitive of relationship．
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tilde{\dot{\omega}}$ ．The preposition with a dative singular relative pronoun may be used，as here，to form a temporal expression meaning＂while＂
(see also 19:13; Mark 2:19; John 5:7; 1 Pet 2:12; 3:16; and perhaps Rom 8:3; Culy 1989b, 72-73, 89). On the same construction with a plural relative pronoun, see 12:1.
ó vvцبíoc. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ह̇бтıv.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. Association.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres act ind 3 rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عiju.
$\pi \mathbf{\pi} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \alpha \mathbf{\alpha}$. Aor act inf $\pi$ oté $\omega$ (complementary, modifying $\delta \dot{v} v a \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ). Here, $\pi$ ot $\varepsilon \omega$ is used to form a causative construction.
$\nu \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon \tilde{v} \sigma \alpha \mathrm{I}$. Aor act inf $\nu \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon \dot{\omega} \omega$ (complementary to $\pi$ oı $\eta \sigma \alpha \iota$ in a causative construction). Lit. "to cause the sons of the wedding hall to fast."




кaì. Plummer (162) notes that the conjunction could start a new sentence ("But times will change. And when the bridegroom is taken from them, then . . .") or it could introduce a clause that is epexegetical to what precedes (see the translation above).
ötav. On translating "when" rather than "whenever," see 6:22.
 temporal topic of what follows (see 1:36 on 'E入ıб́́ $\beta \varepsilon \tau$ ) and is picked up by the resumptive adverb tóte.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho \theta \tilde{\mathfrak{1}}$. Aor pass subj 3rd sg à $\pi \alpha i \rho \omega$. Subjunctive with ötav.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi^{\prime}$ av่̉ $\tilde{\omega} v$. Separation.
$\dot{o} v v \mu \varphi i o c$. Nominative subject of $\alpha \pi \alpha \rho \theta \tilde{n}$.
$\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v o v o v i v . ~ F u t ~ a c t ~ i n d ~ 3 r d ~ p l ~ v \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon v ่ \omega . ~}$
 phrase following tóte helps highlight the fact that those days have not yet arrived.

##    $\beta \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ тò à $\pi$ ò тoṽ кaıvoṽ.

"E $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. The use of the imperfect should probably not be explained through reference to a label like "instantaneous" (contra Wallace, 542). It cannot be chosen because it introduces a more extended discourse, given the common use of the aorist in such contexts elsewhere. It is possible that the imperfect is being used to portray the telling of the parable as supplementary information (see Introduction, "Verbal Aspect"). Or, perhaps Luke uses the imperfect to portray a sense of continuity with the discourse that precedes (see the translation).
$\delta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ кaì. See 2:4.
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta o \lambda \eta ̀ v$. Accusative direct object of "E $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$. Here, $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha-$ ßo入ウ̀v likely carries the sense of illustration or proverb rather than parable (see also 4:23; 6:39).
$\pi \rho$ ò $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathbf{~ u ̉ t o u ̀ c . ~ I n d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ ( s e e ~ 1 : 1 3 ~ o n ~} \pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
ö $\tau$ ı. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also 1:25 on öтı) of "E $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$.

Oủסعic. Nominative subject of $\sigma \chi i \sigma \alpha c$.
èniß $\quad$ п $\mu \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\sigma \chi i \sigma a \varsigma$.
à $\pi$ ò íhatiov kaıvoũ. Separation.
$\sigma \chi i \sigma a \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\sigma \chi i \zeta \omega$ (temporal).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \iota$. Pres act ind 3rd sg ėmıß்̀ $\lambda \lambda \omega$.
ènì í $\mu \alpha \dot{\tau} \iota o v \pi \alpha \lambda a ı o ́ v . ~ L o c a t i v e . ~$
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Used after negative clauses to introduce what would happen if the preceding statement were true (cf. BDAG, 278.6.d. $\beta$, s.v. $\varepsilon i)$. Although Plummer (163; cf. BDAG, 190.b.א, s.v. $\gamma \varepsilon$ ) argues that this form (also in $5: 37 ; 10: 6 ; 13: 9 ; 14: 32$ ) is stronger than $\varepsilon \mathfrak{c} \delta \grave{\varepsilon}$ $\mu \eta$, BDF ( $\$ 439$ ) rightly points out that in the NT $\gamma \varepsilon$ has often lost its emphatic character when used with other particles. Here, Luke's use of $\varepsilon i<\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \eta \gamma \varepsilon$ is likely simply a stylistic variant of the shorter form, which is found in the parallel account in Mark 2:21 (Matt 9:17 uses $\varepsilon i ̉ \delta \grave{\mu} \mu \eta \varepsilon$ ).
tò kaıvòv. Accusative direct object of $\sigma$ रi $\sigma \varepsilon$. Plummer (163) may be correct in arguing that the parallel construction (kaì tò kaıvòv
 the same function with respect to their verb, making it less likely that tò kaivòv is a nominative subject ("the new one will tear") here.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \chi \mathbf{i} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Fut act ind 3rd sg $\sigma \chi i \zeta \omega$.
$\tau \tilde{\varphi} \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \mathbf{\omega} \tilde{\varphi}$. Dative complement of $\sigma \cup \mu \varphi \omega v \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma$.
$\sigma \nu \mu \varphi \omega v \eta \sigma^{\prime}$. Fut act ind 3rd sg $\sigma \nu \mu \varphi \omega v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. Used with clothing and patches the sense of this verb is "to be sufficiently like something as to fit or match" (LN 64.10).

тò è $\pi i \beta \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\sigma \nu \mu \varphi \omega v \eta \dot{\sigma \varepsilon}$.
тò ànò toũ kaıvoũ. The article functions as an adjectivizer, a word (or affix) that changes the following word, phrase, or clause into an adjective (cf. nominalizers; 1:48 on à $\pi$ ò $\tau 0 \tilde{v} v \tilde{v} v)$. Here, the article changes the PP into an attributive modifier of tò $\varepsilon \pi i \beta \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$.
à $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ò tove katvoṽ. Separation.

 oíảбкol̀ àto久oṽvтal.
ov̉ $\delta \varepsilon$ cic. Nominative subject of $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon$.
$\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \iota$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
oĩvov vغ́ov. Accusative direct object of $\beta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$ ı.

عỉ $\delta \check{\varepsilon} \mu \eta \chi^{\mu}$. See 5:36.

ó oĩvos ó véoc. Nominative subject of $\dot{\rho} \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \xi$ ء.

avitòs. On the use of the conjunction with av̉tóc here, see 4:15.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \chi 0 \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha 1$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \chi \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. Lit. "it will be poured out."

$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{o} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{o v ̃ v \tau a ı}$. Fut mid ind 3rd pl à $\pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$.

## 

oĩvov véov. Accusative direct object of an implied form of $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ from verse 37.

عís àбкov̀s kaıvoùc. Locative.
$\boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{O} \boldsymbol{v}$. This is the only example of a verbal adjective formed with - $\tau \varepsilon \circ \varsigma$ (here from the verb $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ ) in the NT (McKay, 66). The addition of the accusative $\beta \lambda \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ov (Mark 2:22 simply has
 scribes ( $\boldsymbol{\wedge}^{*} \mathrm{D}$ it syrp) simplified the language by replacing it with $\beta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda$ ovaıv.

##  

ov̉ $\delta \varepsilon$ cic. Nominative subject of $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon$.
$\pi \iota \omega ̀ v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\pi i v \omega$ (attributive or temporal).
$\pi \alpha \lambda \boldsymbol{\iota} o ̀ v$. Accusative direct object of $\pi \iota \omega \nu$.
$\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 3 rd sg $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
vと́ov. Accusative direct object of $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon$ ı.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon เ$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).
O $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota o ̀ s . ~ N o m i n a t i v e ~ s u b j e c t ~ o f ~ \varepsilon ̇ \sigma \tau ı v . ~$
$\chi \boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{o} \boldsymbol{c}$. Predicate adjective. Here, the term pertains "to meeting a relatively high standard of value" (BDAG, 1090.2). Most scribes preferred the explicit comparative form $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau$ ót $\rho \rho \varsigma \varsigma$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg عíhi. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عíu.

## Luke 6:1-5

${ }^{1}$ Now it happened on a Sabbath day that he was passing through grain fields, and his disciples were plucking and eating the heads of grain after rubbing (the husks off) with their hands. ${ }^{2}$ So some of the Pharisees said, "Why are you doing what is not permitted on the Sabbath?" ${ }^{3}$ Responding to them, Jesus said, "Have you not even read what David did when he and those with him were hungry, ${ }^{4}$ how he went into the house of God, and after taking the sacred bread, he ate it and gave it to those with him-(bread) that no one may eat except the priests alone?" ${ }^{5}$ Then he proceeded to say to them, "The Son of Man is Lord of the Sabbath."



 $\dot{\varepsilon} v \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\beta} \beta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \tau \omega$. Temporal. See also verse 4 on toĩs $\sigma \dot{\alpha} \beta \beta \alpha \sigma \iota v$.
 clause, $\delta \iota \alpha \pi о \rho \varepsilon v ่ \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha ı$ aủtòv $\delta ı a ̀ ~ \sigma \pi о \rho i \mu \omega v$, functions as the subject of 'Eүध́veto.

$\delta ı a ̀ ~ \sigma \pi о \rho i \mu \omega v . ~ S p a t i a l . ~$
étı入入ov．Impf act ind 3rd pl ti入入 $\omega$ ．
oi $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha i ̀$ ．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ है $\tau \lambda \lambda o v$ ．
av̉兀oũ．Genitive of relationship．

тov̀s $\sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \chi$ vac．Accusative direct object of
$\psi \dot{\omega} \chi \mathbf{O} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom $\mathrm{pl} \psi \omega \dot{\chi} \omega \omega$（temporal or means）．
тaĩऽ $\chi \varepsilon \rho \sigma i v$ ．Dative of instrument．
 $\sigma \dot{\alpha} \beta \beta \alpha \sigma \iota$ ；
$\tau \iota v \varepsilon ̀ \varsigma . ~ N o m i n a t i v e ~ s u b j e c t ~ o f ~ \varepsilon i ̃ ~ \tau \alpha \nu . ~$
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v$ Фарьбаíwv．Partitive genitive．
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \pi \alpha v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．On the form，see 1：61．
 tion with the force of＂You are doing what is not permitted on the Sabbath！＂（cf．Beekman and Callow，237）．
$\pi о เ \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \tau$ ．Pres act ind 2nd $\mathrm{pl} \pi \circ เ \varepsilon ่ \omega$ ．
ő．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ है $\xi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ．The relative pronoun introduces a＂headless＂relative clause，i．e．，a relative clause with no expressed antecedent：＂that which is not lawful．＂The whole relative clause（ö


тoins $\sigma \dot{\alpha} \beta \beta \alpha \sigma \iota v$ ．Dative of time．On the use of the plural form，see $4: 16$ ．The temporal use of $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ in the previous verse with $\sigma \alpha \beta \beta \dot{\alpha} \tau \varphi$ shows that the two constructions are roughly synonymous here．

 av่̉าข̃［ővтะ¢］，
à $\pi \mathbf{o \kappa \rho ı \theta \varepsilon і ч . ~ A o r ~ m i d ~ p t c ~ m a s c ~ n o m ~ s g ~ a ̉ \pi о к р i v o \mu a ı ~ ( a t t e n d a n t ~}$ circumstance；see also $1: 19$ on ádoкрıधi¢）．On the voice，see ＂Deponency＂in the Series Introduction．
 aủtòv）．

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．

Oủסと̀ toṽto．Lit．＂not even this．＂Nolland（1：256）suggests that the combination of the negative particle and the demonstrative pronoun has the rhetorical effect of sharpening＂the suggestion of ignorance already to be found in Mark＇s ov̉סغ́лотє［2：25］，＇never．＇＂

тоṽто．Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma v \omega \tau \varepsilon$ ．The demonstrative pronoun is cataphoric（see also 10：11 on toṽтo），pointing for－



ö．Accusative direct object of $\dot{\pi} \pi \mathrm{oi} \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ．The antecedent of the relative pronoun is toṽto：lit．＂this，which David did．＂

$\Delta a v i ̀ \delta$ ．Nominative subject of $\grave{\varepsilon} \pi o i \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ．
ėлモivaocv．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi \varepsilon เ v a ́ \omega$ ．With a compound subject， as here，＂If one of the subjects is more important than the others a singular verb may be attached to it，especially when the verb pre－ cedes its subject＂（McKay，18）．

oi．The nominative article functions as a nominalizer（see 1：48 on à $\pi$ ò $\tau 0 \tilde{v} v \tilde{v} v$ ），changing either the PP （ $\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ av̉тoṽ）or the participial phrase（ $\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ aủtoṽ ővt\＆¢）into the second part of the compound subject of غ̇л $\pi i v a \sigma \varepsilon v$ ．
$\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ av̉兀oũ．Association．
oi ．．．［ővtec］．Pres act ptc masc nom pl cíhí（substantival）．

##   

［ $\dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{c}]$ ．Introduces a clause that is epexegetical to toũтo（v．3）．Like ö $\tau \iota, \dot{\omega} \varsigma$ can be used as a＂marker of discourse content＂（BDAG， 1105．5）and thus introduce a clausal complement（see also 8：47； 24：6；cf．Acts 10：38）．It likely，however，places more focus on manner than ötı would convey（Culy and Parsons，212）．

عís tòv oĩ̃ov．Locative．
то⿱̃ $\theta$ عoũ．Possessive genitive．

$\tau \tilde{\varsigma} \varsigma \pi \rho o \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\omega} \varsigma$. Lit. "loaves of presentation." The genitive could be viewed as attributive ("Presentation Bread"). The whole phrase refers to "bread for presenting (to God)."
$\lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ (attendant circumstance or temporal).

है $\delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
тoĩc. The article functions as a nominalizer (see 1:48 on àrò toũ $v \tilde{v} v$ ), changing the $\mathrm{PP} \mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ av่тoṽ into the dative indirect object of है $\delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon \nu$.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ av̉兀oṽ. Association.
ov̄. The accusative plural relative pronoun functions as the direct object of $\varphi$ aүعĩv. Its antecedent is tov̀c ảp

¢аүعĩ. Aor act inf $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta i \omega$ (complementary; see also 2:49 on Eĩvai).
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{\eta}^{\text {. See 5: }}$ 51.
$\mu$ óvous tov̀s í $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon i ̃}$. Accusative subject of an implied $\varphi$ аүعĩv.

##  àv $\theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi{ }^{\prime}$

 aủtoĩs as a "redundant quotative frame," which here involves a phrase used to introduce reported speech that is unnecessary because the speech is already in progress and the speaker has not changed. This literary device "slows the flow of the discourse and creates anticipation for what follows" (Runge $\$ 7.3 .2$; see also 1:19 on àтокріӨءі¢).
av่̉oĩc. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon$ ë $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$. Some manuscripts ( ${ }^{2}$
 discourse, while the UBS ${ }^{4}$ omits it following $\mathfrak{P}^{4} \boldsymbol{\aleph}^{*}$ B W $f^{1} 157579$ 700954 pc. Levinsohn $(2000,291)$ argues that the absence of the ö $\tau$ "implies that the reported speech is not to be viewed as the culmination of the episode. Rather, as the presence of $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tau 0$ implies [v. 6], the episode that terminates with v. 5 provides general background for and is related thematically to the following episode."

Kúpıóc. Predicate nominative. The fronting of Kúpıós highlights

Jesus' emphasis on his status. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\dot{\eta}$ ठ $̇ \eta \sigma i \varsigma$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ íhi. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عiцı.

тoṽ $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta} \beta \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v}$. Genitive of subordination.
ó viòs toṽ ảv $\boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\rho}$ и́tov. See 5:24.
ó viòs. Nominative subject of ह̇бтıv.
тоṽ $\mathfrak{a} v \theta \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \pi \mathbf{~} \mathbf{v}$. Genitive of relationship.

## Luke 6:6-11

${ }^{6}$ It happened on another Sabbath that he entered a synagogue and began teaching; and a man was there whose right hand was withered. ${ }^{7}$ Now, the scribes and the Pharisees were watching him closely (to see) if he healed (people) on the Sabbath, so that they might find (a way) to accuse him. ${ }^{8}$ But he knew what they were thinking. So he said to the man who had the withered hand, "Get up and stand among us." And he got up and stood (there). ${ }^{9}$ Then Jesus said to them, "I ask you, is it in fact lawful to do good on the Sabbath or to do evil, to save a life or to destroy it?" ${ }^{10}$ And after looking around at all of them he said to him, "Hold out your hand." He did so, and his hand was restored (to normal condition). ${ }^{11}$ Then they were filled with fury and began discussing with one another what they might do to Jesus.

##   


$\dot{\varepsilon} v \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \rho \boldsymbol{\varphi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha \beta \beta \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$. The temporal PP moves the narrative forward to a new episode.
 aủtòv $\varepsilon$ ís $\tau \eta ̀ v ~ \sigma u v a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta ̀ v$ кaì $\delta เ \delta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \varepsilon เ \nu$, functions as the subject of 'Eүéveto.
av่̉òv. Accusative subject of $\varepsilon$ íб $\lambda \lambda \theta \varepsilon i ̃ v . ~$

$\delta \mathbf{\delta} \dot{\delta} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \varepsilon เ v$. Pres act inf $\delta \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega$. On the function, see $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} v$. On the ingressive translation, cf. 1:59 on $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda o u v$. The infinitive could also be rendered, "was teaching."

ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ i $\mu i$ i.

$\dot{\eta} \chi \varepsilon \dot{\jmath} \rho \ldots \dot{\eta} \delta \varepsilon \xi$ เà. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} \nu$.
av̉兀oṽ. Possessive genitive.
ñv. Impf ind 3rd sg عíhi.
$\xi \eta \rho \dot{\alpha}$. Predicate adjective.


$\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \tau \eta \rho o v ̃ v \tau 0$. Impf mid ind 3 rd pl $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
aủtòv. Accusative direct object of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \tau \eta \rho \circ \tilde{v} v \tau o$.
oi $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tilde{c} \varsigma$ каì oi Фарıбаĩot. Nominative subject of $\pi \alpha \rho$ $\varepsilon \tau \eta \rho o u ̃ v \tau \tau$. On the meaning of oi $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tilde{\varsigma}$, see 5:17 on Фарıбаĩoเ


عỉ. Introduces an indirect question (cf. Acts 17:11; Robertson, 1045). See also 6:9.

غ̇v $\tau \underset{\sim}{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha \beta \beta \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$. Temporal.
$\theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 3 rd sg $\theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon v \dot{\omega} \omega$. Many scribes ( $\Re^{4} \mathrm{~B}$ $\left.\Theta f^{1,13} \mathfrak{R}\right)$ use the future $\theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon v \dot{\sigma} \varepsilon \iota$ here rather than the present Өعparcúsı (К D L W $\Psi 565 p c$ ). The present tense conveys imperfective aspect and likely portrays the action as customary here. The future tense, on the other hand, points to future time and likely conveys perfective aspect (Wallace, 566; Campbell, 159; contra Porter 1989, 438). It conveys expectation regarding something that does not yet exist (Porter 1989, 439), and although it does not convey uncertainty on its own (Campbell, 156), its semantic makeup does make the future tense a natural choice in constructions where uncertainty is in view (cf. 1:18; Matt 26:33). More important, the future tense would focus on the single event rather than Jesus' habit. Our choice then is between the present reading, which is concerned with the question, "Does he heal on the Sabbath?" and the future reading, which addresses the question, "Will he heal on the Sabbath?" If the future tense is followed, we would translate the verse "(to see) if he would heal . . ."
îva. Introduces a purpose clause.
 катпүорєĩv. Pres act inf катпүорغ́ $\omega$ (direct object).
av̉тoṽ．Genitive direct object of катๆүорعĩv．



av̉tòs．Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon$ ．The explicit fronted subject pronoun shifts the focus back to Jesus．

ไٌ $\delta \varepsilon$ ı．Plprf act ind 3 rd sg oĩ $\delta \alpha$ ．
тov̀s $\delta \iota a \lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \mu o v ̀ c . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~ \bigcap ̆ ̉ \delta \varepsilon ı . ~$
av่̉นั̃v．Subjective genitive．
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$ ．
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \mathfrak{\alpha} v \delta \rho i$ ．Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$ ．
モ̇ $\chi$ ovtı．Pres act ptc masc dat sg ë $\chi \omega$（attributive）．
 unusual position of the adjective lends prominence to the man＇s condition．
＂Eүعוןع．Pres act impv 2nd sg ė $\gamma \varepsilon i \rho \omega$ ．
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \tilde{\eta} \theta$ t．Aor act impv 2nd sg ïб $\sigma \eta \mu$ ．
عís тò $\mu$ ह́бov．Locative．
 stance）．See also 5：25．

ह̈б $\tau \tau$ ．Aor act ind 3 rd sg ïб $\sigma \eta \mu$ ．

 $\lambda$ д́б⿱㇒木；
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \pi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
ó＇İ $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{o v} \varsigma$ ．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon v$ ．
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ̀ \varsigma . ~ I n d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~(s e e ~ 1: 13 ~ o n ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ~ a u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$

$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\mu} c$ ．Accusative direct object of＇Елєр $\omega \tau \tilde{\omega}$ ．
$\varepsilon$ el．Although $\varepsilon \grave{\imath}$ has often been argued to introduce direct ques－ tions，Caragounis（208－16）presents a compelling argument against such a view．It is typically maintained that $\varepsilon$ l，which was＂the normal particle for introducing indirect questions in most periods of the language＂（Caragounis，211），came to be used in NT Greek to intro－ duce direct questions under the influence of Hebrew．Caragounis，
however, conclusively demonstrates that $\varepsilon i$ was being confused with $\tilde{\eta}$, which was pronounced in the same way, during this period. He cites the example of Heb 6:14 (UBS ${ }^{4}$ : Ei $\mu \eta \eta v \varepsilon u ̉ \lambda o \gamma \omega \tilde{\omega} v \varepsilon u ̉ \lambda o \gamma \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ каì $\pi \lambda \eta \theta \dot{v} v \omega v \pi \lambda \eta \theta \nu v \tilde{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon$ ), which quotes Gen 22:17 (Rahlfs LXX: $\tilde{\eta}$
 lit. "surely, blessing I will bless you and multiplying I will multiply your seed"), and notes that the UBS ${ }^{4}$ reading reflects the Egyptian tradition ( $\left(P^{46} \boldsymbol{\aleph}\right.$ A B C D* $\operatorname{P} 33 p c$ ), while the Majority Text reads $\eta \tilde{\eta} \mu \eta \nu$. He goes on to demonstrate that within Rahlfs edition of the LXX $\eta \tilde{j} \mu \eta \geqslant$ and $\varepsilon i \mu \eta \eta v$ are used interchangeably (214), and concludes by arguing that as the adverb $\tilde{\eta}^{\tilde{j}}$ was dying out during this period, writers were at times using the homonym $\varepsilon$ i as a substitute (216). Where $\varepsilon i$ is used in this manner, then, one should likely read the text as if the adverb $\tilde{\eta}^{\tilde{j}}$ were being used. Thus, rather than introducing a direct question, $\varepsilon i(=\eta \tilde{\eta})$ serves as a "confirmatory" adverb with the sense of something like "certainly," "really," "truly," "actually," or simply to add rhetorical force to a direct question (see the translation; see also 13:23; 22:49; 14:3 v.l.). Caragounis rightly notes that $\eta$ ก is often incorrectly transcribed as "H in editions of the Greek NT, making the exegesis of numerous passages more complex than it should be.

$\tau \tilde{\varphi} \sigma \alpha \beta \beta \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$. Dative of time.
 2:49 on عĩvai).

какотоเๆ̃баı. Aor act inf какотоь' $\omega$ (complementary; see also 2:49 on عĩvai).
$\psi \mathbf{v} \boldsymbol{\eta} \eta \mathbf{v}$. Accusative direct object of $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \sigma \alpha$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. . Aor act inf $\sigma \hat{\varphi} \zeta \omega$ (complementary; see also 2:49 on عĩvai).
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{I}$. Aor act inf $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \mathrm{I}$ (complementary; see also 2:49 on عĩvai).

##  

$\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon v o c$. Aor mid ptc masc nom sg $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \beta \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega$ (temporal or attendant circumstance).
$\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha \varsigma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathbf{v} \tau o v ̀ \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \varepsilon$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$.
av่̉าต̣. Dative indirect object of عĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$.

 accent, see $1: 13$ on $\eta \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\eta} \eta \sigma i \varsigma$.
oov. Possessive genitive.
o. The nominative article functions as the subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \mathrm{oi} \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ (see also 1:29 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ).

غ̇лоíqбモv. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi$ oté $\omega$.

ŋ̀ $\chi \varepsilon \dot{\jmath} \rho$. Nominative subject of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta$.
av̉тoṽ. Possessive genitive.

## 


av̉тoì. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta \sigma \sigma v$. The explicit fronted subject pronoun shifts the focus back to the scribes and the Pharisees.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta \sigma \alpha v$. Aor pass ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \pi i \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu \mathrm{I}$.
$\dot{\alpha}$ voiac. Genitive of content. This term appears to convey a stronger sense than had Luke used $\theta u$ oós as in 4:28: "a state of such extreme anger as to suggest an incapacity to use one's mind" (LN 88.183).
$\delta ı \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda o v v}$. Impf act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. On the ingressive translation, see 1:59 on غ̇к $\dot{\lambda} \lambda o u v$.
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda o v c$. Association. Although $\delta t a \lambda \lambda \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ is a verb of communication, it does not appear to be used to introduce direct discourse. Rather, it refers to exchanging opinions or viewpoints (BDAG, 232). Thus, this PP should not be viewed as introducing an indirect object (cf. 1:13 on $\pi$ oòc aủtòv).
 McKay, 110), formed with äv plus an optative verb, serves as the clausal complement of $\delta ı \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda o u v(c f . ~ 1: 62) . ~$
$\tau i$. Accusative direct object of $\pi$ o! $\sigma \sigma a \varepsilon v$.
$\pi о \nmid \sigma \alpha เ \varepsilon v$. Aor act opt 3rd pl $\pi$ oı́ $\omega$.


## Luke 6:12-26

${ }^{12}$ Now it happened in those days that he went away to a mountain to pray and spent the night praying to God. ${ }^{13}$ When morning came, he called out to his disciples (to come to him) and after choosing twelve from among them, whom he also named apostles- ${ }^{14}$ Simon, whom he also called Peter, Andrew his brother, James, John, Philip, Bartholomew, ${ }^{15}$ Matthew, Thomas, James (the son) of Alphaeus, Simon who is called the Zealot, ${ }^{16}$ Judas (the son) of James, and Judas Iscariot, who became a traitor- ${ }^{17}$ and (after) coming down with them, he stood on a level place. And there was a large crowd of his disciples and a big group of people from all of Judea and Jerusalem and the seacoast of Tyre and Sidon ${ }^{18}$ who came to hear him and to be healed from their diseases. And those troubled by unclean spirits were being healed. ${ }^{19}$ The entire crowd was trying to touch him, because power was coming out of him and healing everyone.
${ }^{20}$ After making careful eye contact with his disciples, he began speaking: "Blessed are the poor, for the kingdom of God is yours. ${ }^{21}$ Blessed are those who are hungry now, for you will be satisfied. Blessed are those who weep now, for you will laugh. ${ }^{22}$ Blessed are you when people hate you, and when they exclude you and insult and malign your name as evil on account of the Son of Man. ${ }^{23}$ Rejoice on that day and leap for joy, because your reward in heaven is great! For their ancestors were in the habit of doing the same type of things to the prophets.
${ }^{24} \mathrm{On}$ the other hand, woe to you rich people, for you are receiving your comfort (now)! ${ }^{25}$ Woe to you, you who have plenty to eat now, for you will be hungry! Woe (to) those who laugh now, for you will mourn and weep! ${ }^{26}$ Woe (to you) when all people speak well about you! For their ancestors were in the habit of doing the same type of things to false prophets."

##   $\theta \varepsilon \frac{1}{}$.

 èv тaĩs $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \dot{\rho} \rho a ı \varsigma ~ \tau a v ́ \tau a ı s . ~ T h e ~ t e m p o r a l ~ P P ~ h e l p s ~ m o v e ~ t h e ~ n a r r a-~$ tive forward to a new episode.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} v$. Aor act inf $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \bigcirc \mu \alpha$. The infinitival clause, $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon i ̃ v$
 'Eүéveтo.
av̉tòv. Accusative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} \nu$.
عís tò őpoc. Locative.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon v \dot{\xi} \alpha \sigma \theta a ı$. Aor mid inf $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \cup ́ \chi \circ \mu a ı$ (purpose).
ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg عiuí.
$\delta \iota \alpha v v \kappa \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \dot{v} \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\delta \iota \alpha \nu u \kappa \tau \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \dot{v} \omega$ (imperfect periphrastic).
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \mathfrak{n} \pi \rho \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \varepsilon \cup \chi \mathfrak{n} \cdot$. Manner. Lit. "in prayer."
тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ. Objective genitive.

 ढ̀vó $\mu \boldsymbol{\alpha} \varepsilon$,
őt $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Temporal.
غ̇үย่ขยто. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \rho a$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ غ́と́veto. Lit. "when it was day."
 tempted to render this verb, "he summoned" (cf. LN 33.308: "to call to oneself"; cf., e.g., NASB, NIV), since that idea is clearly implicit, this does not appear to be a legitimate sense for this verb, but rather has likely been imposed on it primarily through reference to this passage. It is better to understand the verb as meaning either "to address" (LN 33.27) or "to call out to" (LN 33.79). See also 13:12.

av̉тoṽ. Genitive of relationship.
 The participle appears to be left hanging here. It cannot modify $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \varphi \dot{\omega} v \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu$ because it is preceded by a каi. Rather, it is part of a conjoined participial clause ( ̇̇к $\lambda \varepsilon \xi \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma .$. каì катаßàऽ) that modifies हैбтŋ (v. 17; contra Klein, 240, n. 24). The long gap between the participles is caused by the relative clause and appositional modifiers of $\varepsilon$ ह̇к $\lambda \varepsilon \xi \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ in verses $13 \mathrm{~b}-16$.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. Source or separation. The PP should not be taken as partitive, as if Luke had written $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \xi \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v \delta \dot{\omega} \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ (cf. 19:29). Rather, the preposition carries the idea of taking the smaller group "out of" or "away from" the larger group of disciples.
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \kappa \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ．Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \xi \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon v o c$.
oüc．Accusative direct object of $\dot{\omega}$ vó $\mu a \sigma \varepsilon v$ ．
$\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{o} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda o v s .}$ ．Complement in an object－complement double accusative construction．

6：14－16 $\Sigma i ́ \mu \omega v a$ öv каì $\omega$ vó $\mu \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$ Пદ́т $\rho o v$ ，каì ’Av $\delta \rho \varepsilon ́ a v ~ \tau o ̀ v ~$

 каì $\Sigma i ́ \mu \omega v a$ тòv ка入oú $\mu \varepsilon v o v \mathrm{Z} \mathrm{\eta} \lambda \omega \tau \grave{\eta} v$ каì ’Iov́סav＇Iaкćß $\beta$ оv каì

 Фі̀ıлтоv каì Bap日oдоцаĩov каì Ma日Өaĩov каì $\Theta \omega \mu \tilde{a} v$ каì
 ＇Iбкарь＇்日．Accusative in apposition to $\delta \dot{\omega} \delta \varepsilon к \alpha$（6：13）．
öv．Accusative direct object of $\omega v o \dot{\mu} \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$ ．
ف̀vó $\mu \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg ỏvo $\mu \dot{\jmath} \zeta \omega$ ．
Пغ่́ $\boldsymbol{\rho o v}$ ．Complement in an object－complement double accusa－ tive construction．
$\tau o ̀ v a ́ \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o ̀ v$ ．Accusative in apposition to A $\mathrm{A} v \delta \rho \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\alpha} v$ ．
av̉тoṽ．Genitive of relationship．
A $\lambda \boldsymbol{\varphi} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathbf{i o v}$ ．Genitive of relationship．
$\kappa \alpha \lambda \boldsymbol{o} \dot{\mu} \mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v o v}$ ．Pres pass ptc masc acc sg ка入غ́ $\omega$（attributive）．
$\mathbf{Z \eta} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ．Complement in a subject－complement double accusa－ tive construction．Since the conceptual subject of the passive verb is accusative（ $\Sigma \dot{\prime} \mu \omega v \alpha)$ ，the complement must bear the same case（see $1: 32$ on viòs）．Bock（ $1: 545$ ）notes that＂the description suggests that he had nationalist political leanings．＂
＇Iakผ́ $\beta \mathbf{o v}$ ．Genitive of relationship．
öc．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ย̇غ́veto．
غ̇ $ү$ ह́veto．Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual．
$\pi \rho о \delta \dot{\sigma} \tau \eta \varsigma$ ．Predicate nominative．

 ＇Iov
$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \dot{\alpha} c$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg катаßaive（temporal）．The

$\mu \varepsilon \tau$ ' av̉t $\boldsymbol{\omega} v$. Association. Given the context, this PP modifies


हैб $\tau \eta$. Aor act ind 3rd sg ïб $\tau \eta \mu$.
غ̇mì tó $\pi \mathbf{o v} \pi \varepsilon \delta$ ıvoṽ. Locative.
 conjoined phrase as the nominative subject of an implied $\tilde{\eta} v$ (cf.
 катакві $\mu \varepsilon v o t$ ) or simply as a "nominal clause" (see Porter 1994, 85). In this reading, the comma after $\pi \varepsilon \delta เ v o v ̃ ~ s h o u l d ~ b e ~ c h a n g e d ~ t o ~ a ~$ period or semicolon, and the comma after aủtoṽ should be omitted (cf. the translation).
$\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. Partitive genitive.
av̉тoṽ. Genitive of relationship.
тoṽ $\lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} o \tilde{v}$. Partitive genitive.
 Source.

Túpov кaì $\Sigma \mathbf{t} \delta \tilde{\omega} v o c$. Epexegetical genitive.


oil. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta$ ov. McKay (148), citing this passage as an example, notes that "Sometimes the number and gender of a relative pronoun follow the sense rather than the grammatical form of the antecedent, especially when a singular collective noun implies a number of people." If the analysis of verse 17 is correct, however, the antecedent would be a compound, and thus plural, subject


àкоṽбat. Aor act inf àkov́ (purpose).
av̉тoṽ. Genitive object of ảkoũ $\alpha a$.
$\mathfrak{i} \alpha \theta \tilde{\eta} v a l$. Aor pass inf iado ${ }^{2}$ al (purpose).
à $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ò $\tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$. Separation.
aủtũv. Subjective genitive.
 val). Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon v \dot{v} \frac{v \tau o . ~}{\text {. }}$
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{̀} \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega v \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \omega v$. Agency. In contrast to Attic Greek, Koine Greek sometimes used à $\pi$ ó rather than únó to introduce the
agent of a passive verb (Caragounis, 115; see also 7:35; 8:43; 9:22; 17:25).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon v \dot{v} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \mathbf{c}$. Impf pass ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon v ่ \omega$.



$\dot{\varepsilon} \zeta \eta \dot{\eta}$ тovv. Impf act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \zeta \eta \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. The plural verb is used with a collective singular subject in accord with the sense.
ä $\pi \tau \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Pres mid inf $\alpha \pi \tau \tau \omega$ (complementary).
aủtoṽ. Genitive complement of ä $\pi \tau \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{a}$.
ötı. Introduces a causal clause.

$\pi \alpha \rho$ ' av่̉ $\frac{1}{}$. Source.

iã̃o. Impf mid ind 3rd sg iáo since the accusative $\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha \varsigma$ cannot be the subject.
$\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha c$. Accusative direct object of iã̃o.

 тoṽ $\theta$ عov.

Kaì. Luke does not include any spatial or temporal construction to indicate a scene change; he simply uses this default conjunction, thus portraying this pericope as closely connected to the preceding narrative.
av̉тòc. Nominative subject of $\check{\text { é }} \mathrm{\varepsilon} \gamma \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathrm{v}$. The explicit subject pronoun helps shift the attention from the subjects of verse 19 back to Jesus. On the use of the conjunction with aủtó¢, see also 4:15.
 ing up his eyes at his disciples." The idiom, $\varepsilon$ ह̃ãpal tov̀ৎ ỏ $\varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu$ oú, appears to point to a deliberate action of focusing one's attention on something. Marshall (247) argues that here it "indicates taking note of somebody or something . . . and suggests that what follows is especially meant for the disciples and arises from a consideration of their needs."
ènápac. Aor act ptc masc nom sg ėtaíp (temporal).

av่̉าũ. Possessive genitive.
عís tov̀s $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau$ àc. Locative.
av̉тoũ. Genitive of relationship.
$\ddot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$. Impf act ind 3 rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. On the ingressive translation,


Maкápıot. Predicate adjective.
oi $\pi \tau \omega \chi$ oi. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
ötı. Introduces a causal clause.
$\dot{v} \mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho a$. Predicate adjective. Nominative feminine singular form of $\dot{\sim} \mu \dot{\mu} \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$.

غ̇бтìv. Pres act ind 3rd sg عilui. On the retention of the accent, see 1:36 on દ̇бтìv.

тoũ $\theta$ عoṽ. Subjective genitive (see also 4:43).
 $\kappa \lambda \alpha i o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ṽ̃v, öтı $\gamma \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$.
$\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha ́ p ı o t . ~ P r e d i c a t e ~ a d j e c t i v e . ~$
oi $\pi \varepsilon \iota v \tilde{\omega} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Pres act ptc masc nom $\mathrm{pl} \pi \varepsilon เ v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ (substantival).
Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces a causal clause.
$\chi \boldsymbol{\rho \tau \tau \sigma} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta \varepsilon$. Fut pass ind 2nd pl $\chi$ о $\tau \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$.
$\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha ́ p ı o t . ~ P r e d i c a t e ~ a d j e c t i v e . ~$
oi $\boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\tau} \varepsilon$. . Pres act ptc masc nom pl к $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ai $\omega$ (substantival).
Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces a causal clause.
$\gamma \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Fut act ind 2nd $\mathrm{pl} \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\alpha} \omega$.
6:22 $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha ́ \rho เ o i ́ ~ \varepsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \varepsilon ~ o ̈ \tau \alpha v ~ \mu เ \sigma \eta ́ \sigma \omega \sigma t v ~ v ́ \mu a ̃ ̧ ~ o i ~ a ̈ v \theta \rho \omega \pi о t ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ o ̈ \tau \alpha v ~$





غ̇б $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Pres act ind 2nd pl $\varepsilon i \mu i ́$.
ö $\tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{v}$. This temporal particle refers to "an action that is conditional, possible, and, in many instances, repeated" (BDAG, 730.1).

Decker (87) notes that "The predominant pattern in Mark is the use of ötav with an aorist subjunctive to describe singular (not repeated) events that precede the event described in the main clause ("when"). The perfective aspect of the aorist form is appropriately used to refer to such events in summary fashion." The present subjunctive, on the other hand, may or may not refer to multiple possible events ("whenever") depending on context. The same pattern holds true in Luke.
$\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \omega \sigma เ v$. Aor act subj 3rd pl $\mu \iota \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. Subjunctive with őtav.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{a} \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $\mu$ ৷б' $\sigma \omega \sigma \iota v$.
oi äv $\theta \rho \omega \pi \boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Nominative subject of $\mu \iota \sigma \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \omega \sigma \tau v$.
ötav. See above.
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \iota v$. Aor act subj 3rd pl $\dot{\alpha} \varphi$ o $\boldsymbol{i} \zeta \omega$. Subjunctive with őтаv.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{a} \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of à $\varphi$ ро

غ̇к $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega \sigma \iota v$ тò ővoua $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Lit. "they throw out your name." Louw and Nida (33.396) define this idiom, "to insult or slander, with a possible implication of a kind of psychological ostracism." Bock ( $1: 579$ ) argues that "The phrase pictures total rejection." The NET Bible editors, however, maintain that the phrase tò obvo $\alpha$ a $\dot{u} \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$ could be viewed as a synecdoche for "you" (see 1:46 on $\dot{\eta}$ $\psi v \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{v}$ ). Used with $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$, one would then be tempted to read a reference to expulsion from the synagogue, with the idiom perhaps intimating the erasure of their name from the synagogue list (cf. the Birkat Ha-Minim or "Curse against the Heretics," which was apparently instituted in the late first century: "For the apostates let there be no hope. And let the kingdom of arrogance be speedily uprooted in our days. Let the Nazarenes and the sectarians [minim] be destroyed in a moment. Let them be blotted out of the book of life, and not be written together with the righteous. You are praised, O Lord, who subdues the arrogant"; b. Ber. 28b-29a). Such blotting out from the book of life is a common theme in Jewish literature. Ultimately, however, this reading appears to be ruled out by the presence of $\dot{\omega}$ 爪 the name of a follower of Jesus.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega \sigma เ v$. Aor act subj 3rd pl $\grave{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$. Subjunctive with ötav. тò ővoua. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ ह̀ $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega \sigma \iota v$.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Possessive genitive.
 they malign an evil thing/name."

тoṽ vioṽ toṽ ảvӨ
то⿱̃兀 $\mathfrak{\alpha} v \theta \rho \dot{\jmath} \pi \mathbf{\tau} \mathbf{v}$. Genitive of relationship.



$\chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \eta \tau \varepsilon$. Aor mid impv 2nd pl $\chi \alpha i \rho \omega$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.

$\boldsymbol{\sigma \kappa \iota \rho \tau \eta ่ \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon . ~ A o r ~ a c t ~ i m p v ~ 2 n d ~ p l ~ \sigma \kappa ı \rho \tau \alpha ́ \omega . ~}$
ídov̀. See 1:20.
үà̀. Causal (see also 1:15).
$\dot{\mathbf{o}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{o} \boldsymbol{c}$. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$. Possessive genitive.
пodùc. Predicate adjective.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\omega}$ ov̉ $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\omega} \tilde{\omega}$. Locative.
катà tà aủtà. Standard. Lit. "For their ancestors did in accord with the same things" (see also v. 26; 17:30).

үà $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).
غ̇лoiouv. Impf act ind 3rd pl $\pi$ oté $\omega$.

oi $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\pi} \pi o i o u v$.
av่̉ $\tilde{\omega} v$. Genitive of relationship.
 $\dot{\text { vicunv }}$.
$\Pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$. The adverb introduces a "strong contrast to the preceding beatitudes" (Marshall, 255).
ov̉aì. This interjection does not represent a curse (Bovon, 2:55), but rather introduces "an expression of pity for those who stand under divine judgment" (Marshall, 255).
vuinv. Dative of disadvantage. Runge (\$15.3; emphasis in original) suggests that the use of the unnecessary pronoun "allows all of the
hearers to be included as potential addressees before the additional information is supplied."

тoĩs $\pi \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ovociotc. Dative in apposition to ט́ $\mu \mathrm{i} v$.
ötı. Introduces a causal clause.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 2nd $\mathrm{pl} \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \omega$. The verbal aspect appears to point to the fact that the rich are in the process of receiving their reward, i.e., over a period of time in this life, rather than that they have already received their reward in full (contra most scholars and translations).
$\tau \grave{v} v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \dot{\kappa} \lambda \eta \sigma \iota v$. Accusative direct object.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Objective genitive.


ov̉aì. See verse 24.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative of disadvantage. See also verse 24 on $\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$.
oi $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \mu \varepsilon ́ v o t$. Prf pass ptc masc voc pl $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi i \pi \lambda \eta \mu \mathrm{I}$ (substantival). The translation follows BDAG, 323.2.
ötı. Introduces a causal clause.
$\pi \varepsilon เ v \alpha \dot{\sigma} \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Fut act ind 2nd $\mathrm{pl} \pi \varepsilon เ v \alpha \dot{\omega} \omega$.
ov̉aì. See verse 24.
oi $\gamma \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{\omega} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Pres act ptc masc voc sg $\gamma \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\alpha} \omega$ (substantival).
vṽv. Adverb of time.
ötı. Introduces a causal clause.
$\pi \varepsilon v \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Fut act ind $2 \mathrm{nd} \mathrm{pl} \pi \varepsilon v \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \varepsilon$. Fut act ind $2 \mathrm{nd} \mathrm{pl} \kappa \lambda \alpha i \omega$. The use of the two near
 $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \tilde{n}$ ) that emphasizes the intensity of the grief to come.

##  

ov̉aì. See verse 24.
ö $\tau \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v}$. On translating "when" rather than "whenever," see 6:22.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{a} \varsigma$. Accusative of respect.
$\kappa \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\omega} \varsigma$. Adverb of manner.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \omega \sigma \iota v$. Aor act subj $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Subjunctive with ö ơ $\alpha v$.


кatà tà aủtà. Standard (see also v. 23). $\gamma$ 人̀ $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).

 oi $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \rho \varepsilon$. Nominative subject. aủt $\omega$ v. Genitive of relationship.

## Luke 6:27-36

${ }^{27 " C o n t r a r y ~ t o ~ w h a t ~ y o u ~ m i g h t ~ t h i n k, ~ I ~ t e l l ~ y o u ~ w h o ~ a r e ~ l i s t e n i n g: ~}$ Love your enemies; do good to those who hate you. ${ }^{28}$ Bless those who curse you. Pray on behalf of those who mistreat you. ${ }^{29}$ To the one who hits you on the cheek offer the other one as well; and from the one who takes away your cloak do not withhold your tunic either. ${ }^{30}$ Give to everyone who asks you (for something), and do not ask the one who takes what is yours to give it back. ${ }^{31}$ And as you want people to treat you, treat them likewise."
${ }^{32 \text { "If }}$ you love those who love you, what credit is that to you? For even sinners love those who love them. ${ }^{33}$ Indeed, if you happen to do good to those who do good to you, what credit is that to you? Even sinners do the same. ${ }^{34}$ And if you happen to lend to someone from whom you hope to get something back, what credit is that to you? Even sinners lend to sinners in order to receive equivalent benefits in return. ${ }^{35}$ Instead, love your enemies, do good (to all), and lend expecting nothing back. Then your reward will be great, and you will be children of the Most High, because he is kind to the ungrateful and the wicked. ${ }^{36} \mathrm{Be}$ merciful just as your Father is merciful."


'A $\lambda \lambda$ à. The adversative conjunction introduces a clause that runs counter expectation.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
àкov́ovotv. Pres act ptc masc dat pl ảkov́ $\omega$ (attributive, modifying $\dot{v} \mu \mathrm{i} v)$.


$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Genitive of relationship.
$\kappa \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\varsigma}$. Adverb of manner.

тoĩc $\mu$ เбoṽбเv. Pres act ptc masc dat $\mathrm{pl} \mu \mathrm{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ (substantival).
Dative indirect object of $\pi$ оוєі̃єє.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $\mu$ Iбoṽбเv.
 غ̇ $\pi \eta \rho \varepsilon \alpha \zeta \grave{o} v \tau \omega \nu$ ט̀ $\mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$.

 stantival). Direct object of عủ入oүعĩtع.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\varrho} \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of ката $\omega \omega \mu \dot{v} v o v \varsigma$.

$\pi \varepsilon \rho \grave{̀} \tau \tilde{\omega} v \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \pi \rho \varepsilon \alpha \zeta$ óv $\boldsymbol{\tau} \omega v$. Advantage. Many later Byzantine mss changed the preposition to $\dot{u} \pi \varepsilon \rho$, which is more commonly used for denoting advantage (cf. Matt 5:44).
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \eta \rho \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \alpha \zeta \dot{o} v \tau \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc gen pl ह̇ $\pi \eta \rho \varepsilon \alpha \dot{\zeta} \zeta \omega$ (substantival).



 Dative indirect object of $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon$. On the second accent, see $1: 13$ on ŋ̀ $\delta$ ह́ $ך \sigma i \varsigma$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Accusative direct object of túrtovti.

$\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd sg $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ \chi \omega$.
$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \alpha \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu$. Accusative direct object of $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon$.
ànò toṽ aỉpovtós. Separation.
toṽ ailpovtóc. Pres act ptc masc gen sg aîpo (substantival). On the second accent, see $1: 13$ on $\dot{\eta} \delta \varepsilon ́ \eta \sigma i \varsigma$.
oov. Possessive genitive.
тò íhátıov. Accusative direct object of aîpoviós.
тòv $\chi \iota \tau \tilde{\omega} v a$. Accusative direct object of $\kappa \omega \lambda$ v́oņ.
$\kappa \omega \lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma!\varsigma$. Aor act subj 2nd sg $\kappa \omega \lambda \dot{v} \omega$ (prohibitive). Lit. "do not prevent (him from taking) your tunic either."

6:30 $\pi \alpha v \tau i ̀ ~ \alpha i ̉ \tau o v ̃ v \tau i ́ ~ \sigma \varepsilon ~ \delta i ́ \delta o v, ~ k a i ̀ ~ a ̀ \pi o ̀ ~ \tau o v ̃ ~ \alpha i ̉ p o v \tau o c ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \sigma a ̀ ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~$ à $\pi \alpha i \tau \varepsilon ı$.
$\pi \alpha v \tau i ̀ ~ \alpha i ̉ \tau o v ̃ v \tau i . ~ P r e s ~ a c t ~ p t c ~ d a t ~ m a s c ~ s g ~ a i t e ́ c \omega ~(s u b s t a n t i v a l ; ~ s e e ~$ 1:66 on $\pi \alpha \dot{v} v \varepsilon \varsigma$ oi àkov́ $\sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$. Dative indirect object of $\delta i \delta o u$. On the second accent, see $1: 13$ on $\dot{\eta} \delta \varepsilon ́ \eta \sigma i c$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Accusative direct object of $\delta i \delta o v$.
$\boldsymbol{\delta} i \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{o v}$. Pres act impv 2nd sg $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
àtò toṽ aỉpovtoc. Separation.
тoṽ aỉpovtoc. Pres act ptc masc gen sg aîpo (substantival).
đà $\sigma$ à. Accusative direct object of aîpovioc.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha i t \varepsilon$. . Pres act impv 2nd sg ảtaut $\dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (prohibition). Only here and in 12:20-"to ask for something to be returned" (LN 33.165).


$\kappa \alpha \theta \grave{\omega} \varsigma$. Comparative adverb.
$\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act ind $2 \mathrm{nd} \mathrm{pl} \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$.
ǐva. Introduces a clausal complement of $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. This construction could also be viewed as indirect discourse with a verb of cognition (cf. 24:21 on ötı; 8:31 on îva; McKay, 113).
$\pi \mathbf{o} \boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma เ v$. Pres act subj 3rd pl $\pi$ oté $\omega$. Subjunctive with îva.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\pi \frac{1}{} \tilde{\omega} \sigma \iota v$.
oi äv $\theta \rho \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\pi}$. Nominative subject of $\pi$ то $\tilde{\omega} \sigma t v$.
тоเยĩє. Pres act impv 2nd pl пoเદ่ $\omega$.

о́лоiws. Comparative adverb.


$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Introduces the protasis of a first class condition.
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \tilde{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 2nd pl $\dot{\alpha} \gamma a \pi \dot{\alpha} \omega$.
тov̀¢ $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \tilde{\omega} v \tau \alpha c$. Pres act ptc masc acc pl $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ (substantival).
Accusative direct object of $\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \tilde{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon$.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of à $\gamma a \pi \omega \tilde{\omega} \tau \alpha \varsigma$.
 for you?" Our translation follows the NET Bible. A more idiomatic rendering might be, "How is that commendable?"
 clause serves as the apodosis of the first class condition．The implied agent of $\chi$ ápıs could be God（so Plummer，187；CEV）：＂What kind of favor is yours from God？＂This seems to fit with the later statement that हैбтаı ó $\mu$ เбӨòs $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{v} v$ то入úc（v．35）．Here，however，and in verses 33－34，this expression appears to be more general and represent an idiomatic way of saying，＂Big deal！＂（see also above；cf．Bovon， $1: 237)$ ．On the use of $\chi$ ápıc here，see also verse 34 on $\lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon i ̃ v$.
vi $\mu \mathrm{i} v$ ．Dative of possession or advantage．
غ̇бтiv．Pres act ind 3rd sg eilui．
ү⿳亠㐅$⿱ ㇒ 㠯 刂) . ~ C a u s a l ~(s e e ~ a l s o ~ 1: 15) . ~$.
oi $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ ò̀．Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau \nu$ ．
тov̀¢ $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \tilde{\omega} v \tau \alpha c$. Pres act ptc masc acc pl $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \dot{\alpha} \omega$（substantival）．
Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau v$ ．
av̉̃ov̀c．Accusative direct object of à $\gamma a \pi \tilde{\omega} v \tau \alpha \varsigma$.
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau v$ ．Pres act ind 3rd pl $\dot{\alpha} \gamma a \pi \dot{\alpha} \omega$ ．


［ $\gamma$ à $\rho$ ］．Causal（see also 1：15）．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v$ ．Introduces the protasis of a third class condition．The shift from a first class condition（v．32）likely reflects moving from what the writer readily concedes for the sake of argument（＂loving＂oth－ ers generally）to more hypothetical situations（＂doing good＂and ＂lending＂v．34；cf．Porter 1994，262）．
 čàv．
 （substantival）．Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha$ Өотоוŋ̃ $\tau \varepsilon$ ．
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$ ．Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha$ Өотоьо⿱̃vтац．
тоía v́unĩv đápıc èбтiv．See verse 32.
oi $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ oi．Nominative subject of noıoṽ $\sigma เ v$ ．
тò av̉tò．Accusative direct object of đoooṽбıv．
поוoṽซıv．Pres act ind 3rd pl пoเغ่ $\omega$ ．

 đà î́ó．
ċàv. Introduces the protasis of a third class condition. See also 6:33.

סavionte. Aor act subj 2nd pl $\delta a v i \zeta \omega / \delta \alpha v \varepsilon i \zeta \omega$. Subjunctive with ċàv.
$\pi \alpha \rho ’ \tilde{\omega} v$. Source.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \pi i \zeta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 2nd $\mathrm{pl} \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \pi i \zeta \omega$.
$\lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon i ̃ v$. Aor act inf $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ (complementary). It is quite possible that the relative clause with this verb should be rendered, "from whom you hope to be repaid" (cf. NIV, NET Bible). To understand what is being communicated through this verb and the phrase $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ т í $1 \sigma \alpha$, however, one must understand the system of benefaction that governed life in the Greco-Roman world. In such a world of give and take, one almost always gave in order to get something back, even if that something was "only" honor. Jesus, therefore, appears to be appealing to the common expectation of reciprocity (cf. Bovon, 1:237-38). Even the word $\chi$ ápıs throughout this context may come from the conceptual field of benefaction (see, e.g., Crook, 132-48).

$\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ oì. Nominative subject of $\delta a v i \zeta o v \sigma ı v$.
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \tilde{c}$. Dative indirect object of $\delta \alpha v i \zeta o v \sigma ı v$.
$\delta a v i \zeta o v a t v . ~ P r e s ~ a c t ~ i n d ~ 3 r d ~ p l ~ \delta a v i \zeta \omega / \delta a v \varepsilon i \zeta \omega . ~$
îva. Purpose. On the practice of lending in order to get something back, see above on $\lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \pi v$.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{o} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act subj 3rd pl $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$. Subjunctive with iva.
$\tau \alpha ̀$ ỉca. Accusative direct object of à $\pi 0 \lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \omega \sigma \iota v$. This expression refers not to receiving back precisely what was paid, but rather to receiving "similar services in return" (Marshall, 263). See above on $\lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon$ ĩv.




$\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$. The adverb introduces a strong contrast to the preceding negative examples.
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \tilde{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 2nd pl $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$.
тov̀c $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \chi \theta \rho o u ̀ c$. Accusative direct object of $\mathfrak{\alpha} \gamma a \pi a ̃ \tau \varepsilon$.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Genitive of relationship.

$\delta \alpha v i \zeta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd pl $\delta \alpha v i \zeta \omega / \delta \alpha v \varepsilon i \zeta \omega$.
$\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon ̀ v$. Accusative direct object of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \lambda \pi i \zeta o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \lambda \pi i \zeta \mathbf{o v} \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Pres act ptc masc nom $\mathrm{pl} \dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \lambda \pi i \zeta \omega$ (manner). It could plausibly be viewed as attendant circumstance-equivalent to $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \mu \eta \delta \dot{\varepsilon} v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \lambda \pi i \zeta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ (so McKay, 83)-thus carrying imperatival force from the main verb.

हैбтаı. Fut ind 3rd sg عiuí.
$\dot{\mathbf{o}} \boldsymbol{\mu \iota \sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{c} \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ हैб $\tau \alpha$.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Possessive genitive.
$\pi \mathbf{\pi} \lambda$ úc. Predicate adjective.

vioì. Predicate nominative.
$\dot{v} \psi \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{v}$. Genitive of relationship. The adjective is being used substantivally here. See also 1:32.
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ı. Introduces a causal clause that clarifies why their status as God's children will be evident.
av̉tòs. Nominative subject of ह̇бтıv.
$\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau$ ós. Predicate adjective.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عiju.
 here as a "marker of feelings directed toward someone" (BDAG, 366.15).

##  غ̇бтiv.

ГívecӨe. Pres mid impv 2nd pl $\gamma$ ivouaı.
oiktiphovec. Predicate adjective.
$\dot{\boldsymbol{o}} \pi \alpha \tau \eta ̀ \rho$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ह̇бтiv.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Genitive of relationship.
oiktip $\mu \omega v$. Predicate adjective.
غ̇бтiv. Pres act ind 3rd sg عi $\mu \mathrm{i}$.

## Luke 6:37-45

${ }^{37 \text { " }}$ Do not judge, and (then) you will certainly not be judged. And do not condemn, and (then) you will certainly not be condemned. Forgive, and you will be forgiven. ${ }^{38}$ Give, and it will be given to you-they will pour a good measure that has been pressed down, shaken together, and is overflowing into the fold of your garment! Indeed, with the very same measure you measure, it will be measured to you in return."
${ }^{39}$ Then he told them an illustration. "Surely a blind man cannot lead a(nother) blind man, can he? Won't they both fall into a pit? ${ }^{40} \mathrm{~A}$ disciple is not superior to (his) teacher; but once fully trained everyone will be like his teacher. ${ }^{41}$ So, why do you look at the speck that is in youp brother's eye, but not notice the beam that is in your own eye? ${ }^{42} \mathrm{How}$ are you able to say to your brother, 'Brother, please let me remove the speck that is in your eye,' when you yourself do not see the beam of wood in your own eye? Hypocrite! First remove the beam of wood from your eye, and then you will see clearly to remove the speck that is in your brother's eye."
${ }^{43 \text { 3 }}$ For, a good tree does not produce bad fruit; nor, on the other hand, does a bad tree produce good fruit. ${ }^{44} \mathrm{For}$, each tree is known by its own fruit. Indeed, (people) do not gather figs from thorn bushes, nor do they pick grapes from a prickly shrub. ${ }^{45}$ The good person brings out something good from the good storehouse of his heart, while the evil person brings out something evil from the evil (storehouse of his heart). For, his mouth conveys what his heart is full of."

##  

Kai. Again Luke presents what follows as a continuation of the preceding discourse.

кріขєтє. Pres act impv 2nd pl крivш (prohibition). This verb is probably used as a near synonym of ката $\delta \kappa \kappa \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$ here. It thus means, "condemn," rather than referring to ethical evaluation (Marshall, 265; Bock, 1:605; cf. LN 56.30).
$\kappa \rho ı \theta \tilde{\eta} \tau \varepsilon$. Aor pass subj 2nd pl крiv $\omega$. The subjunctive is used with ov̉ $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$, which expresses emphatic negation (see also 1:15 on $\pi i \underline{\eta}$ ).

катабıка́Чєєє. Pres act impv 2nd pl катабıка́ $\zeta \omega$ (prohibition).
$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \delta ı к \alpha \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} \tau \varepsilon$. Aor pass subj $2 \mathrm{nd} \mathrm{pl} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \delta ı \kappa \alpha ́ \zeta \omega$. The subjunctive is used with ov̉ $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$, which expresses emphatic negation (see also 1:15 on $\pi i n$ ).



##   

$\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau}$. Pres act impv 2nd $\mathrm{pl} \delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
$\delta \mathbf{\delta} \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Fut pass ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{~L}$.
vinĩv. Dative indirect object of $\delta$ o $ض \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$.
$\mu \varepsilon ́ \tau \rho о \nu ~ к а \lambda \grave{o ̀ v}$. Accusative direct object of $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma o v \sigma \iota v$.
 participles, without intervening conjunctions, describe the process of measuring carefully to ensure an absolutely full measure (see Jeremias 1972, 222, n. 67). In so doing, they emphasize the superlative nature of the $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \rho \circ \vee$ к $\alpha \lambda$ òv.
$\pi \varepsilon \pi \iota \varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon \dot{v o v}$. Prf pass ptc neut acc sg $\pi \star \dot{\varepsilon} \zeta \omega$ (attributive).
$\sigma \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v o v$. Prf pass ptc neut acc sg $\sigma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v ่ \omega$ (attributive).
 (attributive). The shift to imperfective aspect likely is driven by the semantics of the verb.
 practice of measuring out grain and pouring it into the fold of one's robe, which served as a pocket (cf. Plummer, 189).
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} o v \sigma \iota v$. Fut act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{t}$. Lit. "they will give." The reference to "them" is driven by the metaphor of vendors giving a full measure of grain.

عís tòv кó入лоv. Locative. See also above.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Possessive genitive.
$\tilde{\dot{\omega}} \ldots \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \rho \boldsymbol{\varphi}$. The neuter dative singular relative pronoun $\tilde{\omega}$ introduces an internally headed relative clause (see 1:4 on $\pi \varepsilon \rho \mathrm{\rho} \dot{\omega} \nu$
 which produces the intensive statement, "the very same measure."
$\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$. The conjunction is best viewed as broadly strengthening the preceding assertion (see also 1:15).
$\mu \varepsilon ́ \tau \rho \varphi$. Dative of instrument.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 2nd $\mathrm{pl} \mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \omega$.
$\dot{\alpha} v \tau \iota \mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \eta \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg $\alpha$ ข่ $\tau \mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
v́nĩv. Dative indirect object of $\dot{\alpha} v \tau \mu \mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \eta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{~L}$.

##  

Eĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\delta}$ غ̀ кaì. See 2:4.
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta o \lambda \eta ̀ v$. Accusative direct object of Eĩ̃ $\tau v$. Here, $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta o \lambda \eta ̀ v$ likely carries the sense of illustration or proverb rather than parable (see also 4:23; 5:36).
av̉兀oĩc. Dative indirect object of Eĩ̃દv.
M $\dot{\mathbf{\eta}} \mathbf{\tau}$. The negativizer indicates that a negative answer is expected to this question.

סúvataı. Pres mid ind 3rd sg סúvapaı.
$\tau v \varphi \lambda$ òc. Nominative subject of $\delta \dot{v} v a \tau \alpha u$.
$\tau \cup \varphi \lambda \mathbf{o ̀ v}$. Accusative direct object of ó on $\eta \gamma \varepsilon \tau v$.
$\dot{\delta} \delta \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \nu$. Pres act inf ó $\delta \eta \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (complementary).
oủxì. The negativizer indicates that a positive answer is expected to this question.
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \varphi$ óт $\varepsilon \rho o \mathrm{o}$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \tilde{v} v \tau \alpha \mathrm{~L}$.
عiç $\beta$ ó $\theta$ vvov. Locative.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \varepsilon \sigma o v ̃ v \tau \alpha 1$. Fut mid ind 3rd pl $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi i \pi \tau \omega$.



ع̌бтıv. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ épí.
$\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \grave{\zeta} \varsigma$. Nominative subject of हैбтıv.
$\dot{\mathbf{v}} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{\jmath} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\mathbf{a}} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{o v}$. Here, the preposition is "a marker of status which is superior to another status" (LN 87.30).
$\pi \tilde{a} \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \rho \tau ı \sigma \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v o \varsigma$. Prf pass ptc masc nom sg катартǐً $\omega$ (sub-
 of हैбтaı. Here, the verb means, "to make someone completely adequate or sufficient for something" (LN 75.5) or "to cause to be in a condition to function well" (BDAG, 526.1).

the first syllable when it follows oủk (Smyth $\S 187$. b; see also Carson 1985, 47-50).
ó $\boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta}$ áбкалос. Nominative subject of an implied $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau i v$. Lit. "he will be like his teacher is."
av̉兀oṽ. Genitive of relationship.



Tí. The interrogative pronoun introduces a rhetorical question. $\beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon เ \varsigma$. Pres act ind 2nd sg $\beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega$. тò к $\dot{\alpha} \rho \varphi \mathbf{\rho}$. Accusative direct object of $\beta \lambda \varepsilon ́ \pi \varepsilon ı \varsigma . ~$

 тoṽ $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \rho \tilde{v} \sigma o v$ into an attributive modifier of tò кג́ $\rho \varphi \rho \varsigma$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \tau \tilde{\varphi} \mathfrak{o ̉} \varphi \theta a \lambda \mu \tilde{\omega}$. Locative.
тоṽ $\mathfrak{d} \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \rho 0 \tilde{v}$. Possessive genitive.
oov. Genitive of relationship.
т ̀̀v . . . ठoкòv. Accusative direct object of katavosĩ.
$\tau \grave{\jmath} v \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\varphi} \dot{i} \delta i \varphi \underline{o} \varphi \varphi \theta a \lambda \mu \tilde{\omega}$. The accusative article functions as an


 NT times and was often used synonymously with av̉toũ (Louw, 31), here it retains its original strength in order to set up a contrast with тoṽ ả $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \circ$ ṽ $\sigma o v$.

катаvoعĩc. Pres act ind 2nd sg катаvó̇ம.



 тоṽ ả $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \rho \frac{v}{\sigma} \sigma 0 v$ ėк $\beta a \lambda \varepsilon i ̃ v . ~$
$\pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$. The interrogative particle introduces another rhetorical question.

סúvaral. Pres mid ind 2nd sg $\delta u ́ v a \mu a ı$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \iota v$. Pres act inf $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (complementary).
$\tau \tilde{\varphi} \mathfrak{\alpha} \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \varphi \tilde{\varphi}$. Dative indirect object.
oov. Genitive of relationship.
A $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \varphi \varepsilon$. Vocative.
$\ddot{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon \varsigma$. Pres act impv 2nd sg $\dot{\alpha} \varphi i \not \eta \mu$. Caragounis (164) notes that in the NT when ä $\varphi \varepsilon \varsigma$ "occurs together with the subjunctive of the first person, it functions as a hortative particle introducing an exhortation" (see also Matt 7:4; 27:49; Mark 15:36).

غ̇к $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega$. Aor act subj 1st sg $\grave{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ (hortatory; see above). "The imperatives $\ddot{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon \varsigma, ~ \ddot{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ are use w. the subjunctive esp. in the first pers." (BDAG, 157.5.b). The whole clause, $\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \omega$ tò к $\alpha \rho \varphi$ ос tò $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ $\tau \tilde{\varphi}$ ò $\varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \tilde{\tilde{c}} \sigma o v$, then, functions as a clausal complement of $\alpha \ddot{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon \varsigma$, even though there is no overt complementizer like őtı.
$\tau$ ò кג́ $\rho \varphi \boldsymbol{\rho}$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega$.
 adjectivizer (see 5:36 on tò ánò toṽ kaıvoũ), changing the PP $̇$ हैv $\tau \tilde{\sim} \partial \dot{\partial} \varphi \theta a \lambda \mu \tilde{\varphi}$ тoṽ $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o \tilde{v}$ oov into an attributive modifier of tò ка́р甲ос.

oov. Possessive genitive.
$\alpha u ̉ \tau o ̀ c$. Intensive nominative subject of $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega v$.
$\tau \grave{v} \nu$. . $\delta$ ookòv. Accusative direct object of $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega v$.
$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \tilde{\varphi} \tilde{\mathbf{o}} \varphi \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \lambda \mu \tilde{\omega}$. The feminine accusative singular article functions as an adjectivizer (see 5:36 on tò àrò toṽ kaıvoṽ), changing the PP $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\omega}$ ì $\delta i \omega \dot{o} \varphi \theta \theta \lambda \mu \tilde{\omega}$ into an attributive modifier of $\tau \eta \geqslant$ ... סоко̀v.
бov. Possessive genitive.
$\beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega \nu$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \pi \omega$. The function of the participle is quite ambiguous. It could be temporal, concessive, causal, or introduce a condition.
v́токріто́. Vocative.
モ̌к $\beta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \lambda \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
т $\mathfrak{\imath} v \boldsymbol{\delta o \kappa o ̀ v . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~ e ̌ \kappa ~} \beta a \lambda \varepsilon$.
غ̇к тои̃ ò $\varphi \theta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{v}$. Separation.
oov. Possessive genitive.
$\delta \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\varepsilon} \psi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varsigma$. Fut act ind 2nd sg $\delta \iota \alpha \beta \lambda \varepsilon ́ \pi \omega$.
тò ка́ $\rho \varphi \mathbf{\rho}$, Accusative direct object of $\delta$ เа $\beta \lambda \varepsilon ́ \psi \varepsilon ı \varsigma$.
tò $\varepsilon v \tau \tilde{\varphi}$ ò $\varphi \theta \boldsymbol{a} \lambda \mu \tilde{\omega}$. The accusative article functions as an adjectiv-
 тоṽ $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \rho \tilde{v} \sigma o v$ into an attributive modifier of tò ка́ $\rho \varphi \rho \varsigma$.
$\grave{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{̣} \operatorname{ỏ} \varphi \theta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \lambda \mu \tilde{\varphi}$. Locative.
$\tau 0 \mathrm{u} \alpha \mathfrak{\alpha} \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \rho \tilde{v}$. Possessive genitive.
oov. Genitive of relationship.
$\grave{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \boldsymbol{a} \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v}$. Pres act inf $\grave{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ (purpose).


$\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$. The conjunction suggests that what follows broadly strengthens the preceding assertions (see also 1:15)

غ̇бтıv. Pres ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$. On the loss of accent, see $1: 18$ on $\varepsilon i \mu ı$.
 $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} v \delta \rho o v$ could conceivably be viewed as the nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$, with $\kappa \alpha \lambda$ òv functioning as a predicate adjective ("a tree that produces bad fruit is not good"), this would require that notoṽv be taken as attributive even though it would be separated from the noun it modifies ( $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} v \delta \rho \circ v$ ) by a predicate adjective ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \grave{v} v$ ).
$\pi \mathbf{\pi} \boldsymbol{o v ̃ v . ~ P r e s ~ a c t ~ p t c ~ n e u t ~ n o m ~ s g ~ \pi o เ \varepsilon ̇ \omega ~ ( p r e s e n t ~ p e r i p h r a s t i c ; ~ s e e ~}$ also above and 1:10 on $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon u \chi o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v)$. If the alternative analysis for $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} v \delta \rho o v$ кàòv were followed, the participle could be either attributive or introduce a condition.
$\kappa \alpha \rho \pi \mathbf{o} v ~ \sigma \alpha \pi \rho \dot{v} v$. Accusative direct object of roooṽv.
ov̉ $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \boldsymbol{v}$. This phrase appears only here in the NT or LXX, and is fairly uncommon in extant Greek literature (cf. Barn. 19:5). Not surprisingly, many scribes (A CD $\Theta \Psi 33 \mathfrak{R}$ ) omitted $\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota v$ to avoid the rare combination.
 غ̇бтıv has been omitted by ellipsis. Two analyses are again possible (see above). The alternative reading would yield: "nor, on the other hand, is a tree bad that produces good fruit."
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon} v \delta \rho o v \sigma \alpha \pi \rho o ̀ v$. Nominative subject of $\pi$ otoṽv. See also above on $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} v \delta \rho \circ \geqslant$ ка入òv.
$\pi<เ o u ̃ v . ~ P r e s ~ a c t ~ p t c ~ n e u t ~ n o m ~ s g ~ \pi o t \varepsilon ́ \omega . ~ S e e ~ f u r t h e r ~ a b o v e . ~$
карлі̀v ка入óv. Accusative direct object of toooṽv.

 $\tau \rho v \gamma \tilde{\omega} \sigma เ \nu$.

$\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$. The conjunction introduces a statement that strengthens the preceding assertion (see also 1:15).

غ̇к тои̃ ídiov картои̃. The PP indicates the source from which the knowledge comes (BDAG, 297.3.g. 3 ). On the use of $\ell \delta$ ioc here, see 6:41.
$\gamma \iota \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$. Pres pass ind 3rd sg $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \omega$.
$\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$. The conjunction introduces a statement that strengthens the preceding assertion (see also 1:15).
$\grave{\varepsilon} \xi \not{\alpha} \kappa \alpha v \theta \tilde{\omega} v$. Source.
$\sigma u \lambda \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \sigma \boldsymbol{\tau} v$. Pres act ind 3rd pl $\sigma u \lambda \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. A third person plural verb with no stated subject conveys a proverbial idea here.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \tilde{\kappa} \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\sigma \cup \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma o v \sigma \iota v$.
غ̀к $\beta$ átov. Source.
$\sigma \tau \alpha \varphi v \lambda \grave{\eta} v$. Accusative direct object of $\tau \rho v \gamma \tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau v$.
$\tau \rho v \gamma \tilde{\omega} \sigma \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd pl $\tau \rho \cup \gamma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$. A third person plural verb with no stated subject conveys a proverbial idea here.




غ̇к тoṽ à $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ Ooṽ $\theta \eta \sigma \alpha v \rho o v ̃$. Source.
т $\check{\varsigma} \kappa \alpha \rho \delta \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Epexegetical genitive.
$\pi \rho о \varphi \dot{\rho} \rho \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\pi \rho о \varphi \varepsilon ́ \rho \omega$.


 omitted by ellipsis. This "gap" is filled in by some scribes (A C $\Theta$ $\Psi f^{13} 33 \mathfrak{M}$ ) who add the phrase after $\pi$ кov $\quad \rho \circ$ ṽ (cf. also Matt 12:35).
$\pi \rho о \varphi \varepsilon ́ \rho \varepsilon เ$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\pi \rho о \varphi \varepsilon ́ \rho \omega$.
тò $\pi \mathbf{o v} \boldsymbol{\eta} \rho \mathbf{\rho} \boldsymbol{v}$. Accusative direct object of the second $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \varepsilon$ ह́ $\varepsilon$.
 heart." If pressed, we might argue that $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa$ points to source and карঠiac is a subjective genitive.
$\lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} \varepsilon \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}$. Pres act ind 3 rd sg $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
тò $\sigma \tau \dot{\mu} \mu \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ ĩ.
av่̉าov. Possessive genitive.

## Luke 6:46-49

${ }^{46 \text { "Why do you call me 'Lord, Lord' but do not do what I say? }}$
${ }^{47}$ I will show you what everyone who comes to me and hears my words and does what I say is like: ${ }^{48} \mathrm{He}$ is like a man who builds a house, who dug deep and set the foundation on the rock. When a flood came, the river struck against that house, but was not able to shake it because it had been built well. ${ }^{49}$ But the one who hears (my words) and does not do (what I say) is like a man who built a house on the ground without a foundation, against which the river struck; and immediately it collapsed, and was completely destroyed.

## 

Ti. The interrogative pronoun introduces a rhetorical question.
$\mu \varepsilon$. Accusative direct object of ка入عĩтع.
$\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act ind $2 \mathrm{nd} \mathrm{pl} \mathrm{\kappa} \mathrm{\alpha} \mathrm{\lambda} \mathrm{\varepsilon ́} \mathrm{\omega} \omega$.
Kúpıє кúpıє. Vocatives occasionally appear in object-complement constructions with a verb of identification, as here. In such instances, the vocative replaces whatever case would have been expected in the complement (here an accusative; see Culy 2009, 82, n. 2).
$\pi о เ \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 2nd $\mathrm{pl} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\grave{\alpha}$. Accusative direct object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see $6: 2$ on ö), which as a whole ( $\ddot{\alpha}$ $\lambda \varepsilon ่ \gamma \omega)$ serves as the direct object of $\pi$ огєite.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.

##  

 $\mu \mathrm{ov} \tau \tilde{\nu} \nu \lambda \dot{\gamma} \gamma \omega v$ кaì $\tau о \omega \tilde{\omega} v$ aủtoúc, could be viewed as the fronted nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ह̇бìv. More likely, however, it should be viewed as a topic construction (see 1:36 on 'E $\lambda \iota \sigma \dot{\alpha} \beta \varepsilon \tau)$ even though there is no resumptive pronoun in the final clause (we might have expected tivı aủtóৎ ह̇бтiv ö $\mu$ оьo¢). In hortatory discourse, as here, "rhetorically, the use of $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$ with an articular participle is more forceful than the simple substantival construction" (Culy 2004, 56).

$\dot{\alpha} \kappa o v ́ \omega v$ and $\pi o t \omega ̃ v$ conjoined, it makes more sense to view the participle as attributive (cf. 1:66 on oi ảkоv́бavtє¢).
$\pi \rho o ́ s ~ \mu \varepsilon$. Locative.
ảкоú $\omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg ảkov́ $\omega$ (attributive; see 1:66 on

$\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{o v}$. Subjective genitive. Porter $(1994,291)$ notes that the genitive modifier follows its noun 99 percent of the time in Luke. Levinsohn $(2000,64)$ suggests that the fronting of the genitive here gives extra prominence to the rest of the NP ( $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \lambda o j \gamma \omega v$ ).
$\tau \tilde{v} v \lambda \dot{o} \gamma \omega \nu$. Genitive object of $\dot{\alpha} \kappa o v i \omega v$.
$\pi \mathbf{\pi} \boldsymbol{\omega} v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\pi$ oté $\omega$ (attributive; see 1:66 on

av̉̃ov́s. Accusative direct object of $\pi$ ot $\tilde{\omega} v$. Lit. "does them."
$\dot{v} \pi \mathbf{o} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Fut act ind 1st sg ט́лобвíкvv $\mu$.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\dot{\sim} \pi о \delta \varepsilon i \xi \omega$.
tivi. Dative complement of ö $\mu$ оьоя.
غ̇бтiv. Pres ind 3rd sg eipi. On the retention of the accent, see 1:36 on દ̇бтìv.
ö $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\prime} \boldsymbol{\prime} \boldsymbol{c}$. Predicate adjective.




ö $\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{o}$ óc. Predicate adjective. On the second accent, see $1: 13$ on $\dot{\eta}$

$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on $\varepsilon i \mu$. $\dot{\alpha} v \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Dative complement of ö $\mu$ orós.

oikiav. Accusative direct object of oikoঠouoṽvтı.
 relative clause contains foreground information that follows the background information that precedes (see 19:30 on $\varepsilon v v$ ก̣̃).
 verbs should likely be viewed as a doublet (see 8:15 on $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ кар $\delta i \alpha$ ка入ฑ̃ каì ả $\gamma \alpha 0$ ñ̃; cf. Robertson, 551; contra Plummer, 192): "dug deep."

हैбкачєv. Aor act ind 3rd sg бка́ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \omega$.

غ̇ $\beta \dot{\alpha} \theta u v \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3 rd $\operatorname{sg} \beta \alpha \theta \dot{v} v \omega$.
そ̈ $\theta \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3 rd sg ti $\theta \eta \mu$.
$\theta \varepsilon \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \iota o v$. Accusative direct object of $\check{\varepsilon} \theta \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$.
غ̇ $\pi \grave{̀} \tau \grave{v} v \pi \varepsilon ̇ \tau \rho a v$. Locative.
$\pi \lambda \eta \mu \mu v ่ \rho \eta \varsigma$. Genitive subject of $\gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta \varsigma$.
$\gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon ̇ v \eta \varsigma$. Aor mid ptc fem gen sg $\gamma$ ivoual. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvعv́ovtoc), temporal.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \eta \xi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \rho \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega / \pi \rho о \sigma \rho \dot{\eta} \gamma \nu \cup \mu \mathrm{I}$. The verb appears only here and in verse 49 in the NT and LXX (see also Matt 7:27 v.l.).
ó $\pi \mathbf{\sigma} \tau \alpha \mu$ òs. Nominative subject of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{\rho} \rho \eta \xi \varepsilon$.
$\tau \tilde{1}$ oíkią èкєivn. Dative complement of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \eta \xi \varepsilon v$.

$\sigma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{v} \sigma \alpha 1$. Aor act inf $\sigma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \dot{v} \omega$ (complementary).
aủvŋ̀v. Accusative direct object of $\sigma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \alpha$.
оỉкобо $\mu \tilde{\eta} \sigma \theta$ at. Prf pass inf oỉкобо $\mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. Used with $\delta$ ıà tó to denote cause.
av̉兀ŋ่v. Accusative subject of oikoסouñ $\sigma \theta$ aı.


 غ̇кદivŋऽ $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma}$.
ó . . . ảkov́бac. Aor act ptc masc nom sg ảkov́ $\omega$ (substantival). Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$.
 Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$.
ö $\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{o}$ ós. Predicate adjective. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\dot{\eta}$ ठغ́ทбіч.

غ̇бтıv. Pres ind 3rd sg عi $\mu \mathrm{i}$. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on $\varepsilon i \mu \mathrm{u}$.
$\dot{\alpha} v \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Dative complement of ö $\mu$ otóc.
оїкобо $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$. Aor act ptc masc dat sg oikoסо $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (attributive).
oikíav. Accusative direct object of oỉkoסo $\mu \eta$ ŋ́баvtı.

$\chi \omega \rho i \grave{ } \theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda$ iov. The adverb $\chi \omega$ рíc functions as a preposition with the genitive here meaning, "without" (BDAG, 1095.2).

ก̃. Dative complement of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \dot{\rho} \eta \xi \varepsilon v$.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \eta \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega / \pi \rho o \sigma \rho \eta \dot{\gamma} \gamma \nu \mu$. See also verse 48 .

о́ $\pi \mathbf{\sigma} \tau \alpha \mu$ о́s. Nominative subject of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \eta \xi \varepsilon v$.
кaì عu̇Өùs. The addition of the adverb highlights the contrast with the house built on the rock. See also 5:25 and 1:64 on $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \rho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$.
$\sigma v v \varepsilon ̇ \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\sigma \nu \mu \pi i \pi \tau \omega$.
 house was great."
 likely simply helps highlight the sequential nature of these events (cf. 1:8 on 'E $\gamma$ ह́veto).

тò $\dot{\rho} \tilde{\eta} \gamma \mu \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \tau \%$.

$\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \alpha$. Predicate adjective.

## Luke 7:1-10

${ }^{1}$ When Jesus had finished saying all of this as the people listened, he went into Capernaum. ${ }^{2}$ Now a slave of a particular centurion was sick and was about to die-one who was important to him. ${ }^{3}$ When he heard about Jesus, he sent Jewish elders to him to ask him to come and heal his servant. ${ }^{4}$ Those who came to Jesus earnestly urged him saying, "The one for whom you would do this is worthy. ${ }^{5}$ For he loves our nation and has himself built a synagogue for us." ${ }^{6}$ So Jesus went along with them.

Now, when he had already nearly reached the house, the centurion sent friends to say to him, "Sir, do not trouble yourself, for I am not qualified that you should enter my house. ${ }^{7}$ That is why I did not consider myself worthy to come to you. Instead, merely say the word and my servant will be healed. ${ }^{8}$ For I too am a man placed under authority, who has soldiers under me; and I say to this one, 'Go!' and he goes, and to another, 'Come!' and he comes, and to my servant, 'Do this!' and he does it."
${ }^{9}$ When Jesus heard these things, he was very impressed by him, and he turned and said to the crowd that was following him, "I tell you, I have not found such faith in Israel!" ${ }^{10}$ And when those who had been sent returned to the house, they found the servant healthy.

##  $\lambda a o v ̃, ~ \varepsilon i ̋ ŋ \eta ̃ \lambda \theta \varepsilon v ~ \varepsilon i ́ c ~ K a \varphi a \rho v a o v ́ \mu . ~$

 ished all his words." The summary statement (cf. Levinsohn 2000, 277) and temporal and spatial ( $\varepsilon i \sigma \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon i \varsigma ~ K \alpha \varphi \alpha \rho v \alpha o u ́ \mu)$ constructions move the narrative to a new episode (contra Plummer, 194).
'E $\boldsymbol{\pi \varepsilon \iota} \boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\eta}$. This is the only temporal use of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta}$ in the NT (Plummer, 194), and many scribes preferred 'Елєì $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}\left(\aleph \mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~L} \Xi \Psi f^{f, 13}\right.$ $\mathfrak{M})$. The temporal shift helps introduce a new episode.
$\varepsilon ̇ \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o ́ \omega$.

aủtoṽ. Subjective genitive.
عís tạ̀ ákoà̧ toṽ $\lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha o v}$. Lit. "in the hearing of the people."
عís tà̧ àkoàc. Locative.
тoṽ $\lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ov. Subjective genitive.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg عíซغ́p $\chi \circ \mu a 1$.
عís Kapapvaoú $\mu$. Locative.

##  

'Ekatovtáp $\chi$ ov . . . tıvoc. Possessive genitive. See also 1:5 on iєpeús тıs.

סoũ 10 . Nominative subject of $\eta \not \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v$.
как $\boldsymbol{c} \varsigma$ é $\chi \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Lit. "having badly." An idiom meaning, "to be ill" (BDAG, 502.1.a). Since $\check{\chi} \chi \omega$ is only used in this manner in idioms such as this, one should not view "to be" as one of its meanings (contra McKay, 15).
$\varepsilon \neq \chi \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg é $\chi \omega$ (attributive or attendant circumstance; see 1:24 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma o v \sigma \alpha$ ).
$\eta \eta^{\prime} \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v$. Impf act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \operatorname{sg} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$. On the semantics of $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ with an infinitive, see 21:7 on $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \eta$.
$\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \tau \tilde{a} v$. Pres act inf $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon u \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ (complementary).
öc. Nominative subject of $\eta \sim v$. Although the relative clause could be viewed as simply modifying סoũ入oc, given its distance from סoũ $\mathrm{o} \varsigma$, it may be better to take it as a headless relative clause that stands in apposition to $\delta$ oũ u ç (see the translation).

ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg عiuí.
av̉tẹ̃. Dative of advantage. The antecedant is 'Eкатоvт́́pхov. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{v}$.

हैv $\boldsymbol{\tau} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$. Predicate adjective. The semantic range of this term and the context leave the question open whether the slave was "valuable" to (so, e.g., BDAG, 340.2) or "respected" by (so, e.g., Marshall, 279) the centurion. We have sought to maintain the ambiguity in the translation.

 Soṽไov aủtoṽ.

ảкоv́бac. Aor act ptc masc nom sg ảkoú $\omega$ (temporal).
$\pi \varepsilon \rho і$ тои̃ 'I $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma o v ̃ . ~ R e f e r e n c e . ~}$
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \varepsilon เ \lambda \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg à $\pi$ oбт $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ òs aủtòv. Locative.
$\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \rho o v c$. Accusative direct object of $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \varepsilon \iota \lambda \varepsilon v$.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v$ 'Iov $\alpha$ ai $\omega v$. Attributive genitive.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \omega \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \omega \tau \dot{\alpha} \omega$ (purpose). The nominative singular subject must be the centurion rather than the elders.
$\alpha v ̉ \tau o ̀ v$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \omega \tau v$.
ö $\pi \omega \varsigma$. Introduces indirect discourse. Here, as in 10:2 and 11:37, ö $\pi \omega \varsigma$ is used in place of the more common ivva (see 7:36; McKay, $116-17$; cf. 8:31 on iva; and 2:35 on ö $\pi \omega \varsigma$ ).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg ép $\rho o \mu \alpha \iota$ (attendant circumstance). Attendant circumstance participles take on the mood of the verb they modify (here subjunctive; see also 5:14 on $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} v$ ).
$\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \dot{\omega} \sigma \eta \mathbf{\eta}$. Aor act subj 3rd sg $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \omega \varrho \zeta \omega$. Subjunctive with ö $\pi \omega c$.

av่̉oũ. Possessive genitive.

## 7:4 oi $\delta$ غ̀ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o t ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ ' I \eta \sigma o u ̃ v ~ \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon к \alpha ́ \lambda o v v ~ a u ̉ t o ̀ v ~$ 

 (substantival). Nominative subject of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda o u v$. Although oi could plausibly function as a personal pronoun with $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ (cf. 1:29 on $\dot{\eta}$ ), with $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma^{\prime} v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o l$ being temporal ("But they, when they
reached Jesus"), the common occurrence of the order article- $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ participle with substantival participial subjects makes this unlikely.

$\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda o v v$. Impf act ind 3rd pl $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
av̉̀òv. Accusative direct object of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda o u v$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{0} \boldsymbol{\delta a i} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{c}$. The adverb adds a sense of urgency to the request.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v} \varepsilon \varepsilon$. Pres act ptc masc nom $\mathrm{pl} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (means or attendant circumstance; see 1:24 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \quad 0 \quad \sigma \alpha$ ).
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also 1:25 on őтı) of $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \circ \vee \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$.
'A $\xi$ ıóc. Predicate adjective. The adjective is fronted for emphasis. On the second accent, see $1: 13$ on $\mathfrak{\eta} \delta \varepsilon ́ \eta \sigma i c$.
$\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on $\varepsilon i \mu$.
$\tilde{\omega}$. Dative of advantage or dative indirect object of $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \eta$. The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ö), which as a whole ( $\tilde{\omega} \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ \xi_{\eta}$ тои̃ $\left.\tau 0\right)$ serves as the subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ (contra McKay, 138). Lit. "The one to whom you would grant this is worthy."
$\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ \xi \eta$. Fut mid ind 2nd sg OR Aor mid subj 2nd sg $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ \chi \omega$. This form of the verb, which occurs only here in the NT or LXX, is understood to be future by many scholars (e.g., BDF $\$ 379$; Plummer, 195; Robertson, 961; Marshall, 280). The forms $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \xi!\eta$ and $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ \xi \varepsilon เ$ are both well attested and would have been pronounced the same way (Caragounis, 556-57). One might argue that since the subjunctive tends to point to possibility, while the future tense points to expectation (Porter) or intention (McKay), the fact that the elders must urge Jesus to act points to the contingency of the situation and the subjunctive analysis. In reality, the closeness in force between the future tense and subjunctive mood led to their interchangeabilty in some constructions (see 11:5 on $\bar{\xi} \xi \varepsilon \mathrm{q})$. Indeed, Caragounis (556) notes that by the first century at the latest the subjunctive had become a regular substitute for the future indicative (see further at 14:10 on $\varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau)$ ).

тoṽ $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Accusative direct object of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ \xi \eta$ n.

##  $\delta o ́ \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon v \dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\mu} v$.

à $\gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \underset{a}{a}$. Pres act ind 3rd sg ả $\gamma a \pi \dot{\alpha} \omega$.

үà̀ $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).
tò $\check{\varepsilon} \theta \mathbf{v o c}$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \tilde{a}$.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$. Genitive of relationship.
$\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \sigma v v a \gamma \omega \gamma \grave{\eta} v$. Accusative direct object of $\grave{\mu} \kappa 0 \delta o ́ \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$.
av̉兀òc. Nominative subject of $\varrho \kappa 0 \delta o ́ \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. The pronoun is intensive.
$\grave{\varrho} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\sigma} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg оi̋кобо $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i} v$. Dative of advantage.


 $\mu \mathrm{ov}$ عioċ $\lambda \theta \mathrm{nc}$ -


oùv aủtoĩc. Association.
 not far." Almost the same expression is used in 15:20: हैtı $\delta \grave{~}$ aủtoũ цакра̀v à $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \chi$ оvтос.
aủtoṽ. Genitive subject of àré $\chi$ оขтоऽ.
$\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \alpha ̀ ̀ v$. Adverbial accusative indicating extent of space.
à $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \mathbf{o v \tau o c .}$. Pres act ptc masc gen sg à $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \omega$. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvev́ovtoc), temporal. As Levinsohn (2000, 182) notes, the use of a genitive absolute "with the same subject as the previous clause ... gives natural prominence to the event described in the following nuclear [i.e., main] clause." Here the verb means, "to be at some distance from a position" (BDAG, 102.4).
à $\pi \grave{o} \tau \eta ̃ \varsigma$ oikiaç. Separation. The PP could modify either $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon}-$ $\chi$ оутоऽ or $\check{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \varepsilon v$. Given the word order and the use of the preposition with a verb prefixed with ánó, it is likely that the PP modifies $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \chi<v \tau o \varsigma$ and indicates Jesus' spatial relationship to the house.
$\varepsilon \nLeftarrow \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \omega$.
$\varphi i \lambda o v c$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ है $\pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \varepsilon v$.
$\dot{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \alpha \tau 0 v \tau \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\check{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \varepsilon v$. This is the first instance of the centurion being the explicit subject of a sentence in this pericope.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (purpose). The subject is the centurion not the friends.
$\boldsymbol{\alpha} v ่ \tau \tilde{\omega}$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$.
Kúpıє. Vocative.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{\jmath} \boldsymbol{\nu} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{o v}$. Pres mid impv 2nd sg $\sigma \kappa \dot{\partial} \lambda \lambda \omega$ (prohibition).
$\gamma$ 人à $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).
íkavós. Predicate adjective. Here, "pert. to meeting a standard, fit, appropriate, competent, qualified, able, w. the connotation worthy, good enough" (BDAG, 472.2).
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mu \mathrm{u}$. Pres ind 1st sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$.
îva. Introduces a clause that is epexegetical to íkavóc.
 roof."
únò $\tau \grave{\eta} v \sigma \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \eta v$. Locative.
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Possessive genitive.

7:7 סtò ov̉

$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \alpha v \tau \grave{v} v$. Accusative direct object of $\eta \xi i \omega \sigma \alpha$. The use of the reflexive pronoun $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \alpha u \tau$ тo is rare in Luke/Acts (also 7:8; Acts 20:24; 24:10; 26:2, 9), especially as a direct object (Acts 26:2).
$\eta \xi i \omega \sigma \alpha$. Aor act ind 1st sg à $\xi_{ı}(\omega)$
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma \check{c}$. Locative.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} v$. Aor act inf $\varepsilon$ ép $\chi o \mu a$. Since the phrase is parallel to the ĩva clause of verse 6 , the infinitive should probably be viewed as epexegetical rather than complementary.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$. The adversative conjunction introduces a clause that runs counter expectation (see also 1:60).
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ と̀ $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\varphi}$. Lit. "speak with a word." This expression likely highlights the ease with which the centurion believes Jesus is able to heal, though it may simply be a periphrastic way of requesting that a command be issued (cf. Klein, 272, n. 31).
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \pi \dot{\varepsilon}$. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Here, the imperative clause functions much like the protasis of a conditional construction (Caragounis, 190).
$\lambda o ́ \gamma \varphi$. Dative of instrument.
$i \boldsymbol{i} \theta \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Aor pass impv 3rd sg iaó $\mu a$. The UBS ${ }^{4}$ would be rendered, "let my servant be healed." Wallace (441) cites this reading $\left(P^{75 v i d}\right.$ B L 1241) as an example of a causative/permissive passive.

The vast majority of manuscripts ( $\boldsymbol{\aleph}$ A C D E G H K W $\Delta \Theta \Pi \Psi f^{1,13}$ $\mathfrak{M}$ Lect pm), however, read ia $\alpha \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha u$ ("he will be healed"). Although this could reflect harmonization to Matt 8:8, or be motivated by the "somewhat arrogant tone" of the imperative (Omanson, 119), given the extremely limited external evidence, it is quite likely that an early scribe simply conformed the mood of this verb to the preceding one. It is not at all clear that the imperative would have carried an arrogant or peremptory tone (contra Omanson, 119; Metzger, 118), particularly given the context.
$\dot{\mathbf{o}} \pi \alpha \tilde{\pi} c$. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{i} \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega$.
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Possessive genitive.


 тои̃то, каі̀ лоเยі̃.
$\gamma$ à $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15), introducing the reason why the centurion could make such a claim.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \grave{\gamma}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \dot{i} \mu$.
$\ddot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \theta \rho \omega \pi$ óc. Predicate nominative. On the second accent, see 1:13

$\varepsilon i \mu \mathrm{t}$. Pres ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$. On the loss of the accent, see $1: 18$ on عíp.

$\tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \sigma \sigma \mathbf{o} \mu \varepsilon v o c$. Pres pass ptc masc nom sg $\tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega$ (attributive). Here, the verb means, "to assign someone to a particular task, function, or role" (LN 37.96).
$\varepsilon \notin \chi \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg ê $\chi \omega$ (attributive).
v̇ $\pi$ ' غ̇ $\mu \alpha v \tau$ òv. Subordination.
$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \dot{\omega} \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\hat{\varepsilon} \chi \omega v$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
тovंт $\omega$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
 "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.

торєи́عтaı. Pres mid ind 3rd sg торєv́o $\mu a$.
$\alpha \not \partial \lambda \omega$. Dative indirect object of an implied $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
"Epxov. Pres mid impv 2nd sg eैp $\chi о \mu \alpha$.

$\tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta o v} \lambda \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Dative indirect object of an implied $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\mu \mathbf{\mu}$. Possessive genitive.
Пoíŋбov. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\pi$ oté $\omega$. тои̃то. Accusative direct object of Поiŋбov.
$\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\pi 0 เ \varepsilon ่ \omega$.



àkov́бac. Aor act ptc masc nom sg ảkov́ $\omega$ (temporal).
т $\alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of ảkov́бac.
ó 'I $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma o v} v$. . Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \theta a u ́ \mu \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \theta a \dot{u} \mu \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\theta a v \mu \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$. With a direct object the verb can mean "admire," "wonder at," or "respect" (BDAG, 444.1.b).
aủtóv. Accusative direct object of $\grave{\varepsilon ̇} \theta$ aú $\mu a \sigma \varepsilon v$.
$\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon i c$. Aor mid ptc masc nom sg $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \varphi \omega$ (attendant circumstance). The participle should likely be viewed as middle rather than passive (see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction).
$\tau \tilde{\tau} \ldots$. . ő $\lambda \lambda \omega$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon \frac{i ̃ \pi}{\pi} \varepsilon \nu$ or locative ("he turned to the crowd that was following him and said"). Although the locative use with $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$ is attested (see Matt 5:39), Luke typically uses $\pi \rho o ́ \varsigma$ with $\sigma \tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$ when conveying this sense ( $7: 44 ; 10: 23 ; 23: 28$ ).

av่̉ $\tilde{e}$. Dative complement of $\dot{\alpha} \kappa 0 \lambda o u \theta$ oũvтı.
$\varepsilon і ँ \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\Lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { v }} \mu \mathrm{v} \nu$. See 3:8.
$\Lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\Lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
 the highly marked word order here. In independent clauses in
 (1113 times) rather than precedes the verb ( 219 times), and a spatial modifier (here $\varepsilon ้ v \tau \tilde{\varphi} ’ \operatorname{I} \sigma \rho \alpha \grave{\eta} \lambda$ ) generally follows ( 345 times) rather than precedes the verb (63 times). The rhetorical effect, enhancing the semantics of the clause, is to help portray the centurion's faith in superlative terms.

غ̇v $\tau \tilde{̣}$ ' $\mathbf{I} \sigma \rho a \eta ̀ \lambda$. Locative.
 عũ $\rho o v$. Aor act ind 1st sg عúpiбк $\omega$.
 סoṽ入ov v́ $\gamma$ ıaivovta.
v́tooт $\rho \dot{\varepsilon} \psi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act ptc masc nom pl útoбт $\rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$ (temporal). عís tòv oĩkov. Locative.
oi $\pi \varepsilon \mu \varphi \theta \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Aor pass ptc masc nom $\mathrm{pl} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \omega$ (substantival).
Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ṽpov.
عũ $\rho \frac{v}{}$. Aor act ind 3rd pl عúpíok $\omega$.
тòv $\delta \mathbf{o v} \lambda o v$. Accusative direct object of eṽpov.
vipıaivovta. Pres act ptc masc acc sg ú $\gamma \iota a i v \omega$. Complement in an object-complement double accusative construction (see 2:12 on


## Luke 7:11-17

${ }^{11}$ Later, Jesus went to a city called Nain, and his disciples and a large crowd were accompanying him. ${ }^{12}$ When he came near to the city gate, a dead person was being carried out-the only son of his mother; and she was a widow! A large crowd from the city was with her. ${ }^{13}$ When he saw her, the Lord had compassion ov her and said to her, "Don't cry." ${ }^{14}$ And he went up to the bier and touched it. Those who were carrying it stopped, and he said, "Young man, I tell you, get up!" ${ }^{15}$ Then the dead man sat up and began to speak, and Jesus gave him to his mother. ${ }^{16}$ So, fear gripped everyone and they were glorifying God and saying, "A great prophet has risen up among us!" and "God has come to help his people!" ${ }^{17}$ And this report concerning him spread throughout the whole of Judea and all the surrounding region.

##  

 $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon ่ v \varepsilon \tau \frac{1}{2}$ helps mark the beginning of a new pericope (cf. 5:12; see also $1: 8$ on 'Eү'̇veto). Here, the phrase also introduces a thematic link in the narrative between the current episode of someone who has already died and the previous episode where someone was about to die. Moreover, "the use of $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \tau \frac{1}{}$ suggests that the
episode involving someone at the point of death is to be taken as the general background to the one involving someone already dead" (Levinsohn 2000, 179).
 functions as a nominalizer (see 1:48 on ánò toṽ vũv), changing the adverb $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ into a substantive. Louw and Nida (67.52) argue that the PP represents an idiom meaning, "a point of time subsequent to another point of time, but with the implication of an ordered sequence." Elsewhere, Luke uses the more specific phrase $\tau \tilde{\eta} \tilde{\varepsilon} \xi \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ $\eta \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \underset{\alpha}{\text { in }} 9: 37$, and three times $(21: 1 ; 25: 17 ; 27: 18)$ uses $\tau \tilde{\eta} \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ without an explicit noun, but with $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \underset{a}{\text { c clearly understood. The }}$ more specific reading, $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\eta} \tilde{\varepsilon} \xi \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ ("on the next day"), is found in some manuscripts ( $\boldsymbol{\aleph}^{\star}$ C K $\Pi \mathfrak{N p p t}^{p t}$ al), but scribes likely substituted the more specific form (cf. Omanson, 119-20). Note also the use of the apparently synonymous $\varepsilon v \tau \tau \tilde{\omega} ~ \kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \xi \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ in 8:1.

غ̇лорєи́Өŋ. Aor mid ind 3rd sg порعט́oual. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.

عís $\pi$ ó $\lambda ı v$. Locative.
$\kappa \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{0} \mu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\nu}$. Pres pass ptc fem acc sg $\kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega($ attributive).
Naïv. The indeclinable noun functions as a complement in a subject-complement double accusative construction. Since the conceptual subject of the passive verb is accusative ( $\pi$ ) $\lambda เ v$ ), the complement must bear the same case (see 1:32 on viòs).
бטveторє́vovto. Impf mid ind 3rd pl $\sigma \nu \mu \pi о \rho \varepsilon \dot{o} \circ \mu \alpha$.
 see 1:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i} v$.
 pev́ovto.
av̉兀oũ. Genitive of relationship.

##   

## $\dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{c}$. Temporal.

ท̋ $\gamma \gamma เ \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ غ $\gamma \boldsymbol{i} \zeta \omega$.

$\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \pi o ́ \lambda \varepsilon \omega c$. Partitive genitive.
ídov̀. See 1:20.
 "to carry or bring out, especially of a corpse for burial" (LN 15.198). $\tau \varepsilon \theta \nu \eta \kappa \grave{\omega} \varsigma$. Prf act ptc masc nom sg $\theta v \underset{\text { ́ńбк }}{ }$ (substantival). Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon к о \mu i \zeta \varepsilon \tau \sigma$. Given the fact that this participle frequently occurs in substantival form without an article, it likely functions that way here rather than being an attributive modifier of $\mu$ ovoүعvク̀¢ viòs ("an only son who had died").
$\mu \mathbf{\mu} \boldsymbol{v o \gamma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \varsigma$ viòs. Nominative in apposition to $\tau \varepsilon \theta \nu \eta \kappa \grave{\omega} \varsigma$, though it could be the nominative subject of $\bar{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon к о \mu і \zeta \varepsilon \tau о ~(s e e ~ a b o v e) . ~$
$\tau \tilde{\eta} \mu \eta \tau \rho \dot{\text {. }}$. Dative of reference or possession.
av̉тoṽ. Genitive of relationship.
av̉าท̀. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} v$.
ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ é $\mu i$.
$\chi \dot{\eta} \rho \boldsymbol{\rho}$. Predicate nominative.
öx $\boldsymbol{\lambda o s} .$. . ikavòs. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} v$. тŋ̃ৎ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Source.
ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$ í.
ov̀v av̉тற̃. Association.

##  $\alpha \cup ๋ \tau ท ี ̃, ~ M \grave{~ \kappa \lambda \alpha i ̃ \varepsilon . ~}$

ídòv. Aor act ptc masc nom sg ó óá $\omega$ (temporal).
av่̉ $\grave{v} v$. Accusative direct object of $i \delta \grave{\omega} v$.
$\dot{\boldsymbol{o}}$ кúpıoc. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi v i \sigma \theta \eta$. This is the first absolute use of the phrase for Jesus in a narrative section of the gospel so far (Fitzmyer, 1:659).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi v i \sigma \theta \eta$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg $\sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi v i \zeta о \mu \alpha u$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi$ ’ av̉тñ. The verb $\sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi v i \zeta$ о $\mu \alpha ı$ can apparently take the object of the agent's compassion in the form of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i$ plus dative (Matt 14:14), غ̇ $\pi i$ plus accusative (Matt 15:32), or $\pi \varepsilon \rho i$ plus genitive (Matt 9:36). The fact that the parallel passage to Matt 14:14 uses èmi plus accusative (Mark 6:34) suggests that these constructions were interchangeable.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av่̉กี̃. Dative indirect object of عĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$.
$\boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Pres act impv 2nd sg к $\lambda a i \omega($ prohibition).

##  

$\pi \rho o \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \circ \mu a ı$ (attendant circumstance).
$\eta \eta \psi a \tau 0$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg ä $\pi \tau \omega$.
 likely to a bier, i.e., "a stretcher or plank used for carrying a corpse to a place of burial" (LN 6.109), rather than a "coffin."
oi . . . $\beta \boldsymbol{\alpha \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \zeta о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma . ~ P r e s ~ a c t ~ p t c ~ m a s c ~ n o m ~} \mathrm{pl} \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\zeta} \zeta \omega$ (substantival). Nominative subject of $\begin{gathered} \\ \sigma \\ \\ \eta \\ \sigma \alpha v .\end{gathered}$

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
Neaviбкє. Vocative.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{i}$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \theta \eta \tau \tau$. Aor mid impv 2nd sg $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon i \rho \omega$. The voice should likely be viewed as middle rather than passive (see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction; cf. BDAG, 272.13.b). Thus, "get up!" rather than "be raised up!"

##  aủtòv โñ $\mu \eta \tau \rho 1$ av̉тoṽ.

 twice in the NT (also Acts 9:40).
ó vєкрòs. Nominative subject of àveкá $\theta$ เбєv.
$\eta ้ \rho \xi \alpha \tau 0$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg a̋ $\rho \chi \omega$.
$\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} v$. Pres act inf $\lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (complementary).
 words as in LXX 1 Kgs 17:23, where Elijah gives the widow of Zarephath her son after raising him from the dead.

है $\delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
av̉ $\tau \grave{v} v$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ है $\delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$.
$\tau \tilde{\eta} \mu \eta \tau \rho \dot{\text { i }}$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon$ है $\delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon \nu$.
av̉тoṽ. Genitive of relationship.

 đòv $\lambda \alpha o ̀ v$ aủtoṽ.
ë $\lambda \alpha \boldsymbol{\beta} \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3 rd sg $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$.
$\varphi \dot{\beta} \beta \mathbf{o}$. Nominative subject of $\check{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon v$.
$\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ है $\alpha \beta \varepsilon v$. The adjective $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$ often functions as an anarthrous substantive (see BDAG, 783.2.b.ß).

غ̇ $\delta \dot{o} \xi a \zeta o v$. Impf act ind 3rd pl $\delta_{0} \xi \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$.

$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (attendant circumstance, see 1:24 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v o \alpha})$.
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also $1: 25$ on őтı) of $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \circ \vee \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$.

Прочŋ́т $\tau \varsigma \mu \dot{\gamma} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Nominative subject of $\eta \gamma \dot{\gamma} \rho \theta \eta$.
 mean either "has risen" or "has been raised" (see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction).

ह̇v $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu i ̃ v$. Association.
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also 1:25 on öтı) of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \circ \vee \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$.
 (85.11) define the term in this context as "to be present, with the implication of concern."
ó $\theta$ còs. Nominative subject of 'Елєбкદ́ $\psi a \tau$.

av̉тoṽ. Genitive of relationship.

##  


ó $\lambda \mathbf{0} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\rho}$, oũ̃oc. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v$.
 $\pi \varepsilon \rho i ̀ ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ̃ . ~ R e f e r e n c e . ~$

## Luke 7:18-23

${ }^{18}$ John's disciples informed him about all of these things. So John summoned two of his disciples ${ }^{19}$ and sent (them) to the Lord to ask, "Are you the Coming One, or should we expect another?" ${ }^{20}$ When they reached him, the men said, "John the Baptizer sent us to you to ask, 'Are you the Coming One, or should we expect another?'" ${ }^{21}$ At that very time he healed many from diseases, afflictions, and
unclean spirits, and he graciously gave sight to many blind people. ${ }^{22}$ Then, he responded and said to them, "Go and tell John what you have seen and heard: The blind are able to see, the lame walk, lepers are cleansed, the deaf hear, the dead are raised, and the poor hear the good news proclaimed. ${ }^{23}$ And whoever does not stumble because of me is blessed."

##   'I $\omega$ ávvクร

$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\mathfrak{\alpha}} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \iota \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \dot{\gamma} \lambda \lambda \omega$.

oi $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha i ̀$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \alpha v$.
aủtoṽ. Genitive of relationship. The pronoun refers to 'I $\omega$ ávv!!. $\pi \varepsilon \rho \grave{̀} \boldsymbol{\pi} \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{u} \tau \omega \nu$. Reference.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v o \varsigma}$. Aor mid ptc masc nom sg $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ́ \sigma \mu \alpha ı$ (attendant circumstance).

סv́o тıvà¢. Accusative direct object of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon v o c$. On the function of tivà¢, see $1: 5$ on íepev́s tic.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. Partitive genitive.
av̉兀oũ. Genitive of relationship.
ó 'I $\omega \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\nu} \nu \eta \varsigma$. Nominative subject of
 $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta о к \tilde{\omega} \mu \varepsilon \nu$;

غ̈ $\pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \omega$.
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \kappa u ́ p ı o v . ~ L o c a t i v e . ~$
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{v}$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (purpose). Lit. "saying." The subject of the participle is o ' $\mathrm{I} \omega \mathrm{d} v \nu \eta$.
$\Sigma \mathbf{v}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$.
عĩ. Pres act ind 2nd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$ i.
 Predicate nominative.
$\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \mathbf{\nu} v$. Accusative direct object of $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta о к \tilde{\omega} \mu \varepsilon v$.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \delta о к \tilde{\omega} \mu \varepsilon v$. Pres act subj 3rd pl $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta$ ок $\dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. The ambiguous form is likely subjunctive rather than indicative given the sense of contingency implied by the construction.

##   ब̈入入оv $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta о к \tilde{\omega} \mu \varepsilon v$ ；

$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o l$. Aor mid ptc masc nom pl $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma^{\prime} v o \mu \alpha ı$（tem－ poral）．
$\pi \rho$ òs aủtòv．Locative，modifying $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \varepsilon \iota \lambda \varepsilon v$ ．This preposition almost always follows the verb it modifies，except when the object of the preposition is placed in focus（e．g．，12：41，where the marked word order is used to draw a contrast）．
oi $\boldsymbol{\alpha} v \delta \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$ ．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \alpha v$ ．
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \pi \alpha v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．On the form，see 1：61．
＇I $\omega \dot{\alpha} v v \eta \varphi$ ．Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \varepsilon \mid \lambda \varepsilon \nu$ ．
$\dot{\delta} \beta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \tau \iota \sigma \tau \grave{y} \varsigma$ ．Nominative in apposition to＇I $\omega$ ávvŋऽ．
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\dot{\alpha} \pi \circ \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ ．
$\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{a} \varsigma$ ．Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \varepsilon \mid \lambda \varepsilon v$ ．
$\pi \rho$ òs $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ．Locative．
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$（purpose）．The subject of the participle is John．
$\Sigma \dot{v}$ ．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ĩ．
عĩ．Pres act ind 2nd sg $\varepsilon$ íhí．
 Predicate nominative．
ä入入ov．Accusative direct object of $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta о к \tilde{\omega} \mu \varepsilon v$ ．
$\pi \rho о \sigma \delta$ ок $\tilde{\omega} \mu \varepsilon v$ ．Pres act subj 3rd pl $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta$ oк $\dot{\omega} \omega$ ．On the mood， see verse 19 ．

 $\sigma \alpha \tau о \beta \lambda \varepsilon ̇ \pi \varepsilon เ \nu$.

$\dot{\varepsilon} \theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon v \dot{\omega} \omega$ ．The use of the aor－ ist rather than the imperfect（＂At that very time，Jesus was healing many ．．．＂）suggests that Jesus＇actions are not being portrayed as background information．Instead，the choice of verbal aspect suggests that Jesus＇actions represent the next development in the storyline and are themselves the first part of his response to the questions coming from John．
$\pi \mathbf{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{\lambda} \mathbf{v} \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \dot{\pi} \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$.



$\beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon เ \nu$. Pres act inf $\beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega$ (direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \chi \alpha \rho i \sigma \alpha \tau o$ ).


 غ̇ $\gamma \varepsilon i \rho o v \tau \alpha \iota, \pi \tau \omega \chi o i ̀ ~ \varepsilon v ̉ \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda i \zeta о \nu \tau \alpha ı$.
 circumstance; see also $1: 19$ on ároкрı $\theta \varepsilon i \varsigma)$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av̉тoĩc. Dative indirect object of عĩл
 cumstance). Attendant circumstance participles take on the mood of the verb they modify (see also $5: 14$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} v$ ).
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon i \lambda \alpha \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act impv 2nd pl $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
'I $\omega \alpha \dot{\alpha} v v!$. Dative indirect object of $\alpha \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon i \lambda a \tau \varepsilon$.
 pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ö), which
 à $\pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon i \lambda \alpha \tau \varepsilon$.
$\varepsilon \ell \delta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act ind 2nd pl ópá $\omega$.
ŋ゙коv́батє. Aor act ind 2nd pl àкоv́ $\omega$.
 Nominative subjects of their respective verbs.
$\kappa \alpha i$. The use of the single conjunction before $\kappa \omega \varphi o i ̀$ in this long list likely simply reflects a stylistic choice. Matt 11:5 uses кai between each member of the list (Bock, 1:667).

$\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau 0 \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd pl $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \pi \alpha \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.

àкоv́ovสıv. Pres act ind 3rd pl àкои́ $\omega$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon i \rho o v \tau a ı$. Pres pass ind 3rd pl $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon i \rho \omega$.
$\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda i \zeta o v \tau \alpha$. . Pres pass ind 3rd pl $\varepsilon v ̉ a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda i \zeta \omega$. Used with a
person rather than a thing as the subject in the passive voice, the verb carries the sense of "to hear good news proclaimed" (cf. BDAG, 402.2.b. $\beta$ ).

## 




غ̇бтıv. Pres ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$. On the loss of accent, see $1: 18$ on $\varepsilon i \mu ı$.
öc $\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha ̀ v$. Nominative subject of $\sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \theta \tilde{n}$. The indefinite relative pronoun (see 9:48 on "O̧ $\dot{\varepsilon} \grave{\alpha} v$ ) introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ö), which functions as a whole (öৎ $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{a} v ~ \mu \eta ̀$ $\sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda เ \sigma \theta \tilde{1} \tilde{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \circ i)$ as the subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$.
$\sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda เ \sigma \theta \tilde{1}$. Aor pass subj 3rd sg $\sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda i \zeta \omega$. Subjunctive with ċáv.

દ̇v $̇$ غ́ $\mu$ oi. Causal.

## Luke 7:24-35

${ }^{24}$ When the messengers from John had left, he began to speak to the crowds about John: "What did you go out into the desert to observe? A reed shaken by the wind? ${ }^{25}$ What, in that case, did you go out to see? A man dressed in delicate clothes? People in expensive clothes and (living) in luxury are (found) in palaces! ${ }^{26}$ What, then, did you go out to see? A prophet? Yes, I tell you, and more than a prophet. ${ }^{27}$ This is (the one) about whom it is written, 'I am sending my messenger ahead of you, who will prepare your way before you!' ${ }^{28}$ I tell you, no one among those born of women is greater than John, but the one who is least in the kingdom of God is greater than him." ${ }^{29}$ And when all the people heard, including the tax collectors, they acknowledged that God is just, because they had been baptized with John's baptism. ${ }^{30}$ The Pharisees and lawyers, on the other hand, rejected God's will for them because they had not been baptized by him.
${ }^{31}$ "With what, then, shall I compare the people of this generation? And what are they like? ${ }^{32}$ They are like children sitting in the marketplace and calling out to one another, who say, 'We played the flute for you, and you did not dance; we sang a dirge, and you did not weep.' ${ }^{33}$ For John the Baptizer came neither eating bread nor drinking wine, and you say, 'He has a demon!' ${ }^{34}$ The Son of

Man has come eating and drinking, and you say, 'The man is a glutton and a drunk, a friend of tax collectors and sinners!' ${ }^{35} \mathrm{But}$ wisdom is vindicated by all of her children."

 $\sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \downarrow ; ~ к \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \mu о v$ v́tò àvé $\mu$ ov $\sigma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v ;$
'A $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\prime} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ptc masc gen pl $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi o \mu a ı$. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvev́ovtoc), temporal.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega v$. Genitive subject of 'A $\AA \varepsilon \lambda \theta$ Óv $\nu \omega v$.
'I $\omega$ ávvov. Genitive of source.
$\eta ้ \rho \xi \alpha \tau 0$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg ä $\rho \chi \omega$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \iota v$. Pres act inf $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (complementary).

$\pi \varepsilon \rho \grave{\text { ì }} \mathbf{I} \omega \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v o v}$. Reference.
Ti. Depending on how the question is punctuated, the pronoun could either carry its adverbial causal sense here ("Why?"), with the question mark placed after ěp $\eta \mu \mathrm{ov}$ ("Why did you go out to the desert? To see . . ."), or be the accusative direct object of $\theta \varepsilon \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \theta \alpha$ । (following the $\mathrm{NA}^{27} / \mathrm{UBS}^{4}$ ).


$\theta \varepsilon \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a ı$. Aor mid inf $\theta$ cáouaı (purpose).
 $\tau \eta ̀ v$ ěp $\eta \mu \circ v$ ) $\theta \varepsilon \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a l$ following the punctuation of $\mathrm{NA}^{27} / \mathrm{UBS}^{4}$.
víò àvย́ $\boldsymbol{\mu o v}$. Ultimate agency.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \varepsilon v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v$. Pres pass ptc masc acc sg $\sigma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon u ́ \omega$. The participle could be viewed either as attributive or as the complement in an object-complement double accusative construction.

##   

$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$. The adversative conjunction in this context implies the introduction of another option in a series of rhetorical questions that expect a negative response (see also 1:60). The idea is something like, "But if not that. . . ."
$\tau \dot{1} \dot{1} \xi \dot{\eta} \lambda \theta a \tau \varepsilon \dot{1} \delta \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} v$. Runge (\$3.3.1) notes that "the repetition of the rhetorical question 'What did you go out to see' has the effect of increasing the suspense regarding why it is that the people came to see John the Baptist," and thus increases the poignancy of Jesus' message.
$\tau i$. Accusative direct object of idzĩv or adverbial (causal) interrogative pronoun (see v. 24 ).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\eta} \lambda \theta a \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act ind 2nd pl $\dot{\xi} \xi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \chi_{0} \mu a 1$.
ideĩv. Aor act inf ó $\rho \dot{\alpha} \omega$ (purpose).
$\alpha \ddot{\alpha} \theta \rho \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{v}$. Accusative direct object of an implied ( $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\eta} \lambda \theta a \tau \varepsilon$ ) i iסeiv following the punctuation of $\mathrm{NA}^{27} / \mathrm{UBS}^{4}$.
 of a state or condition . . . of being clothed" (BDAG, 327.2.a).
$\eta ̉ \mu \varphi \iota \varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v$. Prf pass ptc masc acc sg ả $\mu \varphi \iota \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v \nu \mu \mathrm{I}$. The participle could be viewed as a either attributive or as the complement in an object-complement double accusative construction.
íoù. See 1:20.
oi . . . víć $\rho \chi$ оvtec. Pres act ptc masc nom pl v́tá $\rho \chi \omega$ (substantival). Nominative subject of eioiv.
 "a marker of a state or condition." With respect to the first noun it relates to the state of being clothed (BDAG, 327.2.a).

عioiv. Pres ind 3rd pl cíhi.


$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$. See 7:25.

$\tau \mathrm{i}$. See 7:25.

íعモ̃v. Aor act inf ỏpá $\omega$ (purpose).
$\pi \rho \mathbf{\rho} \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \eta \nu$. Accusative direct object of an implied ( $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\eta} \lambda \theta a \tau \varepsilon$ ) i $\delta \varepsilon$ ェiv.
$\lambda \varepsilon ̇ \gamma \omega \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\mu} v$. See 3:8.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o ́ \tau \varepsilon \rho o v$. The comparative adjective functions substantivally as the accusative direct object of an implied ( $\dot{\xi} \xi \dot{\eta} \lambda \theta a \tau \varepsilon)$ ideĩv. $\pi \rho о \varphi \eta$ ŋ́rov. Genitive of comparison.

 غ̈ $\mu \pi \rho о \sigma \theta \dot{\varepsilon} v$ боv.
oṽtóc. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. On the second accent, see


غ̇бтıv. Pres ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$. . On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on $\varepsilon i \mu \mathrm{l}$.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \mathfrak{l}$ oṽ. Reference. The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ö), which as a whole ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho i ̀ ~ o u ̃ ~ \gamma \varepsilon ́ \gamma \rho a \pi \tau \alpha u, ~$

 દ̇ఠтıv.
$\gamma \varepsilon \dot{\gamma} \gamma \boldsymbol{\rho} \pi \tau \alpha 1$. Prf pass ind 3 rd sg $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \varphi \omega$. On the force of the perfect, see 2:23.
'ISov̀. See 1:20.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\alpha$ àmoot $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
tòv $\mathfrak{a} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda \dot{o} v$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\eta$ 內 $\delta \dot{\eta} \eta \sigma i \varsigma$.
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Genitive of source.
$\pi \rho \mathbf{o ̀ ~} \pi \boldsymbol{\rho o \sigma} \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{0}$. Spatial. Synecdoche (see 1:46 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \psi v \chi \dot{\eta} \mu 0 v$ ).
oov. Possessive genitive.
öc. Nominative subject of катабкгváбєı.
катабкєváбєı. Fut act ind 3rd sg катабквvá̧ $\omega$.

oov. "The path you will travel." Here likely a figurative expression for getting people ready for his arrival.



 غ̇бтıv.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ ט́ $\mu \tilde{v} \nu$. See 3:8.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\mu \varepsilon i \zeta \omega v$. Predicate adjective.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \gamma \varepsilon \nu v \eta \tau o i ̃ c . ~ A s s o c i a t i o n . ~$
$\gamma$ vvaık $\tilde{\omega} v$. Subjective genitive or genitive of source.
'I $\omega$ ávvov. Genitive of comparison, modifying $\mu \varepsilon i \zeta \omega v$.
ov̉סعic. Nominative subject of દ̇бтıv.
$\varepsilon ̇ \sigma \tau เ v$. Pres ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on $\varepsilon i \mu$.
о́ . . . $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ кро́тєрос. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. BDF ( $\$ 60, \S 244$ ) notes that during the time Luke wrote the comparative and superlative degrees were coalescing into a single form (the comparative), thus requiring readers to use context to determine what degree was intended (cf. Porter 1994, 122-23). Here, then, the text may either mean, "the insignificant one" (comparative force; so Plummer, 205) or "the least significant one" (superlative force; so most scholars and translations).

غ̇v Tñ $\beta a \sigma ı \lambda \varepsilon i a ̣$. Locative.
тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ. Subjective genitive (see also 4:43).
$\mu \varepsilon i \zeta \omega v$. Predicate adjective.
av̉兀oũ. Genitive of comparison.
غ̇бтıv. Pres ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$ i. On the loss of accent, see $1: 18$ on $\varepsilon \dot{\mu} \mu \mathrm{l}$.

##  $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \dot{v} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ тò $\beta \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \tau \sigma \mu \alpha$ 'I $\omega$ ávvov.

 àкои́бac. Aor act ptc masc nom sg ảkov́ $\omega$ (temporal).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \delta \iota \kappa \alpha i \omega \sigma a v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\delta$ เкаıó $\omega$. This use of $\delta$ เкаıó $\omega$ is the

 points to the "vindication" of God and his purposes (cf. Green, 301). To use this language here is to admit the human culpability that was highlighted in John's message of repentance.
tòv $\theta$ cóv. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ é $\iota \kappa \alpha i \omega \sigma \alpha v$. Lit. "they justified God."
$\beta a \pi \tau ı \sigma \theta \dot{v} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Aor pass ptc masc nom pl $\beta a \pi \tau i \zeta \omega$ (causal). Given the chronology, the participle cannot point to something that followed the action of the main verb (contra Plummer, 206, who renders it, "by being baptized").

тò $\beta \mathbf{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\pi} \tau \iota \sigma \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Cognate accusative (cf. Culy and Parsons, 360,
on Acts 19：4）．Since $\beta a \pi \tau i \zeta \omega$ does not take a double accusative， Wallace＇s（197）view that this is an＂accusative of retained object＂is untenable（cf．BDF $\$ 159$ ；Porter 1994，66）．The question，however， is how we reconcile what appears to be a cognate accusative，i．e．，a direct object that is cognate with the main verb，with the fact that passive verbs do not normally have a direct object．The answer is found in recognizing the different ways that particular semantics can be realized in the syntax of a language．In this instance，the case of $\beta \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$ is the result of oblique－to－direct object＂advance－ ment＂（cf．3：18 on tòv $\lambda a o ́ v$ ）．At the semantic level，we begin with： $\tau \iota \varsigma \dot{\varepsilon} \beta a \pi \tau i \sigma \varepsilon v$ av̉тoùs tẹ $\beta \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \tau \sigma \mu \omega$＇I $\omega$ ávvov（＂someone baptized them with the baptism of John＂）．When the clause is passivized， aủtoùc becomes the subject of the passive verb：aủtoì $\hat{\varepsilon} \beta a \pi \tau i \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ $\tau \tilde{\omega} \beta \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \varphi$＇I $\omega \dot{\alpha} v v o v$ or simply $\dot{\varepsilon} \beta a \pi \tau i \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \tilde{\omega} \beta \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \varphi$ ＇I $\omega \dot{\alpha}$ 人vov．The vacated direct object＂slot＂is now open for the instrumental $\tau \tilde{\varrho} \beta \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \varphi$ to＂advance＂：غ̇ßartioӨnбav $\beta \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$ ＇I $\omega$ ávvov，or in participial form，$\beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \beta \alpha ́ \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$ ＇I $\omega$ d́vovo The translation，＂with the baptism of John，＂is appropri－ ate because tò $\beta \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$ is the semantic instrument even though it shows up in the syntax as an object．For more on these phenomena， see Culy 2009.
＇I $\omega$ ávvov．Subjective genitive．

## 


oi ．．．Фарıбаĩot кaì oi voцıкоі̀．Nominative subject of $\eta \theta \dot{\text { g }}$－ тŋбav．

тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ．Subjective genitive（cf．Acts 2：23）．
$\eta$ グ $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ．Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \dot{\alpha} \theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ ．
عíc £̇avtov́s．Advantage or reference．
$\beta a \pi \tau เ \sigma \theta \dot{v} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ．Aor pass ptc masc nom pl $\beta a \pi \tau i \zeta \omega$（causal）．
ט́n＇aủtoṽ．Ultimate agency．
 tivı عiờ̀ ö öนotot；

Tivı. With $\dot{o} \mu o t o \dot{\omega}$, that to which something is being compared is placed in the dative case. The interrogative pronoun introduces another rhetorical question.
$\dot{\mathbf{o}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Fut act ind 1st sg $\dot{o} \boldsymbol{\mu}$ oó $\boldsymbol{\omega}$. "The future is sometimes found in deliberative questions in place of the subjunctive" (McKay, 95; see also 11:5 on $\varepsilon$ ह゙ $\xi$ ء).

тov̀s $\mathfrak{\alpha} v \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \mathbf{\pi o v}$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{o} \mu o t \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega$.
$\tau \tilde{\varsigma} \varsigma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \tilde{a} \varsigma \tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta \varsigma$. "The people living in this generation."
кaì tiv. Dative complement of ö $\mu$ oto.
عioùv. Pres ind 3rd pl cipi.
ӧ $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{\prime}$. Predicate adjective.



ö $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{o}$ oi. Predicate adjective. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\dot{\eta}$


عíctv. Pres ind 3 rd pl ci$\mu i$. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on $\varepsilon i \mu \mathrm{l}$.
$\pi \alpha \iota \delta i o t s$. Dative complement of ö $\mu$ oьoi.
غ̇v à $\gamma \mathbf{o} \rho \underset{a}{\text { ă }}$. Locative.
каӨ $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \dot{v} \boldsymbol{v o 七 s . ~ P r e s ~ m i d ~ p t c ~ n e u t ~ d a t ~} \mathrm{pl}$ к $\dot{\theta} \eta \eta \mu \alpha \iota$ (attributive).
$\pi \rho о \sigma \varphi \omega v o v ̃ \sigma เ v$. Pres act ptc neut dat $\mathrm{pl} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \varphi \omega v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (attributive).
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\lambda} \lambda \mathbf{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\iota}$. Dative complement of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varphi \omega v o v ̃ \sigma \iota v$.
$\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon ı$. The awkward use of a relative clause after two attributive participles ( $\boldsymbol{\aleph}^{*} \mathrm{~B} f^{1} 22700^{*} p c$ ) apparently led to a variety of scribal attempts to smooth out the syntax: kai $\lambda \varepsilon$ ќүovoıv ( $\mathrm{A} \Theta \Psi 33 \mathfrak{R}$ ),

à. Nominative subject of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon ı$. The antecedent is $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i o t s$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 3 rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Neuter plural subjects characteristically take singular verbs (see Wallace, 399-400).

$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative of advantage.
$\omega \rho \chi \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \theta \varepsilon$. Aor mid ind 2nd pl ỏpұغ́ouaı.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \theta \rho \eta \nu \eta \dot{\prime} \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 1st pl $\theta \eta \rho v \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
غ̇к $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ v́бate. Aor act ind 2nd pl к $\lambda \alpha i ́ \omega$.
 $\pi i v \omega v$ oĩvov，кaì 入દ̇ $\gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon, \Delta a \not \mu o ́ v i o v$ eै $\chi \varepsilon เ$ ．

غ̀ $\lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda u \theta \varepsilon v$ ．Prf act ind 3rd sg ép $\chi \circ \mu \alpha ı$ ．
$\gamma$ à $\rho$ ．Causal（see also 1：15），introducing the reason Jesus is mak－ ing the preceding assertion．
＇I $\omega$ ávvทŋc．Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \nu \theta \varepsilon v$ ．
$\dot{\delta} \beta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \tau \iota \sigma \tau \eta ̀ \varsigma$ ．Nominative in apposition to＇I $\omega$ ávvŋヶ． غ̇ $\sigma \theta^{i} \omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom sg żбӨíw（manner）．
ä $\rho \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta i \omega v$ ．Synecdoche（see 1：46 on $\dot{\eta} \psi v \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{O})$ for＂food＂（cf．11：3）．
$\pi i v \omega v$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\pi i v \omega$（manner）．
oĩvov．Accusative direct object of $\pi i v \omega v$ ．
$\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ．Pres act ind 2nd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
$\Delta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mu$ óvıov．Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ é $\chi \varepsilon \iota$ ．
é $\chi \varepsilon$ เ．Pres act ind 3rd sg ë $\chi \omega$ ．

 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda \tilde{\omega} \nu$.

ó viòs тoṽ ảv $\theta$ р́̈́tov．See 5：24．
ó viòs．Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda v \theta \varepsilon v$ ．
то⿱亠乂刂 àv $\theta \rho \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Genitive of relationship．
غ̇б $\theta^{i} \omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom sg żбӨíw（manner）．
$\pi i v \omega v$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\pi i v \omega$（manner）．
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ．Pres act ind 2nd $\mathrm{pl} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
 glutton，a drunk！＂
＇İov̀．See 1：20．
äv $\theta \rho \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{c}$ ．This could be viewed as a nominative absolute，part of a nominal clause（cf．5：12 on $\dot{\alpha} v \eta$ jp；see Porter 1994，85），or the nominative subject in a verbless equative clause．
 predicate nominative in a verbless equative clause with $\ddot{\alpha} v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma$ as the subject．

$\tau \varepsilon \lambda \omega v \tilde{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \alpha ́ \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda \tilde{\omega} v$ ．Genitive of relationship．

## 

غ̇ $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \omega \theta \eta$. Aor pass ind 3rd sg $\delta$ ıкaıó $\omega$. The clause has a timeless/gnomic force (Porter 1994, 39; cf. 1:47; 3:22; 15:24).
ŋो борía. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ $\delta ı к \alpha \iota \omega \theta \eta$.
 Koine Greek sometimes used ádó rather than ító to introduce the agent of a passive verb (Caragounis, 115; see also 6:18; 8:43; 9:22; 17:25).
av̉т $\check{c} \varsigma$. Genitive of relationship.

## Luke 7:36-50

${ }^{36}$ One of the Pharisees requested that he eat, and he entered the house of the Pharisee and sat down to eat. ${ }^{37}$ There was a woman who was in (that) city, a sinner! And when she learned that he was having a meal in the house of the Pharisee, she brought an alabaster jar of aromatic ointment, ${ }^{38}$ stood behind (him) at his feet weeping, and began to moisten his feet with (her) tears! She was wiping them dry with her own hair, kissing his feet, and anointing (them) with the aromatic ointment!
${ }^{39}$ When the Pharisee who had invited him saw (this), he said to himself, "This man, if he were a prophet, would have known who and what kind (of person) the woman is who is touching him, that she is a sinner." ${ }^{40}$ Then Jesus responded and said to him, "Simon, I have something to say to you." And he said, "Teacher, speak."
${ }^{41}$ "Two people were in debt to a certain moneylender; the one owed five hundred denarii, and the other fifty. ${ }^{42}$ Since they did not have (the means) to pay, he cancelled both debts. Which of them, then, will love him more?" ${ }^{43}$ Simon responded and said, "I suppose the one for whom the greater (debt) was cancelled." He said to him, "You have judged correctly." ${ }^{44}$ Then he turned toward the woman and said to Simon, "Do you see this woman? I came into your house, (and) you did not give me water for (my) feet. But this woman moistened my feet with her tears and wiped (them) with her hair! ${ }^{45}$ You did not give me a kiss; but this woman, from the moment I came in, did not stop kissing my feet! ${ }^{46}$ You did not anoint my head with oil; but this woman anointed my feet with aromatic ointment! ${ }^{47} \mathrm{On}$ account of which I tell you, her many sins are forgiven, because
she loved much. But the one that is forgiven little loves little." ${ }^{48}$ Then he said to her, "Your sins are forgiven." ${ }^{49} \mathrm{And}$ those reclining with him (at the meal) began to say among themselves, "Who is this who even forgives sins?" ${ }^{50}$ Then he said to the woman, "Your faith has saved you. Go in peace."

##  

'H $\boldsymbol{\rho} \dot{\omega} \tau \alpha$. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \omega \tau \dot{\alpha} \omega$. The imperfect is naturally used here with background information that sets the scene for the narrative that follows. On the use of the verb for inviting, see Bovon (1:293). According to Kwong (106), "the importance of Simon is first highlighted by his initiated interaction with Jesus, which is narrated in an indirect speech with an imperfective verbal process (7.36). His significance and contrast to the woman are then brought out by a sequence of marked word orders. . . . The first one is in the participial clause $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}$ tov̀s đó $\delta a \varsigma$ aútoṽ к $\lambda \alpha i o v \sigma \alpha$. The sec-
 тóסac av̉toũ. The woman's action is then further highlighted by a sequence of three clauses all with the imperfective (foreground) aspect: $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v, \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \varphi i \lambda \varepsilon \iota$ and $\eta \not \eta \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \varepsilon v$. These three consequent imperfective aspects are used alongside the above two marked word orders to highlight what the woman has done to Jesus." Although Kwong's analysis of how the marked word orders lend prominence to the actions of the woman is likely correct, the phrase tapà toùs тóסac av̉toṽ k $\lambda \alpha i o u \sigma a$ probably does not involve a marked word order, since the PP likely modifies $\sigma \tau a ̃ \sigma \alpha$ rather than $\kappa \lambda \alpha i o v \sigma \alpha$ (contra Kwong, 106, n. 8). More important, the imperfective forms in verse 38 more likely are used to portray the woman's actions as background for the focus of the narrative (see the Introduction, "Verbal Aspect"): the exchange between Jesus and the Pharisee.

тıৎ aủtòv $\tau \tilde{\omega} v$ Фapıбai $\omega v$. Marshall (308) notes that "the unusual order of words . . . stresses the unusual nature of the invitation."

тıc. Nominative subject of 'Hрஸ́та.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$ Фapıбаi $\omega v$. Partitive genitive.
av̉ $\tau \mathbf{o} v$. Accusative direct object of 'H $\mathrm{H} \dot{\prime} \tau \alpha$.
îva. Introduces indirect discourse (see also 8:31 on ïva; cf. BDAG, 476.2.a. $\gamma$ ).

$\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ aủtoṽ. Association.
 cumstance or temporal).

عiç tòv oĩkov. Locative.
тoũ Фapıoaiov. Possessive genitive.
$\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \kappa \lambda i \theta \eta$. Aor mid/pass ind 3 rd sg катак $\lambda i v \omega$. It is unclear whether this form should be viewed as middle (see the translation) or passive ("he was seated"), though broad usage supports the middle view. This verb occurs only in Luke in the NT (also 9:14, 15; 14:8; 24:30).

 $\mu v ́ \rho o v$

кaì ìסov̀. See 1:20.
 in a variety of ways: (1) $\gamma 0 v \eta$ and $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ ós are the nominative subject and predicate of a verbless equative clause or nominal clause (see 5:12 on $\dot{\alpha} v \eta \dot{\rho} \rho$ ). Thus, "Behold a woman, who was in the city, was a sinner!" (2) $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ 人́s can be viewed as the predicate nominative of the relative clause ("Behold a woman, who was a sinner in the city!"), as reflected in the textual variant (see below). Or (3) $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ ós can be viewed as a nominative in apposition to үuvì ("Behold a woman, who as in the city! A sinner!"). Whichever analysis is adopted, and they are all plausible, the woman is introduced as a well-known sinner.
$\gamma v v \grave{̀}$. See above.
 700 1241) pc. Many scribes ( $\mathrm{A} \Theta \Psi 33 \Re$ ), however, likely attempting to smooth out the text, change the word order to $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \varepsilon \iota$ $\eta ँ \tau \iota \varsigma \tilde{\eta} \nu$, taking $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ ó as the predicate of the relative clause: "Behold, a woman in the city who was a sinner." Codex D simply

$\eta ँ \tau ı c$. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} v$. For more on the so-called indefinite relative pronoun, see 1:20 on oïtıves.

ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg عi $\mu \mathrm{i}$.

$\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ óc. See above.
 preceding conjunction makes it clear that this participle is part of a new clause and therefore modifies $\eta^{\prime} \rho \xi \alpha \tau 0$ (v. 38).
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ı. Introduces the clausal complement (indirect discourse with a verb of cognition; see 1:22 on ő őı) of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \gamma v o v ̃ \sigma \alpha$.

ката́кยıтаı. Pres mid/pass ind 3rd sg ката́квццаı. On the voice, see verse 36 on катєк $\lambda i \theta \eta$.
èv $\tau \mathfrak{n}$ oíkią. Locative.
тoṽ Фapıбaiov. Possessive genitive.
 stance, modifying $\rho \xi \alpha \tau$ in v. 38).
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \sigma \tau \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{v}$. Accusative direct object of ко $\mu \boldsymbol{i} \sigma \sigma \alpha$.
$\mu v ่ \rho o v$. Genitive of content. The term refers to "a strongly aromatic and expensive ointment" (LN 6.205).


 $\eta ँ \lambda \varepsilon เ \varphi \varepsilon \nu \tau \tau \tilde{\varphi} \mu v ́ \rho \omega$.
$\sigma \tau \tilde{a} \sigma \alpha$. Aor act ptc fem nom sg ï $\sigma \tau \eta \mu$ (attendant circumstance). Linked with каi, this participle has the same function as ко $\mu \boldsymbol{i} \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha$ (v. 37).
ò $\pi \mathbf{i} \sigma \omega$. The adverb modifies $\sigma \tau \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha$.
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ \varsigma ~ \pi o ́ \delta \alpha \varsigma . ~ L o c a t i v e, ~ l i k e l y ~ m o d i f y i n g ~ \sigma \tau \tilde{a} \sigma \alpha$. This further specification helps paint a picture of a reclining Jesus facing the table, resting on his left side, with his body extending away from the table. Someone located "behind" him would then be "at his feet."
av̉тoṽ. Possessive genitive.
$\boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ iovoa. Pres act ptc fem nom sg $\kappa \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\prime} \omega$ (manner, modifying $\sigma \tau \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \alpha)$.

тоĩৎ $\delta \dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho v \sigma ı v$. Dative of instrument. The instrumental PP not only precedes the infinitive it modifies ( $\beta \rho \varepsilon \dot{\chi} \chi \iota v$ ) but precedes the main verb ( $\eta \mathrm{\eta} \rho \xi \alpha \tau 0$ ) as well (Kwong, 106, n. 9). This highly marked word order lends prominence to the means by which the woman washed Jesus' feet and to her actions as a whole.
$\eta ้ \rho \xi \alpha \tau o . A o r ~ m i d ~ i n d ~ 3 r d ~ s g ~ a ̈ \rho \chi \omega$.
$\beta \rho \varepsilon ́ \chi \varepsilon เ v$ ．Pres act inf $\beta \rho \varepsilon \dot{\chi} \chi \omega$（complementary）．

av่̉oũ．Possessive genitive．
$\tau \alpha i ̃ \varsigma ~ \theta \rho \iota \xi i v \tau \tilde{ŋ} \varsigma \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \tilde{\eta} \varsigma ~ a v ̉ \tau \tilde{¢} \varsigma$. Lit．＂with the hairs of her head．＂
тaĩs $\theta \rho \iota \xi$ Ìv．Dative of instrument．
$\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ ．Genitive of source．
aủtñc．Possessive genitive．
$\varepsilon ̇ \xi \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \sigma \varepsilon v$ ．Impf act ind 3rd sg $\grave{\varepsilon} \kappa \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega \omega$ ．On the use of the three imperfect verbs here，see verse 36 on $\eta \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \alpha$.
$\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \varphi i \lambda \varepsilon เ$ ．Impf act ind 3rd sg катарi入غ́ $\omega$ ．
тov̀s $\pi$ ódac．Accusative direct object of $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \varphi i \lambda \varepsilon$ ．
av̉тoṽ．Possessive genitive．
$\eta ̈ \lambda \varepsilon \iota \varphi \varepsilon v$ ．Impf act ind 3rd sg $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon i \varphi \omega$ ．
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \mu \dot{\mu} \rho \underline{\omega}$ ．Dative of instrument．



$i \delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\boldsymbol{v}} \mathbf{v}$ ．Aor act ptc masc nom sg ó $\rho \alpha ́ \omega$（temporal）．
ó Фapıбаĩoc．Nominative subject of عĩinev．

av̉tòv．Accusative direct object of ка入દ́бac．
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \varepsilon v$ モ̇v $\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha v \tau \underline{̣} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$ ．Lit．＂spoke in himself，saying．＂The use of the extra verb of speech forms a＂redundant quotative frame．＂ This literary device＂is most typically found in contexts where there is a change in the direction of the conversation initiated by the new speaker，or［where］the new speaker is about to make what Levinsohn describes as＇an authoritative pronouncement＇＂（Runge \＄7．2．1；see also 1：19 on áлокрı $\theta \varepsilon i \varsigma)$.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$ ．
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \alpha \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tau \tilde{\omega}$ ．Manner．With verbs of speaking，perception，etc．，the preposition $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ plus a reflexive pronoun points to an inward process （see BDAG，327．1．f）．
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$（means；see also above）．
Oṽ́oc．Given the fact that the demonstrative pronoun precedes the conditional clause，it should be viewed as the nominative subject

be improved by adding a comma after Oũ̃тoc. On the other hand, it is possible that $\mathrm{O} \tilde{z} \tau o \varsigma$ has been moved forward out of the conditional clause to place it in focus: "If this man were a prophet . . ."
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Introduces the protasis of a second class (contrary to fact) condition. This construction portrays something as untrue for the sake of argument (Wallace, 694).

ท๊̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg عi $\mu i$ i.
$\pi \rho \mathbf{\rho} \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \eta \varsigma$. Predicate nominative.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma i v \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \nu$. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \dot{\omega} \kappa \omega$.
$\dot{\alpha} v$. Introduces the apodosis of the second class condition.
тic . . . غ̇бтıv. The whole interrogative construction functions as the direct object of $\varepsilon$ غ $i v \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v$.

тíc каì $\pi \mathbf{\pi} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\pi}$. Predicate nominative in a verbless equative clause.
$\grave{\eta} \gamma \mathbf{v} \boldsymbol{\eta}$. Nominative in a verbless equative clause.
$\eta ँ \tau \iota \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\ddot{\pi} \pi \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha a$. For more on the so-called indefinite relative pronoun, see 1:20 on oiltıves.
ä $\pi \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha 1$. Pres mid ind 3rd sg ä $\pi \tau \omega$.
aủtoṽ. Genitive complement of är $\tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{L}$.
őtı. Introduces a clause that is epexegetical to tic кaì поталฑ̀.
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ ós. Predicate nominative.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg ei $\mu \mathrm{i}$. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عiцu.

##  $\varepsilon i \pi \varepsilon i ̃ v . ~ o ́ ~ \delta \dot{\varepsilon}, \Delta \iota \delta \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon, \varepsilon i \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon}, \varphi \eta \sigma i v$.

 circumstance; see also $1: 19$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi о к \rho ı \theta \varepsilon \grave{c})$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction. The use of another "redun-
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ окр $Ө \varepsilon i \varsigma)$ portrays the exchange as a sharp challenge by Simon followed immediately by a riposte from Jesus.

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\tau}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ òs av̉ $\boldsymbol{\text { óv}} \mathbf{v}$. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho$ òs aủtòv).
$\Sigma i \mu \omega v$. Vocative.
$\varepsilon ँ \chi \omega$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon \neq \chi \omega$.

бoi. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon$ i $\frac{1 \pi}{}$ v. Kwong (107) argues that the highly marked word order, with the receiver (ooi) and content ( $\tau \iota$ ) of the verb of speech both preceding the verb ( $\varepsilon i \pi \varepsilon i \tau)$ in one clause, and the content ( $\Delta \iota \delta \alpha \dot{\sigma} \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon i \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon}$ ) of the verb of speech ( $\varphi \eta \sigma i v$ ) preceding the verb in the next clause, serves to "bring out the importance of the following statement of Jesus, especially 7.44-46, where Jesus is making a comparison between the woman and Simon." As Kwong goes on to note, this comparison is further highlighted through the use of marked word orders in verses 44-46 (see below). We should note that further highlighting comes from the use of the historical present in this verse ( $\varphi \eta \sigma i v$ ). As Levinsohn (2000, 202) points out, the highlighting from these various devices points not to the speech associated with the historical present, but rather to what follows that speech.
$\tau$. Accusative direct object of عi๘عĩ.
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\imath} v$. Aor act $\inf \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (direct object of ${ }^{\text {é }} \chi \omega$ ).
o. Nominative subject of $\varphi \eta \sigma i v$ (see 1:29 on $\dot{\eta}$ ).
$\Delta \mathrm{t} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \kappa \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Vocative.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon}$. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\varphi \eta \sigma i v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\varphi \eta^{\prime} \mu$. On the word order, see above. Runge (\$6.2) notes that "the use of the present form in a past-tense setting represents the choice to break with expected usage. . . . Most HP [historical present] actions are perfective in nature, yet are grammaticalized using an imperfective form." The use of the historical present, which is very common in Mark but rare in Luke, serves a cataphoric function: "what is highlighted by the HP is not so much the speech or act to which it refers but the event(s) that follow [cf. Rijksbaron, 9, n. 1]. In other words, like other devices employed for highlighting, the HP usually occurs prior to the event or group of events that are of particular significance" (Levinsohn 2000, 200). Similarly, Rijksbaron (22) argues that the historical present in classical Greek "marks states of affairs that are of decisive importance for the story." Campbell, on the other hand, tends to dismiss the notion of historical presents in Luke. Instead, he maintains that most of the twelve putative examples (here, $8: 49 ; 11: 37$, $45 ; 13: 8 ; 16: 7,23,29 ; 17: 37 ; 19: 22 ; 24: 12,36)$ involve verbs introducing reported speech, where present tense predominates (see also 1:18 on $\varepsilon i \mu \mathrm{l})$. In his view, the following context has influenced
the choice of verb tense in these examples, and the use of present tense therefore does not "indicate any special discourse function" (Campbell 2007, 66). He (2007, 67-76) goes on to argue that where the historical present points to discourse prominence it tends to be used in clusters. We are not as prepared as Campbell to relegate the use of the present tense here and elsewhere in Luke to the influence of the reported speech context that follows. Instead, it appears that the historical present is used in combination with several other rhetorical devices to mark prominence. Campbell's error thus appears to be in expecting clusters of historical presents to mark discourse prominence rather than clusters of rhetorical markers, of which the historical present is only one.

##  

 ก̃ซav. Impf ind 3rd pl $\varepsilon i \mu i ́$.
$\delta a v เ \sigma \tau \underline{1} \tau \iota v ı$. Dative complement of $\chi \rho \varepsilon \circ \varphi \varepsilon ı \lambda \varepsilon ́ \tau \alpha ı$.
$\dot{\boldsymbol{o}} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} c$. Nominative subject of $\ddot{\omega} \varphi \varepsilon ı \lambda \varepsilon v$.
$\ddot{\omega} \varphi \boldsymbol{\varphi} \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v$. Impf act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \operatorname{sg}$ ỏ $\varphi \varepsilon i \lambda \omega$.
$\delta \eta v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\pi \varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu} \tau \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\iota} \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\ddot{\omega} \varphi \varepsilon \iota \lambda \varepsilon v$.

$\pi \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta \dot{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\nu} \tau \tau$. Accusative direct object of an implied $\ddot{\omega} \varphi \varepsilon \iota \lambda \varepsilon v$, modifying an implied $\delta \eta v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \_\iota$.


$\varepsilon ̇ \chi o ́ v \tau \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc gen pl ${ }^{\varepsilon} \chi \omega$. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvعט́ovtoc), causal. The genitive absolute is necessary (contra Marshall, 311), since the subject of the participle is different than the subject of the main verb.

$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{r o \delta o v ̃ v a l . ~ A o r ~ a c t ~ i n f ~} \dot{\alpha} \pi o \delta i \delta \omega \mu$. Rather than being epexegetical to the direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \chi o ́ v \tau \omega v$ (cf. Acts 4:14), which is not present here, the infinitive is the structural direct object itself: lit. "not having (anything) to repay."

é $\chi \rho$ рíato. Aor mid ind 3rd sg $\chi \propto \rho i \zeta о \mu a ı$. Lit. "he forgave both (debtors)."
tic. Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon$.
aủtc̃̃v. Partitive genitive.
$\pi \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} 0 v$. Comparative adverb.
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon$. Fut act ind 3rd sg $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \dot{\alpha} \omega$.
aủtóv. Accusative direct object of $\alpha \mathfrak{\alpha} \gamma \pi \eta \dot{\eta}$.

##  

 circumstance; see also $1: 19$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \kappa \rho ı \theta \varepsilon \bar{\varsigma})$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction. The use of "redundant quotative frames" continues (see v. 39 on $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha \cup \tau \tilde{\omega} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$ and v. 40 on áлокрıӨءi¢).
$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \dot{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \omega \boldsymbol{v}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \frac{\pi}{\pi} \tau \varepsilon$.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
 something as presumably true, but without particular certainty" (LN 31.29).
ő $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces the clausal complement (indirect discourse with a verb of cognition; see 1:22 on öтı) of 'Ү $\Upsilon$ о $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$.
$\tilde{\omega}$. Dative complement of $\dot{\varepsilon} \chi \alpha \rho i \sigma \alpha \tau o$ or dative of advantage. BDAG (1078.3) notes that $\chi$ a ${ }^{\prime}$ i $\zeta$ oual takes an accusative of the thing forgiven (iò $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} o v$ ) and a dative of the person forgiven, when the verb means "to forgive, pardon." The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see $6: 2$ on ö), which as a whole ( $\tilde{\omega}$ tò
 42): lit. "I suppose the one whom has been forgiven more (will love him more)."


$\dot{\mathbf{o}}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v($ (see 1:29 on $\dot{\eta}$ ).
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av่̉ $\mathfrak{\varphi}$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon v$.
ëкрıvac. Aor act ind 2nd sg крive.





$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \rho \alpha \varphi \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ ç. Aor mid ptc masc nom sg $\sigma \tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$ (attendant circumstance or temporal). On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \tau \eta ̀ v ~ \gamma v v a i ̃ \kappa a . ~ S p a t i a l . ~$

$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varphi \eta$. Aor/Impf act ind 3 rd sg $\varphi \eta \mu$ i. On the question of whether the form is aorist or imperfect, see Porter (1989, 443-46) who concludes that the form is aspectually ambiguous.

B $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon є \varsigma$. Pres act ind $2 \mathrm{nd} \operatorname{sg} \beta \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega$.

 see $1: 13$ on $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\eta} \eta \sigma$ бic.
oov. Possessive genitive. The fronting of the pronoun-not only preceding the noun it modifies, but preceding the entire PP -helps set up the sharp contrast between Simon and the woman (Kwong, 107, n. 15; contra Plummer, 212; see also v. 40 on бoi).

عís т $\mathfrak{y} v$ oikiav. Locative.
ü $\delta \omega \rho$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ है $\delta \omega$ ка¢. Once again the word order is highly marked. Elsewhere in Luke, there are 167 examples of a verb with two complements following it. Here, and in only three other places in Luke, one of which occurs in the next verse, the two complements (v゙ $\delta \omega \rho$ and $\mu \mathrm{ol}$, along with the PP $\varepsilon \pi \pi i ̀ m o ́ \delta a c$ ) precede the verb (Kwong, 108, n. 16), lending further force to Jesus' words. The lack of a conjunction before v̋ $\delta \omega \rho$, before $\varphi i \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ (v. 45), and before $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda a i \omega \varphi$ (v. 46), and the parallel syntax here and at the beginning of verses 45 and 46 make Jesus' words come across like a rapid-fire list of embarrassing facts.
$\mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon$ है $\delta \omega$ каऽ.
ènì đódac. Locative. Lit. "water on (my) feet."
हैठ $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ кас. Aor act ind $2 \mathrm{nd} \operatorname{sg} \delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
aű $\tau \mathfrak{\eta}$. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\varepsilon} \beta \rho \varepsilon \xi \dot{\xi} v$. The use of a demonstrative subject pronoun in the sentence-initial position with $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ helps make the contrast between the woman and Simon particularly stark.

тoĩs $\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\text { ák }} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{v o \iota v}$ ．Dative of instrument．On the word order，cf．verse 38.
$\check{\varepsilon} \beta \rho \varepsilon \xi \dot{\xi} \dot{v} v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\beta \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \omega$ ．On the second accent，see 1：13

$\mu \mathrm{ov}$ ．Possessive genitive．Once again，the word order helps high－ light the striking contrast Jesus is drawing．


aủ兀ŋ̃c．Possessive genitive．
$\grave{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \alpha \mathfrak{\xi} \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $̇$ غ̇к $\mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega$ ．

##  

$\varphi i \lambda \eta \mu \dot{\alpha}$ ．Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ है $\delta \omega \kappa \alpha \varsigma$ ．On the second accent，see $1: 13$ on $\dot{\eta} \delta \varepsilon ́ \eta \sigma i c$. On the highly marked word order with both the direct and indirect objects preceding the verb，see verse 44 on ű $\delta \omega \rho$ ．
$\mu \mathbf{o}$ ．Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon$ ह $\delta \omega \kappa \alpha \varsigma$ ．
हैठ $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ кас．Aor act ind $2 \mathrm{nd} \operatorname{sg} \delta i \delta \omega \mu$ ．
$\alpha u ̋ \tau \eta$ ．Nominative subject of $\delta เ \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda ı \pi \varepsilon v$ ．The use of a demonstrative subject pronoun in the sentence－initial position with $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ helps make the contrast between the woman and Simon particularly stark．
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi^{\prime}$ ク̃ $\varphi$ ．This phrase，along with $\dot{\alpha} \varphi$＇oũ，is a fixed expression denoting the point in time from which something begins（BDAG， 105．2．b．$\gamma$ ；see also 13：25；24：21）．Although Caragounis（230）sug－ gests rendering the expression，＂after＂or＂since，＂it appears to carry stronger force than that（thus the translation）．The position of the relative clause before the main clause further accentuates the con－ trast being drawn．

$\delta \iota \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda ı \pi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\delta ı \alpha \lambda \varepsilon i \pi \omega$ ．
$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \varphi \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{0} \tilde{v} \sigma \dot{\alpha}$ ．Pres act ptc fem nom sg катарi入غ́ $\omega$（complemen－ tary）．On the second accent，see $1: 13$ on $\eta \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\eta} \eta \sigma i c$ ．
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$ ．Possessive genitive．


##  тov̀s đódac $\mu$ оv．

$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda a i \underline{\omega}$ ．Dative of instrument．Once more，we have a highly marked word order．Kwong＇s appendix（202－3）indicates that with aorist verbs in Luke there are five examples where both an adjunct（here $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda a i \omega)$ and complement（here $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \varepsilon \varepsilon \varphi a \lambda \eta \dot{\nu} \nu \circ v$ ）precede the verb， while there are thirty－seven examples where they both follow the verb．
$\tau \grave{\nu} v \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} v$ ．Accusative direct object of $\eta$ グ $\lambda \varepsilon ı \psi a c$.
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$ ．Possessive genitive．
$\eta ̋ \lambda \varepsilon ı \psi \alpha c$ ．Aor act ind 2nd sg à $\lambda \varepsilon i \varphi \omega$ ．
 on aűtๆ．
$\mu v ́ \rho \varphi$ ．Dative of instrument．
$\ddot{\eta} \lambda \varepsilon \iota \psi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon^{i} \varphi \omega$ ．
тoùs $\pi \mathbf{o} \delta a \varsigma$ ．Accusative direct object of $\eta$ クै $\lambda \varepsilon ı \psi \varepsilon v$ ．
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$ ．Possessive genitive．


oṽ $\chi \dot{\alpha} \rho เ \boldsymbol{v}$ ．The PP may be linked with either（1）$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ $\sigma$ ot，with no comma after $\chi \alpha \rho ı v$（so NA ${ }^{27} / \mathrm{UBS}^{4}$ ），in which case Jesus is explaining to Simon why he is telling him that the woman has been or is being
 $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ oot is to be taken parenthetically（so Klein，298，n．41），and the woman＇s actions are the reason she has been or is being forgiven． See further below．
oṽ．Genitive object of the preposition $\chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota v$ ．Its antecedent is the contrasting behavior of Simon and the woman（vv．44－46；Fitzmyer， 1：691）．
$\chi \dot{\alpha} \rho ı v$ ．The accusative of $\chi \dot{\alpha} \rho ı$ ，used as a preposition taking the genitive，usually appears after the word it modifies（BDAG，1078）． Louw and Nida（89．29）define it as＂a marker of a reason，often with the implication of an underlying purpose．＂
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ．See 3：8．
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{o}$ ．Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi \dot{\varepsilon} \omega v \tau \alpha a$. Prf pass ind 3 rd $\mathrm{pl} \dot{\alpha} \varphi \dot{\eta} \eta \mu$. On the tense, see below on őtı.
 av̉тñc. Subjective genitive.
ö $\tau$ t. The conjunction introduces a causal clause, though there is much debate as to what the clause means. (1) The ö ot clause may indicate the cause of the actual evidence, that is, the woman's acts of devotion are the cause of her forgiveness ("her many sins are forgiven because she loved much" (so Heil, 50; for other advocates of this view, see Fitzmyer, 1:686). In favor of this reading are the verses that follow in which Jesus appears to pronounce forgiveness of sins, as if the woman had not been forgiven when she arrived ( v . 48). Indeed, Jesus' words are almost identical to his pronouncement of forgiveness to the paralytic in 5:20 ( $\dot{\alpha} \varphi \dot{\varepsilon} \omega v \tau \alpha \dot{i}$ бot ai $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau i \alpha ı$ oov). More importantly, the narrative presents the Pharisees as understanding Jesus' words as being the means of issuing forgiveness to the woman at that moment (v. 49). (2) On the other hand, the ö $\tau \iota$ clause may indicate the cause of logical deduction, "tracing known evidence back to what must be its cause" (Just, 324). In the statement, "There is fire because there is smoke," the smoke is the cause of the deduction that there is fire even though the smoke is the result and not the cause of the fire. So the woman's gestures of love and devotion may be the "cause or reason for deducing that she must have been forgiven much. But the actual cause of her great love is something else, something that must have happened first" (Just, 324)-in this case a previous encounter between Jesus and the woman left unnarrated by Luke (cf. also Nolland, 1:358). "Luke will have regarded the woman's love as the consequence, not the cause of her forgiveness" (Marshall 1978, 306). In this reading, Jesus then publicly pronounces (v. 48) what has been previously established in much the same way that the woman's gestures demonstrate the prior reality of her repentance/forgiveness. Similar instances of the evidential use of a őtı clause in this kind of deductive logic are found also in John 9:16 ("This man is not from God, for [ötı] he does not keep the Sabbath") and 1 Cor 10:17 ("Because [ő $\tau$ ]] there is one bread, we who are many are one body"). Schwyzer (II:646) argues that ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ı can be used to point "freier zur Begruendung von Behauptungen, Fragen, Wuenschen, Befehlen" ("more loosely to
the reason of statements，questions，desires，instructions＂）and cites Homer Il．16．34－35，which，though it predates Luke by many centuries，is an excellent illustration：Oủk äpa ooi $\gamma \varepsilon \pi \alpha \tau \eta ̀ \rho \tilde{\eta} \nu$

 father，it appears was not the horseman Peleus，nor was Thetis your mother，but the gray sea bore you，and the sheer cliffs，since your mind is unbending＂；LCL，emphasis added）．Patroclus deduces that Achilles＇s true parents are the sea and cliffs because his mind is unbending．His pitilessness is not the cause of his birth to the sea and cliffs but is evidence of it．
$\eta$ グ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg à $\gamma a \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ ．
$\pi \mathbf{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{v}$ ．Adverb of manner．Although Luke elsewhere places the ＂manner＂element after the verb，here its position is likely driven by

$\tilde{\dot{\omega}}$ ．Dative complement of $\dot{\alpha} \varphi \dot{i} \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$ ．The relative pronoun intro－ duces a headless relative clause（see 6：2 on ö），which as a whole

ò $\lambda i \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{o v}$ ．Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \varphi i \varepsilon \tau \alpha a$ ．＂The one to whom little is forgiven．＂
à $\varphi \mathbf{i} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ．Pres pass ind 3rd sg àpíqu．
ò $\lambda i \boldsymbol{\gamma o v}$ ．Adverbial accusative．
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \tilde{a}$. ．Pres act ind 3rd sg $\alpha$ 人 $\alpha \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ ．

## 

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
av่̉กี̃．Dative indirect object of عĩ $\boldsymbol{\tau} v$ ．
A $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \dot{\varepsilon} \omega v \tau \tau \alpha i$. Prf pass ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \dot{\alpha} \varphi \dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{\mu}$ ．On the second accent，see

oov．Subjective genitive．
$\alpha i \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau i \alpha ı$ ．Nominative subject of＇A $\varphi \dot{\varepsilon} \omega \nu \tau \alpha i$.

##  

$\eta ้ \rho \xi \alpha v \tau 0$ ．Aor mid ind 3rd pl $\alpha$ ä $\rho \chi \omega$ ．
 （substantival）．
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon เ v$. Pres act inf $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (complementary).
घ̇v घ́avtoĩc. Association. It could, however, convey an inward manner of speech ("to say to themselves"), as in 7:39.

Tic. Predicate nominative.
oṽंtóc. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\eta$ ŋ $\delta$ ह́ $\eta \sigma i \varsigma$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg ei $\mu \mathrm{i}$. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عiцu.
öc. Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \varphi$ in $\eta \iota v$.
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau i \alpha c$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \varphi i \eta \sigma \iota v$.
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi i \eta \sigma \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg à $\varphi i \eta \mu$.


$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$.

 8:48; 17:19; and 18:42.
'H $\pi \mathbf{i} \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \omega \kappa \varepsilon ่ v$.
oov. Subjective genitive.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sigma \omega \kappa \varepsilon \dot{v}$. Prf act ind 3rd sg $\sigma \dot{\omega} \zeta \omega$. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס $\dot{\eta} \eta \sigma i c$. Kwong (113) argues that the three incidents recorded in 7:39-50; 8:27-39; and 8:41-42a, 49-56 are linked together by, among other things, the use of the verb $\sigma \omega \varphi \zeta \omega$, which is used each time to indicate that Jesus has "saved" someone (cf. 8:36, 50).
$\sigma \varepsilon$. Accusative direct object of $\sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \omega \kappa \varepsilon \dot{v}$.
торєи́ov. Pres mid impv 2nd sg торвv́o $\mu a 1$.


## Luke 8:1-15

${ }^{1}$ (Some time) Afterwards it happened that he was traveling from city to city and village to village, preaching and proclaiming the kingdom of God, and the Twelve were with him, ${ }^{2}$ as were certain women who had been healed from evil spirits and illnesses, (including) Mary who was called Magdalene, from whom seven demons had gone out, ${ }^{3}$ Joanna the wife of Chuza, the steward of Herod, Susanna, and many others who were serving them from their own resources.
${ }^{4}$ Now, as a large crowd was coming together, and those from city after city were coming to him, he spoke through a parable: ${ }^{5 \text { " }} \mathrm{A}$ sower went out to sow his seed; and as he sowed, some fell along the path and was trampled underfoot, and the birds of the air ate it up. ${ }^{6}$ Other (seed) fell on rocky soil, and when it grew up it dried up because it did not have moisture. ${ }^{7}$ Other (seed) fell among thorns, and when they grew up with it, the thorn plants choked it. ${ }^{8}$ And (still) other (seed) fell on good soil, and when it grew up, it produced fruit one hundredfold." As he was saying these things, he was shouting, "The one who has ears to hear, let him hear!"
${ }^{9}$ Now, his disciples were asking him what this parable might mean. ${ }^{10}$ So he said, "To you has been given (the privilege) to know the mysteries about the kingdom of God, but to the rest (I speak) in parables, so that 'although they see they might not see, and although they hear they might not understand.'"
${ }^{11 "}$ This is (what) the parable (means): The seed is the word of God. ${ }^{12}$ The ones (that fell) along the path are the ones who heard (the word). Then the devil comes and takes away the word from their hearts, so that they might not believe and be saved. ${ }^{13}$ The ones (that fell) on the rocky soil (are) those who receive the word with joy when they hear (it). But these have no roots, these who believe for a while, but in a time of temptation fall away. ${ }^{14}$ That which fell among thorns - these are the ones who hear (the word), and as they go their way, they are choked by the anxieties, wealth, and pleasures of life; and their fruit does not mature. ${ }^{15}$ And that which (fell) on good soil-these are the ones who, after hearing the word with a truly good heart, hold to it firmly and bear fruit with endurance."

##   

ėץと́veto. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual. As is common, here kaì $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon ่ v \varepsilon \tau \frac{1}{c}$ helps mark the beginning of a new pericope (cf. 5:12; see also 1:8 on 'Eүع́veto).
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\varphi} \kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \xi \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$. Temporal. See also 7:11 on $\varepsilon$ ह̉v $\tau \tilde{\varphi} \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$.
aủ $\grave{\text { òs. }}$. Nominative subject of $\delta \iota \omega \delta \varepsilon u \varepsilon v$. On the use of the conjunction with aútós here, see 4:15.

ઈtó $\delta \varepsilon v \varepsilon v$. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\delta \iota a \delta \varepsilon \dot{v} \omega$.

$\kappa \eta \rho v ́ \sigma \sigma \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg к $\eta$ рv́бб $\omega$ (manner).
 ner).
 $\gamma \varepsilon \lambda_{\iota} \zeta \dot{\circ} \mu \varepsilon$ кос.

тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ. Subjective genitive (see also 4:43).
oi $\delta \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \kappa \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause or an implied $\delta \iota \dot{\omega} \delta \varepsilon v o v$. Placing reference to the disciples at the end of the sentence without an explicit verb keeps the focus on Jesus.
ov̀v av̉tụ. Association.




үuvaĩкغ́я тıvє¢. This nominative could be taken as the subject of another verbless equative clause with oùv aủt $\tilde{\sim}$ implied, or as part of the same subject NP as oi $\delta \dot{\omega} \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ (v. 1), placed after $\sigma \grave{v}$ aủt $\tilde{\tilde{c}}$ because of the lengthy modifier that follows. On the second accent,

aï. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} \sigma \alpha v$.
స̃ $\sigma \alpha v$. Impf ind 3rd pl $\varepsilon$ i $\mu$ i.
$\tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon v \mu \varepsilon \dot{v a l}$. Prf pass ptc fem nom pl $\theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon \dot{v} \omega$ (pluperfect periphrastic).
à $\pi \mathbf{o ̀} \pi v \varepsilon v \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega v \pi \mathbf{\pi} v \eta \rho \tilde{\omega} v$ кaì $\mathfrak{\alpha} \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon เ \tilde{v} v$. Separation.
 This represents one large NP that is nominative in apposition to үuvaĩкغ́ц тıvȩ.
$\kappa \alpha \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v \eta$. Pres pass ptc fem nom sg ка入غ́ $\omega$ (attributive).
Maү $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\nu} \eta \dot{\eta}$. Complement in a subject-complement double nominative construction (see 1:32 on viòs).
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi$ ' $\grave{j} \varsigma$. Separation.

$\varepsilon ̇ \xi \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \dot{\theta} \theta \varepsilon เ$. Plprf act ind 3rd sg $\grave{\xi} \xi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$.

 av่̉aĩc．
＇I Iwávva．Nominative in apposition to $\gamma \cup v a i ̃ \kappa \varepsilon ́ \varsigma ~ \tau ı v \varepsilon \varsigma . ~$
$\gamma v v \grave{\text { ．}}$ ．Nominative in apposition to＇I $\omega$ d́vva．
Xovケã．Genitive of relationship．

＇Hṕ̣סov．Genitive of relationship．
 ع̈тераı ло八入入í．Nominative in apposition to $\gamma \cup v a i ̃ \kappa \varepsilon ́ \varsigma ~ \tau เ v \varepsilon \varsigma . ~$ ailtıvec．Nominative subject of $\delta ı \eta \kappa o ́ v o u v$ ．For more on the so－ called indefinite relative pronoun，see 1：20 on oïtıves．
$\delta \iota \eta \kappa o ́ v o v v$ ．Impf act ind 3rd pl $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa о v \varepsilon ́ \omega$.
av̉toĩc．Dative complement of $\delta$ ıŋкóvouv．
غ̇к $\tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{v} \dot{v} \boldsymbol{\tau} \pi \alpha \rho \chi \mathbf{o ́ v \tau \omega v}$ ．Source．
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \boldsymbol{v} \pi \alpha \rho \chi \mathbf{o} v \tau \omega v$ ．Pres act ptc neut gen pl ט́ $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \omega$（substantival）． aủtaĩc．Dative of possession．
 $\mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega v \pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \alpha u ̉ t o ̀ v ~ \varepsilon i ̃ \pi \tau \varepsilon \nu ~ \delta ı a ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta o \lambda \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$,

Ivvióvtoc．Pres act ptc masc gen sg oúveıu．Genitive absolute （see 2：2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvعט́ovto¢），temporal．
ő $\chi \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathrm{ov} \pi \mathbf{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{0} \mathbf{v}$ ．Genitive subject of $\Sigma u v$ เóvtoc．
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v$ ката̀ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \dot{\mathbf{o}} \lambda \boldsymbol{\imath}$ ．The article functions as a nominalizer（see 1：48 on $\dot{\alpha}$（ò to $\tilde{v} v \tilde{v} v$ ），changing the PP into part of the genitive subject


ката̀ đó入ıv．Distributive．
 Genitive absolute（see 2：2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvعv́ovtoc），temporal．
$\pi \rho \mathbf{o} \varsigma \boldsymbol{a}$ ủtòv．Locative．
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
סıà $\boldsymbol{\pi} \alpha \rho \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\eta} \varsigma$ ．Means．

 đà $\pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon เ v a ̀ ~ \tau o v ̃ ~ o u ̉ \rho a v o v ̃ ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ \varphi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v ~ a u ̉ \tau o ́ . ~$
${ }^{\prime} \mathbf{E} \xi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \neq \mu \alpha$. The aorist tense naturally serves to carry forward the storyline of the parable, which is an embedded narrative, just as it does in other narratives (cf. Campbell 2007, 110).
ó $\sigma \pi \varepsilon i \rho \omega v$ тoṽ $\sigma \pi \varepsilon i ̃ \rho a ı$ tòv $\sigma \pi$ ópov av̉toṽ. Note the alliteration (words starting with sigma), which evokes the act of sowing-"the style and theme reinforce one another" (Bovon, 1:307), and would have been pleasant to the ear (Caragounis, 456; cf. 1:28 on Xaĩp\&, $\kappa \varepsilon \chi \alpha \rho \iota \tau \omega \mu \varepsilon \dot{v \eta) \text {. }}$
$\dot{\delta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{v}$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\sigma \pi \varepsilon i \rho \omega$ (substantival). Nominative subject of ${ }^{'} \mathrm{E} \zeta \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$.

тoṽ $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \tilde{\rho} \rho \alpha 1$. Aor act inf $\sigma \pi \varepsilon i \rho \omega$ (purpose).
тòv $\sigma \pi$ ópov. Accusative direct object of toṽ $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \tilde{\rho} \rho a \mathrm{a}$.
aủ่oũ. Possessive genitive.
$\sigma \pi \varepsilon i \rho \varepsilon \iota v$. Pres act inf $\sigma \pi \varepsilon i \rho \omega$. Used with $\varepsilon ่ v \tau \omega ̃$ to denote contemporaneous time (see also 1:8 on iepatcúعıv). When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81).
aủtòv. Accusative subject of $\sigma \pi \varepsilon i \rho \varepsilon เ v$.
ö $\mu \varepsilon ̀ v$. The neuter relative pronoun used with $\mu \varepsilon ̀ v$ functions as a demonstrative pronoun. The construction ő $\mu \varepsilon ̀ v \ldots$. . кaì $̌ \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ \nu$ (repeated in vv. 6-8) means "some . . . and others" (BDAG, 727.2.b; see also 3:18 on $\mu \dot{v} v$ ).

غ̈ $\pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi i \pi \tau \omega$.
$\pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{v} v$ ódòv. Locative.
$\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \pi \alpha \tau \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$. Aor pass ind 3rd sg катататє́ш.
$\tau \alpha ̀ ~ \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon เ v \grave{\alpha}$. Nominative subject of $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ \varphi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \nu$. Neuter plural subjects characteristically take singular verbs (see Wallace, 399-400).

тoṽ oủpavoṽ. Genitive of source.
$\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varphi} \varphi \boldsymbol{\gamma} \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg катєбӨí .
aủtó. Accusative direct object of $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v$.


 $\mu \varepsilon ̀ v$.

катغ́л $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v . ~ A o r ~ a c t ~ i n d ~ 3 r d ~ s g ~ к а т а л i \pi \tau \omega . ~}$
غ̇ $\pi \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{v} v \pi \varepsilon ̇ \tau \rho a v$. Locative.
$\varphi u \varepsilon ̀ v$. Aor mid ptc neut nom sg $\varphi$ ú $\omega$ (temporal).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \eta \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta$. Aor pass ind 3rd sg $\xi \eta \rho a i v \omega$. Although the translation, "it dried up," may suggest we have taken this form as middle (see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction), it merely reflects good English style. Since $\xi \eta \rho a i v \omega$ is a transitive verb (see, e.g., Jas 1:11), we should understand the sun as the unspecified agent (v̇tò toṽ ŋ̀ $\lambda$ íou).

 ture"); only here in the NT.
 äкаvӨaı à $\pi \varepsilon ̇ \pi v ı \xi \alpha v a v ̉ \tau o ́$.

ह̈tcpov. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ है $\pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$. See also verse 5 on ő $\mu \varepsilon ̀ v$.
غ̈ $\pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi i \pi \tau \omega$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \omega$. Locative.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha v \theta \tilde{\omega} v$. Partitive genitive.
$\sigma \nu \mu \varphi v \varepsilon \pi \sigma a l$. Aor pass ptc fem nom $\mathrm{pl} \sigma \cup \mu \varphi \cup ́ \omega$ (temporal). The number agrees with the subject of the main verb ( $\alpha i$ ök $\alpha v \theta \alpha \mathrm{a}$ ).
$\alpha i \not a \nprec \kappa \alpha v \theta a u$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon ̇ \pi v i \xi \alpha v$.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \pi v \iota \xi \alpha v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \dot{\alpha} \pi о \pi v i \gamma \omega$.
av̉tó. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \nu \imath \xi \alpha v$.




$\varepsilon ̈ \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi i \pi \tau \omega$.
عiç $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\eta} v \gamma \tilde{\eta} v \tau \grave{v} v \dot{\alpha} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \theta \eta ̀ v$. Locative.
$\varphi u \varepsilon ̀ v$. Aor pass ptc neut nom sg $\varphi$ ú $\omega$ (temporal).
غ̇лоíŋбモv. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi$ oté $\omega$.
карлòv. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ ह́roí $\sigma \varepsilon v$.
غ́катогтал $\lambda \alpha \sigma$ iova. This could be viewed as an accusative attributive modifier of карлòv or perhaps as an adverbial accusative.
$\tau \alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \nu$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (temporal).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\varphi \omega v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. There is no basis for using an ingressive translation here (contra Nolland, 1:369), given the fact that this verb follows a present participle (see 1:59 on غ̇к $\dot{\lambda} \lambda o u v$ ). Luke's use of the imperfect $\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \dot{\omega} v \varepsilon \iota$ may be compared with Mark's imperfect $\varepsilon$ है $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$ (4:9). By adding the imperfective modifying participle $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$, Luke makes even clearer that Jesus repeated this exhortation more than once as he was telling the story.
 hear pay attention!" Runge ( $\$ 5.3$, footnote) points out that this formula "is often used in contexts where difficult content is present."
'O $\left.\begin{array}{c} \\ \chi \\ \chi \\ \omega\end{array}\right)$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\begin{gathered} \\ \chi\end{gathered} \omega$ (substantival). $\tilde{\omega} \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ है $\chi \omega v$.
àкov́धıv. Pres act inf à $\kappa$ ои́ $\omega$ (epexegetical).
àкоvét $\boldsymbol{\omega}$. Pres act impv 3rd sg àкоú $\omega$.

##  $\beta \mathbf{o}$ ŋ̀.

 the imperfective aspect and marked word order serve to highlight the significance of this exchange (cf. 7:36 on 'Hp'́ta). Where verbs occur with a subject (oi $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha i ̀ \alpha u ̉ t o v ̃) ~ a n d ~ a ~ c o m p l e m e n t ~(\tau i c ̧ ~ a u ̈ \tau \eta ~$ عỉ $\dot{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta 0 \lambda \dot{\eta}$ ) and/or adjunct (av̉tòv), as here, the subject precedes the verb 379 out of 527 times in Luke (Kwong, 48). Two factors suggest that Kwong has overstated his case or misrepresented the syntax. First, the statistics show that this construction is fairly common ( $28 \%$ of the time in Luke). Second, the imperfect likely serves to mark this clause as background information rather than to highlight its significance.
av̉̃òv. Accusative direct object of 'E $\pi \eta \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \tau \omega v$.
oi $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha i$. Nominative subject of 'Еппр $\omega \dot{\omega} \tau \omega v$.
av̉兀oṽ. Genitive of relationship.
tic. Predicate nominative. The interrogative pronoun introduces an indirect question (cf. 1:29; 18:36). Lit. "What might this parable be."
 the demonstrative pronoun, resulting in a discontinuous NP, likely lends prominence to the disciples' statement.

عiln. Pres act opt 3rd sg عipi. Lit. "might be." On the mood, see 1:29 on عỉn.

 $\beta \lambda \varepsilon ̇ \pi \omega \sigma เ \nu$ каì ảкоv́ovtєৎ $\mu \grave{~} \sigma v v t \omega ̃ \sigma เ v$.
ó. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon v$ (see 1:29 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ).
ยĩлยv. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
${ }^{\prime} \Upsilon \mu \mathrm{i} v$. Dative indirect object of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \delta o \tau \alpha 1$. The fronting of the indirect object helps set up the contrast with toĩs . . . 入oıroĩs and highlights "the disciples' privilege of knowing the mystery of the kingdom of God" (Kwong, 109).
$\delta \varepsilon ́ \delta o \tau a t$. Prf pass ind 3 rd sg $\delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{t}$.
$\gamma \nu \tilde{\omega} v a ı$. Aor act inf $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \omega$ (subject). Lit. "to know the mysteries . . . has been given to you."
$\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\rho} \iota \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\gamma \nu \tilde{\omega} v \alpha ı$.
т $\check{\varsigma} \beta \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Genitive of reference.
тoṽ $\theta$ coṽ. Subjective genitive (see also 4:43).
тоĩs . . . 入otтоĩ̧. Dative indirect object of an implied verb of speaking.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta o \lambda a i ̃ c$. Dative of instrument.
itva. The conjunction likely introduces either a purpose or a result clause (Bovon, 1:312), which is an allusion to Isa 6:9. Fitzmyer (1:708-9) concedes that the clause can be read either way, preferring to read it as result, in part because in Hellenistic Greek ïva with the subjunctive can substitute for $\check{\omega} \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ with an infinitive (a result construction; cf. BDF $\$ \$ 388,391.5)$. The translation preserves this ambiguity. However, Fitzmyer ( $1: 708$ ) notes that the parallel account in Matt 13:13 uses ötı in place of Mark's (4:12) ǐva to indicate that speaking in parables is "the cause of the people's incomprehension." Actually, it is the combination of the causal סià тoũтo and epexegetical ötı that conveys this idea.
$\beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma . ~ P r e s ~ a c t ~ p t c ~ m a s c ~ n o m ~} \mathrm{pl} \beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega($ concessive).
$\beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega \sigma \sigma v$. Pres act subj 3rd pl $\beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega$. Subjunctive with îva.
áкov́ovtec. Pres act ptc masc nom pl ảkov́ $\omega$ (concessive).
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} v \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} v$. Pres act subj 3rd pl $\sigma v v i \eta \mu \mathrm{l}$. Subjunctive with îva.

##  $\theta \varepsilon$ ©ũ.

"Eб兀ıv $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \eta \mathfrak{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \mathbf{o} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\eta}$. Lit. "the parable is this."
"Eбтıv. Pres act ind 3rd sg عijí.
aű $\tau \eta$. Predicate nominative. The demonstrative pronoun is cataphoric, pointing forward to the entire explanation of the parable (see also 10:11 on toũto).
$\dot{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \mathbf{o} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\eta}$. Nominative subject of "Eбтıv.
'O бтópoç. Nominative subject of ह̇бтìv.
غ̇бтìv. Pres act ind 3rd sg عíui. On the retention of the accent, see 1:36 on éбтìv.
ó $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ó $\mathbf{\gamma o c}$. Predicate nominative.
то⿱̃ $\theta$ عov. Genitive of source or subjective genitive (cf. 5:1).

 $\pi เ \sigma \tau \varepsilon v ่ \sigma \alpha ข \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \sigma \omega \theta \tilde{\omega} \sigma เ \nu$.
oi. The article functions as a nominalizer (see 1:48 on átò toṽ vũv), changing either the PP $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{~} \tau \eta ̀ v$ ódóv or an implied $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ into the nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ iotv.
$\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} v$ ódóv. Locative. The verb $\pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ has likely been omitted by ellipsis.

عioviv. Pres act ind 3rd pl ciui. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عiцu.
 Predicate nominative.

عĩta. Temporal.


aîpe. Pres act ind 3rd sg ai̋ $\rho \omega$.
тòv $\lambda \mathbf{o ́} \gamma \mathbf{o v}$. Accusative direct object of ailpeı.

aủtũv. Possessive genitive.
îva. Introduces a purpose clause.
$\pi เ \sigma \tau \varepsilon v ่ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom $\mathrm{pl} \pi \not \approx \tau \varepsilon \cup \dot{\omega} \omega$ (attendant circumstance). Attendant circumstance participles take on the mood of the verb they modify (see also $5: 14$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} v$ ).
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega \theta \tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau v$. Aor pass subj 3rd pl $\sigma \omega \mathscr{\zeta \omega}$. Subjunctive with îva.



oi. The article functions as a nominalizer (see 1:48 on dं 1 o toṽ
 or ката兀 $\varepsilon$ бov $\tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ into the nominative subject in a verbless equative clause. Alternatively, one could posit ellipsis here, in which case oi . . . غ̇ $\pi \grave{\grave{l}} \tau \eta ̃ \varsigma \pi \varepsilon ́ \tau \rho a \varsigma$ is the subject of an implied $\varepsilon i \sigma \iota v$ (so Nolland, 1:385).

غ̇nì $\tau \eta ̃ \varsigma \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \rho \alpha \varsigma$. Locative.
oil. Nominative subject of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi o v \tau \alpha ı$. The relative pronoun may introduce a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ő), which as a whole (oï ötav $\dot{\alpha} \kappa o v ́ \sigma \omega \sigma \iota v \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \chi \alpha \rho a ̃ ৎ ~ \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi o v \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v) ~ s e r v e s ~ a s ~$ the predicate nominative in a verbless equative clause (see the translation). If we posit ellipsis (see above), on the other hand, the relative clause would modify the implied predicate nominative oi áкoúбаvtє؟: "The ones on the rocky soil are those who heard, who, when they hear. . . ." The awkwardness of the full construction would explain why Luke opted to use ellipsis or the headless relative construction. One could also, however, plausibly read the first relative clause as modifying oi $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ と̇ $\pi \grave{~} \tau \eta ̃ \varsigma ~ \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \rho \alpha \varsigma$, with the second relative clause serving as predicate (as a headless relative clause): "The ones on rocky soil, which when they hear receive the word with joy, but they have no roots, are those who believe for a time and fall away when temptation comes."
ö $\tau \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. On translating "when" rather than "whenever," see 6:22.
àкov́owotv. Aor act subj 3rd pl d̉kov́w. Subjunctive with ötav.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ̀ \chi \alpha \rho \tilde{a} \varsigma$. Manner, modifying סé $\chi o v \tau \alpha u$.


кaì. The conjunction with the demonstrative oũtot seems to mark this clause as an additional clarification.


ě $\chi$ ovoıv. Pres act ind 3rd pl $\varepsilon$ eै $\chi \omega$.
oil. Nominative subject of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \dot{o}$
be viewed as standing in apposition to the previous relative clause and thus also modifying oi . . . غ̇лì тŋ̃ऽ $\pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \rho a \varsigma$. More likely, though, it either modifies ouṽot or stands in apposition to it as a headless relative clause (see the translation).
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \kappa a \iota \rho o ̀ v . ~ T e m p o r a l . ~$
$\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v ่ o v \sigma เ v$. Pres act ind 3rd pl $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v ่ \omega$.
ह̀v каı $\rho \tilde{̣}$. Temporal.
$\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho a \sigma \mu \boldsymbol{0}$. "At the time when temptation occurs."
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi \dot{\prime} \sigma \tau \alpha v \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$. Pres mid ind 3rd pl $\dot{\alpha} \varphi i \sigma \tau \eta \mu$.

 $\sigma \nu \mu \pi v i \gamma o v \tau \alpha \iota ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ o v ̉ ~ \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varphi o \rho o v ̃ \sigma t \nu . ~$

тò . . . $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{o} v$. Aor act ptc neut nom sg $\pi i \pi \tau \omega$ (substantival). The participial clause serves as the topic of what follows (see 1:36 on ' $\mathrm{E} \lambda \iota \sigma \dot{\alpha} \beta \varepsilon \tau$ ) and is picked up by the resumptive pronoun oṽtoi.

oṽ่тoí. Nominative subject of عiovv. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ dén $\begin{gathered}\text { iç. } \\ \text {. }\end{gathered}$

عiovv. Pres act ind 3rd pl $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mu \mathrm{i}$. On the loss of accent, see $1: 18$ on عíp.
 Predicate nominative.

тoṽ $\beta \mathbf{i o v}$. Likely genitive of reference or source. This NP could modify either $\dot{\eta} \delta o v \tilde{\omega} \nu$ alone or more likely $\mu \varepsilon \rho \mu \nu \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ кaì $\pi \lambda$ ov́tov kaì ๆંסovथ̃v.
 attendant circumstance).
$\sigma v \mu \pi v i \gamma o v \tau \alpha ı$. Pres pass ind 3rd pl $\sigma \nu \mu \pi v i \zeta \omega$.
$\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varphi о \rho о \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \iota v$. Pres act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varphi \rho \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. Only here in the NT. Lit. "they do not produce mature fruit" (see LN 23.203).



tò. Given the use of the neuter gender, as in the previous verse
but in contrast to verses 12 and 13, we are most likely dealing with a case of ellipsis here ( $\tau o ̀ ~ \delta \varepsilon ̇ ~ \varepsilon ̇ v ~ \tau n ̃ ~ \kappa \alpha \lambda \tilde{n} \gamma \tilde{\eta} \pi \varepsilon \sigma o ́ v)$, rather than with an article functioning as a nominalizer with $\varepsilon ้ v \tau \tilde{n} \kappa \alpha \lambda \tilde{n} \gamma \tilde{n}$ (see 1:48 on átò $\tau 0 \tilde{v} v \tilde{v} v)$. The implied participial clause serves as the topic of what follows (see $1: 36$ on 'E $\lambda^{\prime} \sigma \dot{\alpha} \beta \varepsilon \tau$ ) and is picked up by the resumptive pronoun oṽ̃oí (cf. v. 47).
$\varepsilon ̇ v \tau \tilde{\eta} \kappa \alpha \lambda \tilde{1} \gamma \eta \tilde{n}$. Locative. The verb $\pi \varepsilon \sigma o ́ v$ has likely been omitted by ellipsis.
oṽtoi. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ íवıv. On the second accent, see 1:13


عíciv. Pres act ind 3rd pl cíui. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عiцı.
oïtıvec. Nominative subject of кaté $\chi$ оvбıv. The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ö), which as

 of $\varepsilon i \sigma \iota \nu$. For more on the so-called indefinite relative pronoun, see 1:20 on oïtıvec.
 good heart." Although most versions translate this expression
 should likely be viewed as a doublet (cf. the synonymous use of the two adjectives in Matt 7:17). A doublet uses two near synonyms, joined by a conjunction, to refer to a single idea. Doublets in Greek, such as tغ́pata кaì $ๆ \eta \mu \varepsilon i ̃ \alpha ~ t e n d ~ t o ~ s e r v e ~ a s ~ a ~ w a y ~ o f ~ i n t e n s i f y i n g ~ t h e ~$ semantics of the conjoined terms. Alternatively, the use of к $\alpha \lambda \tilde{\eta}$ here rather than simply $\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v ~ к \alpha \rho \delta i ́ a ̣ ~ \alpha ~ \gamma ~ a ~ O n ̃ ~ m a y ~ b e ~ c o n d i t i o n e d ~ b y ~ i t s ~$ association with $\tau \tilde{\eta} \kappa \alpha \lambda \tilde{n} \gamma \eta \tilde{n}$. In either case, the scribe of Codex D viewed ка入ñ кaì as redundant and omitted it.
áкоv́баvtє¢. Aor act ptc masc nom pl व̉коv́ $\omega$ (temporal).
 रovoเv.
 to traditions, convictions, or beliefs" (BDAG, 533.2.b).

карлофорои̃бเv. Pres act ind 3rd pl карлочорє́ $\omega$.
غ̇v $\mathbf{v} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{v} \mathbf{1}$. Manner.

## Luke 8：16－18

${ }^{16 " N o}$ one，after lighting a lamp，hides it in a container or puts it under a bed．Instead，he puts it on a lampstand so that those who enter may see the light．${ }^{17}$ Indeed，there is no hidden thing that will not become visible，nor a secret thing that will not certainly be made known and come into the open．${ }^{18}$ Pay attention，then，to how you listen．For whoever has，to him will be given；and whoever does not have，even that which he appears to have will be taken from him．＂

 $\beta \lambda \varepsilon ̇ \pi \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ тò $\varphi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$ ．

Oủסcic．Nominative subject of ка入úл $\tau \varepsilon$ ．
入úxvov．Accusative direct object of ä $\psi a \varsigma$ ．
ä $\psi \alpha c$ ．Aor act ptc masc nom sg ä $\pi \tau \omega$（temporal）．
$\kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{v} \pi \tau \varepsilon เ$ ．Pres act ind 3 rd sg ка入únt $\omega$ ．
av̉̃òv．Accusative direct object of ка入úлtєı．
бкєúع．Dative of location．
v́лока่ $\tau \omega$ к $\lambda i v \eta \varsigma$ ．Locative．
$\tau i \theta \eta \sigma \iota v$ ．Pres act ind 3rd sg $\tau i \theta \eta \mu$ ．
ènì $\lambda u \chi v i \alpha c$. Locative．
$\tau i \theta \eta \sigma \iota v$ ．Pres act ind 3rd sg ti $\theta \eta \mu$ ．
îva．Introduces a purpose clause．
 （substantival）．
$\beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega \sigma \iota v$ ．Pres act subj 3rd $\mathrm{pl} \beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega \omega$ ．Subjunctive with îva．
тò $\varphi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$ ．Accusative direct object of $\beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega \sigma \sigma$ ．
8：17 ov̉ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ह̀ $\sigma \tau เ v \kappa \rho \cup \pi \tau o ̀ v ~ o ̋ ~ o v ̉ ~ \varphi a v \varepsilon \rho o ̀ v ~ \gamma \varepsilon v \eta ̇ \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha ı ~ o v ̉ d e ̀ ~ a ̉ \pi o ́ к \rho v-~$

$\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ．The conjunction is best viewed as broadly strengthening the preceding assertion（see also 1：15）．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau เ v$. Pres act ind 3 rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$ ．On the loss of accent，see 1：18 on عíp．
$\kappa \rho v \pi \tau \mathbf{o ̀ v}$ ．Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$（lit．＂A hidden thing does not exist that will not become known＂）or perhaps predicate adjec－ tive，if $\varepsilon$ ह̇б $\iota \nu$ can be used impersonally．
ö. Nominative subject of $\gamma \varepsilon v \eta \dot{\sigma} \tau \tau \alpha$. The relative clause modifies the substantival крилтòv.
pavepòv. Predicate adjective.
$\gamma \varepsilon v \eta \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Fut mid ind 3rd sg $\gamma$ ivouaı.
à $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\prime} \kappa \rho \boldsymbol{\rho} \varphi \boldsymbol{o v}$. Nominative subject ("A secret thing does not exist . . .") or predicate adjective of an implied $̇$ ह̇бтıv.
ő. Nominative subject of $\gamma v \omega \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta}$. The relative clause modifies the substantival pavepòv (see also above on ö).
$\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta}$. Aor pass subj 3rd sg $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \sigma \kappa \omega$. The subjunctive is used with ov̉ $\mu$ ', which expresses emphatic negation (see also 1:15 on $\pi$ in).
عic $\varphi$ avepòv. Locative. The adjective is being used substantivally, referring to the sphere of things known or seen publicly (cf. BDAG, 1048.2).
 $\mu \eta$, which expresses emphatic negation (see also 1:15 on $\pi i!\eta$ ).


$\beta \lambda \varepsilon ́ \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd $\mathrm{pl} \beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega$.
$\pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$. The interrogative introduces a clausal complement of $\beta \lambda \varepsilon ́ \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$.
àкои́єtع. Pres act ind 2nd pl áкои́ш.
 (see 9:48 on "Oc $\begin{gathered}\text { àa } v \text { ) introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 }\end{gathered}$ on ö), which as a whole (öc $\ddot{\alpha} v \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ é $\chi \eta$ ) serves as the topic of what follows (see $1: 36$ on' $\mathrm{E} \lambda\lrcorner \sigma \dot{\alpha} \beta \varepsilon \tau$ ) and is picked up by the resumptive pronoun av̉tẹ.
$\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).

$\delta \mathbf{o} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$. The subject of the verb is unspecified.

ö¢ $\mathfrak{a} v$. See above. Here the resumptive pronoun is av̉toṽ.
Ě $\chi \eta$. Pres act subj 3 rd sg $\varepsilon$ ê $\chi \omega$. Subjunctive with $\ddot{\alpha} v$.
ő. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ है $\chi \iota \downarrow$. The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ö), which as a whole (ö


бокєĩ. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\delta$ ок $\varepsilon \omega$. Here, the verb means "to consider as probable, think, believe, suppose, consider" (BDAG, 254.1.a).

हैX $\chi \iota v$. Pres act inf $\begin{gathered} \\ \\ \chi \\ \omega\end{gathered}$. Given the semantics of the main verb, the infinitive may be viewed as introducing indirect discourse with a verb of cognition (cf. 1:22 on ötı).
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \theta \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$, . Fut pass ind 3rd sg ai̋ $\rho \omega$.
$\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{\prime}$ av่̉ $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ṽ. Separation.

## Luke 8:19-21

${ }^{19}$ Now, his mother and brothers came to him but were not able to get near him because of the crowd. ${ }^{20}$ So it was reported to him, "Your mother and your brothers are standing outside, wanting to see you." ${ }^{21} \mathrm{He}$ responded and said to them, "These are my mother and brothers: those who hear God's Word and do it."

##  

Парє $\gamma \varepsilon$ ह́vєто. Aor mid ind 3rd sg $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma^{\prime} \nu \boldsymbol{\mu} \alpha \mathrm{a}$. McKay (18) notes that with compound subjects, "if one of the subjects is more important than the others a singular verb may be attached to it, especially when the verb precedes its subject. . . . This is also the case when two (or more) subjects are treated as if they were a single entity," as here (cf. 2:33). Interestingly, the conjoined verb $\eta$ $\delta u ́ v a v \tau o ~ i s ~ p l u r a l . ~$
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ v . ~ S p a t i a l . ~$
 $\nu \varepsilon \tau$. It is unclear whether $\alpha d \varepsilon \lambda \varphi$ ol is "brothers" or "siblings."

ク̇סúvavto. Impf mid ind 3rd pl סúvauaı.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \nu \tau \tau \chi \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} \nu$. Aor act inf $\sigma \cup \nu \tau \cup \gamma \chi \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ (complementary).

סıà tòv ôx入ov. Cause.


$\dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \gamma \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \eta$. Aor pass ind 3rd sg $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$. Here, 'H $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho$ бov
 clausal subject (direct discourse) of the passive verb. A number of
mss ( $\mathcal{N} \mathrm{DL} \Theta f^{1} 33579892$ 1241) seek to make the direct discourse more explicit with the insertion of ő $\tau \mathfrak{a f t e r}$ av̉tụ.
$\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \tilde{\omega}$. Dative indirect object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \gamma \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \eta$.
 oov. Genitive of relationship.

 $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \mathbf{o v t} \dot{\varepsilon} \varsigma$. Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$ (manner or causal). On the second accent, see $1: 13$ on $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\eta} \eta \sigma i \varsigma$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Accusative direct object of ideĩv.

##  

$\dot{\text { on }}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon \nu$ (see 1:29 on $\dot{\eta}$ ).

 article plus $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ to shift speakers within a discourse (e.g., 3:13; 4:43;
 set phrase (e.g., 4:12; 5:22; 7:22; 9:20; 13:2; 19:40) provide strong evi-
 ing"). On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ ò $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ aủtov́ৎ. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho$ ò $\alpha$ aủtòv).
Mŋ́ $\boldsymbol{\imath} \boldsymbol{\rho}$. . . кaì . . . à $\delta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \varphi \boldsymbol{o}$. The syntax here is ambiguous. The nominative Mŋ́тๆp . . . каì . . . àd $\delta \lambda\rangle$ ¢oí may serve as the topic of what follows (see $1: 36$ on ' ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \iota \sigma \dot{\alpha} \beta \varepsilon \tau$ ) and be picked up by the resumptive pronoun oṽtoi (so Fitzmyer, 1:725): "My mother and my brothers-these are the ones who hear the word of God and do it." This would be in keeping with Luke's fondness for such constructions (see, e.g., vv. 14, 15, 18, 18). The anarthrous M $\eta$ ' $\tau \eta$. . . каì . . . á $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o i ́$, however, perhaps more likely serves as a predicate nominative (see the translation; so Nolland, $1: 393,395$ ).
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Genitive of relationship.
 a nominative topic or predicate nominative (see above). Yet another possible analysis would be to view M $\eta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho \ldots$. . кaì . . . á $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi$ oi as the nominative subject of ciఠ๘v with the cataphoric oũ̃oi (see also 10:11
on toũto) as a predicate nominative: "My mother and siblings are these: those who hear God's Word and do it." Although the anarthrous NP appears to have less of a claim to subjecthood than the demonstrative pronoun oũ̃oi (see Wallace, 44), Culy $(2004,11)$ has suggested that a cataphoric pronoun, which is possible here, should be viewed as a predicate nominative rather than a subject.

عiotv. Pres act ind 3rd pl ciui. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عiцı.
đòv $\boldsymbol{\lambda o ́} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{o v}$. Accusative direct object of ảkov́ovtec.
то⿱̃ $\theta$ عoṽ. Genitive of source or subjective genitive (cf. 5:1).
àkov́ovte¢. Pres act ptc masc nom pl àkov́ $\omega$. The participles could either be substantival predicate nominatives ("My mother and my brothers-these are the ones who hear the word of God and do it"), substantival in apposition to oũ toi (see the translation), or attributive modifiers of oũ̃oi. The final option is unlikely given the position of the verb that intervenes.
 ovtec.

## Luke 8:22-25

${ }^{22}$ Now it happened on one of (those) days that he got into a boat, along with his disciples, and said to them, "Let's go to the opposite side of the lake." So they set out. ${ }^{23}$ As they sailed, he fell asleep. And a wind storm came down on the lake and they were being swamped with water and were in danger. ${ }^{24}$ So they went and woke him, saying, "Master, master, we're about to die!" Then he woke up and rebuked the wind and the rough water; and they ceased and it was calm. ${ }^{25} \mathrm{He}$ said to them, "Where is your faith?" And they were afraid and amazed, saying to one another, "Who can this man be, since he even rebukes the winds and the water and they obey him?"

##   

'Еү́̌veto. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual. See 1:8 on'Eүéveto.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \mu \nu \tilde{a} \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$. See 5:12.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \mu i \tilde{a}$. Temporal.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \rho \tilde{\omega} v$. Partitive genitive.
 use of the conjunction with aútós here, see 4:15.
av̉тoṽ. Genitive of relationship.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \dot{\varepsilon} \beta \eta$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \beta a i v \omega$. McKay (18) notes that with compound subjects, "if one of the subjects is more important than the others a singular verb may be attached to it, especially when the verb precedes its subject.... This is also the case when two (or more) subjects are treated as if they were a single entity." The former is more likely the case here.

عíc $\pi \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{0} \mathbf{o} \mathbf{o v}$. Locative.
av̉тoṽ. Genitive of relationship.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\gamma} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ ò $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ủtov́s. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \alpha u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
$\Delta \iota \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \theta \omega \mu \varepsilon v$. Aor act subj 1st pl $\delta$ เغ́pXo $\mu \alpha ı$ (hortatory).
عíc $\tau \mathbf{o ̀} \pi \varepsilon ́ \rho a v$. Locative.
$\tau \eta ̃ \varsigma \lambda i \mu v \eta \varsigma$. Partitive genitive.
$\dot{\alpha} v \grave{\eta} \chi \boldsymbol{\eta} \eta \sigma \alpha v$. Aor pass ind 3rd pl $\alpha \mathfrak{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\gamma} \omega$. The verb in the middle and passive is used as a nautical term (BDAG, 62.4).


$\pi \lambda \varepsilon o ́ v \tau \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc gen $\mathrm{pl} \pi \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvєv́ovtoc), temporal.
$\alpha v ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. Genitive subject of $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \delta \dot{v} \tau \omega \nu$.
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi \dot{u} \pi v \omega \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg à $\varphi v \pi v \dot{\alpha} \omega$.
$\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \beta \boldsymbol{\eta}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg катаßаiv $\omega$.
$\lambda a i ̃ \lambda a \psi$. Nominative subject of кatغ́ $\beta \eta$.
àv $\dot{\mu} \mu \mathrm{ov}$. Attributive genitive.
$\varepsilon i c ̧ \tau \eta ̀ \nu i \mu \nu \eta v$. Locative.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} v \boldsymbol{v} \pi \lambda \boldsymbol{\eta} \rho \boldsymbol{0} v \tau \tau$. Impf pass ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \sigma \nu \mu \pi \lambda \eta \rho o \dot{\omega}$. The verb is used as a nautical term to indicate a boat being swamped with water (LN 54.14).

غ̇кıขסúvevov. Impf act ind 3rd pl kıvסuvev́a.



$\pi \rho \mathbf{o \sigma \varepsilon \lambda} \boldsymbol{\theta}$ óv $\tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom pl $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha 1$ (attendant circumstance).
$\delta ı \eta \dot{\gamma \varepsilon \iota \rho a v . ~ A o r ~ a c t ~ i n d ~ 3 r d ~ p l ~} \delta \iota \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon i \rho \omega$.
aủ่òv. Accusative direct object of $\delta$ ıŋ́ $\gamma \varepsilon \iota \rho a v$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v \tau \varepsilon}$. Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (means or manner).
 (here, a repetition of the same word; cf. 8:15 on $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ кар $\delta \dot{i}$ к ка入ñ каì $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \underline{n}$ ) intensifies the emotional force of the address (cf. 10:41; Acts 9:4).
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \boldsymbol{\sigma} \lambda \lambda \dot{v} \mu \varepsilon \theta \boldsymbol{a}$. Pres mid ind 1st pl à $\pi o \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \mathrm{~L}$.
ó. Nominative subject of $\grave{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \tau i \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ (see 1:29 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ).
$\delta เ \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \rho \theta \varepsilon i \varsigma$. Aor mid ptc masc nom sg $\delta เ \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon i \rho \omega$ (attendant circumstance). On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.


$\tau \tilde{\mu} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \lambda \boldsymbol{\iota} \delta \boldsymbol{\omega} v \boldsymbol{\tau}$ тoṽ v̋סatoc. Lit. "wave of water."
غ̇̃aúбavto. Aor mid ind 3rd pl $\pi$ aú $\omega$.
غ̇үย́veto. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual.




$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av̉тoĩc. Dative indirect object of عĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$.
Пои̃. Interrogative adverb.
$\dot{\eta} \pi i \sigma \tau \iota c$. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Subjective genitive.
 circumstance). On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \theta a \dot{u} \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \theta \alpha v \mu \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$.
$\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\gamma} \gamma \boldsymbol{o} \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Pres act ptc masc nom $\mathrm{pl} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (manner).

Tiç ápa oṽ̃óc éotıv. Lit. "Who then is this?"
Tíc. Predicate nominative.
oũtóc. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\eta$ ŋ $\delta \varepsilon ́ \eta \sigma i \varsigma . ~$
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg ei $\mu \mathrm{i}$. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عìu.
ötı. Introduces a causal clause.

غ̇ $\boldsymbol{\tau \tau \alpha \dot { \sigma } \sigma \sigma \varepsilon ı . ~ P r e s ~ a c t ~ i n d ~ 3 r d ~ s g ~ e ̇ \pi ı \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \omega . ~}$
v́taкoúovoıv. Pres act ind 3rd pl útaкои́ $\omega$.


## Luke 8:26-39

${ }^{26}$ So they sailed down to the region of the Gerasenes, which is opposite Galilee. ${ }^{27}$ And a certain man from the city who had demons met Jesus who had just stepped ashore. For a long time he had not worn clothes and had not been living in a house, but rather in the tombs. ${ }^{28}$ Now, when he saw Jesus, he screamed and fell before him, and then said in a loud voice, "Why are you interfering with us, Jesus Son of the Most High God? I beg you, do not torment me!" ${ }^{29}$ For he had commanded the unclean spirit to come out of the man. (For on many occasions it had seized him, and he had been bound with chains and shackles and kept under guard. But he would break the restraints and be driven by the demon into the uninhabited areas.) ${ }^{30}$ Then Jesus asked him, "What is your name?" And he said, "Legion"-because many demons had entered him- ${ }^{31}$ and began begging him not to command them to depart into the abyss.
${ }^{32}$ Now, a herd of quite a few pigs was there, grazing on the hill; and (the demons) begged him to allow them to enter them; and he allowed them. ${ }^{33}$ So the demons came out from the man and entered the pigs; and the herd rushed down the steep bank into the lake and drowned. ${ }^{34}$ When the herdsmen saw what had happened, they ran away and told (the news) in the city and countryside. ${ }^{35}$ Then (people from the area) went out to see what had happened. They came to Jesus and found the man from whom the demons had come out clothed and in his right mind, sitting at Jesus' feet; and they were afraid. ${ }^{36}$ Those who had seen (what had happened) told them how the man who had been demon possessed had been made well. ${ }^{37}$ Then the whole crowd from the surrounding region of the Gerasenes asked him to leave them because they were seized with great fear. So he got into the boat and returned (to the other side of the lake).
${ }^{38}$ Now, (before he left) the man from whom the demons had come out was begging to be with him; but (Jesus) sent him away, saying, ${ }^{39 "}$ Return to your home and tell how much God has done for you." So he went throughout the whole city proclaiming how much Jesus had done for him.

##  

Kai. Although we have separated this scene from the preceding one for convenience, Luke's use of kai suggests that he is presenting them as part of the same episode.
$\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon ่ \pi \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl катал $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. Only here in the NT. This verb refers to sailing toward the coast (BDAG, 524).

عís $\tau \mathfrak{̀} v \chi$ ¢́pav. Locative.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \Gamma \varepsilon \rho \alpha \sigma \eta v \tilde{\omega} v$. "The region where the Gerasenes lived." Each of the Synoptics have multiple readings for this place name. Both $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \Gamma \varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon \sigma \eta \nu \tilde{\omega} v$ and $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \Gamma \alpha \delta \alpha \rho \eta \nu \tilde{\omega} v$ are well attested, but $\tau \tilde{\omega} v$ $\Gamma \varepsilon \rho a \sigma \eta \nu \tilde{\omega} v$ is the best attested reading in both Luke and Mark (see Metzger, 121).

ท̈นıc. Nominative subject of દ̇бtiv. For more on the so-called indefinite relative pronoun, see 1:20 on oiltıvec.

غ̇бтìv. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon \dot{\mu} \mu i$. On the retention of the accent, see 1:36 on દ̇бтì.
$\alpha \mathfrak{\alpha} v \tau ı \pi \varepsilon \dot{\rho} \rho \alpha \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \Gamma \alpha \lambda_{\imath} \lambda \alpha i \alpha \varsigma$. Locative.



 uses a genitive absolute ( $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \lambda$ Өóvtoc aủtoũ) to give this phrase a temporal meaning ("When he got out of the boat . . ."), and commentators (Nolland, 1:402; Johnson, 135) and translators (NASB, NIV, NKJV, NRSV, REB) regularly render the Lukan phrase temporally as well. Several scholars maintain that Luke has improved Mark's grammar here (e.g., Fitzmyer, 1:737; Marshall, 337; Nolland, $1: 407)$. Such comments, however, appear to be based on the misconception that the referent of the subject of a genitive absolute
clause cannot appear in the main clause (see 2:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvعúovtos). It is better to recognize that Luke has shifted the focus from what is found in Mark's account. Culy (2003) has argued that adverbial participles generally only occur in the nominative and genitive (absolute) cases. He suggests that the writer's choice to use an attributive participle "keeps the focus on the referent . . . rather than shifting it to an action" (Culy 2003, 449; see also 452).
av่̉ $\mathfrak{e}$. Dative complement of vint่v $\tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. The fronted position of the pronoun (only 78 of 384 examples of this word order in Luke) and its position within the participial clause that modifies it ( $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \lambda \theta$ óv $\tau$ ... $\varepsilon \pi \pi i ̀ \tau \eta\rangle \nu \eta ̃ v ;$ Kwong, 110, n. 22) may both serve to highlight the significance of the interaction between Jesus and the demoniac (Kwong, 109; see further below; see also v. 31 on $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda o u v$ ) or highlight the close temporal relation between Jesus disembarking and the demoniac coming to him (see the translation).

غ̇đì $\tau \grave{\eta} v \gamma \tilde{\eta} \nu$. Locative.



$\varepsilon \neq \chi \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\begin{gathered} \\ \chi \\ \omega\end{gathered}$ (attributive). The variant readings here demonstrate the close similarity in function between the attributive participle ( $\left(P^{75} \boldsymbol{\aleph}^{*}\right.$ B $5791241 p c$ ) and a relative clause construction (őऽ عĩ $\chi \varepsilon v$ is found in ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~N}$ A D L W $\Theta \Xi \Psi f^{1,13} \nsupseteq$ ).
$\delta \alpha \iota \mu$ óvıa. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ é $\chi \omega v$.
$\chi \rho \mathbf{o} v \varrho \underline{\operatorname{i}} \mathbf{i k \alpha v} \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Dative of time. This is an example of the dative of time, which usually indicates a distinct point of time, overlapping with the accusative of time, which usually indicates an extent of time (see Wallace, 156, n. 44; BDF §201). In such cases, the semantic extension of time tends to be conveyed by an adjective that modifies the time word (here ikavẹ, cf. v. 29). The dative case plus the time word thus sets the temporal context, while the modifier specifies the extent of that temporal context. Note the use of the same phrase in the accusative case at 20:9. Luke continues to use marked word order here with the extent of time phrase preceding the verb it modifies, an order that he uses only seven of thirty-four times in his gospel (see also below on $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ oikiạ and above on aủt $\tilde{\omega})$. This appears to emphasize the length of time. Perhaps because of this atypical word order, or because the length of demon possession seemed more important
than the time the man spent without clothing, some scribes associated the time phrase with the preceding participle rather than




ह̇vع $\delta \dot{v} \sigma a \tau 0$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg $̇ v \delta \dot{v} \omega$.
í $\mu$ átıov. Accusative direct object of $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \delta$ v́のato.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v$ oikiá. Locative. This is yet another marked word order with a spatial modifier preceding the verb it modifies, an order Luke uses only sixty-three of 408 times in his gospel (Kwong, 63-64; see also above on $\chi \rho o ́ v \omega$ ikavẽ and aủt $\tilde{\varphi})$. Here, it likely serves to help set up the contrast with $\varepsilon \dot{v}$ тoĩs $\mu v \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \sigma \iota v$ and thus highlight the demoniac's unusual living accommodations.

غ̈น $\mu \nu \varepsilon v$. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega \omega$.
ह̇v тоĩ̧ $\mu \nu \eta \dot{\mu} \mu \sigma \tau \nu$. Locative.

##   

$i \delta \dot{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg ó $\rho \dot{\alpha} \omega$ (temporal).
тòv 'Inбoṽv. Accusative direct object of í $\delta \dot{\omega} v$.
 cumstance).
$\pi \rho о \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi i \pi \tau \omega$. Although the verb $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi i \pi \tau \omega$ can mean "to move with force against someth." (BDAG, 884.2), as when winds beat against a house (Matt 7:25), rendering the verb "lunged at" here (Fitzmyer, 1:738) does not appear to have any contextual basis.
av่̉ $\tilde{\omega}$. Dative complement of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon ่ \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$.
$\varphi \omega v \tilde{n} \mu \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta$. In terms of syntax, dative of instrument. In terms of semantics, the manner in which they shouted (cf. 1:42; 4:33; 19:37; 23:46; Acts 7:57, 60; 8:7).
$\varepsilon і ँ \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ่ \gamma \omega$.
Tí éroì кaì ooi. This idiom (lit. "What to me and to you?") functions to highlight distance between the two referents (cf. Keener 2003, 1:506) and may here be translated, "What do we have to do with you?" "What do we have in common?" or "Leave us alone!"
(BDAG, 275, s.v. $\varepsilon \quad \gamma \dot{\omega}$; see also 4:34). The translation used above comes from Marshall (193), who points to the use of this expression in the LXX (Josh 22:24; Judg 11:12; 2 Sam 16:10; 19:22; 1 Kgs 17:18; 2 Kgs 3:13).
ti. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
$\dot{\eta} \mu$ ĩv . . . ooi. Dative of respect or possession. Lit. (perhaps) "What is there with reference to us and you?"
'I $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ oũ. Vocative.
viè. Vocative in apposition to 'I $\eta \sigma o v ̃$.
тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ тoṽ $\mathfrak{\text { ífíquov. Genitive of relationship. }}$
$\delta \dot{\varepsilon} о \mu a i$. Pres mid ind 1st sg $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \circ \boldsymbol{\mu} \alpha \mathrm{a}$. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס ह́ךбíc.
oov. Genitive complement of $\delta$ źouai.
$\mu \varepsilon$. Accusative direct object of $\beta a \sigma \alpha v i \sigma n c$. The fronted pronoun lends rhetorical force to the petition ( 40 out of 124 complements in Luke precede their verb when only the two elements make up the clause; Kwong, 110, n. 24).
$\beta a \sigma \alpha v i \sigma n c$. Aor act subj 2nd sg $\beta a \sigma \alpha v i \zeta \omega$ (prohibitive subjunctive).




$\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\eta} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$. A strongly supported variant has the imperfect $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \nu$ ( $\aleph$ A C K L W Г $\Delta 133565892 p m)$ rather than the aorist form ( $\mathfrak{P}^{75} \mathrm{~B} \Theta \Xi \Psi f^{13}$ $579700124114242542 \mathfrak{M})$. Fitzmyer (1:738) accepts the variant and translates the phrase, "Jesus was about to charge the unclean spirit," giving the imperfect tense "tendential" force. In this case, the demon's request to be spared torment (8:28) anticipates Jesus' command. Although such a reading may avoid the theological problem of an unclean spirit being slow to obey Jesus' command, there is no basis from either the imperfect tense or the context for arguing for a tendential idea ("he was about to . . ."). Instead, the imperfect is naturally used by many scribes because the verb is part of a clause that provides supplementary information rather than advancing
the storyline (see Introduction, "Verbal Aspect"), and the demon's request remains a response to Jesus' command, as in the Markan parallel ( $5: 8$ ) where the imperfect $\varepsilon$ है入 $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$ is used.
$\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$. Causal (see also 1:15), introducing the reason for the demon's plea.
$\tau \tilde{\varphi} \pi v \varepsilon \dot{v} \mu \alpha \tau \iota \tau \tilde{\varphi} \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \omega$. Dative indirect object of $\pi \alpha \rho$ -




$\gamma$ à $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15), introducing the reason for Jesus' actions.
$\sigma \cup v \eta \rho \pi \alpha \dot{\kappa} \varepsilon$. Plprf act ind 3rd sg $\sigma \cup v \alpha \rho \pi \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$.
av̉兀óv. Accusative direct object of $\sigma \cup v \eta \rho \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varepsilon เ$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon v ่ \varepsilon \tau 0$. Impf pass ind 3rd sg $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon v ่ \omega$. Although Plummer (230) could be correct in suggesting that the imperfect verbs relate what usually took place, the verb tenses (both imperfect and pluperfect) may also simply be natural choices for conveying background or supplementary information (see the Introduction, "Verbal Aspect").
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{u} \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \iota v$ каì $\pi \varepsilon ́ \delta a ı c$. Dative of instrument.
$\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma$ ó $\mu \varepsilon v o c$. . Pres pass ptc masc nom sg $\varphi \cup \lambda$ á $\sigma \sigma \omega$ (attendant circumstance; see 1:24 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma o v \sigma \alpha$ ).
 circumstance; see 1:24 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \quad 0 \quad \sigma \alpha)$.
$\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \sigma \mu \dot{\alpha}$. Accusative direct object of $\delta \iota \alpha \rho \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega v$. The translation follows the NET Bible.

vícò toṽ $\delta a \not \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{v i o v .}$ Ultimate agency.




غ̇ $\pi \eta \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg غ̇ $\pi \varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \dot{\alpha} \omega$.
aủtòv. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \eta \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \eta \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i} v$.

Tí. Predicate nominative.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Dative of possession.
ővouá. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. On the second accent, see

$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عíu.
ó. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon \nu$ (see 1:29 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ).
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\Lambda \varepsilon \gamma \iota \omega v$. Predicate nominative in an elliptical construction: "My name is Legion" (cf. $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \iota \omega \bar{\nu}$ ővo $\mu \dot{\alpha} \mu \mathrm{ot}$ in Codex D and in Mark 5:9).
ötı. Introduces a causal clause.
 characteristically take singular verbs (see Wallace, 399-400).
$\delta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{v i \alpha} \boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{o} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ íव $\tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$.
عiç aủ tóv. Locative.

##  äßvббov $\mathfrak{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon i ̃ v$.

$\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \dot{\lambda} \boldsymbol{o v v}$. Impf act ind 3rd pl $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. Many manuscripts have the singular $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \dot{\lambda} \varepsilon \varepsilon$ ( $\mathrm{A} \mathrm{K} \mathrm{P} \mathrm{R} \mathrm{Г} \Delta \Theta \Xi \Psi \geqq$ al) rather than the plural $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \dot{\lambda} \operatorname{louv}^{\left(P^{75}\right.}{ }^{\boldsymbol{\aleph}} \mathrm{BCFL} f^{13}$ al) to bring the number into conformity with the preceding verb rather than with the conceptual subject. On the ingressive translation, see 1:59 on غ́кá入ouv (here, $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda o v v$ is conjoined with $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon v$, v. 30).
aủtòv. Accusative direct object of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda o u v$.
ïva. Introduces indirect discourse. As McKay notes (113), although indirect commands, exhortations and wishes are generally introduced using an infinitival clause (see 8:41), a ǐva clause may also be used in this way (see also 7:36; 8:32; 16:27; 18:39; cf. 6:31).


عís $\boldsymbol{\tau} \mathfrak{\eta} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{a} \beta \mathbf{v o \sigma \sigma o v}$. Locative. Kwong (110) notes that this is yet another marked word order in this pericope. Every other occurrence of this preposition with the same verb in Luke follows the verb. Overall, spatial modifiers only precede their verb twelve of thirtynine times with infinitival clauses and sixty-three of 408 times with independent clauses (110, n. 25). The marked word orders likely serve to portray this dramatic encounter in more vivid terms.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} v$. Aor act inf $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha ı$ (indirect discourse).

 кaì غ̇пغ่̇т $\rho \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon \nu$ aủtoĩc.
${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} \boldsymbol{v}$. Impf act ind 3rd sg ei $\mu \mathrm{i}$.
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\gamma} \lambda \eta$. Nominative subject of ${ }^{7} \mathrm{H} \nu$.
रoip $\boldsymbol{\omega} v \mathbf{v}$ iкav $\tilde{\omega} v$. Genitive of content.
$\boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \kappa \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\mu} v \eta$. Pres mid ptc fem nom sg $\beta$ ó $\sigma \kappa \omega$ (attributive or imperfect periphrastic; on the latter, see 1:10 on $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon u \chi$ о́ $\mu \varepsilon v o v$ ). The distance between ${ }^{\tau} \mathrm{H} v$ and $\beta$ обко $\mu \varepsilon \dot{v} \eta \eta$ makes attributive more likely. The verb should probably be understood as middle rather than passive.

દ̇v $\tau \tilde{\varphi}$ ő ő $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Locative.
$\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varepsilon \sigma \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. Once again (see v. 29) we have considerable variation between an aorist form ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha v$ occurs in $P^{75} \boldsymbol{\aleph}^{c}$ B C $^{*}$ F L fi 33 al) and an imperfect form ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \dot{\alpha} \lambda$ ouv occurs in $\boldsymbol{\aleph}^{*}$ A Cc D К М Р Г $\Delta \Lambda \Psi f^{13} \mathfrak{M} p m$ ). Here, scribes would naturally choose the imperfect since this clause, along with the preceding equative clause, could be viewed as setting the scene for what follows, i.e., presenting background information (see Introduction, "Verbal Aspect").
av̉̃òv. Accusative direct object of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
îva. Introduces indirect discourse (see also 8:31 on ïva).


عí̧ ėкとivovc. Locative. Lit. "into those."
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda \varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\imath} \nu$. Aor act inf $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \sigma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi$ о $\mu$ al (complementary or direct object).
 av่̉oĩc. Dative complement of غ̇лદ่т $\rho \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon v$.

 $\lambda i \mu \nu \eta \nu \kappa \alpha i ̀ \dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \pi v i \gamma \eta$.
$\varepsilon ̇ \xi \varepsilon \lambda \theta$ óvta. Aor act ptc neut nom pl $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi o \mu \alpha ı$ (attendant circumstance).

тà $\delta \alpha \iota \mu$ óvıa. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i \sigma \tilde{\sigma} \lambda \theta$ ov.

 subjects characteristically take singular verbs (see Wallace, 399400), this is not always the case. Luke switches back to the singular in verse 35 .

عis tov̀s qoípovc. Locative.
©̈ $\rho \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg óp $\mu \dot{\alpha} \omega$.
$\dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\gamma} \lambda \eta$. Nominative subject of ${ }^{\circ} \rho \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$.
кат $\alpha$ тои̃ к $\rho \eta \mu \nu \mathbf{v}$ ṽ. Spatial.
$\varepsilon i c ̧ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \lambda i \mu \nu \eta \nu$. Locative.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \pi v i \gamma \eta$. Aor pass ind 3rd sg à $\pi o \pi v i \gamma \omega$.


íÓvivec. Aor act ptc masc nom pl ó $\rho \dot{a} \omega$ (temporal).
oi $\beta$ óбкоvteя. Pres act ptc masc nom pl ßóбк $\omega$ (substantival). Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \varphi \varphi \cup \gamma o v$.
 Accusative direct object of íSóvtec.

ह̈ $\varphi v \gamma o v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\varphi$ عú $\gamma \omega$.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\eta} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \dot{\gamma} \lambda \lambda \omega$.

عiç toùs à $\gamma \boldsymbol{\rho}$ oúc. Locative.


 $\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi о \beta \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \mathrm{ov}$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \circ \mu a 1$. The subject is unspecified.
ícĩv. Aor act inf ópá $\omega$ (purpose).
тò $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{o v o ̀ s}$. Prf act ptc neut acc sg $\gamma$ ivoual (substantival). Accusative direct object of iסعiv.
$\tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \mathbf{o v}$. Aor act ind 3rd pl عैp $\chi o \mu \alpha ı$.
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ ' I ̇ \boldsymbol{\sigma o u ̃ v}$. Spatial.
عũpov. Aor act ind 3rd pl عúpíซкต.
 an object-complement double accusative construction. It is com-
mon with verbs of perception to have an accusative direct object accompanied by a participial accusative complement that further describes what the subject of the verb perceived the direct object to be doing (cf. Culy 2004, 147). The word order, with the participle preceding the direct object is conditioned by the fact that the direct object is modified by a relative clause.

тòv $\alpha$ äv $\theta \rho \omega \pi \boldsymbol{\sigma} v$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \tilde{u} \rho o v$.
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi$ ' oṽ. Separation.

$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi о \mu \alpha$. . Neuter plural subjects characteristically take singular verbs (see Wallace, 399-400). A number of scribes (A C L W $\Theta \Psi f^{1,13} \mathfrak{R}$ ), perhaps influenced by Luke 8:2 and/or in an attempt to clarify the temporal sequence, replaced the aorist ( $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ) with the pluperfect ( $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \dot{\cup} \theta \varepsilon \iota)$.
$i \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v$. Prf pass ptc masc acc sg i $\mu \alpha \tau i \zeta \omega$. Complement in an object-complement double accusative construction (see further above on каӨ'ŋ́ $\mu \varepsilon$ vov).
$\sigma \omega \varphi \rho o v o u ̃ v \tau \alpha$. Pres act ptc masc acc sg $\sigma \omega \varphi \rho o v \varepsilon ́ \omega$. Complement in an object-complement double accusative construction (see further above on $\kappa \alpha \Theta \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon v o v)$.
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀$ toù $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ódac. Locative.
тoṽ 'I $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} 0$ ṽ. Possessive genitive.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta} \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$. Aor mid ind 3rd pl $\varphi$ o "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.

$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\prime} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \dot{\chi} \lambda \lambda \omega$. See also verse 39 on ठıпүoũ.
av̉toĩc. Dative indirect object of $\alpha \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \iota \lambda \alpha$.
oi ì íóvte¢. Aor act ptc masc nom pl ópá $\omega$ (substantival). Nominative subject of àn $\gamma \gamma \gamma \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha v$.
$\pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$. Introduces indirect speech, which serves as the clausal complement of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \dot{\gamma} \gamma \varepsilon ו \lambda \alpha \nu$.

 stantival). Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \dot{\omega} \theta \eta$.



$\eta ̉ \rho \omega \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ ह́ $\omega \tau \tau \dot{\alpha} \omega$.
aủ $\tau \grave{v} v$. Accusative direct object of $\eta \rho \dot{\rho} \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. On the word order, see $1: 2$ on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \tilde{\nu} v$.
ä $\pi \alpha \boldsymbol{\nu} \tau \mathbf{o} \pi \lambda \tilde{\eta} \theta \mathbf{O}$. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$.

$\tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \rho \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\omega}$. "The surrounding region where the Gerasenes lived." Louw and Nida (1.80) argue that "In certain contexts . . . $\pi \varepsilon \rho i \chi \omega \rho \circ \varsigma$ may include not only the surrounding region but also the point of reference." They thus translate this passage: "the Gerasenes and the people living around them." See also the text-critical note at 8:26.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act inf $\alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha u$ (indirect discourse).
$\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \tilde{\tau} v$. Separation.
ötı. Introduces a causal clause.
$\varphi \dot{\beta} \beta \omega \mu \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega$. Dative of instrument.
ovveíरovto. Impf pass ind 3rd pl $\sigma u v \varepsilon ́ \chi \omega$.
aủtòs. Nominative subject of $\dot{u} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon v$. The fronted explicit pronoun with $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ likely simply functions to shift the subject back to Jesus.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \beta$ àc. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \beta \alpha i v \omega$ (attendant circumstance).

عiç $\pi \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{0} \mathbf{o ̃} \mathbf{o v .}$ Locative.
$\dot{v} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\dot{v} \tau о \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$.



દ̇ $\delta \varepsilon$ eito. Impf mid ind 3rd sg $\delta$ éoual. Although Kwong (110; cf. v. 31; v. 27 on $\alpha v ̉ \tau \tilde{)}$ ) again argues that the use of imperfective aspect lends further highlighting to the interaction between Jesus and the demoniac, it more likely is chosen to help mark the healed man's actions as background to Jesus' actions that follow.
av่̉oṽ. Genitive object of $\varepsilon$ ह̇ $\delta \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \tau 0$.
$\dot{o}$ àvض̀ $\rho$. Nominative subject of $\grave{\varepsilon} \delta \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \tau 0$.
à $\varphi$ ' oṽ. Separation.
 characteristically take singular verbs (see Wallace, 399-400).
$\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \alpha \iota \mu o ́ v ı \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda u \dot{\theta} \varepsilon \varepsilon$.
عĩvaı. Pres act inf $\varepsilon i \mu i$ (indirect discourse, modifying $\varepsilon$ è $\delta \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \tau)$ ).
ov̀v aủtẹ. Association.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \nu \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{sg} \dot{\alpha} \pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \dot{v} \omega$.
aùtòv. Accusative direct object of á $\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda u \sigma \varepsilon v$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{v}$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (means).

## 



'Yло́бт $\rho \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd sg útoo $\tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$.
عís tòv oĩkóv. Locative. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ठغ́ $\eta \sigma i \varsigma$.
oov. Possessive genitive.
$\delta ı \eta \gamma o v ̃$. Pres mid impv 2nd sg $\delta ı \eta \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon}{ }^{\mu} a \mathrm{a}$. Lukes uses this word to replace Mark's (5:19) $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \iota \lambda o v$, but he made the opposite switch in 8:36. Louw and Nida define $\delta ı \eta \gamma \varepsilon ́ o \mu a \iota$ as "to provide detailed information in a systematic manner" (33.201) and $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ as "to announce or inform, with possible focus upon the source of information" (33.198). It appears that Luke has carefully crafted his account by making use of the slightly different nuances of these verbs to emphasize the thorough account that the man is supposed to provide, something that is already made clear by the relative clause being introduced by öбa. In verse 36, in contrast, he was simply concerned with the more general conveyance of information and thus used $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon ו \lambda o v$.
ö $\sigma \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Accusative direct object of ह̇лоínбєv. The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ö), which as a whole

$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{o}$. Dative of advantage.


$\dot{\alpha} \pi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg à $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \chi \mu \alpha 1$.
$\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ö $\lambda \eta \nu \tau \eta ̀ v \pi o ́ \lambda ı v$. Spatial.
$\kappa \eta \rho v ́ \sigma \sigma \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg к $\eta \rho v \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega$ (manner).
ö $\sigma \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Accusative direct object of غ̇лоiŋ $\sigma \varepsilon v$. The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ö), which as a
 кๆри́бб $\omega v$.

غ̇лoíq $\sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi$ oté $\omega$.
av่̉ $\tilde{\omega}$. Dative of advantage. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\dot{\eta} \mu i ̃ v$.


## Luke 8:40-56

${ }^{40} \mathrm{As}$ Jesus was returning, a crowd welcomed him, for everyone was waiting for him. ${ }^{41}$ And a man whose name was Jairus camethis man was a ruler of the synagogue-and after falling at Jesus' feet he began pleading with him to come to his house! ${ }^{42}$ For he had an only daughter, about twelve years of age, and she was dying.
Now, as he was going along, the crowds were nearly smothering him. ${ }^{43}$ And a woman, suffering from menstrual bleeding for twelve years, who [although she had spent (her) whole livelihood on doctors] was unable to be cured by anyone, ${ }^{44}$ approached (him) from behind and touched the edge of his garment; and immediately her bleeding stopped. ${ }^{45}$ And Jesus said, "Who is the one who touched me?" While everyone was denying it, Peter said, "Master, the crowds are pressing in on you and crowding against you." ${ }^{46}$ Then Jesus replied, "Someone touched me, for I recognized power going out of me." ${ }^{47}$ When the woman saw that she had not escaped notice, she came trembling, and fell before him and announced in the presence of all the people the precise reason why she had touched him and how she had been immediately cured. ${ }^{48}$ Then he said to her, "Daughter, your faith has delivered you. Go in peace."
${ }^{49}$ While he was still speaking, someome came from the (house of the) ruler of the synagogue and said, "Your daughter has died. Do not trouble the teacher any longer." ${ }^{50}$ When Jesus heard (this), however, he responded to (Jairus), "Do not be afraid; only believe and she will be saved." ${ }^{51}$ Then, when he came to the home, he did not allow anyone to enter with him except Peter, John, James, and the father and mother of the child. ${ }^{52}$ Now, everyone was crying and mourning for her. Then he said, "Do not cry; for she is not dead but asleep." ${ }^{53}$ But they proceeded to laugh at him, because they knew that she was dead. ${ }^{54}$ Then he took hold of her hand and called
(to her), saying, "Child, get up." ${ }^{55}$ And her spirit returned, and she immediately got up. Then he gave orders for (something) to eat to be given to her. ${ }^{56} \mathrm{Her}$ parents were amazed, but he instructed them to tell no one what had happened.


 contemporaneous time (see also 1:8 on iغ $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \alpha \tau \varepsilon v ่ \varepsilon เ v$; for an alternative view, see 1:21 on $\chi$ povi(цıv). When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81).

$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\xi} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \mathbf{o}$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg á $\pi \mathrm{o} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi o \mu \alpha \mathrm{l}$. In the NT this verb occurs only in Luke (also 9:11; Acts 2:41; 18:27; 21:17; 24:3; 28:30). av̉ $\tau \mathbf{o} v$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha \tau \tau$. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{v} v$.

ท̃ซav. Impf ind 3rd pl $\varepsilon$ i $\mu \mathrm{i}$.
үà̀ $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).
$\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha v$.
 periphrastic).
av̉tóv. Accusative direct object of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta$ ок $\omega \tau \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$.



íSoù. See 1:20.
$\tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg ép $\rho \circ \mu a 1$.
$\dot{\alpha} v \eta ̀ \rho$. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v$.
$\tilde{\omega}$. Dative of possession. Lit. "to whom the name was Jairus" (cf. 1:26, 27; 2:25; 24:13).
ővo $\boldsymbol{\mu}$. Nominative subject in a verbless equative relative clause.
'Iáïpos. Predicate nominative.
oṽ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{c}$. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{v} \pi \tilde{\eta} \rho \chi \varepsilon v$. The marked word order, with both the subject (oũ̃oऽ) and the complement ( ${ }^{\circ} \rho \chi \omega v$ ) preceding the verb ( $\dot{v} \pi \tilde{\eta} \rho \chi \varepsilon v$ ), serves to highlight further the
important status of Jairus. Kwong (111, n. 29) notes that this order occurs thirty-seven times in Luke compared to 167 times with the unmarked order (subject, verb, complement).
$\alpha \ddot{\rho} \boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{\omega} v$. Predicate nominative.
$\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \sigma v v a \gamma \omega \gamma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$. Genitive of subordination.
$\dot{v} \pi \tilde{\eta} \rho \chi \varepsilon v$. Impf act ind 3rd sg úrá $\rho \chi \omega$.
$\pi \varepsilon \sigma \dot{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\pi i \pi \tau \omega$ (temporal).
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o u ̀ \varsigma ~ \pi o ́ \delta a c . ~ L o c a t i v e . ~$
тoũ 'Inбoṽ. Possessive genitive.
$\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon$. Impf act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{sg} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. On the ingressive translation, see 1:59 on غ̇к $\dot{\alpha} \lambda$ ouv.
aủtòv. Accusative subject of $\varepsilon$ हioc $\lambda \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} v$.

عíc tòv oĩkov. Locative.
av̉toṽ. Possessive genitive.

##  à $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \theta \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \nu$.

ötı. Introduces a causal clause.
$\theta 0 \gamma \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \rho \mu \mathbf{\mu} \mathbf{0} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \varepsilon v \eta ̀ \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} v$.
ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg ei $\mu \mathrm{i}$.
aủtc̣. Dative of possession.
$\dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{c}$. Used with numerals to indicate approximation (BDAG, 1105.6).

av่тท̀. Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \theta v \eta \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \theta v \eta \eta \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v$. Impf act ind 3rd sg à $\pi 0 \theta v \underline{\prime} \sigma \kappa \omega$.

## 

v́tá $\gamma \varepsilon เ v$. Pres act inf $\dot{u} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega$. Used with'Ev $\tau \tilde{\omega}$ to denote contemporaneous time (see also 1:8 on iepatcúsiv). When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81).
aủtòv. Accusative subject of útá $\gamma \varepsilon$ દıv.
oi ő ő入ot. Nominative subject of бuvérvı $\gamma o v$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \nu \boldsymbol{v} \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \nu \iota \gamma \mathbf{o v}$. Impf act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \sigma \nu \mu \pi v i \gamma \omega$. The verb denotes, "to crowd around to the point that one can hardly breathe" (LN 19.48).
aủtóv. Accusative direct object of $\sigma u v \varepsilon ́ \pi v ı \gamma o v$.

 vòs $\theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon v \theta \tilde{\eta} v a \iota$,


oṽซa. Pres act ptc fem nom sg عilui (attributive).
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \hat{\rho} \dot{v} \sigma \varepsilon$. This use of $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ introduces the context or circumstance in view. The idiom عĩval $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \iota$ is similar to English expressions, such
 סó $\xi \mathfrak{\eta}$, $\varepsilon v$ ह̇кк aí $\alpha \tau о \varsigma$ refers, at least in this context, to "the loss of blood through menstrual bleeding" (LN 23.182).
aïцатос. Subjective genitive.
 can indicate the point from which something begins (BDAG, 105.2.b.a).

ท̋ $\tau \iota \varsigma$. Nominative subject of í $\sigma \chi \cup \sigma \varepsilon v$. For more on the so-called indefinite relative pronoun, see $1: 20$ on oiltıves.
 distribution, and early date of the few manuscripts that support the shorter reading ( $\mathfrak{P}^{75}, \mathrm{~B}[\mathrm{D}]$ ), the editors of the $\mathrm{NA}^{27} / \mathrm{UBS}^{4}$ have placed the words in brackets, "indicating doubt whether they have a right to stand there" (Metzger, 121). Modern translations are rather evenly divided between including these words (NRSV, REV) and omitting them (RSV, REB, NIV, NJB).
iat $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{o i c s}$. Dative of reference.
$\pi \rho o \sigma \alpha v a \lambda \dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha$. Aor act ptc fem nom sg $\pi \rho o \sigma \alpha v \alpha \lambda i \sigma \kappa \omega$ (concessive). Only here in the NT: "to spend excessively" (LN 57.148).
ö $\bar{\lambda} \mathbf{v} \boldsymbol{v}$ tòv $\beta \mathbf{i o v}$. Accusative direct object of $\pi \rho o \sigma \alpha v \alpha \lambda \omega \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha$. Here, ßios refers to "the resources which one has as a means of living" (LN 57.18), as also in 15:12, 30, and 21:4 (Plummer, 235).
i̋ $\sigma \chi \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg í $\sigma \chi$ vi $\omega$.
$\dot{\alpha}^{\boldsymbol{\pi}} \boldsymbol{\prime}$ oủ $\delta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon v o ̀ s}$. Agency. In contrast to Attic Greek, Koine Greek sometimes used $\dot{\text { áco }}$ rather than útó to introduce the agent of a passive verb (Caragounis, 115; see also 6:18; 7:35; 9:22; 17:25).
$\theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon v \theta \tilde{\eta} v a l$. Aor pass $\inf \theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon v ่ \omega$ (complementary).


$\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta$ oṽ $\sigma \alpha$ ．Aor act ptc fem nom sg $\pi \rho o \sigma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \chi о \mu \alpha \mathrm{l}$（attendant circumstance）．
$\eta ँ \psi \alpha \tau 0$ ．Aor mid ind 3rd sg $\alpha \pi \tau \omega$ ．
тои̃ краблと́סov．Genitive complement of グభato．
тoṽ íhatiov．Partitive genitive．
av่̉าov．Possessive genitive．
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \rho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$ ．The word occurs three times in this chapter（8：44， 47，55）．See 5：25 and 1：64 on $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \rho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$ ．

हैбтๆ．Aor act ind 3rd sg ïб $\tau \eta \mu$ ．



тои̃ ail $\mu \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{c}$ ．Subjective genitive．
av̉тñc．Possessive genitive．

 $\dot{\alpha} \pi{ }^{2} \theta \lambda i \beta o v \sigma \iota v$.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \pi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．

Tíc．Predicate nominative．
ó $\dot{\alpha} \psi \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon v \mathbf{o ́ c}$ ．Aor mid ptc masc nom sg ä $\pi \tau \omega$（substantival）． Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause．On the second accent，see $1: 13$ on $\eta \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\eta} \eta \sigma i c$ ．
$\mu \mathbf{\mu}$ ．Genitive complement of $\dot{\alpha} \psi \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon v o ́ s$.
 lute（see 2：2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ овєv́ovtoc），temporal．
$\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega v$ ．Genitive subject of $\dot{\alpha} \rho \nu o v \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ ．
عĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．

＇Eтıбтáta．Vocative．
oi ő ô入ot．Nominative subject of $\sigma u v \varepsilon ́ \chi o v \sigma i v$.
бuvé $\mathbf{\chi o v a i v . ~ P r e s ~ a c t ~ i n d ~} 3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \sigma u v \varepsilon ́ \chi \omega$ ．On the second accent， see $1: 13$ on $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \eta \sigma i$ ．Kwong（117，n．42）argues that the use of the imperfective aspect with this and the next verb foregrounds the
statement and adds weight to the challenge. One might ask, however, how else Luke could have described this event but as a process (imperfective). Indeed, any challenge seems to have been intentionally removed or at least mitigated by omitting the additional state-
 'Who touched me?'").
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Accusative direct object of $\sigma v v \varepsilon ́ \chi o u \sigma i v$.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{o} \theta \lambda i \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{o v o t v}$. Pres act ind 3rd pl $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \theta \lambda i \beta \omega$. On the verbal aspect, see above on $\sigma u v \varepsilon ́ \chi o u \sigma i v$.

##  

© . . . 'İ $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{o v ̃ c . ~ N o m i n a t i v e ~ s u b j e c t ~ o f ~} \varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$. $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
"H $\psi \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \mathbf{o}$. Aor mid ind 3 rd sg $\alpha \not \pi \tau \omega$. The second accent comes from the enclitic $\mu \mathrm{ov}$ (see $1: 13$ on $\dot{\eta} \delta \varepsilon \dot{\eta} \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \varsigma$ ), which in turn receives its accent from the enclitic tic.
$\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{o v}$. Genitive complement of "H $\psi \alpha \tau$ ó.
tic. Nominative subject of "H $\psi$ ató.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \neq \gamma \omega \nu$.
$\gamma$ à $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15), introducing the reason Jesus can say someone touched him.
$\ddot{\varepsilon} \gamma \boldsymbol{v} \omega v$. Aor act ind 1st sg $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \omega$. Here, the verb means "to be aware of someth." (BDAG, 200.4.a). This need not be viewed as a non-past use of the aorist tense (contra Porter 1989, 227).
$\delta \dot{v} v a \mu t v$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ है $\gamma v \omega v$.
$\grave{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta \nu \tilde{a} \alpha \nu$. Prf act ptc fem acc sg $̇ \xi \xi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi o \mu a 1$. Wallace (645) argues that "An anarthrous participle in the accusative case, in conjunction with an accusative noun or pronoun, sometimes indicates indirect discourse after a verb of perception or communication." While this is the typical way that grammarians handle this construction (see also, e.g., McKay, 105), and it certainly makes good sense of typical English translations (cf. the NIV's "I know that . . ."), structurally this is a complement in an object-complement double accusative construction (see Culy 2009, 87-89).
$\dot{\alpha}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \mathrm{u}$. Separation.



íoũ̃a. Aor act ptc fem nom sg ó $\rho \dot{\alpha} \omega$ (causal or temporal).
$\dot{\eta} \gamma v v \eta ̀$. Nominative subject of $\tilde{j} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$.
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces a clausal complement of íסoṽбa.
$\ddot{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \theta \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3 rd sg $\lambda \alpha v \theta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$.
$\tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha$. Pres act ptc fem nom sg $\tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \mu \omega$ (manner, modifying ท̃ $\lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ).
$\tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg ěp $\rho \neq \mu \alpha ı$.
$\pi \rho o \sigma \pi \varepsilon \sigma \sigma$ ṽ $\sigma \alpha$. Aor act ptc fem nom sg $\pi \rho o \sigma \pi i \pi \tau \omega$ (attendant circumstance).
av̉โฺั. Dative complement of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi \varepsilon \sigma \sigma$ ṽ $\sigma \alpha$.
$\delta \iota^{\prime} \eta \mathfrak{\eta} v \boldsymbol{a i t i o} \boldsymbol{v}$. Cause. The internally headed relative clause (lit. "on account of which reason"; see 1:4 on $\pi \varepsilon \rho \grave{\grave{c}} \tilde{\omega} v \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \eta \varsigma ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$
 an intensive expression: "the precise reason why." The more typical
 aủtoũ $\mathfrak{\alpha} \pi \dot{\eta} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \iota \lambda \varepsilon v$. For other examples of this particular phrase, see Acts 22:24; 2 Tim 1:6, 12; Titus 1:13; Heb 2:11. The location of the relative clause prior to the verb gives it further prominence.
aítiav. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \mathfrak{\gamma} \gamma \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v$.
$\eta ँ \psi a \tau 0$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg $\alpha \pi \tau \omega$.
av่̉тov. Genitive complement of ท゙భato.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\eta} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \iota \lambda \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg à $\pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$.

$\dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{c}$. Introduces a clause that is conjoined with the conceptual direct object aitiav. Like ötı, $\dot{\omega}$ c can be used as a "marker of discourse content" (BDAG, 1105.5) and thus introduce a clausal complement (see also 6:4; 24:6; cf. Acts 10:38). It likely, however, places more focus on manner than ö ô would convey (Culy and Parsons, 212).

$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \rho \tilde{\mu} \mu$. See 1:64; 8:44.


ó. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \varepsilon \nu$ (see 1:29 on $\dot{\eta}$ ). $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av่าก̃. Dative indirect object of عĩ $\tau \varepsilon v$.
$\Theta v \gamma \dot{\text { át }} \boldsymbol{\rho} \rho$. Vocative.
 7:50; 17:19; and 18:42.
$\dot{\eta} \pi \mathbf{i} \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \omega \kappa \varepsilon ่ v$.
oov. Subjective genitive.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \omega \kappa \dot{\varepsilon} v$. Prf act ind 3rd sg $\sigma \dot{\varrho} \zeta \omega$. On the second accent, see 1:13

$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Accusative direct object of $\sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \omega \kappa \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v$.
порعט́ov. Pres mid impv 2nd sg лорєv́o $\mu a 1$.
عiç $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon i \rho} \boldsymbol{\jmath} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\imath} \boldsymbol{\nu}$. Manner.

 бка入оv.
av่̉oṽ. Genitive subject of $\lambda \alpha \lambda$ oũvtoc.
$\lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{o v ̃ v \tau o c . ~ P r e s ~ a c t ~ p t c ~ m a s c ~ g e n ~ s g ~} \lambda a \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvev́ovto¢), temporal.
 $1: 13$ on $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \eta \sigma i c$. This is one of only three instances of historical presents in Luke's gospel that are not associated with a speech (see also $16: 23$; $24: 12$; cf. Acts $10: 11,27$ ). In each case, they mark the information associated with the historical present as significant and give prominence to what follows (Levinsohn 2000, 208; see also 7:40 on $\varphi \eta \sigma i v)$.
$\tau \tau \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ हैp $\chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha i$.
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o v ̃ ~ a ̉ \rho \chi เ \sigma v v a \gamma \dot{\omega} \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{o v}$. Source. Since the context indicates that the ruler of the synagogue has remained in Jesus' presence since $8: 41$ and is the one addressed by the messenger ( $\mathrm{T} \dot{\varepsilon} \theta \vee \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$
 refer to the ruler's household (Nolland, 1:421).
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (attendant circumstance).
ö $\tau$ ı. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also $1: 25$ on öтı) of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$.

Tと́ $\theta v \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$. Prf act ind 3 rd sg $\theta v$ ทŋ́бк $\omega$.
$\dot{\eta} \theta u \gamma \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \rho$. Nominative subject of T $\varepsilon \dot{\theta} \theta \nu \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$.
oov. Genitive of relationship.
$\sigma \kappa \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd sg $\sigma \kappa \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
тòv $\delta \mathbf{\iota} \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \mathbf{o v}$. Accusative direct object of $\sigma \kappa u \dot{\lambda} \lambda \varepsilon$.
 $\pi i \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma o v$, кaì $\sigma \omega \theta \dot{\jmath} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \downarrow$.

áкоv́бac. Aor act ptc masc nom sg ảkov́ $\omega$ (temporal).
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \kappa \rho i \theta \eta$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg á $\pi о к р i v o \mu \alpha ı$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction. See also 1:19 on $\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{~}$ крөөǐ,.
av̉兀ఱ̣. Dative indirect object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \kappa \rho i \theta \eta$. The referent is Jairus.
بoßoṽ. Pres mid impv 2nd sg $\varphi$ о $\beta \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$ (prohibition).
$\pi \dot{i} \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma o v$. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \dot{v} \omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha 1$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg $\sigma \omega \underline{\zeta \omega}$. See 7:50 on $\sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \omega \kappa \varepsilon ́ v$.

 $\pi \alpha เ \delta o ̀ ̧ ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ v \mu \eta \tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \alpha$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \boldsymbol{\theta} \dot{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg ép $\chi o \mu a ı$ (temporal).
عís тŋ̀v oỉkiav. Locative.
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi \tilde{\eta} \kappa \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\alpha \varphi i \eta \mu$.
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act inf $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \sigma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ ( (complementary).
$\tau \iota v a$. Accusative subject of $\varepsilon i \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon i v$.
ov̀v aủvẹ. Association.
عỉ $\boldsymbol{\mu}{ }^{\text {خे. See 5:21. }}$


$\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \pi \alpha \iota \delta$ òc. Genitive of relationship.
 $\kappa \lambda a i \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$, ov̉ $\gamma \grave{\rho} \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\theta} \theta a v \varepsilon v \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon v ́ \delta \varepsilon$.

モ̋к $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ เov. Impf act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \kappa \lambda \alpha i \omega$. $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Nominative subject of ěk $\lambda \alpha \iota \circ$. غ̇ко́лtovto. Impf mid ind 3rd pl кót $\tau \omega$.

$\dot{\text { on }}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$ (see 1:29 on $\dot{\eta}$ ).
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd pl $\kappa \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} \omega$ (prohibition).
үà $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \theta \boldsymbol{a} v \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg à $\pi o \theta v \underline{q} \sigma \kappa \omega$.
$\kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon v \dot{\delta} \varepsilon$. Pres act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \operatorname{sg} \kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon v \dot{\delta} \omega$.

## 

$\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Impf act ind 3rd pl катаүع $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \omega$. Following his analysis of the use of imperfective aspect in Luke 7-8 (see 7:36 on 'Hp'́ta), Kwong argues that the parallel use of indirect speeches introduced with imperfective aspect here and in verse 41 help to draw a contrast between Jairus and the people in this scene. "One admires and respects Jesus (falls at Jesus' feet and asks him) and one humiliates and mocks Jesus" (Kwong, 112). It seems more likely that the imperfective aspect simply portrays the action as a process or that this represents an "immediative imperfect," which "expresses the idea that the state of affairs was realized straight away following another state of affairs" (Rijksbaron, 17; cf. the NET Bible's ingressive translation).
av̉тoṽ. Genitive complement of кat $\tau \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega v$.
$\varepsilon \mathbf{\varepsilon} \delta \mathbf{\delta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \varepsilon$. Prf act ptc masc nom pl oĩ $\delta \alpha$ (causal). On the use of the perfect tense with this verb, see $4: 34$ on oĩ $\delta \dot{\alpha}$.
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces the clausal complement (indirect discourse with a verb of cognition; see 1:22 on őtı) of عiठótec.



av̉ $\grave{\text { òc. }}$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \dot{\omega} \nu \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. The explicit fronted subject pronoun with $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ shifts attention back to Jesus and helps highlight the contrast between the mourners' response to Jesus and his actions.
 stance).

av̉тŋ̃c. Possessive genitive.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \dot{\omega} \nu \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\varphi \omega v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. The verb here could either be used in the sense of "to speak with considerable volume or loudness" (LN 33.77; so Plummer, 238; Nolland, 1:422; NLT) or "to communicate directly or indirectly to someone who is presumably at a distance, in order to tell such a person to come" (LN 33.307; so Marshall, 348; REB, NCV). On the one hand, the former fits with the notion that the girl is "sleeping" (v. 52) and needs to be roused from her sleep (cf. Bock, 1:803). On the other hand, the context also suggests that Jesus is summoning the girl back from death.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (manner or means).
'H $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{a} \mathbf{I}$ c. Vocative. Caragounis (142) notes that although it was not uncommon in classical Greek, "the nominative with the function of the vocative increases substantially in the NT, no doubt under LXX influence," and becomes increasingly common in subsequent centuries.


##  

$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi เ \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$.
$\tau o ̀ ~ \pi \nu \varepsilon \tilde{v} \mu \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon v$.
aủtñc. Possessive genitive.
$\dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta$. Aor act ind 3rd sg ảviot $\eta \mu$.
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \rho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$. See 1:64; 5:25; and 8:44 on $\pi \alpha \rho а \chi \rho \tilde{\mu \alpha . ~}$
$\delta \iota \varepsilon ̇ \tau \alpha \xi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg סıatá $\sigma \sigma \omega$.
av̉兀ñ. Dative indirect object of $\delta 0 \theta \tilde{\eta} v a ı$.
$\boldsymbol{\delta o} \theta \tilde{\eta} v a \mathbf{a}$. Aor pass inf $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$ (indirect discourse).
 viewed as a purpose infinitive (so Kwong, 81, n. 64), given the fact that the passive verb $\delta 0 \theta \tilde{\eta} v a ı$ needs a subject, it is better to treat this as the subject of the infinitive (contra Rogers and Rogers, 129, who inadvertantly call it the object of the passive infinitive).

##  

$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\dot{\xi} \xi \dot{\xi} \sigma \tau \eta \mu$.
 av̉兀ŋ̃c. Genitive of relationship.
o. Nominative subject of $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\gamma} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \iota \lambda \varepsilon v$ (see 1:29 on $\dot{\eta}$ ).
$\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\eta} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon ⿺ \lambda \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
av่̉oĩc. Dative indirect object of $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\gamma} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \iota \lambda \varepsilon v$.
$\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v i ̀$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon i \pi \varepsilon \tau v$.
عi่ $\varepsilon \varepsilon \tilde{v} v$. Aor act inf $\varepsilon i \mu i$ (indirect discourse).
тò $\boldsymbol{\gamma \varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{o v o ́ c}$. Prf act ptc neut acc sg $\gamma$ fivoual (substantival). Accusative direct object of عinغĩv.

## Luke 9:1-6

${ }^{1}$ (Jesus) called the Twelve together and gave them power and authority over all demons and to cure diseases, ${ }^{2}$ and he sent them to proclaim the kingdom of God and to heal [the sick]. ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ said to them, "Take nothing on the journey-no staff, nor travel bag, nor bread, nor money, nor two tunics [each]. ${ }^{4}$ And whatever house you happen to enter, stay there until you leave the area. ${ }^{5}$ And as for all those who do not welcome you, when you leave that city, shake the dust from your feet as a testimony against them." ${ }^{6}$ Then they went out and traveled from village to village proclaiming the good news and healing everywhere.

##  

$\Sigma v \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon v o c$. Aor mid ptc masc nom sg $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (attendant circumstance or temporal).

тov̀s $\delta \dot{\omega} \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\Sigma v \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$.
है $\delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
av่̉oĩc. Dative indirect object of $\approx$ है $\delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu i ̃ v$.


vóvovc. Accusative direct object of $\theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon v ่ \varepsilon เ v$.
$\theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon เ v$. Pres act inf $\theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon v ่ \omega$ (epexegetical to an implied סúvapıv кaì $̇ \xi$ ovoiav). The кaì technically introduces an elliptical clause: "(he gave them power and authority) to heal diseases."
 iã $\sigma \theta$ aı [тov̀ৎ à $\sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon i ̃ \varsigma]$,
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \varepsilon \iota \lambda \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
av̉兀ov̀c. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \varepsilon \mid \lambda \varepsilon v$.


тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ. Subjective genitive (see also 4:43).
iã $\sigma \theta a \mathrm{a}$. Aor mid inf iáoual (purpose).
 extant manuscripts show several variants at this point (iã $\sigma \theta$ aı toùs $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon i ̃$, , $\tilde{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \tau o v ̀ \varsigma ~ \alpha ̇ \sigma \theta \varepsilon v o u ̃ v \tau \alpha \varsigma, ~ a n d ~ s i m p l y ~ i a ̃ \sigma \theta \alpha ı), ~ t h e ~ t e x t-~$ critical issue is simply a question of whether the information is left implicit or made explicit. The meaning is not affected.

##   

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ ò $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ảtov́c. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \alpha u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
M $\eta \boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\tau} v$. Accusative direct object of aîpete.
aïрєєє. Pres act impv 2nd pl aîp $\omega$.
عic $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\eta} \mathbf{v}$ ódóv. Locative. Lit. "on the road."

 direct object of $\begin{gathered}\text { é } \\ \text { evv. Alternatively, it may be viewed as accusative }\end{gathered}$ in apposition to M $\eta \delta \dot{\varepsilon} v$, with only $\delta$ v́o $\chi \iota \tau \tilde{v} v a \varsigma$ serving as the direct object of éxモıv.
[ $\dot{\alpha} v \dot{\alpha}]$. Here, $\dot{\alpha} v \dot{\alpha}$, if the reading is adopted, is "a marker of distributive relations involving numerable objects" (LN 89.91). This reading simply makes it explicit that Jesus is prohibiting two tunics "apiece" or "each."

ع̈ $\chi \varepsilon เ v$. Pres act inf ${ }^{\text {é }} \chi \omega$. Lit. ". . . do not have a staff, or travel

 infinitival clause (see the translation). McKay (82) calls the infinitive result or purpose: "Take nothing with you on the way so that you will have neither. . .."
 غ́ $\rho \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$.

عis $\mathfrak{\eta} \boldsymbol{v} v$ äv oikiolav. Locative. The indefinite relative pronoun (see 9:48 on "O $\varsigma \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v$ ) is most often used to introduce headless relative clauses (see, e.g., 8:18). Here, the relative pronoun technically introduces an internally headed relative clause (see 3:19 on $\pi \varepsilon \rho \grave{i}$ $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \nu \tilde{\omega} \nu \varepsilon ̇ \pi o i \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu \pi o v \eta \rho \tilde{\omega} v)$. Thus the verb $\varepsilon \dot{i} \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ takes the subjunctive mood with äv: lit. "and the house, into which you happen to enter." Superficially, $\eta \eta v a ̈ v$ appears to function like an adjective ("whatever") modifying oikiav. The entire relative clause ( $\varepsilon i \varsigma \eta \eta v$ äv oikiav $\varepsilon i \sigma \dot{\chi} \lambda \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ) introduces the locative topic of what follows


 out from there." The translation follows the NET Bible.
$\mu \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd $\mathrm{pl} \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \omega$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$. Pres mid impv 2nd pl $\mathfrak{\xi} \xi \dot{\xi} \rho \chi о \mu \alpha$.

 $\mu \alpha \rho \tau$ úpıov è $\pi$ ' aủtov́g.
öбot äv. Nominative subject of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \omega v \tau \alpha \mathrm{~L}$. Lit. "As many as . . ." The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on
 what follows (see 1:36 on 'E $\lambda\llcorner\sigma \dot{\alpha} \beta \varepsilon \tau$ ) and is picked up by av่тov́s.
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \omega v \tau a \mathrm{c}$. Pres mid subj 3rd pl $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi o \mu a ı$. Subjunctive with $\alpha$ äv.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{a} \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \omega v \tau \alpha u$.


tòv кovioptòv. Accusative direct object of ảtotıvá $\sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$.
$\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{o ̀} \tau \tilde{\omega} v \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\delta} \tilde{\omega} v$. Separation.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Possessive genitive.
à $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} เ \mathrm{vá} \mathrm{\sigma} \mathrm{\sigma} \mathrm{\varepsilon} \mathrm{\tau} \mathrm{\varepsilon} .\mathrm{Pres} \mathrm{act} \mathrm{impv} \mathrm{2nd} \mathrm{pl} \mathrm{áto} \mathrm{\tau ıvá} \mathrm{\sigma} \mathrm{\sigma} \mathrm{\omega}$.
عís $\mu \alpha \rho \tau$ и́pıov. Goal.
غ่̇ $\boldsymbol{\prime}^{\prime}$ av̉tov́c. Opposition (cf. LN 90.34).
 каì $\theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon v ่ o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \pi \alpha ข \tau \alpha \chi о и ̃ . ~$
 circumstance; see 1:24 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \circ 0 \sigma \alpha$ ).

ката̀ тà̧ кढ́儿ас. Distributive.
 ner).

Өعрaлєv่ovtec. Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon v ่ \omega$ (manner).

## Luke 9:7-9

${ }^{7}$ Now, Herod the tetrarch heard about everything that had happened, and he was quite perplexed because it was being said by some that John had risen from the dead, ${ }^{8}$ by some that Elijah had appeared, and by others that some ancient prophet had risen. ${ }^{9}$ Then Herod said, "I beheaded John! So, who is this person about whom I am hearing such things?" And he was trying to see him.

 ขєк $\rho \tilde{\omega} v$,
"Hкovaev. Aor act ind 3rd sg àкоúw.

 $\tau \dot{\alpha} . . . \pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of "Hкоибعv.
$\gamma \iota v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v a$. Pres mid ptc neut acc $\mathrm{pl} \gamma$ ivouaı (attributive). Some scribes ( $\mathrm{A} \mathrm{C}^{3} \mathrm{~W} \Theta \Psi f^{i} 33 \Re$ ) add $\dot{\sim} \pi^{\prime}$ av̉toṽ to specify the agent of "everything that had happened" (cf. 13:17; 23:8).

$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \mathrm{a}$. Pres pass $\inf \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Used with $\delta \iota \alpha$ tò to denote cause. When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81). As Burk notes (81-82, n. 22), following Robertson (1069), the article carries the additional benefit of helping the reader avoid mistaking the preposition plus infinitive as a compound verb. Here, without the article the preposition and verb might be viewed as an instance of the compound verb $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\gamma} \gamma \mu a \mathrm{a}$.
$\dot{\mathbf{v}} \boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{o ́} \tau \iota \nu \omega v$. Ultimate agency.
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ t. Introduces the clausal complement (indirect discourse) of $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \sigma \theta$ al. Here, with a passive verb, the ötı clause actually serves as the subject (indirect discourse) of the infinitive.
'I $\omega$ ávvŋๆ. Nominative subject of $\eta \gamma \xi \varepsilon \rho \theta \eta$.
$\eta \mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \theta \eta$. Aor mid/pass ind 3rd sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon i \rho \omega$. The verb form could mean either "had risen" (middle; see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction) or "had been raised" (passive).

غ̇к ขєкра̃̃v. Source.
 $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \alpha \mathfrak{\alpha} \rho \chi \propto i \omega v$ àv $\varepsilon \neq \tau \eta$.
v́nó $\tau \iota v \omega v$. Ultimate agency.
ö $\tau \mathbf{r}$. Introduces the clausal complement (indirect discourse) of an implied $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ (see also v. 7 on ötı).
'H $\mathrm{\lambda}$ íac. Nominative subject of $\grave{\varepsilon} \varphi \alpha \dot{\alpha} v \eta$.
غ̇ $\varphi$ ávŋ. Aor mid ind 3rd sg paiv $\omega$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
$\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$. Ultimate agency. The preposition $\dot{\text { útó }}$ is implied.
őtı. See above.
$\pi \rho \mathbf{\varphi} \eta \dot{\tau} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau เ \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\alpha \mathfrak{\alpha} v \varepsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \eta$.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha i \omega v$. Attributive genitive or genitive of source ("from the old days").
$\dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \eta$. Aor act ind 3rd sg àviot $\eta \mu$.


$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.


$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$. Nominative subject of $\alpha$ à $\pi \kappa \kappa \varphi \propto \dot{\lambda} \lambda ı \sigma \alpha$.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \alpha$. Aor act ind 1st sg $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda i \zeta \omega$. Herod was not the actual agent of this action. Rather, he means, "John I (had) beheaded" (cf. 9:9).
tic. Predicate nominative.
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon}$. Here, the conjunction marks development in Herod's reasoning.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg ei $\mu \mathrm{i}$. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عíh.
oṽ̃oc. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ह̇бтıv.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho i ̀$ oũ. Reference.
àкои́ $\boldsymbol{\omega}$. Pres act ind 1st sg ảkоv́ $\omega$.
тotaṽ $\alpha$. Accusative direct object of àkov́ $\omega$.
 was anxious to see him."
$\dot{\varepsilon} \zeta \dot{\eta} \tau \varepsilon$. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\zeta \eta \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
ídeiv. Aor act inf ópáw (complementary).
aủtóv. Accusative direct object of ídzĩv.

## Luke 9:10-17

${ }^{10}$ When the apostles returned, they described to him everything they had done. And he took them along and withdrew privately to a city called Bethsaida. ${ }^{11}$ But when the crowd learned (about it), they followed him. After welcoming them he began speaking to them about the kingdom of God, and those who needed healing he proceeded to heal. ${ }^{12}$ Now, the day began to draw to a close. So the Twelve came and said to him, "Send the crowd away so that they can go into the surrounding villages and countryside and rest and find provisions, because we are in an isolated place here." ${ }^{13}$ But he said to them, "You give them something to eat." Then they said, "We do not have more than five loaves and two fish-unless perhaps we go and buy food for all these people?" ${ }^{14}$ For there were about five thousand men (there).

Then he said to his disciples, "Seat them in groups, [about] fifty apiece." ${ }^{15} \mathrm{And}$ they did so and seated everyone. ${ }^{16} \mathrm{He}$ took the five loaves and two fish, looked up toward heaven, and blessed them. Then he broke (them) and began giving (them) to the disciples to give to the crowd. ${ }^{17}$ They ate and everyone was satisfied. Then (the food) that was too much for them was collected-twelve baskets of scraps.

 $\pi о ́ \lambda ı \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda o v \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta \nu$ B $\eta \theta \sigma \alpha i ̈ \delta \dot{\alpha}$.

Kaì. Luke's use of kai shows that verses 7-9 were a parenthetical comment, with the story of verses 1-6 continuing on here.
 ral).
oí à $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ óбто入ot. Nominative subject of $\delta$ ı $\eta \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau$ т.

aủtư. Dative indirect object of $\delta ı \eta \gamma \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha v \tau 0$.
ö $\sigma \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \operatorname{li}_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha v$. The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ö), which as a whole


غ̇поíŋбаv. Aor act ind 3rd pl пог่̇ $\omega$.
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ (attendant circumstance).
av̉ tov̀c. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\text { un}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon \chi \dot { \omega } \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon v . ~}$

$\boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ' idiàv. An idiom (lit. "according to one's own things") meaning "pert. to a particular individual, by oneself, privately" (BDAG, 467.5; also used at 10:23).

عic тó̀ıı. Locative.
$\kappa \alpha \lambda о \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v \eta \nu$. Pres pass ptc fem acc sg $\kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (attributive).
B $\boldsymbol{\eta} \theta \sigma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \dot{\alpha}$. The indeclinable noun functions as a complement in a subject-complement double accusative construction (cf. 7:11 on Naïv). A number of textual variants surround this word, presumably because it seems to contradict the larger context in which the food shortage is a result of being in a deserted place. In Mark 6:31 and Matt 14:13, Jesus leads the disciples to a deserted place (Fitzmyer, 1:765-66; Omanson, 125).




$\gamma \nu \mathbf{v} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom pl $\gamma \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \omega$ (temporal).

av̉т $ฺ$. Dative complement of $\mathfrak{\eta} \kappa о \lambda$ ov́ $\eta \eta \sigma \alpha v$.
 ral).

$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon ı$. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. On the ingressive translation, see 1:59 on غ̇к人́ $\lambda$ ouv.
av̉兀oĩc. Dative indirect object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon$. $\pi \varepsilon \rho і ̀ \tau \tilde{c} \varsigma \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha c$. Reference.
тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ. Subjective genitive (see also 4:43).
тoùs . . . é $\chi$ ovtac. Pres act ptc masc acc pl ê $\chi \omega$ (substantival). Accusative direct object of iã $\tau 0$.

$\theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon i a c$. Objective genitive.
iã̃o. Impf mid ind 3rd sg iáouaı.




'H . . . $\dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon_{\rho} \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\eta \not \rho \xi \alpha \tau 0$.
$\eta{ }^{\eta} \rho \xi \alpha \tau$. Aor mid ind 3 rd sg ä $\rho \chi \omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{i v \varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\imath}$. Pres act inf $\kappa \lambda i v \omega$ (complementary). Here, likely, "to begin to come to an end, with particular reference to the period of a day (a figurative meaning dependent upon the position of the sun)" (LN 68.51; cf. 24:29).
$\pi \rho \mathbf{o \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta o ́ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma . ~ A o r ~ a c t ~ p t c ~ m a s c ~ n o m ~ p l ~} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \circ \mu a \mathrm{al}$ (attendant circumstance).
oi $\delta \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \kappa \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \alpha v$.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \pi \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. On the form, see 1:61.
av̉т $\tilde{\omega}$. Dative indirect object of عĩा $\pi \alpha$.


îva. Introduces a purpose clause.
 dant circumstance). On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.

$\kappa \dot{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Although this is technically the dative form of кv́к $\lambda$ оऽ, it became a fixed adverbial form (BDAG, 574.2) that came to function also as an indeclinable adjective, as here. The adjectival form is unattested in the NT.
$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega \sigma เ v$. Aor act subj 3rd pl кача入úw. Subjunctive with iva. Here, "to experience the hospitality of someone, with principal
focus upon lodging" (LN 34.61) or "to cease what one is doing, halt" (BDAG, 522.4).

$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \iota \tau \iota \sigma \mu \mathbf{o} v$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \cup \cup \rho \omega \sigma \iota v$. Only here in the NT: "provisions" (BDAG, 378).
ö $\tau$. Introduces a causal clause.
$\tilde{\omega} \delta \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \mathfrak{\eta} \mu \varphi$ тó $\boldsymbol{\pi} \varphi \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v$. Kwong (134, n. 16) notes that it is very unusual to have two spatial modifiers preceding the verb. This marked word order likely adds force to the disciples' statement.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \dot{\jmath} \mu \varphi$ тó $\pi \varphi$. Locative.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v$. Pres act ind 1st pl $\varepsilon \dot{\mu} \mu i$.


 $\beta \rho \omega \dot{\mu} \alpha \tau$.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho \mathbf{o ̀} \varsigma$ aủtov́c. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \alpha u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
$\Delta \dot{o} \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act impv 2nd $\mathrm{pl} \delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
av̉тoĩc. Dative indirect object of $\Delta$ óte.
$\dot{v} \mu \varepsilon i ̃ c$. Nominative subject of $\Delta \dot{o} \tau \varepsilon$. The use of a subject pronoun with the imperative is emphatic.
$\varphi a \gamma \varepsilon i ̃ v$. Aor act inf $\varepsilon$ ह̇ $\sigma i ́ \omega$ (direct object).
oi. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \alpha \nu$ (see 1:29 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ).
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \pi \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. On the form, see 1:61.
عioiv. Pres act ind 3rd pl $\varepsilon$ ípí.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\imath} v$. Dative of possession. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\dot{\eta} \mu i \pi v$.
$\pi \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{o} o v$ ท̀. "More than."

$\varepsilon \mathfrak{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \mathbf{\tau}$. This idiom means "unless indeed, unless perhaps" (BDAG, 279.6.j).
 dant circumstance). On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\alpha$ 人 $\gamma$ о $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$.
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\rho} \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon$. Aor act subj 1st pl $\dot{\alpha} \gamma o \rho \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$. The negativizer $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \iota$ is usually used with the indicative, and the idiom $\varepsilon \mathfrak{i} \mu \eta \dot{\tau} \tau$ does not
require the subjunctive (see 2 Cor 13:5). The use of the subjunctive could merely lend a greater nuance of contingency. Coupled with the use of $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \iota$, however, which normally introduces a question that expects a negative answer, the subjunctive here should be taken as deliberative (cf. our translation, which conveys an implicit question; see also Mark 6:37).

عic $\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha$ тòv $\lambda \alpha o ̀ v ~ \tau o v ̃ \tau o v . ~ A d v a n t a g e . ~ . ~$
$\beta \rho \omega \dot{\mu} \alpha \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \gamma o \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$.

 коvта.

ก̃ $\sigma a v$. Impf ind 3rd pl عi $\mu i$ i.
$\gamma$ à $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15), introducing a parenthetical explanation from the narrator for the disciples' statement.
$\boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon \mathbf{\varepsilon}$. Marker of approximation (lit. "as if"; BDAG, 1106.2).
$\alpha \ddot{\alpha} v \delta \rho \varepsilon \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \iota \sigma \chi \lambda \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{\iota}$. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha v$. The use of $\dot{\alpha} v \eta \rho$ instead of $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi$ os favors the idea that only the males are being counted. The parallel in Matt 14:21 makes this explicit by noting that women and children were not included in the count.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$.

av̉тoṽ. Genitive of relationship.
Katak入ivate. Aor act impv 2nd pl катак入iv. . This verb occurs only in Luke (also 7:36; 9:15; 14:8; $24: 30$ ). In the active, only here and verse 15 , it means "cause to lie down or sit down to eat" (BDAG, 518.a).
av̉̃oùc. Accusative direct object of Kataк $\lambda i v a \tau \varepsilon$.
$\boldsymbol{\kappa} \lambda \iota \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ as. Complement in an object-complement double accusative construction. This word occurs only here in the NT, but in other literature it refers to a group of people that has come together in order to eat together (BDAG, 550).
 involving numerable objects" (LN 89.91). BDAG (58.3) renders the phrase "by fifties."

## 


$\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ \kappa \lambda \iota v a v$ ．Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \lambda i v \omega$. ä $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \varsigma$ ．Accusative direct object of катغ́к $\kappa \iota v a v$ ．



$\lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$（attendant circum－ stance or temporal）．

тov̀¢ $\pi \varepsilon ̇ v \tau \varepsilon$ ä $\rho \tau$ тov̧ кaì тoùs $\delta$ v́o ỉxӨúac．Accusative direct object of $\lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega} v$ ．
$\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \psi \alpha c$ ．Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\alpha \operatorname{va} \beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega \omega$（attendant cir－ cumstance）．

عiç tòv oủpavòv．Locative．
عủ入ó $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg عủ $\lambda o \neq \varepsilon \in \omega$ ．Here，of course，with aútoùs as the object，the sense is＂to ask God to bestow divine favor on＂（LN 33．470）．This sense is made more explicit in the reading of

av̉̃ov̀c．Accusative direct object of عủ入ó $\gamma \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ．
кат $\dot{\kappa} \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\sigma} v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg катак入á $\omega$ ．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \delta i \delta o v$ ．Impf act ind 3rd sg $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$ ．On the ingressive translation， see $1: 59$ on غ̇кव́خ

тоі̃ৎ $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha i ̃ \varsigma . ~ D a t i v e ~ i n d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~ z ̇ \delta i \delta o u . ~$
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \theta \varepsilon \tilde{v} v a l$ ．Aor act inf $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau i \theta \eta \mu$（purpose）．
$\tau \tilde{\tilde{c}}$ ő $\chi \lambda \omega$ ．Dative indirect object of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} v a l$ ．
9：17 каì है甲


है¢ $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{o v}$ ．Aor act ind 3rd pl $\grave{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta i \omega$ ．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \chi \mathbf{\rho} \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ．Aor pass ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \chi \circ \rho \tau \alpha \dot{\zeta}(\omega$ ．
$\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ．Nominative subject of $\dot{\chi} \chi \circ \rho \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ．
$\eta{ }_{\eta} \rho \theta \eta$ ．Aor pass ind 3rd sg ai̋ $\rho \omega$ ．
тò $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v ̃ \sigma \alpha v$ ．Aor act ptc neut nom sg $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v ่ \omega$（substanti－ val）．Nominative subject of $\eta ้ \rho \theta \eta$ ．
av่̉oĩc．Dative of advantage．
$\kappa \lambda \alpha \sigma \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ ．Genitive of content，likely modifying кó $\varphi$ เvoı $\delta \omega \dot{\delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha}$ rather than tò $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v ̃ \sigma \alpha v ~(c o n t r a ~ P l u m m e r, ~ 245 ; ~ B D A G, ~$ 805．1．a．a）．
$\boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \varphi$ ıvoı $\delta \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\delta} \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$. Nominative in apposition to tò $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \nu$. BDAG (563) notes that the кópivoc was probably a large heavy basket that varied in size.

## Luke 9:18-22

${ }^{18} \mathrm{And}$ it happened that while he was praying privately, the disciples were with him. So he asked them, "Who do the crowds say that I am?" ${ }^{19}$ They responded and said, "John the Baptizer; others (say) Elijah, and others that some ancient prophet has risen." ${ }^{20}$ Then he said to them, "But you, who do you say that I am?" Peter responded and said, "The Christ of God." ${ }^{21}$ Then he strongly commanded (them) to tell this to no one ${ }^{22}$ and said, "It is necessary for the Son of Man to suffer many things, and to be rejected by the elders and chief priests and scribes, and to be killed, and on the third day to be raised."

##   

 $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon ่ v \varepsilon \tau \frac{1}{c}$ helps mark the beginning of a new pericope (cf. 5:12; see also $1: 8$ on'Eү $\varepsilon$ veto). Levinsohn $(2000,179)$ notes that "in Luke's Gospel, the temporal setting following $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \tau$ to sometimes refers neither to earlier events of the same episode nor to the previous episode," but rather links the episodes thematically (see also $1: 8$ on 'Eү' $\varepsilon$ veto). Thus, in this case, the feeding of the five thousand provides "the general background for the following conversation" (Levinsohn 2000, 179).

عĩvaı. Pres act inf $\varepsilon i \mu i$. Used with $\varepsilon v \tau \tau \tilde{y}$ to denote contemporaneous time (see also $1: 8$ on iepatcúsiv). When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81).
av̉ tòv. Accusative subject of عĩvau.
 periphrastic). This is a clear example of a periphrastic construction formed with an infinitive (cf. 11:1).
$\boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{v} \boldsymbol{v a c .}$. An idiom meaning "alone" (BDAG, 659.3). Rhetorically, kađò $\mu$ óvac shifts the narrative from the feeding account to a new scene (Nolland, 1:452). While the notion of being
"alone" appears to involve the absence of other people, in many societies such privacy is neither possible nor desired. Likewise, in the cultural context of Luke's gospel, to be "alone" was to be away from the people who were not part of your inner circle of family, friends, or in this case disciples (cf. Mark 4:10). There is thus no contradiction between this PP and the verb that follows, regardless of which sense of $\sigma \cup v \tilde{\eta} \sigma a v$ is understood (cf. the REB: "when he had been praying by himself in the company of his disciples").
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} v \tilde{\eta} \sigma a v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\sigma \dot{v} v \varepsilon \mu \mathrm{u}$. This verb can mean either "to be together with someone" (LN 85.2) or "the movement of two or more objects to the same location" (LN 15.123). Given the more nuanced understanding of кatà $\mu$ óvac suggested above, the former is more likely. The fact that the original hand of Codex B reads бuvŋ่v $\eta \quad \sigma \alpha v$ ("the disciples met him"), however, shows that at least one fourth century scribe took katà $\mu$ óvas to mean truly alone and changed the verb to avoid a contradiction.
av̉tโ̣. Dative complement of $\sigma v v \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha v$. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i} v$.
oi $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha i$. Nominative subject of $\sigma v v \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha v$.
غ̇ $\pi \eta \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg غ̇л $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$.

$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (means). On the possible significance of the extra verb of speech, see 7:39 on عĩ爪عv ह̇v ह́aut


Tiva. Predicate accusative of عĩvaı.
$\mu \varepsilon$. Accusative subject of عĩvaı.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau} v$. Pres act ind 3rd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.

عĩvaı. Pres act inf عỉhí (indirect discourse).

##  

oi. Nominative subject of عĩ $\pi \alpha v$ (see 1:29 on $\dot{\eta}$ and 8:21 on $\dot{o}$ ).
 circumstance, redundant; see also $1: 19$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \kappa \rho ı \theta \varepsilon i \varsigma) . ~ T h e ~ p a r t i-~$ ciple should not be viewed as substantival (see 8:21 on ó). On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
$\varepsilon \tilde{i} \pi \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. On the form, see 1:61.


 on ó $\beta a \pi \tau ı \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma)$.

'H $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{i} \alpha v$. Predicate accusative of an implied عĩvaı.
ä $\lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{o}$. Nominative subject of an implied $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma<0 \sigma \sigma$.
ötı. Introduces the clausal complement (indirect discourse) of an


$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha i \omega v$. Attributive genitive or genitive of source ("from the old days").



$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av̉toĩc. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon$ ยĩ $\pi \varepsilon$.
${ }^{\prime} \Upsilon \mu \varepsilon i ̃ c$. The fronted explicit subject pronoun with $\delta$ é sharply shifts the attention to the disciples' perspective on Jesus. The pronoun could be viewed as the nominative subject of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Given the fact that question words (here tiva) occur at the beginning of clauses, it is better to view ' $\Upsilon \mu \varepsilon \approx \varsigma$ as the topic of what follows (see 1:36 on 'E入ıб́́ $\beta \varepsilon \tau$ ) even though it is only picked up with the second plural verb (thus the translation).
riva. Predicate accusative of عĩval.
$\mu \varepsilon$. Accusative subject of عivvaı.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 2nd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
عĩvaı. Pres act inf eỉuí (indirect discourse).

 circumstance; see also $1: 19$ on àmoкрıधi¢). On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$.
Tòv Xpıotòv. Predicate accusative of an implied $\varepsilon \frac{\pi}{\tau} v a l: \lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega \sigma \varepsilon$ عĩvaı Tòv Xpıбтòv.

тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ. Genitive of source ("from God").

## 

 o).
 manded."
 cumstance).

$\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\gamma} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\lambda} \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
$\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v i ̀$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon เ v$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \iota v$. Pres act inf $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (indirect discourse).
тои̃то. Accusative direct object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon เ v$.



$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \pi \grave{\omega} \mathbf{v}$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (attendant circumstance).
ötı. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also 1:25 on ötı) of $\varepsilon i \pi \grave{\omega} v$.
$\Delta \varepsilon$ ĩ. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\delta \varepsilon i ̃$ (impersonal).

tòv viòv. Accusative subject of $\pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon i ̃ v$.
то⿱̃乂 $\mathfrak{a} v \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \mathbf{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v}$. Genitive of relationship.
$\pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} v$. Aor act inf $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \omega$ (complementary; see also 2:49 on عĩvai).
$\pi \mathbf{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$. Accusative direct object of $\pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} v$.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{o \delta o к ı \mu \alpha \sigma \theta \tilde { \eta } v a ı . ~ A o r ~ p a s s ~ i n f ~} \dot{\alpha} \pi о \delta о к ц \alpha \dot{\zeta} \zeta \omega$ (complementary; see also 2:49 on عĩvai).
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{\tau} \tau \tilde{\omega} v \pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \varepsilon \dot{\rho} \omega \nu$ каì $\alpha \rho \chi เ \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon ́ \omega v$ каі̀ $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ \omega v$. Agency. In contrast to Attic Greek, Koine Greek sometimes used àró rather than ט́tó to introduce the agent of a passive verb (Caragounis, 115; see also 6:18; 7:35; 8:43; 17:25). On the meaning of $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \omega v$, see

$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{o} \tau \tau \boldsymbol{\gamma} \theta \tilde{\eta} v \alpha \mathbf{~}$. Aor pass inf $\dot{\pi} \pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon i v \omega$ (complementary; see also 2:49 on عĩvai).
$\tau \underline{1} \tau \rho i \tau \eta \mathfrak{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \underline{.}$. Dative of time.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \rho \theta \tilde{\eta} \nu \boldsymbol{v a}$. Aor pass inf $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon i \rho \omega$ (complementary; see also 2:49 on عivvai). The verb could plausibly be middle voice ("to rise"; see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction).

## Luke 9:23-27

${ }^{23}$ Then he proceeded to say to everyone, "If anyone wants to become my follower, let him deny himself, take up his cross daily, and follow me. ${ }^{24}$ For whoever wants to save his life will lose it; and whoever loses his life for my sake, such a person will save it. ${ }^{25}$ Indeed, what good will it do a person if he gains the whole world and loses himself or suffers a loss? ${ }^{26}$ For whoever is ashamed of me and my words, the Son of Man will be ashamed of him when he comes in his glory and (the glory of) the Father and the holy angels. ${ }^{27}$ I tell you for certain, there are some of those standing here who will certainly not experience death until they see the kingdom of God."
 $\dot{\alpha} \rho v \eta \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega$ ह́avtòv каì à $\rho \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \omega$ tòv $\sigma \tau \alpha v \rho o ̀ v ~ a u ̉ \tau o v ̃ ~ \kappa \alpha \theta ' ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \dot{\rho} \rho \alpha v$ каì àко入ouӨzít $\omega \boldsymbol{\mu}$ о.
${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \lambda \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ òs $\pi \alpha \dot{v} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$. . Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho$ ò $\alpha$ ט̇tòv). The parallel in Mark 8:34 specifies that $\pi \alpha \dot{v} \tau \alpha a c$ includes the crowd and Jesus' disciples.

Eit. Introduces the protasis of a first class condition. See also verse 24 on ő¢ $\ldots$. âv $\theta \dot{\text { ćn }}$ n?
$\tau \tau$. Nominative subject of $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$.
$\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
 of becoming Jesus' disciple (cf. BDAG, 716.2.a).
ò $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{0}$. Spatial.

$\dot{\alpha} \rho v \eta \sigma \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \omega$. Aor mid impv 3rd sg $\dot{\alpha} \rho v \varepsilon \dot{\sigma} \rho \mu a 1$. With imperative verbs, "general precepts usually occur in the present and specific commands usually occur in the aorist" (Fanning, 339). The specificity of the command with the aorist relates to "its scope, situation, and purpose. It involves a specific agent performing action within a specific situation" (Campbell 2008, 84). "An instruction is specific if
the situation is specific. It is an instruction that is required in light of the specific situation, rather than an instruction that is required of any, or multiple, situation/s" (Campbell 2008, 85). Building on these notions, Campbell (2008, 89; emphasis in original) argues here that "While this instruction is no doubt intended for general reference, the actions of denying oneself and taking up one's cross are contrasted with the present imperative to 'follow me' [áко入оuӨzit $\omega$ ]. Though these two actions are to occur 'daily,' the contrast with the present imperative indicates that they are viewed as prerequisite to the general instruction of following Jesus; one is to deny himself, and take up his cross, before being able to follow Jesus." While one can readily acknowledge that denying oneself and taking up one's cross would be specific outworkings of the more general command to follow Jesus, reading them as prerequisites seems to be squeezing more from the syntax than is there.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha v \tau o ̀ v$. Accusative direct object of $\alpha \rho v \eta \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \omega$. $\dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$. Aor act impv 3rd sg ailp $\omega$.
tòv o $\tau \alpha 0 \rho o ̀ v . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~ a ̉ \rho a ́ t \omega . ~$
av่̉oṽ. Possessive genitive.
$\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \alpha \nu$. Distributive.

$\mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$. Dative complement of àkо入ov $\theta \varepsilon i t \omega$.

##  

ös . . . äv $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \boldsymbol{\eta}$. Luke 9:23-24 illustrates the fact that a relative clause can function in a manner roughly equivalent to the protasis of a conditional construction (cf. Eit $\tau \iota \varsigma \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \iota$ in v. 23). In general, the explicit conditional construction is more forceful rhetorically, while the relative clause construction keeps the focus on the person rather than the action (Caragounis, 198). It is not clear, however, that there is much difference in rhetorical force when the indefinite relative (öc $\ddot{\alpha} v$ or öऽ $\begin{gathered}\alpha \\ \alpha \\ v\end{gathered}$; see 7:23) is used.
ös . . . äv. Nominative subject of $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \eta$ n. The indefinite relative pronoun (see 9:48 on "Oc $\mathfrak{\varepsilon}$ àv) introduces a headless relative clause
 $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \sigma \alpha u)$ serves as the subject of $\alpha \pi о \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma$.
$\gamma$ à $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).
$\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda_{n}$ ．Pres act subj 3 rd sg $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$ ．Subjunctive with $\alpha \not v$ ．
โク̀v $\psi v \chi \eta ̀ v$ ．Accusative direct object of $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \sigma \alpha a$ ．
av่̉าov．Possessive genitive．
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{a}$ ．Aor act inf $\sigma \omega \hat{\omega} \zeta \omega$（complementary）．
à $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sigma \varepsilon เ$ ．Fut act ind 3rd sg àró̀ $\lambda \lambda \nu \mu$ ．

öc ．．．äv．Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \eta!$ ．The indefinite relative pronoun（see 9：48 on＂O̧ $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v$ ）introduces a headless relative clause

 by the resumptive pronoun oũ̃oc．

$\tau \grave{\eta} v \psi v \chi \grave{\eta} v$ ．Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathrm{O} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \eta$ ．
av่̉oũ．Possessive genitive．
モ̌vยкยข غ̇นoṽ．Cause．
oṽtoc．Nominative subject of бف́бعı．Lit．＂this one．＂
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \varepsilon$ ．Fut act ind 3rd sg $\sigma \dot{\omega} \zeta \omega$ ．
av̉兀ŋ่ข．Accusative direct object of $\sigma \dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon$ ．

##  


$\tau i$ ．Accusative direct object of $\dot{\omega} \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha ı$ ．
$\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ ．The conjunction is best viewed as broadly strengthening the preceding assertion（see also 1：15），though it could be viewed as introducing an inference from the preceding assertion．
 middle rather than passive（contra Marshall，375；Nolland，2：483） since passive verbs do not take direct objects（ $\tau i$ ）．
$\alpha ̋ v \theta \rho \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ．Nominative subject of $\dot{\omega} \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon i \pi \tau \alpha$.
$\kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha$, ．Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta \alpha i v \omega$（condition or means）．Here，Luke reflects the earlier dominance of the circum－ stantial participle，while Matthew reflects the growing trend to use constructions other than the participle：$\tau i \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\omega} \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \eta \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \alpha \iota$
 $\zeta \eta \mu \omega \theta \theta \tilde{\eta}$（Caragounis，180）．The participle could also conceivably be read as attributive：lit．＂What will a person benefit who gains the whole world and loses himself or suffers a loss．＂

$\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha u \tau o ̀ v . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~ a ̀ ~ \pi o \lambda \varepsilon ́ \sigma a \varsigma . ~$
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{o} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathrm{c}$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg à $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \hat{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \mathrm{I}$ (condition; but see above on $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$.
$\zeta \eta \mu t \omega \theta \varepsilon i \varsigma$. Aor mid ptc masc nom sg $\zeta \eta \mu$ ó $\omega$ (condition; but see above on $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \varsigma)$. The form here is likely middle rather than passive, since it takes an accusative direct object in this form elsewhere (see Phil 3:8; contra Sumney, 78; see also "Deponency" in the Series Introduction). This verb refers to "suffering loss, whether through paying a fine, losing a game, or failing in a business deal" (Bock, 1:855). Plummer (249) notes that "The opposition between к $\varepsilon$ р $\delta$ os and $\zeta \eta \mu i \alpha$ is common in Grk." Although à $\pi о \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \alpha \varsigma$ and $\zeta \eta \mu \omega \omega \theta$ siৎ could be viewed as near synonyms here (Marshall, 375), the former appears to tie this saying to verse 24 , while the latter plays off $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta \eta \dot{\eta} \alpha \varsigma$. The nature of what it means to lose one's life or "suffer a loss" is explained in what follows.

 каì тoṽ $\pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \omega ̃ v ~ \alpha ́ \gamma i ́ \omega v ~ \alpha ́ \gamma \gamma \dot{\gamma} \lambda \omega \nu$.
ös . . . $\mathfrak{\alpha} v$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \alpha \iota \sigma \chi \cup v \theta \tilde{\eta}$. The indefinite relative pronoun (see 9:48 on"Oऽ $\varsigma \dot{\alpha} v$ ) introduces a headless relative

 picked up by the resumptive pronoun toũtov.

үà $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).
 $\ddot{\alpha} v$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
$\mu \varepsilon$ кaì tov̀s è $\mu \mathbf{o v ̀ s ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v c . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~ e ̇ r a l - ~}$ бхטVӨறั.
тoṽтov. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \alpha \iota \sigma \chi \cup v \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \tau a 1$. Fronted for emphasis.


то⿱̃乂 $\mathfrak{a} v \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \mathbf{\sigma} \mathbf{v}$. Genitive of relationship.

ö $\tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$. On translating "when" rather than "whenever," see 6:22.
 the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.

 tive.


$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \operatorname{\omega }$. . . ن́ $\mu \tilde{\imath} v \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \tilde{\omega} c$. Lit. "truly I say to you." Rhetorically, this expression serves to introduce a statement of high importance (cf. 12:44: 21:3) by combining both a meta-comment (see $3: 8$ on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ $\dot{v} \mu i v)$ and $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$. It appears to be equivalent to the Semitic 'A $\mu \eta \geqslant$ $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$ ن́ $\mu \mathrm{\imath} v(4: 24 ; 12: 37 ; 18: 17,29 ; 21: 32 ; 23: 43)$.
$\lambda \varepsilon ̇ \gamma \omega$ ט́циĩv. See also 3:8.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
عíaiv. Pres act ind 3rd pl ciцí.
тıvec. Nominative subject of eioiv.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$. . . $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \dot{\tau} \tau \omega v$. Prf act ptc masc gen pl Ï $\sigma \tau \eta \mu \mathrm{t}$ (substantival). Partitive genitive.
 here as an adverb of place, indicating a position of relative proximity (BDAG, 154; cf. Acts $18: 19 ; 21: 4$ ). The vast majority of scribes, however, replaced this form with the more common $\tilde{\omega} \delta \varepsilon$.
oil. Nominative subject of $\gamma \varepsilon v i \sigma \omega v \tau \alpha a$.
$\gamma \varepsilon \dot{v} \sigma \omega v \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Aor mid subj 3rd pl $\gamma$ عvंoual. The subjunctive is used with ov̉ $\mu \eta$ ', which expresses emphatic negation (see also 1:15 on $\pi$ iṇ).
$\theta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \mathbf{c}$. Genitive object of $\gamma \varepsilon v \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega v \tau \alpha$.
$\ddot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\zeta} \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{v}$. This expression denotes that "the commencement of an event is dependent on circumstances" (BDAG, 422-23.1. $\beta$ ).
$\ell \delta \omega \sigma \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act subj 3rd pl ópá $\omega$. Subjunctive in an indefinite temporal clause with $\varepsilon \approx \omega \varsigma ~ a ̈ v$.

тŋ̀v $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \alpha v$. Accusative direct object of "í $\delta \omega \sigma \iota v$.
тoṽ $\theta$ عoũ. Subjective genitive.

## Luke 9：28－36

${ }^{28}$ Now it happened about eight days after these words that he took Peter and John and James and went up on a mountain to pray．${ }^{29} \mathrm{And}$ it happened that while he was praying the appearance of his face became different and his clothes became gleaming white． ${ }^{30} \mathrm{And}$（all of a sudden）two men were talking with him，who were Moses and Elijah，${ }^{31}$ who after appearing in glory began talking about his departure which he was about to fufill in Jerusalem．
${ }^{32}$ Now，Peter and those with him were extremely sleepy，but when they were fully awake they saw his glory and two men stand－ ing with him．${ }^{33}$ And it happened that as they were leaving him， Peter said to Jesus，＂Master，it is good for us to be here．Let us make three shelters，one for you and one for Moses and one for Elijah，＂ not knowing what he was saying．${ }^{34}$ Now，while he was saying these things a cloud came and began to engulf them；and they became afraid as they entered the cloud．${ }^{35}$ Then there was a voice from the cloud that said，＂This is my chosen Son．Listen to him．＂${ }^{36}$ As the voice spoke，Jesus was found alone．They were silent，and at that time they told nothing of what they had seen to anyone．

 ő $\rho о \varsigma \pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \dot{\jmath} \xi \alpha \sigma \theta a ı$ ．
＇E $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ह́veto．Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual．See 1：8 on＇Eүéveto．
$\mu \varepsilon \tau$ à tov̀s $\lambda$ ó $\gamma o u s$ tov́tovs．Temporal．
$\dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon$ ．．Marker of approximation（BDAG，1106．2）．
$\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\iota}$ ỏкт⿳亠丷厂犬．Rather than positing a＂nominative for time＂ （Wallace，64），it is likely better to view this as the nominative subject of either＇Eүモ́veto（＂About eight days passed after these words ．．．＂） or of an implicit verb（＂Now it happened that after these words there were about eight days ．．．＂）．
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega} v$ ．Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$（attendant circumstance）．
 of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega} v$ ．The proper nouns are anarthrous，since these par－ ticipants are being reintroduced into the narrative．Having been reintroduced here，subsequent references to Peter（vv．32，33）are appropriately articular（Levinsohn 2000，151）．
$\dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \beta \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg àvaßaive． عic tò őpoc．Locative． $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \dot{\xi} \xi \alpha \sigma \theta a \mathrm{a}$ ．Aor mid inf $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \cup ́ \chi o \mu a ı$（purpose）．


 cope，kaì $\dot{\gamma \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \tau o ~ t e n d s ~ t o ~ m a r k ~ a n ~ i m m e d i a t e ~ s e q u e n c e ~ o f ~ e v e n t s ~}$ （Decker，85；cf．1：23；see also 1：8 on＇Eүध́vعто）．
 denote contemporaneous time（see also $1: 8$ on íepatev́siv）．When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition，they are always articular（Burk，81）．
av̉tòv．Accusative subject of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon u ́ \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \mathrm{a}$ ．
тò $\varepsilon \mathbf{\varepsilon} \delta \mathbf{\delta} \boldsymbol{c}$ ．Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause．Here غ̇үと́veto is implied．

то⿱̃乂 $\pi \rho о \sigma \omega ̈ \pi \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Subjective genitive．
aủtoṽ．Possessive genitive．
ह̈tcpov．Predicate adjective in a verbless equative clause．
$\dot{\mathbf{o}}$ i $\mu \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mu \mathrm{o} \varsigma$ ．Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause． Here $\varepsilon$ દ̇と́veto is implied．
aủtoṽ．Possessive genitive．
$\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{o} \varsigma$. Predicate nominative in a verbless equative clause（see further below）．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \tau \tau \omega v$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \omega$（attribu－ tive）．Only here in the NT：＂flash／gleam like lightning＂（BDAG， 346）．The participle could be viewed as nominative in apposition to $\lambda \varepsilon u \kappa$ òs．More likely，though，given the tendency to use the parti－ cipial form of $\grave{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$ as an attributive modifier of words like $\pi \tilde{v} \rho$（LXX Ezek 1：4）and $\chi \alpha$ ккó（LXX Ezek 1：7；Dan 10：6），it is bet－ ter to understand the syntax here in the same manner，with $\lambda \varepsilon \cup \kappa o ̀ s$ functioning as a substantival adjective modified by the participle．
 каì＇H $\lambda i \alpha$, ，

> íSov̀. See 1:20.
$\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\rho} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{0}$. Nominative subject of $\sigma u v \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \mathrm{hovv}$. The exact phrase, î $\delta$ où $\alpha \not v \delta \rho \varepsilon \varsigma \delta$ v́o, also occurs in 24:4 and Acts 1:10.
$\sigma u v \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda o u v$. Impf act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \sigma u \lambda \lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
av̉tĉ̣. Dative of association.
oítıves. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha v$. For more on the so-called indefinite relative pronoun, see 1:20 on oïtıvec.

ท̃ซav. Impf ind 3rd pl $\varepsilon$ íu.
M $\omega \ddot{\sigma} \sigma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ каì 'H入íac. Predicate nominative.


oí. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ è $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma o v$.
ỏ $\varphi \theta \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Aor pass ptc masc nom pl ópáw (temporal). Lit. "who being seen."
èv $\delta \dot{\prime} \xi \mathrm{q}$. Manner.
$\ddot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mathbf{o v}$. Impf act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.

av่̉oṽ. Subjective genitive.
$\eta \eta v$. Accusative direct object of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o u ̃ v$.
$\eta \eta^{\prime} \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v$. Impf act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$. On the semantics of $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ with an infinitive, see 21:7 on $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \eta$.
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho o v ̃ v$. Pres act inf $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o ́ \omega$ (complementary).


 тov̧̀ $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \tilde{\omega} \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ a v ̉ \tau \tilde{̣}$.
ó . . . Пغ́т $\rho \mathbf{o c}$ каì oi $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ v̀v av̉tẹ̃. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} \sigma \alpha v$.
oi. The nominative article functions as a nominalizer (see 1:48 on à $\pi o ̀ ~ \tau o v ̃ ~ v \tilde{v} v$ ), changing the PP oùv aủtẹ into a substantive that is part of the subject NP.
ov̀v aủtẹ̃. Association.
ท̃ซav. Impf ind 3rd pl $\varepsilon$ íuí.
$\tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha v \beta \varepsilon \beta a \rho \eta \mu \varepsilon ́ v o t ~ v ̋ \pi v \varphi ̣$. Lit. "had been weighed down by sleep."
$\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \rho \eta \mu \dot{v} v o$ o. Prf pass ptc masc nom pl $\beta \alpha \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \circ \mu \alpha ı$ (pluperfect periphrastic).
$\boldsymbol{v} \pi \nu \varphi$. Dative of instrument.
 (temporal or attendant circumstance). Only here in the NT. BDAG (227.1) notes that the verb could mean "keep awake" here, but more likely means "awake fully."

عĩరov. Aor act ind 3rd pl ópá $\omega$.
 عĩठov.
av̉toṽ. Subjective genitive.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} v \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \tilde{\omega} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$. Prf act ptc masc acc $\mathrm{pl} \sigma v \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{i} \sigma \tau \eta \mu \text {. The parti- }}$ ciple could be viewed either as attributive ("two men who were standing") or as the complement in an object-complement double accusative construction (see the translation), since ó $\rho \dot{\alpha} \omega$ sometimes takes a double accusative.
aủt $\tilde{.}$. Dative complement of $\sigma u v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha \varsigma$.





غ̇ץ́̇veto. Aor mid ind 3rd sg $\gamma$ ivoual. When used within a pericope, kaì $\dot{\gamma} \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \tau \frac{1}{c}$ tends to mark an immediate sequence of events (Decker, 85; cf. 1:23; see also 1:8 on 'Eүと́veto).
$\delta \iota a \chi \omega \rho i \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta a ı$. Pres mid inf $\delta \iota a \chi \omega \rho i \zeta \omega$. Used with $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\omega}$ to denote contemporaneous time (see also 1:8 on íعpatev่عiv). When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81).
av̉тov̀c. Accusative subject of $\delta ı \alpha \chi \omega \rho i \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha a$. The antecedent is тov̀c $\delta$ úo äv $\delta \rho a c$.
à $\pi^{\prime}$ av̉тoṽ. Separation.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
о́ Пغ́т $\boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\rho}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \frac{i \pi}{\pi} \varepsilon v$.
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ ' I ̇ \sigma o v ̃ v . ~ I n d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~(s e e ~ 1: 13 ~ o n ~ \pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
'Eлıбт $\dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha$. Vocative.
$\kappa \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{v} \boldsymbol{v}$. Predicate adjective of $\grave{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg عíhi. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عíp.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{a} ¢$. Accusative subject of عivvaı.
$\tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. The adverb functions as the predicate of عĩvaı.

عivvat. Pres act inf $\varepsilon i \mu i$ i. The infinitival clause is the subject of غ̇бтıv.
$\pi \boldsymbol{\prime}!\eta \dot{\sigma} \omega \mu \varepsilon v$. Aor act subj 1st pl $\pi$ ot $\varepsilon \omega$ (hortatory).

$\mu i \alpha \nu$. . . каì $\mu i \alpha \nu$. . . к кà $\mu i \alpha v$. Accusative in apposition to бкŋvà̧.

бoì . . . M $\omega$ üбعĩ . . . 'H $\lambda$ iạ. Dative of advantage.
$\varepsilon \mathbf{\varepsilon} \delta \dot{\omega} \varsigma$. Prf act ptc masc nom sg oĩ $\delta \alpha$ (causal; cf. Mark 9:6-oủ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$
 4:34 on oĩ $\delta \dot{\alpha}$.
ö. Accusative direct object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon$. The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ö), which as a whole (o̊ $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \iota)$ serves as the direct object of $\varepsilon i \delta \dot{\omega} c$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon เ$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$.

##  

тaṽтa $\delta \varepsilon ̀ ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ̃ ~ \lambda e ́ \gamma o v \tau o c . ~ T h i s ~ p a r t i c i p i a l ~ c l a u s e ~ r e p e a t s ~ i n f o r-~$ mation from the previous verse, forming a tail-head construction that serves to highlight what follows (cf. Runge $\$ 8.3$ ).
$\tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma}$ тос.
av่̉тov. Genitive subject of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma 0 \nu \tau 0 \varsigma$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v \tau o c .}$. Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvєט́ovtoc), temporal.

غ̇ $ү$ と́veto. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual.

 ing them." On the ingressive translation, see 1:59 on غ̇кג́ $\lambda$ ouv.
av̉тov́c. Accusative direct object of غ̇лєбкiaそદv.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \mathbf{o} \boldsymbol{\beta} \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$. Aor mid ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \varphi \mathrm{\beta} \beta \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \mu \alpha \mathrm{l}$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
 contemporaneous time (see also 1:8 on ípat\&úعıv; for an alternative view, see $1: 21$ on $\chi \rho o v i \zeta \varepsilon ı v)$. When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81). On the use of the aorist infinitive, see 3:21 on $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} v a l$. Kwong (114, n. 34) notes that this is the only place in Luke's gospel, out of twenty-six occurrences, where this construction follows the verb it modifies. Here,
he maintains, the marked word order highlights the psychological effect on the disciples.
av̉тov̀c. Accusative subject of $\varepsilon$ ỉø $\lambda \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi v$.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varsigma \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \nu \nu \nu \varepsilon \varphi \varepsilon ̇ \lambda \eta \nu$. Locative.


 Luke is fond of using $\varphi \omega v \dot{\eta}$ as the subject of $\gamma$ ivouaı (see also 1:44; 3:22; 9:36; Acts 2:6; 7:31; 10:13; 19:34).

غ̇ $\gamma \varepsilon \dot{v} v \in \tau$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual.

$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{o v \sigma a}$. Pres act ptc fem nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (attributive).
 (118) suggests that these words "may imply the disciples have wrongly equalized Jesus, Moses and Elijah, and God's declaration is a correction to Peter's inappropriate proposal and a clarification to what the transfiguration reveals about Jesus' identity."

Oũ̃óc. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ह̇бтıv. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס́́nбi¢.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \tau v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg qípi. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عíp.
ó vióc. Predicate nominative.
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Genitive of relationship.
 The participle could conceivably be taken as substantival in apposition to ó viós: "my Son, the chosen One."
av̉toṽ. Genitive complement of ảkои́ยtع.
ảкои́ยtє. Pres act impv 2nd pl ảkov́ต.



 happened."
$\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta a \mathrm{a}$. Aor mid inf $\gamma i v o \mu a ı$. Used with $\varepsilon$ ह̉v $\tau \tilde{\omega}$ to denote contemporaneous time (see also $1: 8$ on íppatev่ยı). When infinitives
serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81). On the use of the aorist infinitive, see 3:21 on $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} v a l$.
 notes, Luke is fond of using $\varphi \omega v \eta$ as the subject of $\gamma i v o \mu a ı$ (see also 1:44; 3:22; 9:35; Acts 2:6; 7:31; 10:13; 19:34). घúp $\dot{\varepsilon} \theta \eta$. Aor pass ind 3rd sg عúpíбк $\omega$.
'I $\eta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tilde{c}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \dot{\jmath} \rho \varepsilon \dot{\theta} \eta \eta$.
$\boldsymbol{\mu}$ óvoc. The nominative adjective functions as the complement in a subject-complement double nominative construction (see 1:32 on viòc).
av่̉ті̀. Nominative subject of $̇$ ह́бi $\gamma \eta \sigma \alpha v$. The fronted explicit subject pronoun shifts the focus back to the disciples.

غ̇бi $\gamma \eta \sigma \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\sigma \not \gamma \dot{\alpha} \omega$.
ov̉ $\delta \varepsilon v i$. Dative indirect object of $\alpha \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha v$.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\eta} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
 of the phrase here implies that the disciples did indeed at some later date tell others what they then had seen" (Loney, 17).
ov̉dèv. Accusative direct object of ả $\pi \dot{\eta} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha v$.
$\tilde{\omega} v$. The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ö), which as a whole ( $\tilde{\omega} v \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\omega} \rho \alpha к а v$ ) provides the partitive modifier of oú $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} v$. Rather than being accusative as the direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\omega} \alpha \kappa \alpha \nu$, the relative pronoun takes on the case of the unexpressed (partitive) antecedent.

غ́மракаv. Prf act ind 3rd pl ópáw. Campbell $(2007,204)$ suggests that "The intensive nature of the perfect appropriately draws attention to the fact that what the disciples had witnessed was beyond belief." If Campbell is correct, which is not at all clear here, it would be appropriate to translate the clause: "they told none of the things they had witnessed to anyone," with "witnessed" conveying the sense that they had seen something significant.

## Luke 9:37-43a

${ }^{37}$ Now, it happened on the next day that when they had come down from the mountain a large crowd met him. ${ }^{38}$ And a man from the crowd shouted out, saying, "Teacher, I beg you to take a look at my son, since he is my only son! ${ }^{39} \mathrm{~A}$ spirit seizes him, and he suddenly cries out. It throws him into convulsions with foaming
at the mouth. It hardly (ever) leaves him and severely harms him! ${ }^{40} \mathrm{I}$ begged your disciples to cast it out, but they were not able to." ${ }^{41}$ Jesus responded and said, "O faithless and crooked generation! How long must I be with you and put up with you? Bring your son here." ${ }^{42}$ Now, while he was still coming the demon knocked him to the ground and threw him into convulsions. Then Jesus rebuked the unclean spirit, healed the child, and gave him back to his father. ${ }^{43 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{And}$ everyone was amazed at the greatness of God.


'Eүéveto. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үívoual. See 1:8 on 'E $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ éveto. $\tau \tilde{\eta} \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \mathfrak{\eta} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \underline{\alpha}$. Dative of time.
$\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda \theta \dot{o} v \tau \omega v$. Aor act ptc masc gen pl катغ́p $\chi$ о $\mu \alpha$. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvev́ovtoc), temporal.
av̉兀 $\tilde{v} v$. Genitive subject of $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda \theta o ́ v \tau \omega v$.
àtò toũ őpovc. Separation.
$\sigma u v \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\sigma u v a v \tau \dot{\alpha} \omega$.
av̉tẹ. Dative complement of $\sigma u v \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i} v$.
ő $\chi \lambda \mathbf{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varsigma} \pi \mathbf{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\varphi} \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\sigma \cup v \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$.


íoov̀. See 1:20.
$\alpha \dot{\alpha} v \grave{\eta} \rho$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \beta$ ó $\eta \sigma \varepsilon v$.
ànò toṽ ő ôdov. Partitive.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \beta \mathbf{o ́ \eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\beta$ oá $\omega$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (manner).
$\Delta \mathrm{t} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \kappa \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Vocative.
$\delta \dot{\varepsilon} о \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{i}$. Pres mid ind 1st sg $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \circ \boldsymbol{\mu} \alpha \mathrm{a}$. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס $\varepsilon$ そ́ $\sigma$ ic.
oov. Genitive object of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ ouai.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \psi \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Aor act inf $\grave{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega$ (indirect discourse).
દ̇̃ì tòv vióv. Locative.
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Genitive of relationship.
ötı. Introduces a causal clause.
$\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{v o \gamma \varepsilon v} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}$. Predicate nominative.
$\mu \boldsymbol{o}$. Dative of possession.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عiцı.

 бuvt 1 ĩ $\beta o v$ aủtóv.
ídov̀. See 1:20.
$\pi v \varepsilon v ̃ \mu \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon$.
$\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$.
aủtóv. Accusative direct object of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon$.
$\varepsilon ̇ \xi \alpha i \varphi v \eta \varsigma$. This temporal adverb indicates "pertaining to an extremely short period of time between a previous state or event and a subsequent state or event" (LN 67.113).
$\kappa \rho \alpha ́ \zeta \varepsilon ı$. Pres act ind 3rd sg кра́ऍ $\omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \varepsilon ı$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\sigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega$.
av̉ $\tau$ òv. Accusative direct object of $\sigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \varepsilon$.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ à $\varphi p o \tilde{v}$. Association. Lit. "with foam."

à $\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tilde{0}$. Separation.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} v \tau \boldsymbol{\imath} \tilde{\beta}$ ov. Pres act ptc neut nom sg $\sigma v v \tau \rho i \beta \omega$ (attendant circumstance; see 1:24 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \quad 0 \quad \sigma \alpha)$.
av่̉óv. Accusative direct object of $\sigma \cup v \tau \rho i ̃ ß o v$.


$\varepsilon ̇ \delta \varepsilon \grave{\eta} \theta \eta \boldsymbol{\eta}$. Aor mid ind 1stsg $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ o $\mu \alpha ı$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. Genitive complement of $\dot{\varepsilon} \delta \varepsilon \eta \eta \eta \eta \nu$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma o v}$. Genitive of relationship.
ǐva. Introduces indirect discourse (see also 8:31 on îva). This could also plausibly be viewed as a purpose clause (cf. 18:39 on îva; McKay, 117): "so that they would cast it out."
$\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega \sigma ı v$. Aor act subj 3rd pl $̇$ ह̇к $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$. Subjunctive with îva.
av่̉о́. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega \sigma \iota v$.
$\eta$ ŋ́ $\delta v v_{\eta} \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$. Aor mid ind 3rd pl $\delta \dot{v} v a \mu \alpha l$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.



 circumstance; see also $1: 19$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma^{\prime} \rho(\theta \varepsilon i \varsigma)$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
$\dot{\delta}$ 'İ $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{o v} \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$. Luke supplies the explicit subject, while Mark 9:19 simply uses ó $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ to mark a change of speaker (Nolland, 2:509; see 1:29 on $\grave{\eta}$ ).
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
${ }^{\pi} \Omega$. This particle is often used with the vocative to convey deep emotion (see further in Wallace, 68-69).
$\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ ätıбтоৎ каì $\delta เ \varepsilon \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta$. Vocative. The referent of the address is not specified in the Greek text. Given the overall context (cf. Mark 9:14-16), it is best to view the target of the vocative as the disciples, who are representatives of that generation (cf. Nolland, 2:509; contra Plummer, 255).
$\delta \mathbf{\delta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta$. Prf pass ptc fem voc sg $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$ (attributive).
हैwৎ $\pi$ óte. Lit. "until when?" The question here is rhetorical rather than deliberative.

हैбouaı. Fut mid ind 1st sg عíhi.
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \grave{v} \mu \tilde{a} \varsigma$. Association.

$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Genitive complement of $\alpha \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \xi_{o \mu \alpha u}$.
$\pi \rho o \sigma \dot{\alpha} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon$. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega$.
tòv vióv. Accusative direct object of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \gamma \varepsilon$.
oov. Genitive of relationship.


 av̉тoṽ.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi о \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v o v}$. Pres mid ptc masc gen sg $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi о \mu \alpha ı$. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvєv́ovtoc), temporal.
av่̉ои̃. Genitive subject of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon ่ v o v$.
ép $\rho \eta \xi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\dot{\rho} \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma v \mu$. Lit "tore him." Here, "to cause to fall to the ground in convulsions" (LN 23.168).
av̉兀òv. Accusative direct object of $\begin{gathered} \\ \rho\end{gathered} \eta \xi \varepsilon v$. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \tilde{\mathrm{i} v}$.


$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \tau i \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg غ̇ $\pi \iota \tau \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$.
ó 'I $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{v} \tilde{v}$. Nominative subject of $\grave{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \tau i \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$.

iàбato. Aor mid ind 3rd sg ỉáoual.
tòv $\pi \alpha$ ã̃ $\alpha$. Accusative direct object of iảбato.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
av̉ $\tau \grave{v} v$. Accusative direct object of à $\tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \pi \alpha \tau \rho \dot{\text {. }}$. Dative indirect object.
av̉тoṽ. Genitive of relationship.

## 

$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \dot{\jmath} \sigma \sigma o v \tau 0$. Impf mid/pass ind 3rd pl $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma о \mu \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Luke uses an imperfect verb to summarize the response to the preceding events (see Introduction, "Verbal Aspect")
$\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma o v \tau 0$.

тoṽ $\theta$ عoũ. Subjective genitive.

## Luke 9:43b-45

${ }^{436}$ While everyone was marveling at everything he was doing, he said to his disciples, 44 "Listen carefully to their words, for the Son of Man is about to be handed over into the hands of men." ${ }^{45}$ But they were just not grasping what he had said. Indeed, it had been hidden from them so that they did not comprehend it. And they were afraid to ask him about what he had said.
 тov̧̀ $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha ̀ \varsigma ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ̃, ~$

Пávт $\omega v$. Genitive subject of $Ө \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \zeta \dot{\partial} v \tau \omega v$.
$\theta \alpha \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \zeta$ óvt $\omega v$. Pres act ptc masc gen pl $\theta \alpha \nu \mu \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$. Genitive absolute (see $2: 2$ on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvєv́ovtoc), temporal.

દ̇ $\pi \grave{̀} \pi \tilde{\pi} \sigma ı v$. Causal.
oĩc. Dative by attraction to $\pi \tilde{a} \sigma ı v($ see $5: 9$ on $\tilde{\omega} v)$. Without attraction we would have expected oṽc, since the relative pronoun is the syntactic direct object of غ̇поíı.

غ̇̃oíc. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\pi$ oıź $\omega$.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ òs tov̀s $\mu \boldsymbol{\alpha} \theta$ ŋ $\tau$ àc. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho$ òs av̉tòv).
av̉тoṽ. Genitive of relationship.


 these words into your ears." This idiomatic expression emphasizes "the importance and solemnity of Jesus' coming statement" (Nolland, 2:513).

$\dot{v} \mu \varepsilon \pi \bar{c}$. Nominative subject of $\Theta \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta \varepsilon$. The use of the explicit subject pronoun with the imperative verb, particularly when the possessive $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ is also used, makes the command more forceful (cf. 9:13; 10:37).

عiç $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ ã $\tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha$. Locative.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Possessive genitive.
 "these words." See further below on $\gamma$ à $\rho$.
ó . . . viòs toṽ ảv $\boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\rho}$ órov. See 5:24.
ó . . . viòs. Nominative subject of $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$.
то⿱̃乂 $\mathfrak{\alpha} v \theta \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \boldsymbol{o v}$. Genitive of relationship.
$\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$. Causal (see also 1:15). Many scholars have treated the $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ as epexegetical (e.g., Marshall, 393; cf. Plummer, 256; Bock, 1:888), in which case it would be giving the content of the cataphoric tovitous (see also 10:11 on toṽto). Such an analysis would work well if Luke had written ǐva or perhaps ö ǒı, but not $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$. Nolland (2:512-13) posits ellipsis here: "For (I want you to know that) the Son of Man. ..." This approach, however, is even less likely, since now the $\gamma \alpha \rho$ clause "both explains the solemnity of Jesus' introduction [causal] and is what he has intended to introduce [epexegetical]" (Nolland, 2:513). Given the text as it stands, it is preferable to take tov̀ $\lambda$ ó $\gamma$ ous

тoútous as an anaphoric reference to the speech implied by חávt $\omega v$
 how wonderful he was, Jesus responds by telling his disciples to take a good listen because the fickle crowds will soon change their opinion of him.
$\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$. Pres act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \operatorname{sg} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$. On the semantics of $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ with an infinitive, see 21:7 on $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \eta$ ?.
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta i \delta o \sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{I}$. Pres pass inf $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{~L}$ (complementary).
عic $\chi$ रĩpac. Locative. Here, גعĩpac is used as a metonym (see 1:17 on карঠíac) for "power."
$\dot{\alpha} v \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega v$. Possessive genitive.



oi. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma$ vóouv (see 1:29 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ).
$\dot{\eta} \gamma v o ́ o v v$. Impf act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \dot{\alpha} \gamma v o \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. The narrative is carried forward with the aorist $\varepsilon i \pi \pi v$ in verse 43 , while imperfect verbs here
 tion ( $\mathfrak{\eta} \geqslant ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \varepsilon к \alpha \lambda \nu \mu \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v, ~ v . ~ 45) ~ p r o v i d e ~ s u p p l e m e n t a r y ~ c o m-~$ ments that summarize the outcome of Jesus' statements (see also Introduction, "Verbal Aspect").

тò $\dot{\rho} \tilde{\mu} \mu \alpha$ тои̃тo. Accusative direct object of $\eta \gamma \gamma v o o^{\prime} v$. Lit. "this saying."

ท๊̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ i $\mu$ i.
$\pi \alpha \rho а к \varepsilon к \alpha \lambda \nu \mu \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v . ~ P r f ~ p a s s ~ p t c ~ n e u t ~ n o m ~ s g ~ п а р а к а \lambda u ́ \pi \tau \omega ~$ (pluperfect periphrastic; see also above on $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \gamma$ óouv).
$\dot{\alpha} \pi^{\prime} \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{u} \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. Separation.
îva. Introduces a result clause.
 Only here in the NT: "to have the capacity to discern and therefore understand what is not readily comprehensible" (BDAG, 29.2).
aủtó. Accusative direct object of ai̋ $\theta \omega v \tau \alpha$.

$\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \boldsymbol{\omega} \tau \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha$, . Aor act inf $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \dot{\alpha} \omega$ (complementary).
aủtòv. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \omega \tau \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha$.


## Luke 9:46-50

${ }^{46}$ Now, a dispute arose among them, namely, who might be the greatest of them. ${ }^{47}$ So Jesus, knowing what they were thinking, took a child and stood the child beside him. ${ }^{48} \mathrm{And}$ he said to them, "Whoever welcomes this child in my name welcomes me, and whoever welcomes me welcomes the one who sent me. For the one who is least among all of you, this one is (truly) great."
${ }^{49} \mathrm{John}$ responded and said, "Master, we saw someone casting out demons in your name, and we stopped him because he does not follow with us." ${ }^{50}$ Then Jesus said to him, "Do not stop (such people), for the one who is not against us is for us."

##  ఎง่̉ธั๊v.

 among them."

Eioñ $\lambda \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi$ о $\mu a \mathrm{u}$.
$\delta \iota \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{o} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mu$ òc. Nominative subject of Eioñ $\lambda \theta \varepsilon v$.
غ̇v aủtoĩc. Locative.
tò. The nominative article functions as a nominalizer (see 1:48 on á $\pi$ ò $\tau 0 \tilde{v} v \tilde{v} v)$, changing the interrogative clause, tic äv عín $\mu \varepsilon i \zeta \omega \nu$ av̉t $\tilde{\omega} \nu$, into a nominative substantive that is in apposition to $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda$ oүı $\sigma \mu$ òs (see also 1:62 on tò).
tic. Nominative subject of eilq. Introduces an indirect question.
عilı. Pres act opt 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ i $\mu i$.
$\mu \varepsilon i \zeta \omega v$. Predicate adjective.
$\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{u} \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. Partitive genitive, likely modifying $\mu \varepsilon i \zeta \omega v$ rather than tis (contra Klein, 354, n. 15).



$\varepsilon i \delta \dot{\omega} \varsigma$. Prf act ptc masc nom sg oĩ $\delta \alpha$ (causal). On the use of the perfect tense with this verb, see $4: 34$ on oí $\delta \dot{\text { a }}$.
tòv $\delta \mathbf{t} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{o} v$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon i \delta \dot{\omega} c$. Lit. "the thinking of their heart." Although the same word is used as in verse 46, here the contextual marker $\tau \eta{ }_{\mathrm{\eta}}$ кар $\delta$ iac points to a different sense.

aủtũv. Possessive genitive.
 dant circumstance). The semantics of the verb leave it uncertain whether this refers to Jesus picking up the child or simply taking hold of the child and guiding it to his side.
$\pi \alpha \iota \delta i o v$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\mu} \mu \varepsilon v_{o c}$.
 place, with or without the accompanying feature of standing position" (LN 85.40).

$\pi \alpha \rho ’ \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha v \tau \tilde{\varphi}$. Locative.


 غ̇бтเv $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \boldsymbol{\beta}$.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av̉тoĩc. Dative indirect object of عĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$.
"O̧ $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v$. Nominative subject of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \eta \tau \tau a$. . The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ö), which as a whole
 subject of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$. A relative pronoun may be used with $\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v$ or $\alpha ้ v$ to form an indefinite relative pronoun that "introduces a contingency or condition (like a third class condition) and is often appropriately rendered, 'whoever, whatever'" (Culy 2004, 28). Rhetorically, this construction is often used to produce a forceful, though indirect, exhortation to a particular type of behavior (see also Culy 2004, xviii-xx).

то⿱̃тo тò $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i o v . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~ \delta ~ \delta ́ \xi そ \eta \tau \alpha ı . ~$
èmì tẹ̃ ỏvó $\mu \alpha \tau^{\prime} \dot{\prime} \boldsymbol{\mu o v}$. Cause. Used with $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi o \mu a ı$, this idiom means something like "as a representative of me" (see Fitzmyer, 1:817) or "as though he were I" (Nolland, 2:519). On the second accent, see

$\mu \mathrm{u}$. Possessive genitive.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \dot{\varepsilon}$. Accusative direct object of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha a$.

öc $\mathfrak{\alpha} v$. Nominative subject of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\xi} \eta \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$. The indefinite relative pronoun (see above) introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ő), which as a whole (ő̧ $\alpha \partial v \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \eta \tau \alpha \mathrm{l})$ serves as the subject of the second $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$.


тòv à $\pi \mathbf{o \sigma \tau \varepsilon i} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\alpha} v \tau \dot{\alpha}$. Aor act ptc masc acc sg ả $\pi 0 \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ (substantival). Accusative direct object of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha 1$. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס ह́ $\sigma$ бic.
$\boldsymbol{\mu}$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon i \lambda \alpha v \tau \dot{\alpha}$.
үà̀. Causal (see also 1:15).
$\dot{\mathbf{o}} . .$. vítú $\rho \chi \omega \boldsymbol{v}$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg v́ráp $\chi \omega$ (substantival). The participial clause serves as the topic of what follows (see 1:36 on ' $\mathrm{E} \lambda \iota \sigma \dot{\alpha}(\beta \varepsilon \tau)$ and is picked up by the resumptive pronoun oũtóc.
$\mu$ нкро́тєрос. Predicate adjective of $\dot{u} \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \omega v$. Comparative for superlative (see 7:28 on ó . . . $\mu$ ккро́тєроऽ).

モ̇v $\pi \tilde{a} \sigma \iota v$ v́pĩv. Association.
oṽ̃óc. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\eta$ ŋ $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \eta \sigma i \varsigma$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عíp.
$\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma}$. Predicate adjective.

 àко入ovӨะĩ $\mu \varepsilon \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$.
 dant circumstance; see also $1: 19$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi о к р ө$ өiç). On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
'I $\omega$ ávvŋc. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i ̃ \pi \varepsilon v$. Levinsohn $(1992,100)$ notes that "reintroductions of salient participants other than the central character are anarthrous." On the other hand, "when a participant is first mentioned, reference to him or her by name is typically anarthrous. However, once (s)he has been introduced, subsequent references to him or her by name within the same incident are arthrous" (Levinsohn 1992, 100; emphasis in original). Since 'I $\omega \dot{\alpha} v \nu \eta \varsigma$ occurs here in an incident that is distinct from the
last appearance of this participant in 9:28, we expect the name to be anarthrous (so $P^{45} \mathfrak{P}^{75}$ B C ${ }^{* \text { vid }}$ D W fis 288921241 al). Perhaps the close proximity of ' $I \omega$ ávvq $\nu$ in 9:28 led the majority of scribes ( N A $\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~L} \Theta \Xi \Psi f^{1} 33 \mathrm{M}$ al) to include the article.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
'Eлıбта́ $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \alpha$. Vocative.
$\varepsilon \ell \delta o \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v$. Aor act ind 1st pl ó $\rho \alpha \dot{\omega}$. On the second accent, see 1:13

$\tau เ v a$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ ̌̌ $\delta o \mu \varepsilon ́ v$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\varphi}$ ỏvó $\mu a \tau i$. Instrumental. On the second accent, see 1:13 on

oov. Possessive genitive.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{v \tau \alpha}$. Pres act ptc masc acc sg $\varepsilon$ غ́к $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$. Complement in an object-complement double accusative construction.
$\delta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{o ́ v ı a}$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ доvта.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \omega \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\circ} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mu \varepsilon v$. Impf act ind 1st $\mathrm{pl} \kappa \omega \lambda u \dot{\omega} \omega$. Many scribes used the aorist $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \omega \lambda \hat{\prime} \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ (A C D W $\Theta \Psi \Psi^{f, 13} \mathfrak{M} p m$ ) rather than the imperfect ( $\mathfrak{P}^{7 \text { svid }} \boldsymbol{\aleph}$ B L $\Xi 157579892$ 1241). Either reading makes good sense in the context, though the imperfect has strong early support. The aorist does not indicate that there was a single attempt to stop the man (contra Bock, 1:900). Rather, it refers to the event without regard for the details of how it was carried out. The imperfect, on the other hand, portrays the event as a process, likely painting a picture of the effort involved in the disciples' "noble" pursuit. "Conative" translations ("tried to stop") should be avoided (contra NET Bible, Fitzmyer, $1: 819$ ), since there is nothing in the context to suggest that the focus is on a failed attempt.
aủtòv. Accusative direct object of $̇$ ह̇к $\omega \lambda$ v่o $\mu \varepsilon v$.
ötı. Introduces a causal clause.

$\mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$. Association.

##  

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ òs av̉tòv. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho$ òs aủtòv).

$\kappa \omega \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd pl $\kappa \omega \lambda u ́ \omega$ (prohibition).
öc. Nominative subject of ह̈бтıv. The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ö), which as a whole (öৎ $\ldots$. oúk हैбтıv ка $\theta^{\prime} \dot{u} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ ) serves as the subject of $\varepsilon$ ह̇бтıv.

үà $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).
ย̌бтıv. Pres act ind 3rd sg عiцi. On the accent, see 6:40 on ع̌бтıv.
$\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Opposition.
ví $\varepsilon ̀ \rho \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Advantage.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عiцu.

## Luke 9:51-62

${ }^{51}$ Now it happened that as the time was drawing near for him to be taken up, he firmly resolved to go to Jerusalem. ${ }^{52} \mathrm{He}$ sent messengers ahead of him, and they went and entered a Samaritan village to prepare for him. ${ }^{53}$ But the people there did not welcome him, because he was set on going to Jerusalem. ${ }^{54}$ When the disciplesthat is, James and John-saw this, they said, "Lord, do you want us to tell fire to come down from heaven and wipe them out?" ${ }^{55}$ But he turned and rebuked them. ${ }^{56} \mathrm{Then}$ they went to another village.
${ }^{57}$ As they were going along the road, someone said to him, "I will follow you wherever you go!" ${ }^{58}$ And Jesus said to him, "Foxes have dens and birds of the sky have nests, but the Son of Man does not have a place where he can lay his head." ${ }^{59}$ Then he said to another, "Follow me." But he replied, "[Lord,] first allow me to go bury my father." ${ }^{60}$ Then he said to him, "Let the dead bury their own dead; but you, when you have left, proclaim the kingdom of God." ${ }^{61}$ Yet another said, "I will follow you, Lord, but first allow me to say goodbye to those in my household." ${ }^{22}$ But Jesus said to him, "Nobody who has put his hand to the plow and looks back is fit for the kingdom of God."

##   


 "when the days of his taking up were being fulfilled."
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\eta} \rho \boldsymbol{o v} \sigma \theta \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Pres pass inf $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \lambda \eta \rho o ́ o \mu \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Here, "to arrive as the timely moment for an event to take place" (BDAG, 959.2). Used with $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\omega}$ to denote contemporaneous time (see also 1:8 on iعpatev́zıv). When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81). Green (403, n. 9) argues that "Luke often uses verbs of fulfillment in temporal clauses so as to mark the progression of events according to the ancient purpose of


$\tau \tilde{\dagger} \varsigma \mathfrak{a} v a \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \psi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varsigma$. "The days when he would be taken up." The noun here (only here in the NT) is most likely a reference to Jesus' ascension, though some see it as a reference to his death. Bock (2:968) points out that the LXX uses the noun of Elijah's departure in 2 Kgs 2:9, 11; 1 Macc 2:58; Sir 48:9; 49:14, though in each case the noun has a modifier that makes a reference to ascension clear. Elsewhere, Luke uses the similar noun àvaipeaıs to refer to the murder of Stephen (Acts 8:1) and frequently uses its cognate verb $\alpha$ vaı $\rho \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ in this sense as well. The verbal cognate of $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \mu \psi \iota \varsigma$ ( $\alpha v a \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ ), however, is not used in this sense. Indeed, the only evidence that has been put forward for $\dot{\alpha} v \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \mu \psi i \varsigma$ as a reference to death is Pss. Sol. 4:18 (BDAG, 67). Given the limited evidence, and the problem of dating the Psalms of Solomon, we are hesitant to see $\dot{\alpha} v a \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \psi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ as a euphemism for death here. It is possible, however, that $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \dot{\alpha} v a \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \psi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \alpha \cup \dot{\tau} \tau 0 \tilde{v}$ is a shorthand way of referring to all the events leading up to and culminating in Jesus' ascension (cf. Bovon, 2:27).
av̉тoṽ. Objective genitive.
 subject pronoun puts Jesus in focus. On the use of the conjunction with aủtóc here, see 4:15.
 idiom points to "firmness of purpose" (BDAG, 945.2).

тò $\pi \rho \mathbf{\rho} \sigma \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v}$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\rho} \rho \sigma \varepsilon v$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\rho} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\sigma \tau \eta \rho i \zeta \omega$.




$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg à $\pi o \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \dot{\jmath} \lambda$ ovc. Accusative direct object of à $\tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \varepsilon \mid \lambda \varepsilon v$.
$\pi \rho$ ò $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\rho o \sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \pi \mathbf{~ o v ~ a u ̉ \tau o v ̃ . ~ S y n e c d o c h e ~ ( l i t . ~ " b e f o r e ~ h i s ~ f a c e " ; ~ s e e ~ 1 : 4 6 ~}$ on $\mathfrak{\eta} \psi v \chi \eta \dot{\mu} \mu \mathrm{ov}$ ) for "before him."
$\pi \rho$ ò $\pi \rho о \sigma \dot{\omega} \pi \mathbf{v}$. Spatial.
av̉тoṽ. Possessive genitive.
$\pi о \rho \varepsilon v \theta \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Aor mid ptc masc nom pl порєv́o $\mu \alpha$ (attendant circumstance). On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.

$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \dot{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{v}$. Locative.
$\Sigma \alpha \mu \boldsymbol{\rho} \iota \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. "A village where Samaritans lived."
$\dot{\omega} \varsigma$. The rare use of $\dot{\omega}$ (cf. Acts 20:24; 3 Macc 1:2; 4 Macc 14:1) with an infinitive denotes purpose (BDAG, 1106.9.b; see also Plummer, 263) as the vast majority of scribes (e.g., ${ }^{2}$ A C D L W $\Theta$ $\left.\Xi \Psi f^{f, 13} \mathfrak{M}\right)$ indicated by replacing the adverb with the more common $\omega \neq \tau \varepsilon$.

av̉t(̣̂. Dative of advantage.



aủ兀óv. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ ह̇ $\delta \dot{\xi} \xi$ avto.
őtı. Introduces a causal clause.
 face was going to Jerusalem." The idiom here does not appear to
 $\mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \omega$ av̉兀 $\tilde{v} v$; contra Plummer, 263), since there tò $\pi \rho$ ó $\sigma \omega \pi$ óv $\sigma o v$ is merely a synecdoche (see 1:46 on $\dot{\eta} \psi v \chi \dot{\eta} \mu o v$ ) for "you" ("you/your presence going among them"). Although we are indeed dealing with synecdoche here, given the connection to the idiom tò $\pi \rho$ ó $\sigma \omega \pi$ rov $\sigma \tau \eta \rho i \zeta \omega$ тoṽ $\pi о \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{al}$ in the immediate context (v. 51), it is very likely that the idiom here also focuses attention on Jesus' resolve.

тò $\pi \rho \mathbf{\rho} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{v} \boldsymbol{v}$ aủtoṽ．Synecdoche（lit．＂his face＂；see 1：46 on $\dot{\eta}$廿uхท́ $\mu \mathrm{ov}$ ）for＂he．＂

тò $\pi \rho$ ó $\sigma \omega \pi \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Nominative subject of $\eta$ ñv．
av่̉oṽ．Possessive genitive．

$\pi о \rho \varepsilon v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v$. Pres mid ptc neut nom sg порعúo $\mu a t$（imperfect periphrastic）．

عic＇İpovoa入ı́ $\mu$ ．Locative．

 av่̉าús；
íÓvivec．Aor act ptc masc nom pl ópá $\omega$（temporal）．
oi $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha i$ ．Nominative subject of عĩ $\pi \alpha \nu$ ．
 Nolland（2：536）notes that this is the only occurrence in the Gospels where names follow oi $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha i$ ．

عĩ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \alpha \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．On the form，see 1：61．
Kúpıє．Vocative．
$\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon เ \varsigma . ~ P r e s ~ a c t ~ i n d ~ 2 n d ~ s g ~ \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$ ．
 The entire indirect question serves as a clausal complement of $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~(c f . ~ 18: 41 ; ~ 22: 9) . ~ P l u m m e r ~(264) ~ a r g u e s ~ t h a t ~ w h e r e ~ \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$ is modified by a subjunctive verb，no ǐva intervenes when $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$ is second person and the subjunctive verb is first person．
$\varepsilon ⿲ 丿 \epsilon 丨 \omega \mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Aor act subj 1st pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$（deliberative subjunctive）． Although＂command＂may be an appropriate translation，it is not appropriate to claim that this is the meaning of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ here（contra Marshall，407）．The imperative nuance comes from the indirect discourse that follows．
$\pi \tilde{\nu} \rho$ ．Accusative subject of kãa $\beta \tilde{\eta} v a ı$ ．
$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \tilde{\eta} v a l$. Aor act inf катаßaiv（indirect discourse）．
à $\boldsymbol{\text { ò }} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau}$
$\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \lambda \tilde{\omega} \sigma \alpha \mathrm{I}$ ．Aor act inf $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \lambda i \sigma \kappa \omega / \alpha \dot{\alpha} v \alpha \lambda o ́ \omega$（indirect discourse）．
Only here and Gal 5：15：＂to destroy，with the possible implication of something being used up＂（LN 20．47）．
av̉тov́c．Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} v a \lambda \tilde{\omega} \sigma \alpha \mathrm{a}$ ．

## 

$\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \grave{c}$ ．Aor mid ptc masc nom sg $\sigma \tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$（attendant circum－ stance）．The participle should likely be viewed as middle rather than passive（see＂Deponency＂in the Series Introduction）．

غ̇ $\pi \varepsilon \tau i \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $̇ \pi \iota \tau \iota \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ ．
av่̉oĩc．Dative complement of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \tau i \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ．

## 

 ＂Deponency＂in the Series Introduction．



 here，i．e．，the repetition of information from the previous verse，＂has the effect of slowing the pace of the narrative，creating anticipation． Second，it suggests a simultaneous relationship between the events， as though the people are making pronouncements to Jesus as he is walking along．It portrays Jesus as focused on the journey，and pos－ sibly not stopping for the conversations．Finally，the slowing down using repetition draws attention to the significant speeches that follow＂（Runge §8．3）．
$\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon v o \mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Pres mid ptc masc gen $\mathrm{pl} \pi о \rho \varepsilon \dot{v} \circ \mu \alpha a$ ．Genitive absolute（see 2：2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu \circ$ vévovtos），temporal．

èv $\tau \underline{n} \boldsymbol{0}$ ó $\delta \tilde{\varphi}$ ．Locative．
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \bar{\varepsilon} \dot{\varepsilon} v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．On the second accent，see 1：13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס $\varepsilon$ そ́ $\sigma$ бic．
$\tau \iota \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ Ĩ爪 $\varepsilon$ v．
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a v ̉ \tau o ́ v . ~ I n d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~(s e e ~ 1: 13 ~ o n ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ v) . ~$

$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{o}$ ．Dative complement of＇Aко入оиӨ＇் $\sigma \omega$ ．
ö örov દ̀àv．The combination forms an indefinite relative adverb （cf．9：48 on＂Oc $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v$ ）．
à $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \eta$ ．Pres mid subj 2nd sg à $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi o \mu a ı$ ．Subjunctive with $\varepsilon$ ह̀àv．
 $\tau \alpha ̀ ~ \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon เ \nu \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o v ̃ ~ o u ̉ \rho a v o v ̃ ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \omega ́ \sigma \varepsilon เ \varsigma, ~ o ́ ~ \delta \varepsilon ̀ ~ v i o ̀ ̧ ~ \tau o v ̃ ~ a ̉ v \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi o v ~$

$\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu$ ĩ.


 the parallel Matt 8:20 in the NT: "a hole, typically occupied by an animal as a den or lair" (LN 1.56).
ě $\chi$ ovoıv. Pres act ind 3rd pl $\varepsilon$ ě $\chi \omega$.
$\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon เ v \alpha ̀ . ~ N o m i n a t i v e ~ s u b j e c t ~ o f ~ a n ~ i m p l i e d ~ e ́ \chi o v \sigma ı v . ~$
тoṽ oủpavoũ. "Birds that fly in the sky."
 Only here and in the parallel Matt 8:20 in the NT: "nest."
ó . . . viòs toṽ àv $\boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\rho}$ órov. See 5:24.
ó . . . viòc. Nominative subject of ê̌દı.
$\tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \tilde{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{v}$. Genitive of relationship.
 to denote a lack of a usual or regular place to lodge.

モ̋ $\chi \varepsilon$ เ. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ e̋ $\chi \omega$.
$\pi \mathbf{o v}$. Introduces an indirect question that serves as the clausal complement (indirect discourse) of $\varepsilon$ é $\chi \varepsilon$.
$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa \varepsilon \varphi a \lambda \eta\rangle \nu$. Accusative direct object of $\kappa \lambda i v \eta$.
$\boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{i v n}$. Pres or aor act subj 3rd sg $\kappa \lambda i v \omega$ (deliberative subjunctive).



Eĩ̃ $\varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ̈ t \varepsilon \rho o v . ~ I n d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~(s e e ~ 1: 13 ~ o n ~ \pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$

$\mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$. Dative complement of 'Aко入ои́ $\theta \varepsilon$ ı.
$\dot{\mathbf{o}}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon v$ (see 1:29 on $\dot{\eta}$ ). $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
[Kúpıє]. Vocative. Several important mss ( $\mathrm{B}^{\star} \mathrm{D}$ ) are followed by
modern translations (REB, NJB) in omitting the vocative "Lord." Editors of the $\mathrm{NA}^{27} / \mathrm{UBS}^{4}$ have restored the word (omitted in $\mathrm{NA}^{26}$ ) to the text, but bracket it to indicate its textual uncertainty (Omanson, 126).

غ̇пit $\rho \varepsilon \psi \dot{o} v$. Aor act impv 2nd sg غ̇ $̇ \iota \tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega$. On the second accent, see $1: 13$ on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס $\dot{\eta} \eta \sigma i \varsigma$.

$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\lambda} \theta \mathbf{o ́ v \tau ı}$. Aor act ptc masc dat sg à $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi$ о $\mu \iota$ (attributive). Matt 8:21 uses an infinitive: $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi o ́ v ~ \mu o t ~ \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} \nu \pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau o v$, as do many scribes here (A K $\Pi a l$ ). McKay (63), for example, lists the participle as temporal, maintaining that it is equivalent to ő $\tau \alpha v \dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \theta \omega$. If the participle were adverbial, however, we would expect it to be accusative case modifying the infinitive $\theta \dot{\alpha} \psi \alpha \mathrm{at}$. Culy $(2004,452)$ has argued that oblique case participles, except for genitive absolutes, are generally adjectival rather than adverbial. He maintains that "it is quite likely that the use of the participle draws less attention to the action than an infinitive would have. . . . In Matthew's account, the man takes the initiative and requests that Jesus let him first go and bury his father. The 'leaving' and 'burying' are equal in prominence, with both being focal parts of the man's request. In Luke, on the other hand, the man is responding to a command from Jesus to follow him. The use of the attributive participle downplays the contrast of his request (no one would want to respond 'Let me leave first' when asked to 'follow me'!), focusing on the reasonable need to bury his father. While such nuances are subtle, they provide a basis for the author's choice of syntactic construction that fits well with the context." In our translation, we have sought to capture this nuance by making "go" a mere helping verb.

тòv $\pi \alpha \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\theta \dot{\alpha} \psi \alpha a$.
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Genitive of relationship.

##  

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av่̉โต̣. Dative indirect object of عĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$.


Leave the dead to bury their own dead．＂Louw（49）suggests that this is an idiom that means something like，＂You understand me wrongly；this is not what is at stake＂（cf．LN 33．137）．While Jesus is clearly not speaking literally，Louw offers no evidence for this being an idiom．It is more likely that Jesus is using a word play （Caragounis，466），with the first veкроѝs referring to those who are＂dead＂in terms of their willingness to follow Jesus，while the second vekpoùs refers to those who literally have died，though certainty is impossible．It is also possible that＇$А \varphi \varepsilon \varsigma \ldots$ ．．Od́ $\psi \alpha$ a does not refer to two verbal ideas，＂i．e．，＂allow＂and＂bury，＂but rather the two verbs function as a unit much like a hortatory subjunctive（see Caragounis，166；cf．6：42 on ä $\varphi \varepsilon \varsigma)$ ．
＇A $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \varepsilon \varsigma$ ．Aor act impv 2nd sg ạ̀í $\mu$ ．
тov̀s veкроѝs．Accusative subject of $\theta \dot{\alpha} \psi a l$ ，if＇A $\varphi \varepsilon \varsigma$ means ＂allow，＂but direct object of＂A $\varphi \varepsilon$ ¢ if it means＂leave．＂
$\theta \dot{\alpha} \psi \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathbf{I}$ ．Aor act $\inf \theta \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \omega$ ．The infinitive is complementary if tov̀s $\nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho o u ̀ \varsigma ~ i s ~ t h e ~ s u b j e c t ~ o f ~ ' A ~ ¢ \propto \varsigma, ~ b u t ~ p u r p o s e ~ o r ~ e p e x e g e t i c a l ~ i f ~ t o v ̀ \varsigma ~$ vєкройц is the direct object of＂А甲єৎ：＂Leave the dead so that they can bury．＂

тoùs ．．．veкрои́c．Accusative direct object of $Ө \dot{\alpha} \psi \alpha a$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha u \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ ．Possessive genitive．
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ．Nominative subject of $\delta \dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$ ．The explicit fronted subject pronoun with $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ sharply shifts the focus to the would－be disciple．
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \dot{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg à $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi o \mu a \mathrm{a}$（temporal）．
$\delta_{t} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$ ．Pres act impv 2nd sg $\delta \iota \alpha \gamma \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ ．
$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\alpha} \alpha v$ ．Accusative direct object of $\delta \dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$ ．
тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ．Subjective genitive（see also 4：43）．



EĨ $\tau \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$ ．
ย゙тєрос．Nominative subject of Eĩ̃

$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ．Dative complement of ’кодоиӨウ́ $\sigma \omega$ ．
кúpıع．Vocative．On the placement of the vocative，see $4: 34$ on ＇Iŋбoũ．
 see 1：13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס غ́خбí．
$\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{o}$. Dative complement of $\grave{\text { èni } \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi o ́ v . ~}$
 on $\varepsilon$ દ̇ $\sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} v)$.

тoĩs. The masculine dative plural article functions as a nominalizer (see 1:48 on ảtò toṽ vṽv), changing the PP عí̧ tòv oĩkóv $\mu$ ov into the dative complement of $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau \dot{\alpha} \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha$.

عiç tòv oĩkóv. Locative. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\dot{\eta}$

$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Genitive of relationship.

 тоข̃ $\theta$ عov.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
[ $\pi \rho$ òs av̉tóv]. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ aủtòv). This phrase is omitted in several early witnesses ( $\mathfrak{P}^{45} \mathfrak{P}^{75} \mathrm{~B}$ ), while others change the word order (A C W $\Theta \Psi$ ). Although the words are placed in brackets to indicate their textual uncertainty, the meaning is unaffected either way (Omanson, 126-27).

Oủdeic. Nominative subject of દ̇бтıv.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \beta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \grave{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg غ̇nı $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ (attributive).
$\tau \eta ̀ v \chi \varepsilon i ̃ \rho \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ ह̇兀 $\beta \alpha \lambda \omega ̀ v$.
غ̀ $\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\prime}$ á $\rho \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\rho} \mathbf{v}$. Locative.
$\beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega$ (attributive).
عic $\tau$ dà ò $\pi \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Locative. Lit. "to the things behind."
عű $\theta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tau$ óc. Predicate adjective.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg eipi. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عius.

тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ. Subjective genitive (see also 4:43).

## Luke 10:1-16

${ }^{1}$ After these things the Lord publicly commissioned seventy [-two] others, and sent them before him in groups of two to every city and place where he himself was about to go. ${ }^{2}$ Then he proceeded to say to them (at that time), "The harvest is plentiful, but
the workers are few. So, ask the Lord of the harvest to send out workers into his harvest. ${ }^{3}$ Go! I am sending you like lambs surrounded by wolves. ${ }^{4}$ Do not carry a wallet, a travel bag, or sandals; and greet no one along the way. ${ }^{5}$ Into whatever house you enter, first say, 'Peace to this house!' 'And if a peaceful person happens to be there, your peace will remain on him. If that is not the case, it will return to you. ${ }^{7}$ Stay in that same house, eating and drinking what they provide; for the worker is worthy of his wage. Do not move from house to house. ${ }^{8}$ And whatever city you enter and they welcome you, eat what is placed before you, ${ }^{9}$ heal those who are sick there, and say to them, 'The kingdom of God has come near to you. ${ }^{10}$ And whatever city you enter and they do not welcome you, go out into its streets and say, ${ }^{11}$ 'Even the dust that stuck to us from your city on (our) feet we shake off against you. But know this: The kingdom of God has come near.' ${ }^{12}$ I tell you, in those days it will be more tolerable for Sodom than for that city."
${ }^{13 " W o e ~ t o ~ y o u, ~ C h o r a z i n ; ~ w o e ~ t o ~ y o u, ~ B e t h s a i d a!~ B e c a u s e ~ i f ~ t h e ~}$ miracles that happened in you had occurred in Tyre and Sidon, they would have repented long ago, sitting in sackcloth and ashes. ${ }^{14}$ It will, in fact, be more tolerable for Tyre and Sidon at the judgment than for you. ${ }^{15}$ And you, Capernaum, will you really be elevated to heaven? (No!) You will go down to Hades!"
${ }^{16 "}$ The one who listens to you, listens to me; and the one who rejects you, rejects me. Moreover, the one who rejects me rejects the one who sent me."




M\&тà. . . $\tau \alpha$ ṽтa. Temporal.
 here and in Acts 1:24, but with two different senses. Scholars typically view the sense here as "to assign to a task or position" (BDAG, 62.2 ; cf. LN 37.96). This, of course, raises the question of how this term differs from other terms in the same semantic domain, e.g., $\tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega$, ó $\dot{i} \zeta \omega, \tau i \theta \eta \mu$, $\dot{\alpha} \varphi$ орi $\zeta \omega$, $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$ (see LN 37.96-98). BDAG (62, s.v. ává $\delta \varepsilon ı \xi ı$ ) is likely on the right track in noting that the
cognate noun $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \varepsilon \xi \xi ı$, which means, "commissioning, installation," serves as a technical term in reference "to public recognition on an appointed official." This public nature of the commissioning appears to be the distinct nuance that distinguishes $\dot{\alpha} v a \delta \varepsilon i \kappa v v \mu \mathrm{l}$ from its near synonyms (thus the translation).
ó кv́pıoc. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{a} v \varepsilon ́ \delta \varepsilon ı \xi \varepsilon v$.
 $\delta \varepsilon ı \xi \varepsilon$.
[ $\delta \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}$ ]. The external evidence is divided as to whether Jesus appointed seventy ( $\mathcal{N}$ A C L W $\Theta \Xi \Psi \mathfrak{R}$ ) or 72 ( $\mathfrak{P}^{75} \mathrm{~B} D p c$ ). The symbolic use of both numbers finds support in the Jewish scriptures and tradition-there were seventy elders of Israel (Exod 24:1; Num 11:26) but seventy-two translators of the LXX (Let. Aris. 46-50). More importantly, the textual tradition of the Table of Nations in Genesis 10 reflects both numbers. For a comprehensive discussion of this variant, see Marshall, 414-15. The word is bracketed to indicate its textual uncertainty (Omanson, 127-28; though see the minority report by Kurt Aland in Metzger, 127, in which he argues for the originality of $\dot{\varepsilon} \beta \delta о \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \kappa \tau \tau \alpha$ [ $\delta v \dot{o}$ ] and removing the brackets altogether).
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg à $\pi 0 \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$.

àvà. According to BDAG (58.3), ảvà with numbers carries a distributive meaning such as "each" or "apiece." Here, the meaning is probably closer to "in groups of."
[ $\delta$ v́o]. Some mss read àvà $\delta$ úo ( $\mathcal{N}$ A C D L W $\Xi \Psi \mathfrak{R}$ ), while others contain àvà $\delta$ úo $\delta$ v́o ( $\mathrm{B} K \Theta f^{13} p c$ ). Plummer (272) notes that the reading àvà $\delta$ v́o $\delta$ v́o appears to be a conflation of àvà $\delta$ v́o and סúo Súo (Mark 6:7).
$\pi \rho$ ò $\pi \rho o \sigma$ ö́tov av̉toṽ. Spatial.

oṽ. The genitive relative pronoun without an antecedent functions as a locative adverb: "where" (cf. 4:16, 17; 23:53; 24:28).
$\eta \nmid \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v$. Impf act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \operatorname{sg} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$. On the semantics of $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ with an infinitive, see 21:7 on $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \eta$ !.
av̉ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{c} \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\eta \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v$. The use of the subject pronoun shifts the focus to Jesus' own intended travel plans.


#    

è $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon}$. Some manuscripts substitute oũv for $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ (for a fuller list of mss, see IGNTP, 220; Swanson, 183). The textual variant may have a significant impact on how the imperfect $\bar{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$ is understood. Used with $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}\left(P^{75} \boldsymbol{\aleph}\right.$ B C D $\left.f^{13} 33 \mathrm{al}\right)$, the imperfect may introduce a background comment: "For he had said to them, 'The harvest is great . .'" In this case, the sending out (v. 1) is in response to his own words about the great need for workers. More likely, the imperfect is simply used to mark Jesus' speech as offline material that actually precedes the action of the previous verb (a่ $\pi \varepsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \varepsilon \mid \lambda \varepsilon v, ~ v . ~ 1)$. The reading of oṽv in place of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}(\mathrm{A} \Re p m)$, on the other hand, would seem to imply that his charge to ask the Lord for more workers is a natural conclusion to be drawn from the broad task he has just outlined for the seventy(-two). The imperfect would then not mark background information, but rather would point to the next event (on the mainline of the narrative) that is portrayed as a process: As Jesus is preparing to send them on their way, he is urging them to pray for more workers.
$\pi \rho$ ò $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ aủtov́c. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho$ òs av̉tòv).
'O ... $\theta \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ เбцòs. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
$\mu \varepsilon ̀ v . . . \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$. This construction is used to set up a contrast (cf. BDAG, 629.1.a.a; see also 3:16).

по入úc. Predicate adjective in a verbless equative clause.
oi . . . غ́p $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathbf{I}$. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
ò $\lambda \mathbf{i} \gamma \mathbf{o}$. Predicate adjective in a verbless equative clause.
$\delta \varepsilon \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$. Aor mid impv 2nd pl $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \mu \alpha$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.

тои̃ кvpiov. Genitive complement of $\delta \varepsilon \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$.
тоṽ $\theta \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \mu$ оṽ. Genitive of subordination.
ö $\pi \omega \varsigma$. Introduces indirect discourse. Here, as in 7:3 and 11:37, ö $\pi \omega \varsigma$ is used in place of the more common îva (McKay, 116-17; BDAG, 718.2b; cf. 7:36 and 8:31 on îva). This could plausibly be viewed as a purpose clause (cf. 18:39 on îva; McKay, 117; see also 2:35 on ö $\pi \omega \varsigma)$, though that would leave $\delta \varepsilon \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ with no expressed content.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \alpha \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ ह̀к $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta$. Aor act subj 3rd sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$. Subjunctive with ö $\pi \omega c$. Although Bock $(2: 995)$ argues that this verb is "a strong, graphic term that shows that God calls and equips," such a claim seems to read too much into the verb's semantics. Nolland (2:551), on the other hand, suggests that "The present low number of workers and the verb here for 'send out' ( $\varepsilon \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta$ ), which normally carries overtones of force, may suggest a reluctance on the part of the potential harvesters." This inference is possible, but looking at Mark's uses of $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ where the sense is clearly not "drive out" suggests that $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ may be a more natural choice than $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ (see v. 3) when the need for haste is involved (cf. Mark 1:12, 43). Or, perhaps $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ is chosen here simply because $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ does not collocate with $\varepsilon$ ह́p $\dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \tau \alpha$.

av̉toṽ. Possessive genitive.

## 


ídov̀. See 1:20.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{o} \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
ä $\rho \mathbf{v a c}$. Accusative direct object of an implied form of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ : "like (someone sends) lambs."
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \mu \dot{\mu} \sigma \omega$. Locative. The translation follows the NET Bible.
$\lambda u ́ \kappa \omega v$. Partitive genitive.
 $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon ́ v \alpha$ ката̀ $\tau \grave{\eta} v$ ó $\delta o ̀ v \alpha$ ả $\sigma \pi \alpha \dot{\sigma} \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$.
$\beta a \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \zeta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd pl $\beta a \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\zeta} \zeta \omega$ (prohibition).
$\beta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \boldsymbol{o} v$. Accusative direct object of $\beta a \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\zeta} \zeta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$.
$\pi \dot{\eta} \rho \alpha v$. Accusative direct object of an implied $\beta a \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ ไtع.
$\dot{\mathbf{v}} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of an implied $\beta a \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \zeta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$.
$\mu \eta \delta \dot{\varepsilon} v \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$.
катà тŋ̀v ódòv. Spatial.
 junctive). Here we see a good example of the apparent interchange-
ability of the imperative and subjunctive used with $\mu$ ' in prohibitions. Given the context, however, one wonders if the shift in mood is dictated by the shift from prohibiting choices that depend only on the disciples (imperative) to an action that is contingent on meeting someone along the way (subjunctive).

##  öкழ тои́тழ.

 pronoun (see 9:48 on "Oc $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v$ ) is most often used to introduce headless relative clauses (see, e.g., 8:18). Here, the relative pronoun technically introduces an internally headed relative clause (see 3:19
 appears to function like an adjective ("whatever") modifying oikiav.
 the topic of what follows and is picked up with $\tau \tilde{\varphi}$ oik $\omega$ тoú $\omega$ (cf. 9:4; 10:8, 10).
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act subj 2nd pl $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon i} \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi o \mu \alpha l$. Subjunctive with $\alpha$ äv. $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd pl $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
Eipŋ́vŋ. Although the nominative element in salutations is typically viewed as a nominative absolute (see Wallace, 51), the fact that it is part of a clause with the dative element suggests that it is better viewed as the nominative subject of a verbless equative clause, with something like $\varepsilon \sigma \sigma \tau \omega$ implied.
$\tau \tilde{\omega}$ oỏк $\varphi$ тои́т $\varphi$. Dative of advantage or possession.

##  

ċàv. Introduces the protasis of a third class condition.
ก̃. Pres act subj 3 rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$. Subjunctive with $\varepsilon$ záv.
 here, with "son of X" being used to describe a person characterized by X, though Danker (94) and others have noted that the idiom also appears in Greek literature, albeit sparingly.
viòs. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta}$.
عiןŋjvŋs. Genitive of relationship in the idiom, but carries the force of an attributive genitive.
 see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.

غ̇ $\pi^{\prime}$ aủtòv. Locative (see 1:12 on ह̇ $\pi^{\prime}$ aủtóv).

$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Genitive of source.
عỉ $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon$. See 5:36. After affirmative clauses, as here, this string of particles can be rendered, "otherwise" (BDAG, 190.b.א, s.v. $\gamma \varepsilon$ ).
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ i. Although it generally introduces the protasis of a first class condition, here the use of $\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon}$, when we would have expected $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v$ given the parallel preceding conditional construction, stems from the fact that $\varepsilon i \delta \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \eta \gamma \varepsilon$ is a fixed expression that Luke particularly favors. In the NT, this expression occurs only in Matt 6:1; 9:17; Luke 5:36, 37; 10:6; 13:9; 14:32; and 2 Cor 11:16. The expression $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v ~ \delta \grave{\varepsilon}$
 should be viewed as introducing a conditional clause that depends on context for further specification (cf. McKay, 165). In this case, the clause that follows should be read as a third class condition (cf. BDF $\$ 480.6$ ), since we are clearly dealing with a case of ellipsis: $̇ \kappa \varepsilon \tilde{\imath}$

$\grave{\varepsilon} \varphi \varphi^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \tilde{a} \varphi$. Locative (see 1:12 on $\grave{\varepsilon} \pi{ }^{\prime}$ aủtóv).
àvaка́ $\mu \psi \varepsilon$. Fut act ind 3rd sg ảvaкג́ $\mu \pi \tau \omega$.

 oíkiac eic oikiav.
 see 10:21 on 'Ev aủtñ Tñ $\check{\text { üpạ. }}$
$\mu \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd pl $\mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega$.
દ̇бӨiovtec. Pres act ptc masc nom pl દ̇бӨí (manner or attendant circumstance).
$\pi i v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Pres act ptc masc nom $\mathrm{pl} \pi i v \omega$ (manner or attendant circumstance).
$\tau \boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho$ ' aủ $\tau \tilde{\omega} v$. Lit. "the things from them." The article functions as a nominalizer (see 1:48 on á $\pi$ ò $\tau 0 \tilde{v} v \tilde{v}$ ), changing the $\mathrm{PP} \pi \alpha \rho$ ' $\alpha \cup ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ into the accusative direct object of $̇$ ह̇Oiovteৎ кaì tivovtec.
$\pi \alpha \rho$ ' av่̉ $\tilde{\omega} v$. Source.
${ }_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{o c}$. Predicate adjective in a verbless equative clause.
ү $\mathbf{\alpha} \rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).
ó $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \eta \varsigma$. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.

aủtoũ. Possessive genitive.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta a i v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd pl $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta a i v \omega$ (prohibition).
દ̇そ oỉkiac. Source.
ciç oikiav. Locative.
 тà $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \iota \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ ט́ $\mu i ̃ v$
 9:48 on "Oc $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v$ ) is most often used to introduce headless relative clauses (see, e.g., 8:18). Here, the relative pronoun technically introduces an internally headed relative clause (see 3:19 on $\pi \varepsilon \rho i ̀ \pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \omega \nu$ $\tilde{\omega} \nu \varepsilon ่ \pi o i \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu \pi о \nu \eta \rho \tilde{\omega} v)$. Thus the verbs $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ and $\delta \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ take subjunctive mood with âv: lit. "and the city, into whichever you happen to enter." Superficially, $\eta \geqslant v$ äv appears to function like an adjective ("whichever") modifying đó入ıv. The entire relative clause
 of what follows (cf. 9:4; 10:5, 10) and is picked up with aủtñ (v. 9).
 öv.
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \boldsymbol{\omega} v \tau a \mathrm{a}$. Pres mid subj 3rd pl $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi$ о $\mu a ı$. Subjunctive with $\alpha$ äv.
$\dot{v} \mu a ̃ c$. Accusative direct object of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \omega \nu \tau \alpha 1$.
غ̇oӨícte. Pres act impv 2nd pl $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta i \omega$.
$\tau \alpha ̀ ̀ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau 1 \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$. Pres pass ptc neut acc $\mathrm{pl} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau i \theta \eta \mu \mathrm{l}$ (substantival). Accusative direct object of $\grave{\varepsilon} \sigma$ (í $\tau \varepsilon$.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\pi \alpha \rho a \tau 1 \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$.


$\theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd pl $\theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon v ่ \omega$.
тov̀s . . . à $\sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon i ̃ c . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~ \theta \varepsilon p a \pi \varepsilon v ́ \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon . ~$
ėv av̉tñ. Locative. Lit. "in it."
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av̉тoĩc. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \varepsilon$ र́ $\gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$.
"H $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{*}$. Prf act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma i \zeta \omega$. Bock $(2: 1000)$ argues that the phrase " $\mathrm{H} \gamma \gamma \kappa \kappa \varepsilon \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi$ ' $\dot{\cup} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$ speaks of an arrival that has already taken
place or at least is already initiated ("The kingdom of God is come upon you"; Bock, 2:993). The fact that Matthew (3:2) and Mark ( $1: 15$ ) use the same verb form on the lips of John the Baptist prior to the coming of Jesus appears to make this reading untenable, at least in those gospels. It is true that when $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \gamma i \zeta \omega$ is used with $\dot{\eta} \beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha$ тo $\begin{gathered}\theta \varepsilon o \tilde{v} / \tau \tilde{\omega} v \text { oủpav} \tilde{\omega} v \text { it is always in the perfect tense, perhaps }\end{gathered}$ suggesting that the language reflects a set way of announcing the inauguration of the kingdom that has long been awaited, without concern for the level to which the rule of God has been established. The announcement would simply make clear that the kingdom is now close enough to perceive, with the perfect tense perhaps helping to highlight the significance of the event being described (cf. 2:23 on $\gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \rho a \pi \tau \alpha \iota)$. In this particular text, the disciples are announcing the later coming of Jesus, pointing to the view that "H $\gamma \gamma \nLeftarrow \varepsilon v$ refers to what has come near rather than what has arrived. Indeed, the use
 12) appears to require that $\dot{\eta} \beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon$ cia тoṽ $\theta \varepsilon o \tilde{v}$ is imminent rather than present at this point in the narrative.


тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ. Subjective genitive (see also 4:43).

##  

 9:48 on "O¢ $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v$ ) is most often used to introduce headless relative clauses (see, e.g., 8:18). Here, the relative pronoun technically introduces an internally headed relative clause (see 3:19 on $\pi \varepsilon \rho \grave{~} \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ $\tilde{\omega} \nu \varepsilon ่ \pi o i \eta \sigma \varepsilon v \pi о \nu \eta \rho \tilde{\omega} v)$. Thus the verbs $\varepsilon i \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ and $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \omega \nu \tau \alpha a$ take subjunctive mood with äv: lit. "and the city, into whichever you happen to enter." Superficially, $\eta \geqslant v a ̈ v$ appears to function like an adjective ("whichever") modifying $\pi$ ó $\lambda เ v$. The entire relative clause
 topic of what follows (cf. 9:4; 10:5,10) and is picked up with av̉tñ¢.

$\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \omega v \tau a \mathrm{a}$. Pres mid subj 3rd pl $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi o \mu a l$. Subjunctive with $\alpha$ äv.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \omega v \tau \alpha \downarrow$.
 cumstance). Attendant circumstance participles take on the mood of the verb they modify (see also $5: 14$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} v$ ).

عís tà¢ $\pi \lambda \alpha \tau$ عiac. Locative. The feminine form of $\pi \lambda \alpha \tau \dot{\iota} \varsigma$, with ódós implied, came to be used of "wide roads" (BDAG, 823). aủtñc. Possessive genitive.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau} \varepsilon$. Aor act impv 2nd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.




тòv коvıортòv. Accusative direct object of ả $\pi о \mu \alpha \sigma \sigma o ́ \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$. $\tau o ̀ v \kappa \boldsymbol{\kappa} \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \alpha$. Aor mid ptc masc acc sg ко入入่́ $\omega$ (attributive). On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction. $\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\imath} v$. Dative complement of ко $\lambda \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \alpha$.

$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. This is a locative use of the genitive: "The city where you live" (cf. Beekman and Callow, 255).

عís toùs пóסac. Locative.
$\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha} \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \theta \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Pres mid ind 1st pl à $\pi о \mu \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \mu \alpha$.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\imath} v$. Dative of disadvantage. Marshall (423) sees the simple

$\pi \lambda \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$. Here, a marker "of contrast, implying the validity of something irrespective of other considerations" (LN 89.130).

тои̃то. Accusative direct object of $\gamma \iota \downarrow \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. The demonstrative is cataphoric, pointing to the ötı clause. In a footnote commenting on forward-pointing devices like cataphoric toṽ $\frac{1}{}$, Runge ( $\$ 3.2$, footnote) notes that "by momentarily delaying mention of the subordinate clause by the use of the pronoun the speaker creates an air of anticipation in the flow of the discourse about what is to follow, which can heighten interest in the subsequent information."
$\gamma \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd pl $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \omega$.
ötı. Introduces a clause that is epexegetical to toṽto.

$\dot{\eta} \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\eta \not \eta \gamma เ \kappa \varepsilon v$.
тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ. Subjective genitive (see also 4:43).


$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{v} \dot{\mathrm{v}} \mu \mathrm{\imath} v$. See 3:8.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. $\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ t. Introduces the causal complement (direct discourse; see also $1: 25$ on ő ötı) of $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\Sigma \mathbf{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{o} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\prime} \boldsymbol{\iota}$. Dative of advantage or reference.

$\dot{\alpha} \nu \varepsilon \kappa \tau \dot{\prime} \tau \varepsilon \rho o v$. Predicate adjective. Comparative form of àvektóc.
हैб $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Fut ind 3 rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$. Here, the use of $\varepsilon i \mu i$ appears to be impersonal.





Ov̉ai. This interjection does not represent a curse (Bovon, 2:55), but rather introduces "an expression of pity for those who stand under divine judgment" (Marshall, 255).
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{o}$. Dative of disadvantage.
Xopaऍiv. Vocative.
ov̉ai. See above.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{o}$. Dative of disadvantage.
BŋӨбaïठá. Vocative.
ötı. Introduces a causal clause.
$\varepsilon$ i. Introduces the protasis of a second class (contrary to fact) condition.

દ̇v Túp̣ кaì $\Sigma \mathbf{\iota} \delta \tilde{\omega} v ı$. Locative.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \alpha v$. Aor mid ind 3rd pl $\gamma \dot{i} v o \mu \alpha a$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
ai $\delta u v \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \dot{\eta} \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$.
ai $\gamma \varepsilon v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v a l$. Aor mid ptc fem nom pl үivo $\mu a ı$ (attributive).
èv v́uñ̃. Locative.
$\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. The adverb is fronted for emphasis (Fitzmyer, 2:854). It is probably best to recognize that $\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha u$ itself only indicates "antithesis to the present; it makes no difference whether the event in question
took place hours or centuries before" (Seesemann, 717). That the focus is on "long ago" is clear from the context.
$\ddot{\alpha} v$. Introduces the apodosis of the second class condition.
ह̇v $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \kappa \kappa \varphi$ каì $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{o} \boldsymbol{\delta} \tilde{\omega}$. The PP likely refers to sitting on (locative) a "penitential mat of sacking" (Marshall, 425) or wearing sackcloth (manner) and sitting in ashes (locative).
$\kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v o t}$. Pres mid ptc masc nom pl кд́ $\theta \eta \mu \alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ (manner). The masculine participle ( $\mathfrak{P}^{75} \boldsymbol{\aleph}$ A B C F 33 al ), with the feminine subject Túp $\varphi$ kaì $\sum \iota \delta \tilde{v} \mathrm{v}$, is a constructio ad sensum (see Plummer, 276; cf. BDF §134.2: ". . . the cities as wholes and not the inhabitants are meant."). Many scribes preferred to use the feminine каӨ'̆ $\mu \varepsilon v a \iota$ ( $\mathfrak{P}^{45} \mathrm{D}$ K $f^{f, 13} \mathfrak{M}$ al).
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon v o ́ \eta \sigma \alpha v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon ̇ \omega$.

## 

 ט̀นĩv.$\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} v$. Adversative.
Túp $\varphi$ каì $\Sigma \mathbf{\iota} \delta \tilde{\omega} v \mathbf{v}$. Dative of advantage.
àvยктótepov. Predicate nominative. Comparative form of àveктóc.

हैбтаı. Fut ind 3rd sg عiuí.

$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative of disadvantage.


$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{v}$. Nominative subject of $\dot{v} \psi \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \mathfrak{\square}$. . The explicit subject pronoun helps shift the focus to Capernaum.

Kapapvaov́ $\mu$. Vocative.
$\mu \grave{\eta}$. Introduces a rhetorical question that expects a negative answer.

ع゙ $\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{C}$ o ovj $\boldsymbol{\rho a v o v ̃ . ~ L o c a t i v e : ~ " e x t e n s i o n ~ u p ~ t o ~ o r ~ a s ~ f a r ~ a s ~ a ~ g o a l " ~ ( L N ~}$ 84.19).
$\dot{v} \psi \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \underline{n}$. Fut pass ind 2nd sg $\mathbf{v} \psi \dot{o} \omega$. Here, "to cause someone to have high status" (LN 87.20).
$\varepsilon \approx \omega \varsigma ~ \tau o v ̃ ~ a ̈ d o v . ~ L o c a t i v e ~(s e e ~ a b o v e) . ~$
катаßウ்б!!. Fut mid ind 2nd sg катаßаiv.


'O àкov́ $\omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg àkoú $\omega$ (substantival). As the following contrast makes clear ( $\dot{\alpha} \theta \varepsilon \tau \tilde{\omega} v, \dot{\alpha} \theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \tilde{\imath}), \dot{\alpha} \kappa o v i \omega v$ and $\dot{\alpha} \kappa o u ́ \varepsilon \iota$ are used here in the sense of "hear and respond appropriately" or "pay attention to" (cf. LN $36.14 ; 31.56$ ).
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Genitive object of $\dot{\alpha} \kappa o v i \omega v$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$. Genitive object of ảkoúzı.
àкоv́धเ. Pres act ind 3rd sg àкоv́ف.
$\dot{\boldsymbol{o}} \dot{\alpha} \theta \varepsilon \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\dot{\alpha} \theta \varepsilon \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \omega($ (substantival). Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \tau$.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \theta \varepsilon \tau \tilde{\omega} v$.
غ̇นย̀. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \tilde{\text { Ĩ. }}$
$\dot{\alpha} \theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon ̃$. Pres act ind 3 rd $\operatorname{sg} \dot{\alpha} \theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\dot{\mathbf{o}}$. . . $\dot{\alpha} \theta \varepsilon \tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\dot{\alpha} \theta \varepsilon \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (substantival). Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \dot{\varepsilon}$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \theta \varepsilon \tau \tilde{\omega} v$.
$\dot{\alpha} \theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon ̃$. Pres act ind 3 rd sg $\dot{\alpha} \theta \varepsilon \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\tau o ̀ v \dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{\alpha} \sigma \tau \varepsilon i \lambda \alpha v \tau \dot{\alpha}$. Aor act ptc masc acc sg $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ (substantival). Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}$. On the second accent, see

$\mu \varepsilon$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon i \lambda \alpha v \tau \dot{\alpha}$.

## Luke 10:17-24

${ }^{17}$ The seventy-[two] returned with joy, saying, "Lord, even the demons are subject to us in your name." ${ }^{18} \mathrm{Th}$ en he said to them, "I watched Satan falling from heaven like lightning. ${ }^{19}$ I have given you the authority to walk on snakes and scorpions, and over all the power of the enemy, and nothing will by any means harm you! ${ }^{20}$ However, do not rejoice in this, that the spirits are subject to you, but rejoice that your names have been written in heaven."
${ }^{21}$ At that very time he was overjoyed in the Holy Spirit and said, "I acknowledge to you, Father, Lord of heaven and of earth, that you concealed these things from the wise and intelligent and revealed them to little children. Yes, Father, because (doing it) this way was pleasing to you. ${ }^{22}$ Everything has been given to me by my Father; and no one knows who the Son is except the Father, and who the Father is except the Son and the one to whom the Son wishes to
reveal (him)." ${ }^{23}$ Then he turned to the disciples and said privately, "Blessed are the eyes that see what you are seeing. ${ }^{24}$ For I tell you, many prophets and kings wanted to see what you are seeing and did not see it, and to hear what you are hearing and did not hear it."


'Y $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl ímoбт $\rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$.

[ $\delta \mathbf{v} \mathbf{0} \mathbf{0}$ ]. See the textual note on 10:1.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \chi \boldsymbol{\alpha} \rho \tilde{a} \varsigma$. Manner. Although the PP could conceivably modify either ' $\Upsilon \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \varepsilon \psi \alpha \downarrow$ or $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \circ 0 \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ("saying joyfully"), the semantics suggest that it is syntactically linked to ' $\Upsilon \pi \varepsilon \delta \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \alpha v$. A few scribes (A K $\Pi$ ) make their preference for ${ }^{`} \Upsilon \pi \varepsilon \delta \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \alpha \nu$ clear by moving the PP forward.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{o v \tau \varepsilon}$. Pres act ind ptc masc nom pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (manner).
Kúpıя. Vocative.
кai. Ascensive.

 jects characteristically take singular verbs (see Wallace, 399-400).

èv $\tau \tilde{̣}$ ỏvó $\mu \alpha \tau i$. Instrumental (cf. Fitzmyer, 2:858). On the second accent, see $1: 13$ on $\eta$ ŋ $\delta \varepsilon ́ \eta \sigma i c . ~$
oov. Possessive genitive.
 тoṽ oủpavoṽ $\pi \varepsilon \sigma o ́ v \tau \alpha$.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av̉兀oĩc. Dative indirect object of عĩ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \varepsilon v$.
'EOعஸ́pouv. Impf act ind 1st sg $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
тòv $\Sigma a \tau \alpha v \tilde{a} v$. Accusative direct object of 'E日عف́pouv.
$\dot{\omega} \varsigma$. The comparative particle sometimes separates the object and complement in an object-complement double accusative construction, as here (Wallace, 184).
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \grave{\eta} v$. Accusative direct object of an implied form of $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ : "like (someone sees) lightning (falling from the sky)."
ėk тoṽ oủpavoṽ. Separation. The PP could either modify à $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \pi \grave{\nu} v$ ("lightning from the sky") or $\pi \varepsilon \sigma o ́ v \tau \alpha$ (see the translation). The latter is more likely, since $\pi \varepsilon \sigma o ́ v \tau \alpha$ leaves an incomplete thought on its own. One scribe $\left(\mathfrak{P}^{75}\right)$ removed the ambiguity by writ-

$\pi \varepsilon \sigma o ́ v \tau \alpha$. Aor act ptc masc acc sg $\pi i \pi \tau \omega$. Complement in an object-complement double accusative construction.



ídov̀. See 1:20.
$\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \delta \omega \kappa \alpha$. Prf act ind 1st sg $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$. The perfect tense helps give Jesus' statement the sense of a pronouncement.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\nu} \nu$. Dative indirect object of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \delta \omega \kappa \alpha$. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu i ̃ v$.

тoṽ $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} \nu$. Pres act inf $\pi \alpha \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (epexegetical to $\tau \grave{\eta} v \dot{\xi} \xi$ ovoiav). Burk (68) rightly notes that "The notion of purpose may be a secondary implication, but the primary notion is that the genitive tells what kind of 'authority' has been given."

غ̇ $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ ö $\varphi \varepsilon \omega v$ каі̀ $\sigma \kappa о \rho \pi i \omega v$. Spatial.
 the object over which someone exercises a control or authority" (LN 37.9). The PP modifies $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi$ ovoiav.

тои̃ $\varepsilon \chi$ Ө $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ oũ. Subjective genitive.
oủ $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} v$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma!$ (see the translation) or adverbial accusative with $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{v} v a \mu \iota \varsigma ~ \tau о \tilde{~} \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \theta \rho \circ$ ṽ being the implied subject of $\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \eta \dot{\eta} \eta$ : "and it will certainly not harm you in any way."
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{a} c$. Accusative direct object of ảdıкŋ்б!!.
$\dot{\alpha} \delta ı \kappa \mathfrak{j} \sigma!\eta$. Aor act subj 3 rd sg $\dot{\alpha} \delta ı \kappa \varepsilon ́ \omega$. The subjunctive is used with ov̉ $\mu \grave{\eta}$, which expresses emphatic negation (see also 1:15 on $\pi i \eta$ ). McKay (72; emphasis in original) argues that "When more than one negative is used in the same clause there is an important distinction depending on whether the last of them is simple or compound, irrespective of what the others are. When the last one is a simple negative it cancels the previous negative force. . . When the last negative is compound [such as ov̉סعic, and including ov̉ $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ]
it reinforces the previous negative force." Thus here, (lit.) "Nothing will certainly not harm you" means "Nothing at all will harm you" (McKay, 72).


$\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$. Adversative.
ह̇v tov́t $\omega$. Reference. The PP introduces what one rejoices ( $\chi \alpha i \rho \omega$ ) in (see also Phil $1: 18 ; 3: 1 ; 4: 4,10$; Col 1:24; 1 Pet $4: 13$ ), with the demonstrative pronoun being cataphoric (see also 10:11 on toṽтo). $\chi \propto i \rho \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd pl $\chi$ aip $\omega$ (prohibition).
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces a clause that is epexegetical to tov́ $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ (contra McKay, 123, who takes it as causal, apparently overlooking the presence of $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ тoút $\omega$ ).
$\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi v \varepsilon \dot{v} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$. Nominative subject of úno
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of íto
 jects characteristically take singular verbs (see Wallace, 399-400).

रaipete. Pres act impv 2nd pl $\chi \alpha i p \omega$. There is nothing in the semantics of the present tense to point to "continual rejoicing" (contra Bock, 2:1008).
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. The ö t ı could introduce a causal clause, introduce a clausal complement (indirect discourse) of $\chi \alpha i \rho \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ("rejoice that . . ."), or be part of an elliptical construction and stand in apposition to an implied тov́tẹ̃: "but rejoice (in this,) that . . ." (cf. BDAG, 1074.1).
$\tau \alpha ̀$ ỏvó $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \rho a \pi \tau \alpha u$.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Possessive genitive.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \rho \boldsymbol{\rho} \pi \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Prf pass ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ غ่ $\gamma \gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varphi \omega$. Neuter plural subjects characteristically take singular verbs (see Wallace, 399-400).
ėv toĩs oủpavoĩc. Locative.

 öтı à $\pi \varepsilon ́ \kappa \rho v \psi \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau \alpha ข ̃ \tau \alpha ~ a ̀ \pi o ̀ ~ \sigma о \varphi \tilde{\omega} v$ каì $\sigma v v \varepsilon \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ каì à $\pi \varepsilon \kappa \dot{\alpha} \lambda v \psi \alpha \varsigma$,
 oov.
’Ev aủtñ $\tau \underline{n}$ ©̈pạ. Temporal. Lit. "at that very hour." "In Luke
aủtòs $\delta$ is sometimes almost a pure demonstrative as it comes to be in later Greek. The sense of 'very' or 'self' is strengthened to 'that very'" (Robertson, 686; cf. 2:38; 10:7; 12:12; 13:1; 23:12). The
 Luke uses $\varepsilon$ év aủtñ Tñ $\check{\omega} \rho a ̣$ (12:12) where Matthew (10:19) and Mark


 expression is likely an idiomatic way of referring to being under the influence of the Spirit (so Johnson, 169; cf. 2:27; 4:1). The omission of $\tau \tilde{\varphi} \tilde{\alpha} \gamma^{\prime} \dot{\varphi}$ ("in [his own] spirit") in some witnesses (A W $\Psi$ $\left.f^{i 3} \mathfrak{M}\right)$ may be due to the "strangeness of the expression 'exulted in the Holy Spirit"" (Omanson, 128). The bracketed [ $\dot{\varepsilon} v]$ indicates its textual uncertainty, although its presence or absence does not affect the meaning.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
 accent, see $1: 13$ on $\grave{\eta} \delta \dot{\eta} \eta \sigma i \varsigma$. Although this verb is typically rendered "praise" here (e.g., BDAG, 351.4; NIV, NASB), it appears to carry the nuance of acknowledging something to be true, whether one's own sins or God's divine acts, as here.

бot. Dative complement of 'Е $\xi_{\text {о }}$ о $\lambda о \gamma$ ои̃ $\mu \alpha i$.
$\pi \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon \rho$. Vocative.
кúpıع. Vocative in apposition to кúpıع. Wallace (70) argues that "an appositional vocative almost always indicates that the whole vocative construction is emphatic/emotional address or exclamation . . . for the piling on of vocatives, once the addressee has already been established with the first one, is linguistically unnecessary, but rhetorically effective."

тoṽ oủpavoũ кaì т $\check{c} \gamma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$. Genitive of subordination.
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces a clausal complement (indirect discourse) of
 "thank," it would introduce a causal clause.
àлغ́к $\rho \cup \psi \alpha \varsigma$. Aor act ind 2nd sg à $\pi о \kappa \rho u ́ \pi \tau \omega$.
$\tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau$. Accusative direct object of áréкрטభac. The antecedent is not specified. Plummer (281; cf. Marshall, 434) argues that it "refers to the facts about the Kingdom made known by the Seventy."

à $\pi \varepsilon \kappa \dot{\alpha} \lambda \nu \psi \boldsymbol{\alpha} \varsigma$. Aor act ind 2nd sg à $\pi о к а \lambda \dot{\lambda} \pi \tau \omega$.
av̉tà. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \cup \psi \alpha \varsigma$.
$\nu \eta \pi i \mathbf{i} \varsigma$. Dative indirect object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \kappa \dot{\alpha} \lambda \nu \psi \alpha \varsigma$.
vai. Here, this particle indicates affirmation "in emphatic repetition of one's own statement" (BDAG, 665.c).
$\dot{\mathbf{o}} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\jmath} \rho$. Vocative. Caragounis (142) notes that although it was not uncommon in classical Greek, "the nominative with the function of the vocative increases substantially in the NT, no doubt under LXX influence," and becomes increasingly common in subsequent centuries.
 it) thus was pleasing before you."
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces a causal clause.
عט̉סокía. Predicate adjective.
غ̀ $\boldsymbol{\text { ćveto. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual. }}$
है $\mu \pi \rho о \sigma \theta \dot{\varepsilon} v \boldsymbol{\sigma o v}$. Locative. Lit. "before you."



$\Pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \delta \dot{\theta} \eta \eta$.
$\mu \mathbf{o}$. Dative indirect object of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta o ́ \theta \eta$.
$\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \dot{\theta} \theta \eta$. Aor pass ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \operatorname{sg} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{~L}$.
vitò toṽ $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \mathbf{c}$. Ultimate agency.
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Genitive of relationship.
ov̉ $\delta \varepsilon \dot{c}$. Nominative subject of $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \varepsilon$.

tic. Predicate nominative of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Introduces an indirect question that serves as the clausal complement of $\gamma \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \varepsilon เ$.
żбтıv. Pres act ind 3rd sg eipi. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عiŋı.
ó viòc. Nominative subject of દ̇бтıv.
$\varepsilon \mathfrak{\varepsilon} \mu \grave{~}$. Following a negative (here, ov̉ $\delta \varepsilon i \varrho)$ eỉ $\mu \grave{\eta}$ usually has the meaning of "except" (BDAG, 278.6.i. $\alpha$ ). McKay (166) adds that this usage occurs "in contexts in which it would be awkward to supply a satisfactory verb form, and even where the negative seems illogical, so that it hardly qualifies as a conditional protasis." See also 5:21 on $\varepsilon \mathfrak{l} \mu \eta$.
$\dot{\mathbf{o}} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\rho}$. Nominative subject of an implied $\gamma \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \varepsilon$.
tic. Predicate nominative of $\varepsilon$ ह̇бтıv. Introduces an indirect question that serves as the clausal complement of an implied $\gamma \iota v \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \iota$ in an elliptical construction.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg eiji. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عíp.

$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\mu} \grave{\prime}$. See above.
$\dot{\mathbf{o}}$ viòs. Nominative subject of an implied verb ( $\gamma \iota v \omega \dot{\omega} \kappa \varepsilon$ ).
 relative pronoun (see 9:48 on "O̧ દ̇àv) introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ö), which as a whole ( $\tilde{\omega}$ ǵà̀v $\beta$ oú $\lambda \eta \tau \alpha ı$ ó viòs $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\prime} \psi \alpha \iota)$ serves as the subject of an implied $\gamma \iota v \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma \varepsilon \iota$ ( ( íc દ̇б $\tau \iota v$ ó viòs . . . kaì tí દ̇бтiv ó $\pi \alpha \tau \grave{\rho} \rho$ ): lit. "and to whomever the Son wishes to reveal him knows who the Father is and who the Son is."
 ó viòs. Nominative subject of $\beta$ ovi $\lambda \eta \tau \alpha 1$.


##  oi ỏ $\varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu$ оì oi $\beta \lambda$ ह́ло

$\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \grave{c}$. Aor mid ptc masc nom sg $\sigma \tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$ (attendant circumstance). The participle should likely be viewed as middle rather than passive (see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction).
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau a ̀ \varsigma . ~ S p a t i a l . ~$
$\boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ' $\mathbf{i \delta i} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v}$. An idiom (lit. "according to one's own things") meaning "pert. to a particular individual, by oneself, privately" (BDAG, 467.5; also used at 9:10).

ยіัँ $\boldsymbol{\tau} v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$.
Maкápıo. Predicate adjective in a verbless equative clause.
oi ò $\varphi \theta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \lambda \mu \boldsymbol{o}$. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
oi $\beta \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \mathbf{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega$ (attributive).
$\grave{\alpha}$. Accusative direct object of $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ö), which as a whole ( $\alpha \beta \lambda \varepsilon ̇ \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ) serves as the direct object of $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \pi o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$.
$\beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 2nd $\mathrm{pl} \beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega$.
 í $\delta \varepsilon$ ェ̃v ä ن́ ov̉к ŋ̈коибаv．
$\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega . .$. vínĩv．See 3：8．
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
$\gamma$ à $\rho$ ．Causal（see also 1：15）．
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$ ．Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
ötı．Introduces the clausal complement（direct discourse；see also $1: 25$ on ő öı）of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
 $\eta \sigma \alpha v$.
$\dot{\eta} \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ．Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$ ．
ideĩv．Aor act inf öpaw（complementary）．
$\ddot{\alpha}$ ．Accusative direct object of $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ．The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause（see 6：2 on ö），which as a whole

$\dot{v} \mu \varepsilon \pi \varsigma$, ．Nominative subject of $\beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ．The explicit subject pro－ noun helps highlight the contrast between the disciples and no入入oi $\pi \rho о \varphi \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha ı$ каі̀ $\beta$ абı $\lambda \varepsilon ı$ г．
$\beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ．Pres act ind 2nd $\mathrm{pl} \beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega \omega$ ．
عĩరav．Aor act ind 3rd pl ő $\rho \alpha \omega$ ．
àко⿱丷天бat．Aor act inf $\dot{\alpha} \kappa o v ́ \omega$（complementary，conjoined with iठعiv）．
à．Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \kappa о \cup \in \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ．The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause（see 6：2 on ö），which as a whole （ $\alpha$ व̉́кои́ $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ）serves as the direct object of àкои̃ба।．
àкои́ยtع．Pres act ind 2nd pl ảкои́ $\omega$ ．
ŋ̈коvбav．Aor act ind 3rd pl ảкоv́ $\omega$ ．

## Luke 10：25－37

${ }^{25} \mathrm{~A}$ certain lawyer stood up to test him，saying，＂Teacher，what must I do to inherit eternal life？＂${ }^{26} \mathrm{He}$ said to him，＂What has been written in the Law？How do you read it？＂${ }^{27} \mathrm{He}$ responded and said， ＂Love the Lord your God with all your heart，with all your soul， with all your strength，and with all your mind；and（love）your neighbor as yourself．＂${ }^{28}$ Then he said to him，＂You have answered correctly．Do this and you will live．＂${ }^{29}$ But he，since he wanted to justify himself，said to Jesus，＂Who is my neighbor？＂
> ${ }^{30}$ In response Jesus said, "A man was going down from Jerusalem to Jericho and stumbled upon some robbers, who after they had both stripped him and subjected (him) to a beating, went away and left him half-dead. ${ }^{31}$ Now, by chance a priest was going down (from Jerusalem) on that road; and when he saw him he passed by on the other side (of the road). ${ }^{32}$ Likewise, a Levite, when he came upon that place and saw (him), also passed by on the other side. ${ }^{33}$ But a Samaritan who was traveling on a trip came across him, and when he saw him he felt compassion (for him). ${ }^{34} \mathrm{He}$ went to (him) and bandaged his wounds, pouring oil and wine on them. Then he put him on his own mount, brought him to an inn, and took care of him. ${ }^{35}$ The next day, he took out two denarii and gave them to the innkeeper and said, 'Take care of him, and whatever you spend in addition, I, on my return journey, will repay you. ${ }^{36}$ Which of these three seems to you to have been a neighbor of the one who fell into (the hands of) the robbers?" ${ }^{37} \mathrm{He}$ answered, "The one who had mercy on him." Then Jesus said to him, "Go and do likewise yourself!"


iסov̀. Levinsohn $(1992,113)$ notes that iסov́ is sometimes used to introduce a major character in a narrative, as here. See also 1:20.

$\dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta$. Aor act ind 3rd sg àviot $\eta \mu$.

aủtòv. Accusative direct object of $̇$ દ̇к $\tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \dot{\zeta} \zeta \omega v$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (manner).
$\Delta ı \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$. Vocative.
 I inherit eternal life?" The same question is used in 18:18.
$\tau$ i. Accusative direct object of $\pi$ тoŋŋбaৎ. Introduces a direct question.


$\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o v o \mu \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \omega$. Fut act ind 1st sg OR Aor act subj 1st sg $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o v-$ o $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. The fact that the two forms were often used interchangeably in deliberative questions in the Koine period makes it impossible to say which is intended here (cf. 11:5 on $\begin{gathered} \\ \xi \\ \text { l }) . ~\end{gathered}$

##  

o. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \frac{\pi}{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$ (see 1:29 on $\dot{\eta}$ ). Levinsohn (2000, 218-19) argues that Luke's choice of a pronoun to shift to a new speaker here and in verse 27 shows that he is portraying the exchanges in these verses as intermediate steps leading up to the goal, i.e., the answer to verse 25 in verse 28 .
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \varepsilon$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ òs av̉ $\boldsymbol{\text { óv}} \mathbf{v}$. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a v ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
'Ev $\tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v o ́} \mu \omega$. Locative. The fronting of the PP puts "the law" in focus (cf. Plummer, 284), clearly directing the vo䒑ккós back to the vó $\mu$ ос. Kwong ( $66, \mathrm{n} .2$ ) notes that there are only sixty-five spatial adjuncts that occur before the verb in Luke, as here, and 345 that occur after the verb.
$\tau i$. Accusative direct object of $\gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \rho a \pi \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Introduces a direct question.
$\gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \rho a \pi \tau \alpha \mathbf{l}$. Prf pass ind 3rd sg $\gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varphi \omega$. On the force of the perfect, see 2:23.
$\pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$. Introduces a direct question.





 circumstance; see also $1: 19$ on á $\pi$ окрı $\theta \varepsilon i \varsigma)$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \kappa \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\gamma} \gamma \omega$.
A $\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{a} \pi \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon ı \varsigma$. Fut act ind 2nd sg $\alpha \gamma a \pi \dot{\alpha} \omega$ (imperatival future).
кúpıov. Accusative direct object of 'A $\gamma a \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \varsigma$.
tòv $\theta$ zóv. Accusative in apposition to kúpıov.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma o v}$. Genitive of subordination.

бov . . . $\boldsymbol{\sigma 0 v}$. . . $\boldsymbol{\sigma o v}$. . . $\boldsymbol{\sigma o v}$. Possessive genitive.
غ̇v ö $\lambda \mathfrak{1} \tau \tilde{n} \psi v \chi \mathfrak{n}$. Instrumental. Lit. "with the whole soul."

 тòv $\pi \lambda \eta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{i o v}$. Accusative direct object of an implied $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$.
oov. Genitive of relationship.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{u} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{v}$. Accusative direct object of an implied $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \boldsymbol{\pi} \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota$ : "as (you love) yourself."

## 

عĩ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Levinsohn $(2000,221)$ argues that when the final exchange in a conversation attains the goal of one of the participants, the exchange will be introduced with a verb rather than with a reference to the speaker, as here (cf. v. 37b).
av̉า $\tilde{.}$. Dative indirect object of عĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \kappa \rho i \theta \eta \varsigma$. Aor mid ind 2nd sg àтокрічонаı. See also 1:19 on $\dot{\alpha} \pi о к \rho ı \theta i \grave{c}$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.

тoṽto. Accusative direct object of roizı.
$\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Pres act impv 2nd sg $\pi \boldsymbol{o}$. $\omega$.
そֹ่ซ!. Fut mid ind 2nd sg そám.


ó. Nominative subject of عĩлعv (see 1:29 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ and $8: 21$ on $\dot{o}$ ).
$\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$ (causal).
$\delta$ เкаı $\tilde{\omega} \sigma \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Aor act inf $\delta$ เкaıó $\omega$ (complementary).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha v \tau o ̀ v . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~ \delta ı к \alpha ı \tilde{\omega} \sigma \alpha ı$.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ ' I ̇ \sigma o u ̃ v$. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \alpha u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
tic. Predicate nominative. Introduces a direct question.
غ̇бтiv. Pres act ind 3rd sg عi $\mu \mathrm{i}$.
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Genitive of relationship.
$\pi \lambda \eta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{v}$. Nominative subject. BDF ( $\$ 266$ ) notes that $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v$ is the only adverb used substantivally without the article in the NT (cf. 10:36).



 circumstance). In the NT, this verb appears only in Luke's writings (also 7:43; Acts 1:9; 2:15) and in 3 John 8, but only here in this sense: "in discourse, take up what is said" (LSJ, 1886.I.3) or "to respond to what has been said" (LN 33.187; cf. BDAG, 1038.3). Plummer (286) notes that this usage is common in LXX Job, appearing about twenty-four times (e.g., 2:4; 4:1; 6:1; 9:1).

$\varepsilon і ँ \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.

 tences at the beginning of discourses commonly open with a nonverbal constituent" to mark a point of departure for the story that follows. The indefinite pronoun serves to introduce an unspecified man, much like an indefinite article would be used in English (cf. Porter 1994, 135).

кат $\dot{\beta a i v \varepsilon v . ~ I m p f ~ a c t ~ i n d ~ 3 r d ~ s g ~ к а \tau \alpha \beta a i v \omega . ~ T r a v e l ~ t o ~ o r ~ f r o m ~}$ Jerusalem is characteristically described in terms of "going up" or "going down" respectively.
àtò 'İpovøa入̀̀ $\mu$. Separation.
عic 'İpıx̀̀. Goal.
$\lambda \eta$ nбтaĩц. Dative complement of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \mid \varepsilon ̇ \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \varepsilon ̇ \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi i \pi \tau \omega$. This verb is used figuratively to denote "fall in with, fall into, mostly of evil" (LSJ 1383. II.3), with a dative complement.
oil. Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta$ ov.
кaì . . . кaì. Correlative conjunctions: "both . . . and . . ."
モ̇к $\delta \dot{v} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom pl $̇$ ह̇к $\delta \dot{v} \omega$ (temporal).
aủtòv. Accusative direct object of $̇$ દ̇к $\delta$ v́бavtec.
$\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \dot{\alpha} \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ ह̇ $\downarrow \theta \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \theta \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom pl $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \tau i \theta \eta \mu \mathrm{l}$ (temporal). Here, "to subject someone to a particular experience, normally by the use of force" (LN 90.87). Lit. "having laid blows on."
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \mathbf{o v}$. Aor act ind 3rd pl à $\pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi o \mu \alpha$.
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi \dot{v} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom pl $\dot{\alpha} \varphi i \eta \mu \mathrm{I}$ (attendant circumstance).
$\dot{\eta} \mu \iota \theta \alpha v \tilde{\eta}$. Complement in an object-complement double accusative construction with the direct object (aútóv) understood.

##  кaì ì $\delta \dot{\omega} v$ av̉ $\tau o ̀ v ~ a ̉ v \tau ı \pi \alpha \rho \tilde{~} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ．

ката̀ $\sigma v \gamma к и \rho i \alpha v$ ．Standard．The term $\sigma \cup \gamma к \cup \rho i \alpha$, which refers to ＂an unexpected conjunction of events＂（BDAG，953）or＂coinci－ dence，＂occurs only here in the NT．
í $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon \varepsilon v ́ s ~ \tau ı c . ~ N o m i n a t i v e ~ s u b j e c t ~ o f ~ к а \tau \varepsilon ́ ~} \beta a \iota v \varepsilon v$ ．The indefinite pronoun serves to introduce an unspecified priest，much like an indefinite article would be used in English（cf．Porter 1994，135）．

кат $\dot{\beta a \iota v \varepsilon v . ~ I m p f ~ a c t ~ i n d ~ 3 r d ~ s g ~ к а \tau \alpha \beta a i v \omega . ~ S e e ~ a l s o ~ v e r s e ~} 30$.

$i \delta \dot{\omega} v$ ．Aor act ptc masc nom sg ópá $\omega$（temporal）．
aủtòv．Accusative direct object of íd⿳亠口冋v．
$\alpha \dot{\alpha} v \tau \iota \pi \alpha \rho \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg àvtı $\frac{1}{} \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha ı$ ．
 каì ìठذ̀v àv $\tau \iota \pi \alpha \rho \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ．
$\Lambda \varepsilon v i \tau \eta \varsigma$ ．Nominative subject of $\alpha v \tau ı \pi \alpha \rho \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ．
 use of $\gamma \varepsilon v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o c\left(~\left(P^{45} \mathrm{~A} C D \Theta \Psi f^{13} 33 \mathfrak{N}\right.\right.$ al）may seem redundant in light of the close proximity of $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} v$ ．The participle is omitted by $P^{75}{ }^{2} \times$ B $f^{1} 33$ al，while both $\Re^{245}$ and D include $\gamma \varepsilon$ vó $\mu \varepsilon v o$ ，but omit $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} v$ ．Plummer（287）argues that while it is unlikely that both terms are genuine，the pair together has the effect of making the Levite appear even more heartless than the priest because he ＂came up to him quite close，saw，and passed on．＂The full text of the $\mathrm{UBS}^{4}$ may be rendered：＂Likewise a Levite，who happened upon that place，after going and looking（at the man），passed by on the other side．＂

катà тòv tó $\boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{0} \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Spatial（cf．BDAG，511．B．1．b）．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \boldsymbol{\theta} \dot{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg êp $\chi$ 人
$i \delta \dot{\omega} v$ ．Aor act ptc masc nom sg ó $\rho \dot{a} \omega$（temporal）．
$\alpha \dot{\alpha} v \tau \iota \tau \alpha \rho \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg àv $\tau \iota \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha ı$ ．
 غ̇бл $\lambda \alpha \gamma \chi$ víoӨף，

pronoun serves to introduce an unspecified Samaritan，much like an indefinite article would be used in English（cf．Porter 1994，135）．
ódeviov．Pres act ptc masc nom sg ódsúw（attributive）．Only here in the NT：＂to be in the process of traveling，presumably for some distance＂（LN 15．19）．The choice of verb makes it clear that it would be even less convenient for the Samaritan than for the priest or Levite．
$\tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg êp $\rho \neq \mu a 1$ ．
кат＇av̉兀òv．Spatial．
$i \delta \dot{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg ó $\rho \alpha ́ \omega$（temporal）．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi v i \sigma \theta \eta$ ．Aor mid ind 3rd sg $\sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi v i \zeta о \mu \alpha u$ ．On the voice， see＂Deponency＂in the Series Introduction．



$\pi \rho o \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} v$ ．Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\pi \rho \frac{\sigma \varepsilon ́ \rho \chi o \mu a ı}{}$（attendant circumstance）．
$\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon ̇ \delta \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg катаס́̇ш．

aủtoũ．Objective genitive．


 cumstance）．
av̉tòv．Accusative direct object of ह̇mıßßß்́бac．
 to apply here（cf．6：41）．The term $\kappa \tau \tilde{\eta} v o s$ refers to＂a larger type of domesticated animal，primarily one used for riding or carrying loads＂（LN 4．6）．
グүaүعv．Aor act ind 3rd sg a̋ $\gamma \omega$ ．
av̉tòv．Accusative direct object of ク้ץ $\gamma \gamma \varepsilon v$ ．

$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$ ．Aor mid ind 3rd sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \circ \mu a \mathrm{a}$ ．On the voice，see ＂Deponency＂in the Series Introduction．




ènì t̀̀v aűpıov. Temporal.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ (attendant circumstance).

है $\delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
 ity of scribes actually place this after $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \grave{\omega}$, as its direct object, with only $\mathfrak{P}^{45} \mathfrak{P}^{75}$ and B placing it after $\varepsilon$ है $\delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$. The latter could be an early transcriptional error, but it is equally possible that most scribes smoothed out the text by making $\delta$ úo $\delta \eta v a \dot{\rho}$ ıa the object of the participle. Following the UBS ${ }^{4}$, the direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta a \lambda \omega \nu$ would be an implied $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} v \tau \iota o v$ or something similar.
$\tau \underline{\varphi} \pi \alpha \nu \delta o \chi \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon$ है $\delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon \nu$.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
${ }^{\prime} E \pi \iota \mu \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \tau \tau$. Aor mid impv 2nd sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \mu \mu \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \circ \mu \alpha a$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
av่̉าũ. Genitive complement of 'Е $\overline{\iota \mu \varepsilon \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \tau \iota .}$
ő $\tau \iota \alpha ̈ v$. Accusative (from ő $\sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$ ) direct object of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta \alpha \pi \alpha v \eta \dot{\eta} \varsigma$. For more on this indefinite relative construction, see 9:48 on "O $\varsigma$ $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{a} v$. The use of the relative construction rather than a conditional clause keeps the focus on "whatever" rather than on the action (see 9:24 on öऽ . . . äv $\theta \dot{\text { ć }}$ ! ).
$\pi \rho o \sigma \delta \alpha \pi \alpha v \eta \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \varsigma$. Aor act subj 2nd sg $\pi \rho 0 \sigma \delta \alpha \pi \alpha v \alpha \dot{\omega} \omega$. Subjunctive with $\ddot{\alpha} v$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \grave{\gamma}$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{o} \delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega$. The explicit subject pronoun and intervening infinitive clause make the construction very forceful.
 denote contemporaneous time. Lit. "as I am returning (on my journey)." When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81). On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\dot{\eta}$ סénoic. This construction marked a shift from earlier Greek, where a participle ( $̇ \pi \alpha v \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ ́ \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma)$ would have been used (Caragounis, 170). The infinitive construction made the temporal reference more explicit than the participle would have (cf. Caragounis, 179).
$\mu \varepsilon$. Accusative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \alpha v \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta a i$.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{o} \boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Fut act ind 1st sg $\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{o} \delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{\mu}$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{o}$. Dative indirect object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathrm{o} \delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega$.

##  

tic. Introduces a direct question. Nominative subject of סокعĩ. At an underlying level, tiç is the subject of $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \sigma$ हैval: lit. "It seems to you that which of these has been a neighbor?" As with the analogous use of the verb "seems" in English, however, the subject of the infinitive appears at the surface level as the subject of the main verb and thus bears nominative rather than accusative case ("It appears that John is winning" versus "John appears to be winning"; see also Culy and Parsons, 334, on катаүүع $\lambda \varepsilon$ vic).

тov่ $\tau \omega v \tau \tilde{\omega} v \tau \rho \iota \tilde{\omega} v$. Partitive genitive.
$\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v$. Predicate accusative of $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \sigma v \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \alpha a$. The substantival adverb is moved well forward in most manuscripts to put it in focus.

бокєĩ. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\delta$ ок $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma o t}$. Dative complement of $\delta$ окєा.
$\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \mathbf{o v} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v a l}$. Prf mid inf $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{i} v o \mu a ı$ (complementary).
 Genitive of relationship, modifying $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma$ oiov.

عís toùs $\lambda$ п $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ тác. Locative.


ó. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$ (see 1:29 on $\dot{\eta}$ ). $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
 Nominative subject of an implied $\gamma \varepsilon \dot{\gamma} \gamma \circ v \varepsilon v$ ( $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma$ iov).

$\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ av̇toũ. The combination of $\bar{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varsigma$ with the preposition $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ is used to express the showing of mercy to someone (BDAG, 636.2. $\gamma$. d; cf. 1:58, 72).
$\varepsilon \tilde{i} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Levinsohn $(2000,221)$ argues that when the final exchange in a conversation attains the goal of one of
the participants, the exchange will be introduced with a verb rather than with a reference to the speaker (cf. v. 28). Here, the construction indicates that Jesus has attained his goal in telling the parable (Levinsohn 2000, 227).
av่̉โฺิ. Dative indirect object of عĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$.

Порєv่ov. Pres mid impv 2nd sg порعن́o $\mu a \mathrm{a}$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{v}$. Nominative subject of $\pi$ oisı. The use of kaì $\sigma \dot{v}$ with the imperative adds force to the command.
$\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Pres act impv 2nd sg $\pi o \iota \varepsilon$. $\omega$.

## Luke 10:38-42

${ }^{38}$ Now, as they went along, he entered a particular village, and a woman named Martha welcomed him. ${ }^{39}$ She had a sister named Mary, who had taken a seat at the feet of the Lord and was listening to him speak. ${ }^{40}$ Martha, though, was distracted by all that needed to be done. So she came up and said, "Lord, doesn't it concern you that my sister has left me alone to serve? So, speak to her in order that she might help me." ${ }^{41}$ But the Lord responded and said to her, "Martha, Martha, you are worried and troubled about many things, ${ }^{42}$ but only one thing is necessary. Mary, in fact, has made the right choice, and it will not be taken from her."

##  $\tau \iota v \alpha \cdot \gamma v v \eta ̀ ~ \delta \varepsilon ́ ~ \tau ı c ~ o ̉ v o ́ \mu \alpha \tau ı ~ M a ́ \rho \theta a ~ v ́ \pi \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon ́ \zeta a \tau o ~ a v ̉ \tau o ́ v . ~$

$\pi о \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \mathrm{a}$. Pres mid inf $\pi о \rho \varepsilon \dot{\circ} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \mathrm{a}$. Used with $\varepsilon$ ह̇v $\tau \tilde{\varrho}$ to denote contemporaneous time (see also 1:8 on iعpatev่ยเv). When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81).
av̉тov̀c. Accusative subject of порعv́عoӨaı.
aủtòc. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ írŋ̃ $\lambda \theta \varepsilon v$.

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varsigma \kappa \dot{\kappa} \mu \eta \nu \tau \iota v \dot{\alpha}$. Locative.

ỏvó $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau}$. Dative of reference.
Máp日a. Nominative in apposition to $\gamma \cup v \eta ̀ ~ . ~ . ~ \tau \tau \varsigma . ~$

argues that $\dot{\text { in }} \boldsymbol{\delta} \delta \dot{\xi} \xi$ o $\mu a 1$ carries the connotation of hospitality．Louw （63）points out that although ancient speakers likely sensed a dis－ tinction between this verb and several others that are used to refer

 tinctions are currently not available to us．
av̉ $\tau o ́ v$ ．Accusative direct object of $\mathfrak{u} \pi \varepsilon \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha \tau 0$ ．


$\tau \tilde{\eta} \delta \varepsilon$ ．Dative of possession．Lit．＂to this one was a sister．＂
$\eta \tilde{\eta} v$ ．Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ éuí．
$\dot{\alpha} \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \eta ̀$ ．Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} \nu$.
$\kappa \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{0} \boldsymbol{\mu} \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ．Pres pass ptc fem nom sg $\kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega($（attributive）．
Mapıá $\mu$ ．Complement in a subject－complement double nomina－ tive construction（see 1：32 on viòs）．
［ $\eta$ ］．Nominative subject of $\eta$ ๆ̆коиعv．Without the relative pronoun， Mapıá $\mu$ is the understood subject of $\eta$ そоовv．
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon i ̃ \sigma \alpha$ ．Aor mid ptc fem nom sg ларакаӨॄ́そоцаı（tem－ poral；attributive without the relative pronoun）．
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ \varsigma ~ \pi o ́ \delta a c . ~ L o c a t i v e . ~$
тoṽ кирiov．Possessive genitive．
$\eta \nmid \kappa o v \varepsilon v$ ．Impf act ind 3rd sg dáкov́ $\omega$ ．The verb could also be ren－ dered with an ingressive translation（see 1：59 on éкव́ $\lambda \mathrm{ouv}$ ）：＂who sat down at the feet of the Lord and began listening．＂

тòv $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ó $\gamma \mathbf{o v}$ ．Accusative direct object of $\eta$ そ̆кovev．Lit．＂his word．＂ av̉тoṽ．Subjective genitive．



$\dot{\eta} . .$. Má $\rho \theta \alpha$ ．Nominative subject of $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon \sigma \pi \pi \tilde{\alpha} \tau 0$.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \varepsilon \sigma \pi \tilde{\alpha} \tau \mathbf{c}$ ．Aor mid ind 3rd sg $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \circ \mu \alpha l$ ．On the voice，see ＂Deponency＂in the Series Introduction．Only here in the NT：＂（a figurative extension of meaning of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu$ a＇to be drawn off from around，＇not occurring in the NT）to be so overburdened by various distractions as to be worried and anxious＂（LN 25．238）．
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \mathbf{i} \boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{o} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{v i ́ a v}$. Reference. Lit. "with much service."
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha$. Aor act ptc fem nom sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi i \sigma \tau \eta \mu \mathrm{l}$ (attendant circumstance). Used in the sense of "to stand at or near a specific place" as here, $\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi i \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ i often carries the connotation of suddenness (BDAG, 418.1). Bock (2:1041) argues that the verb pictures Martha "leaving her work and stepping up to Jesus to address him."
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
Kúpız. Vocative.
ov̉. Introduces a question that expects an affirmative answer.
$\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon$..
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{o}$. Dative complement of $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon$.
ö $\tau \iota$. Introduces the clausal complement of $\mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda \varepsilon$ ı. The ö $\tau \iota$ clause could be viewed as the subject of $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon$ :: lit. "Is not (the fact) that my sister has left me to serve alone a concern to you?"

$\mu \mathbf{o v}$. Genitive of relationship.
$\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{o} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{v}$. Complement in an object-complement double accusative construction.
$\mu \varepsilon$. Accusative direct object of katé $\lambda \iota \pi \varepsilon v$.
$\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\lambda} \lambda ı \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \operatorname{sg} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \varepsilon i \pi \omega$.
$\delta \boldsymbol{\iota} \kappa \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{v}$ ĩv. Pres act inf $\delta \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ коvé $\omega$ (epexegetical).
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \pi \dot{\varepsilon}$. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
aủvñ. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon$ inċ̀.
îva. Introduces a purpose clause.
$\mu \mathbf{\mu}$. Dative complement of $\sigma u v a v \tau \iota \lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta \tau \alpha \mathrm{~L}$.
бvvavtı入áß $\uparrow \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Aor mid subj 3rd sg $\sigma v v a v \tau ı \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v o \mu \alpha ı$. Subjunctive with ǐva.

##  

 circumstance; see also $1: 19$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \kappa \rho(\theta \varepsilon i \varsigma)$ ). On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av่̉ñ. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu i ̃$ ข.
ó кúpıoc. Nominative subject of عĩ̃єv.

Má $\rho \theta \boldsymbol{a}$ Má $\rho \theta \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Vocative. The use of the vocative doublet (in this case, a repetition of the same word; cf. 8:15 on $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ кар $\delta i \not a ~ к а \lambda n ̃ ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~$ $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \tilde{n}$ ) intensifies the emotional force of the address (cf. 8:24; Acts 9:4); here it is one of affection and concern (Plummer, 291).
$\mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \mu v a \tilde{a} c$. Pres act ind 2nd $\operatorname{sg} \mu \varepsilon \rho \mu \nu \nu \alpha \omega^{2}$.
$\theta o \rho v \beta \dot{\alpha} \zeta \underline{\eta}$. Pres pass ind 2nd sg $\theta$ o $\rho \cup \beta \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \mathbf{i} \pi \mathbf{~} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$. Reference.


 uncompromising tone of "one thing" gave rise to three possibilities in the manuscript tradition. Is there need for "one thing" ( $\varepsilon v o ̀ ¢ ; \mathfrak{P}{ }^{45}$ $P^{75} \mathrm{C}^{*} \mathrm{~W} \Theta^{*} a l$ ), "a few things" (ỏ $\lambda i \gamma \omega v$; 38), or "a few things or (only) one" (ỏ $\lambda i \gamma \omega \nu \ldots \not \geqslant \eta \dot{\varepsilon} v o ̀ c ; \boldsymbol{\aleph} B C^{c} p c$ )? For a discussion of the evidence, see Marshall, 452-53.

غ́vòs. Objective genitive.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon \dot{\jmath} \mu i$. On the loss of the accent, see 1:18 on $\varepsilon i \mu$.

Mapıà $\mu$. Nominative subject of $\grave{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha \tau 0$.
$\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$. Inferential (see also 1:15), used in "self-evident conclusions, esp. in exclamations, strong affirmations, etc." (BDAG, 190.3).
$\tau \grave{v} v \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha 0 \eta \eta v \mu \varepsilon \rho i \delta a \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\xi} \xi \alpha \tau 0$. Lit. "chose the good portion."
$\tau \eta ̀ v a ̉ \gamma \alpha \theta \eta ̀ v \mu \varepsilon \rho i \delta a$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \dot{\xi} \xi \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \xi a \tau 0$.
$\grave{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \xi a \tau 0$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg $̇ \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \circ \mu \alpha$.
ŋ̈นıc. Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \varphi \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$. For more on the socalled indefinite relative pronoun, see $1: 20$ on oïtıves.
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg ảpaı$\dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
aủtñc. Genitive of separation.

## Luke 11:1-13

${ }^{1}$ Now it happened while he was praying in a certain place that when he had finished one of his disciples said to him, "Lord, teach us to pray, just as John taught his disciples." ${ }^{2}$ So he said to them, "When you pray, say, 'Father, may your name be treated as holy; may your kingdom come. ${ }^{3}$ Give us the food we need day by day;
${ }^{4}$ and forgive us our sins, for we ourselves also forgive everyone who sins against us. And do not bring us into temptation.'"
${ }^{5}$ Then he said to them, "Suppose one of you has a friend and goes to him at midnight and says to him, 'Friend, please lend me three loaves of bread, ${ }^{6}$ because my friend who is traveling has stopped at my home and I do not have anything to put before him.' ' And he responds from inside (his house) and says, 'Don't bother me! The door has already been closed and my children are in bed with me; I am not able to get up and give you something.' ${ }^{8}$ I tell you, even if he will not get up and give him (anything) because he is his friend, at least because of his shamelessness he will get up and give him as much as he needs. ${ }^{9}$ I also tell you, ask and it will be given to you; seek and you will find; knock and it will be opened for you. ${ }^{10}$ For everyone who asks receives, and the one who seeks finds, and for the one who knocks it will be opened."
${ }_{11}$ "Which father among you will a son ask for a fish, and instead of a fish he will give him a snake? ${ }^{12} \mathrm{Or}$ (if the son) asks for an egg, will he give him a scorpion? ${ }^{13}$ If, then, you who are evil know to give good gifts to your children, how much more will the Father from heaven give the Holy Spirit to those who ask him."


 $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha ̀ \varsigma ~ a u ̉ \tau o v ̃ . ~$
é $\gamma \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \tau \tau$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual. As is common, here kaì $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \tau \frac{1}{2}$ helps mark the beginning of a new pericope (cf. 5:12; see also 1:8 on 'Eүéveto).

عĩvaı. Pres act inf $\varepsilon i \mu i$ i. Used with $\varepsilon v \tau \tau \tilde{y}$ to denote contemporaneous time (see also $1: 8$ on iepatcúciv). When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81).
av̉tòv. Accusative subject of عĩvaı . . . $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon v \chi$ о́ $\mu \varepsilon v o v$.

 periphrastic; see also 1:10 on $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \cup \chi$ о́ $\mu \varepsilon v o v ; ~ c f . ~ 9: 18) . ~$
$\dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{c}$. Temporal.
غ่̇ $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ v́бato. Aor mid ind 3rd sg $\pi \alpha v ́ \omega$.

عĩ̃ $\dot{\varepsilon} v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס と́クбíc.
$\tau \iota c$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ Ĩcغ่v.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. Partitive genitive.
av̉тoṽ. Genitive of relationship.
$\pi \rho$ òs av̉ $\boldsymbol{\text { óv}} \mathbf{v}$. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho$ òs aủtòv).
Kúpıє. Vocative.
$\boldsymbol{\delta i} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\xi} \mathbf{o v}$. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\delta \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega$.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$. Although the sense is readily apparent here, the syntax is a bit complicated. The second plural pronoun is the superficial accusative direct object of $\delta i \delta \alpha \xi$ ov, but it is the semantic recipient of the teaching (they are the ones to whom something is taught), which is typically expressed syntactically as a dative indirect object. When $\delta t \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega$ is used with both an NP or clause (as here) expressing what is taught and an NP expressing who is taught, the latter will always occur in the accusative case. For more on this construction, see Culy 2009, 92-96.

'Iwávvŋc. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \begin{gathered}\delta \\ i \delta a \xi \varepsilon v . ~\end{gathered}$
غ̇ $\delta i \delta \alpha \xi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega$.
тov̀s $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha ̀ \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \begin{gathered}\delta \\ i \\ \delta \\ \\ \xi \varepsilon v \text {. }\end{gathered}$
aủtoṽ. Genitive of relationship.

##  

$\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av̉тoĩc. Dative indirect object of عĩ̃สغ่v.
"O $\tau \alpha v$. The use of the present subjunctive with ö $\tau \alpha v$ could point to "when" or "whenever" (see 6:22), depending on the context. Here, Jesus' words do not appear to emphasize what should be done every time, but rather reflect general instructions for when one prays.
$\pi \rho o \sigma \varepsilon \dot{v} \chi \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$. Pres mid subj 2nd $\mathrm{pl} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \dot{\chi} \chi \circ \mu \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Subjunctive with "Otav. Many scribes used the present indicative $\pi \rho o \sigma \varepsilon u \chi \chi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon$ here $\left(\mathfrak{P}^{75}\right.$ A C P W Г $\left.\Delta \Theta f^{f, 13} 1241 \mathrm{al}\right)$. While ö onav with the indicative is rare in the NT (Mark 3:11; 11:25; Rev 8:11) and prior to the common era, in post-NT times (when the NT was being copied) the indicative is increasingly used, and the indicative becomes the norm in Modern Greek (Caragounis, 117).
$\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd pl $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$.
Пátعр. Vocative.
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma ı \sigma \theta \theta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega$. Aor pass impv 3rd sg $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \iota \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$. Here, "to treat as holy, reverence" (BDAG, 10.3).

тò ővouá. Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \iota a \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega$. On the second accent, see $1: 13$ on $\eta$ ŋ $\delta$ ह́ $\eta \sigma i \varsigma$.
oov. Possessive genitive.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \omega$. Aor act impv 3rd sg êp $\chi o \mu a ı$.
$\dot{\eta} \beta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\imath} \lambda \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \dot{\boldsymbol{i}} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \omega$.
oov. Subjective genitive (see also 4:43).

## 


 $7: 33$ ). The most likely meaning of $\grave{\varepsilon} \pi \iota o v ́ \sigma \iota o v$, which occurs only here and in the parallel account in Matthew (6:11) in the NT (but see Did. 8:2), is "necessary for existence" (BDAG, 376.1; cf. Fitzmyer, 2:900). For further discussion, see Fitzmyer, 2:904-5; BDAG, 376-77.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Possessive genitive.
$\delta i \delta o v$. Pres act impv 2nd sg $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$. The different way that Luke and Matthew record the Lord's Prayer provides a helpful illustration of how sentence construction can determine verbal aspect.
 Given the focus on a particular day, o'j $\mu \varepsilon \rho \circ v$, he naturally uses the perfective aspect, i.e., aorist imperative form $\delta$ òs. Luke, on the other hand, has the prayer requesting food for every day (тò к $\alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon ́ \rho a v$ ), thus making the imperfect aspect, i.e., present imperative $\delta i \delta o v$, the more natural choice.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i} v$. Dative indirect object of $\delta i \delta o v$.
tò. The neuter accusative singular article functions as a nomi-
 into an adverbial accusative substantive modifying $\delta i \delta o v$ (see also 19:47; Acts 17:11 v.l.). The phrase $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \rho a v$ regularly functions adverbially without the article (e.g., 9:23; 16:19; 22:53; Acts 2:46, 47; $3: 2 ; 16: 5 ; 17: 11 ; 19: 9$ ) and can also be used with an adjectivizer (see 2 Cor 11:28).
$\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon ́ \rho \alpha v$. Distributive.


ä $\varphi \varepsilon$ c. Aor act impv 2nd sg ả $\varphi i \eta \mu$.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i} v$. Dative of advantage. Lit. "Forgive our sins for us."
$\tau \grave{\alpha} \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau i \alpha c$. Accusative direct object of ả $\varphi \varepsilon \varsigma$.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Subjective genitive.
$\gamma$ 人̀ $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).
av̉toì. Nominative subject of ạ̀io $\mu \varepsilon v$.
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi \dot{\prime} о \mu \varepsilon v$. Pres act ind 1st pl $\dot{\alpha} \varphi i ́ \eta \mu$.
$\pi \alpha v \tau i$. Dative of advantage. Lit. "we forgive (sins) for everyone."
ỏ $\varphi \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \lambda_{0} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \boldsymbol{r}$. Pres act ptc masc dat sg ỏ $\varphi \varepsilon i \lambda \omega$ (attributive). Lit. "who is indebted." Here, "to commit a sin against someone and thus to incur moral debt" (LN 88.298).
$\dot{\eta} \mu \pi ̃$. Dative indirect object of ỏ $\varphi \varepsilon i \lambda o v \tau \iota$.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \kappa!\varsigma$. Aor act subj 2nd sg $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \in \rho \omega$ (prohibitive subjunctive; here a request).

$\varepsilon i \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \sigma \mu o ́ v$. Locative. The use of $\varepsilon i \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \dot{\rho} \rho \omega$ with $\varepsilon i \varsigma ~ \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha \sigma \mu o ́ v$ should likely be read as a request to be spared trials rather than a request for God not to tempt a person to sin (cf. France, 251). Matthew's account ( $6: 13$ ) makes this more explicit with the parallel clause that follows: $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \dot{\rho} \tilde{v} \sigma \alpha ı ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$ à $\pi o ̀ ~ \tau o \tilde{v} ~ \pi o v \eta \rho o v ̃ . ~$

 äptov¢,

Kai. The use of kai and no indicators of a switch in scene shows that Luke is portraying what follows as a continuation of Jesus' response to the disciples' request in verse 1.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ ò $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ảtov́c. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$

Tíc. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ $\xi \varepsilon \varepsilon$. Introduces a rhetorical question that extends to the end of verse 7. Thus, Jesus is emphasizing the fact that no one would expect such an unthinkable response from a neighbor in this type of scenario.
$\grave{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Partitive.
$\varepsilon ँ \xi \varepsilon \varepsilon$. Fut act ind 3rd sg é $\chi \omega$. For an explanation of the shift from smooth to rough breathing in the future tense, see Mounce, 260, n. 10. "The future is sometimes found in deliberative questions in place of the subjunctive" (McKay, 95; cf. 13:18 on ó $\mu$ ot $\omega \sigma \omega$; 14:5 on $\pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon і ̃ \tau \alpha 1 ; ~ 22: 49$ on $\pi \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\xi}$ оиعv; see also 14:10 on $\dot{\rho} \rho \varepsilon \tilde{\imath}$, where the future is used with ǐva, and 19:40 on $\sigma \omega \omega \pi \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma 0 v \sigma \omega \nu$, where the future is used with $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v)$. Here, the future is followed by an analogous use of the subjunctive: $\varepsilon$ k" $\pi \mathfrak{\eta}$ (also in v. 7). On the semantic difference between the two, see 7:4 on $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ \xi!\underline{n}$.
$\varphi i \lambda \mathbf{o v}$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ है $\xi$.
торєv்бєтаı. Fut mid ind 3rd sg торгv́oual. On the future, see above.
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau o ̀ v . ~ S p a t i a l . ~$
$\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon \sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { c o s t i o v }}$. Genitive of time.
$\varepsilon$ cínn. Aor act subj 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (deliberative subjunctive).
$\Phi i \lambda \varepsilon$. Vocative.
$\chi \rho \tilde{\eta} \sigma \mathbf{o} v$. Aor act impv 2nd sg кíх $\eta \mu \mathrm{m}$. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ סغ́ఇбic.
$\mu \mathrm{ot}$. Dative indirect object of $\chi \rho \tilde{\eta} \sigma o \dot{v}$.
$\tau \rho \varepsilon i ̃ \varsigma ~ a ̈ \rho \tau o v ৎ . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~ \chi \rho \tilde{\eta} \sigma o ́ v . ~$

##  $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \theta \eta \dot{\sigma} \omega \omega$ av̉t ${ }^{2}$.

$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \iota \delta \grave{\grave{\prime}}$. Introduces the grounds for the request made in 11:5.
 to me from the road/a journey."
$\varphi i \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda}$. Nominative subject of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tau$.
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Genitive of relationship.
$\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ү \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \tau \frac{1}{2}$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg тараүivoual.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi$ ódoṽ. Separation.
$\pi \rho o ́ \varsigma ~ \mu \varepsilon$. Spatial.

ò. Accusative direct object of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$. The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ö), which as a whole (ö $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ aủtẹ) serves as the direct object of $\varepsilon$ é $\chi \omega$. As McKay (109) notes, Luke could just as well have used an indirect question ( $\tau i \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \alpha \cup \mathfrak{\tau} \tilde{\tilde{y}}$ ) rather than the relative clause. Listing this pas-
sage as an example，McKay（137）later argues that＂Occasionally purpose is expressed by means of a relative clause in which the verb is in the indicative（a construction much more in use in earlier Greek）．＂In the other examples that he cites，Mark 1：2 and 1 Cor 4：17，it is better to recognize that the relative clause keeps the focus on the person doing the action rather than on the purpose of the action，which is not directly stated．At any rate，Luke 11：6 does not belong in the same category as these other passages，which involve clauses having verbs that imply additional action（e．g．，$\dot{\alpha} \pi o \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ or $\pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \omega)$ ，with that action being expressed by a relative clause using a future tense verb．
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ ．Fut act ind 1st sg $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau i \theta \eta \mu$.
av̉兀 $\tilde{y}$ ．Dative indirect object of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ ．



 of каì દ̇кعivoc．The explicit fronted subject pronoun shifts the focus to the neighbor＇s actions．
 circumstance；see also $1: 19$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi о к \rho ı \theta \varepsilon \grave{c})$ ．On the voice，see ＂Deponency＂in the Series Introduction．
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{k} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ．Aor act subj 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$（deliberative subjunctive）．
Mý $\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{o t}$ ко́лоис $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\chi}$ ．Lit．＂Do not cause trouble for me．＂The same idiom（ $\delta$ rà $\gamma \varepsilon$ tò $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ \chi \varepsilon เ v ~ \mu o t ~ к o ́ \pi o v) ~ o c c u r s ~ i n ~ 18: 5 . ~$.
$\mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ ．Dative of disadvantage or reference．
ко́лоия．Accusative direct object of $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon$ ．
$\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon$ ．Pres act ind 2nd sg $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \dot{\chi} \chi \omega$ ．Here，＂to cause to happen or be brought about＂（BDAG，776．3）．
$\eta$ そŋ $\delta$ ．Temporal．
ŋ̀ $\theta \mathbf{v} \rho \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ．Nominative subject of кย́к $\lambda \varepsilon ⿺ \sigma \tau \alpha ı$ ．

т̀̀ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\boldsymbol{i}} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ．Nominative subject of عiбiv．
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$ ．Genitive of relationship．
$\mu \varepsilon \tau$＇غ̀ $\mu$ оṽ．Association．
عíc $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ коí $\boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$ ．Locative．

عioiv．Pres act ind 3rd pl $\varepsilon$ íuí．
סúvaual．Pres mid ind 1st sg $\delta u ́ v a \mu a ı$ ．
àvaõà̀s．Aor act ptc masc nom sg àviotinut（attendant circum－ stance）．

סoũvai．Aor act inf $\delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{I}$（complementary）．On the second accent，see $1: 13$ on $\eta \dot{\eta} \delta \varepsilon ́ \eta \sigma i c$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma o t}$ ．Dative indirect object of Soũvai．

 $\chi \rho$ ற̣́そє．
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{\omega} \dot{\mathrm{v}} \mu \mathrm{v} \nu$ ．See 3：8．
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$ ．Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i}$ кaì．A conditional protasis＂may assume a concessive force if $\varepsilon \mathfrak{i}$ or $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \dot{a} v$ is preceeded or followed by кai＂（McKay，175；cf．Rijksbaron， 74－75；see also 18：4）．
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ ì．Introduces a first class condition．
$\delta \boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ ．Fut act ind 3rd sg $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$ ．
av̉т $\mathfrak{e}$ ．Dative indirect object of $\delta \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon$ ．
 stance）．Since an attendant circumstance participle must match the aspect of the verb it modifies（see 1：24 on $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma 0 \sigma \alpha$ ），the use of the aorist（perfective aspect）participle here（cf．12：37，43）with a future main verb to convey an attendant circumstance lends support to Campbell＇s view $(2007,159)$ that the future tense in Greek is perfec－ tive aspect．

عĩvaı．Pres act inf $\varepsilon i \mu i$ ．Used with $\delta$ ıà tò to denote cause．When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition，they are always articular（Burk，81）．

بí入ov．Predicate adjective of हĩvaı．
av̉兀oṽ．Genitive of relationship．
 sometimes been rendered＂persistence＂（e．g．，NRSV，NASB，REB）， the word actually denotes the lack of a proper sense of shame （＂shamelessness＂）．
$\gamma \varepsilon$ ．The translation follows BDAG，190．a．a．
av่̉то̃．Subjective genitive．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \rho \theta \varepsilon i \varsigma$. . Aor mid ptc masc nom sg $̇ \gamma \varepsilon i \rho \omega$ (attendant circumstance; see above on àvartàc). On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
$\delta \boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Fut act ind 3rd sg $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
aủt $\tilde{\omega}$. Dative indirect object of $\delta \omega \dot{\sigma} \varepsilon$.
ö $\sigma \omega v$. Genitive complement of $\chi \rho \mathfrak{\eta} \zeta \varepsilon$. The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ö), which as a whole (ö $\sigma \omega \nu \chi \rho \underline{\eta} \zeta \varepsilon ı$ ) serves the direct object of $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon$.



$\boldsymbol{\kappa} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\omega}$. Nominative subject of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. A shortened form (crasis) of каì غ̇үต́.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
aïtعĩtع. Pres act impv 2nd pl ait $\dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\delta \mathbf{o} \theta \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \tau \alpha \mathrm{c}$. Fut pass ind 3 rd $\operatorname{sg} \delta \dot{\delta} \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{m}$.
ט́uiv. Dative indirect object of $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
$\zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \tau \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd pl $\zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \dot{\omega} \omega$.

крои́єтє. Pres act impv 2nd pl крои́ш.
àvotүŋ́бとтal. Fut pass ind 3rd sg àvoi $\gamma \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative of advantage.

##  крои́ovtı $\mathfrak{\alpha} v o t \gamma[\eta \dot{\eta} \sigma] \varepsilon \tau \alpha$.

$\pi \tilde{a} \boldsymbol{c}$ ó aitẽ̃v. Pres act ptc masc nom sg aittén (substantival; see
 $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).
$\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon เ$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$.
$\dot{\mathbf{o}} \zeta \eta \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (substantival). Nominative subject of ev́piokel.

 Dative of advantage.
$\dot{\alpha} v o r \gamma[\dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma}] \varepsilon \tau \alpha 1$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg àvoi $\gamma \omega$. The editors of the
$N A^{27} / \mathrm{UBS}^{4}$ enclosed the letters $\eta \sigma$ in brackets to indicate the divided manuscript tradition supporting either the present (avoi $\gamma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ ) or future tense. In either case, the sense is futuristic, as indicated by the translation (cf. Omanson, 131-32; Nolland, 2:628).

##  

tiva . . . $\boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{v} v \pi \alpha \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \alpha$. The syntax of the two accusative elements ( $\tau i v a \ldots$. . tòv $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha$ and $i \chi \theta \dot{v} v$ ) is ambiguous. The underlying structure could be (1) "A son will request a fish from which father?" or (2) "A son will ask which father (to give him) a fish?" If (1) is operative here, then we have an example of an oblique ("from which father") "advancing" to the direct object of aitn'бєı position, thus bearing accusative case (see Culy 2009, 92-99). If (2) is operative, then ix $\theta$ úv is the direct object of an implied infinitival clause (indirect discourse), while tiva . . . tòv $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \dot{\rho} \rho$ is the accusative subject. Since ait $\dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ frequently takes an NP as its direct object (cf. v. 12), option (1) is more likely.
$\varepsilon ̇ \xi \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Partitive.

ì $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ úv. See above.
ávtì ỉX0́oç. Here, "a marker of an alternative serving as a contrast" (LN 89.133).
ő $\varphi \iota \nu$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon$. . The fronting of the object helps make the contrast more striking.



## 



 UBS ${ }^{4}$ reading ( $\mathfrak{P}^{75} \leqslant \mathrm{~B} f^{1,13} 69788$ 1071) is definitely the harder reading, particularly given the lack of conjunction before the following clause. If it is original, we should probably see significant ellipsis in


however, that the future tense and subjunctive mood were often used interchangeably during this period (see 7:4 on $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ \xi \eta$ ), as is evidenced in the textual tradition here, with the future sometimes being used in deliberative questions (see 11:5 on $\varepsilon$ है $\varepsilon$ ct).

̣̀óv. Accusative direct object of aìtŋ́ $\sigma \varepsilon \iota$ or accusative direct object of an implied $\delta$ oũval (see v. 11 on ixXúv).

av่̉ $\tilde{\omega}$. Dative indirect object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon$. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i} v$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma \kappa о \rho \pi i o v . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~} \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon$.



$\varepsilon$ ì. Introduces the protasis of a first class condition.
$\dot{v} \mu \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} \varsigma$. Nominative subject of oíXate. The use of the explicit subject pronoun sets up the contrast between these people and ó $\pi \alpha \pi \grave{\eta} \rho$.
$\pi \mathbf{\pi} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\eta} \rho \mathbf{o l}$. Predicate nominative.
v́tá $\rho \chi$ ovtec. Pres act ptc masc nom pl ítá $\rho \chi \omega$ (attributive or concessive).
old $\delta a \tau \varepsilon$. Prf act ind 2 nd pl oĩ $\delta \alpha$. On the use of the perfect tense with this verb, see $4: 34$ on oĩ $\delta \dot{\alpha}$.
$\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathbf{o}}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \theta \dot{\alpha}$. Accusative direct object of $\delta$ ı $\delta$ óvaı.
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{v} v a \mathbf{a}$. Pres act inf $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$. Here, oí $\delta \alpha$ is used with a complementary infinitive in the sense of "to know or understand how to do something" (cf. BDAG, 694.3).

тоі̃я тغ́кvoıs. Dative indirect object of $\delta \iota \delta$ óvaı.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Genitive of relationship.
$\pi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{v}$. "How much more . . ." (BDAG, 855.1). This verse may be translated as an exclamatory statement (so NIV, NJB, NRSV) or as a rhetorical question (KJV, NAS, NAB; cf. Omanson, 10 on Matt 7:11).
$\dot{\mathbf{o}} \pi \alpha \tau \grave{\jmath} \rho$. Nominative subject of $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon$.
 adjectivizer (see 5:36 on tò ánò toṽ кaıvoũ), changing the PP $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi$ oủpavoṽ into an attributive modifier of $\dot{\delta} \pi \alpha \tau \eta ̀ \rho$.

غ̇ $\mathfrak{g}$ oủpavoṽ. Without the article the PP modifies the following verb and indicates the source from which the Father will give the Holy Spirit. With the article, the PP indicates the source or origin of the Father.
$\delta \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Fut act ind 3 rd sg $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
$\pi \nu \varepsilon \tilde{v} \mu \alpha$ ä $\gamma \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{v}$. Accusative direct object of $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon$.
 Dative indirect object of $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon$.
aủtóv. Accusative direct object of aỉtoṽбוv.

## Luke 11:14-23

${ }^{14}$ (Later,) He was in the process of casting out a mute demon. Now it happened that when the demon had come out the mute man spoke; and the crowds were amazed. ${ }^{15}$ Then some of them said, "He casts out demons by Beelzebul the ruler of the demons!" ${ }^{16}$ Others, in order to test (him), were seeking a sign from heaven from him. ${ }^{17}$ Then, since he knew their thoughts, he said to them, "Every kingdom that is divided against itself is wiped out, and a house (divided) against a house falls. ${ }^{18}$ Furthermore, if Satan is also divided against himself, how will his kingdom stand? For you are saying that I cast out demons by Beelzebul. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{If}$, however, I am casting out demons by Beelzebul, by whom do your sons cast them out? For this reason, they will be your judges. ${ }^{20}$ But if I cast out demons by the finger of God, then the kingdom of God has come upon you."
${ }^{21}$ "Whenever a strong man protects his own house fully armed, his possessions are safe; ${ }^{22}$ but when one who is stronger than him comes and conquers him, he takes away his armor in which he had (placed his) confidence and distributes his plunder. ${ }^{23}$ The one who is not with me is against me, and the one who does not gather with me scatters."

 oi őर 入o•-

Kaì. Luke appears to use this conjunction to draw a close connection between this apparently separate pericope and what precedes, perhaps because of the focus on the gift of the Holy Spirit in the
preceding verse and the implied activity of the Holy Spirit in Jesus' ministry of exorcism.
ñv. Impf ind 3rd sg eipí.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ (imperfect periphrastic).

Saıцóvıov к $\boldsymbol{\omega} \varphi$ óv. Accusative direct object of $\tilde{\eta} v \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega v$. In the longer text, $\kappa \omega \varphi o^{v} v$ is a predicate adjective of $\tilde{\eta} v$.
[kaì aủtò $\mathfrak{\eta} v$ ]. Given the strong, early support for the omission of this phrase ( $\mathfrak{P}^{45} \mathfrak{P}^{75} \boldsymbol{\aleph} \mathrm{~A}^{*} \mathrm{BL} f^{2} 33 \mathrm{al}$ ), we have omitted it in our translation. The fuller text would be rendered: "he was casting out a demon, and it was a mute one."
av่̉t̀. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} v$.
ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ i $\mu i$ i.

тоṽ $\delta a \iota \mu$ oviov. Genitive subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \lambda \theta$ óvтoc.
$\varepsilon ̇ \xi \varepsilon \lambda \theta$ óvtoç. Aor act ptc masc gen sg $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\xi} p \chi o \mu \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvعv́ovtos), temporal.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\dot{\mathbf{o}} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \omega \varphi \dot{o} \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \theta a u ́ \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \theta \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \dot{\zeta} \zeta \omega$.
oi őx $\boldsymbol{\lambda o t}$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \theta a u ́ \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha v$.


$\tau เ v \varepsilon ̀ \varsigma . ~ N o m i n a t i v e ~ s u b j e c t ~ o f ~ \varepsilon i ̃ \pi \alpha ข . ~$
$\grave{\varepsilon} \xi \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \tilde{v} v$. Partitive.
عĩ $\pi \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. On the form, see 1:61.
${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} v \mathrm{~B} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{o v ̀ \lambda}$. Instrumental. Fronted for emphasis.
$\tau \tilde{\omega}$ ä $\rho \chi 0 v \tau \iota$. Pres act ptc masc dat sg ä $\rho \chi \omega$ (attributive).
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{v i \omega v}$. Genitive of subordination.
غ̇к $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $̇ \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
т̀̀ $\delta a \iota \mu o ́ v i \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon ı$.
 av่̉าอั.

$\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \dot{\alpha} \zeta о \boldsymbol{o v} \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Pres act ptc masc nom $\mathrm{pl} \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \dot{\jmath} \zeta \omega$ (purpose). The
verb points here to the testing of Jesus＇credentials（Marshall，473） rather than an attempt to＂trap＂him（contra TEV）．

غ̇そ oủpavoṽ．Source．
 any warrant for the NET Bible＇s ingressive translation here（see 1：59 on غ̇к $\alpha$ خouv）．
$\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}$ av่̉ $\frac{1}{}$ ṽ．Source．

 $\pi i \pi \tau \varepsilon$ ．
av̉兀òc．Nominative subject of عĩ $\pi \varepsilon$ v．
$\varepsilon i \delta \omega \grave{c}$ ．Prf act ptc masc nom sg oĩ $\delta \alpha$（causal）．On the use of the perfect tense with this verb，see $4: 34$ on oí $\delta \dot{\alpha}$ ．
aủtũv．Possessive genitive．

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
av̉тoĩc．Dative indirect object of عĩл
$\Pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha$ ．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \rho \eta \mu \circ \tilde{\tau} \tau \boldsymbol{}$ ．
غ̇ $\varphi$＇$̇$ モ̇vtŋ̀̀v．Opposition．
$\delta ı \mu \varepsilon \rho ı \sigma \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \sigma \alpha$ ．Aor pass ptc fem nom sg $\delta ı \alpha \mu \varepsilon \rho i \zeta \omega$（attributive）．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \eta \mu \mathbf{v} \tau \alpha 1$ ．Pres pass ind 3rd sg ėp $\eta \mu o ́ o \mu \alpha a$ ．The verb means＂to suffer destruction，with the implication of being deserted and aban－ doned＂（LN 20．41）．
oĩkoc．Nominative subject of $\pi i \pi \tau \varepsilon$ ．
غ̇пı̀ oĩkov．Opposition．
$\pi i \pi \tau \varepsilon เ$ ．Pres act ind 3 rd sg $\pi i \pi \tau \omega$ ．

 סaıpóvia．
$\varepsilon \mathbf{\varepsilon}$ ．Introduces the protasis of a first class condition．
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\mathbf{\varepsilon}}$ ．The conjunction marks this sentence as a development in Jesus＇argument．Thus the translation（cf．BDAG，278．6．c，s．v．$\varepsilon \mathfrak{i}$ ，on translating the whole phrase $\varepsilon$ í $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ кaì）．
ó $\Sigma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v a} \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\delta \iota \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \rho i \sigma \theta \eta$ ．

غ̇ $\varphi$ ’ '̇avtòv. Opposition.
$\delta ı \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \rho i \sigma \theta \eta$. Aor pass ind 3rd sg $\delta ı \alpha \mu \varepsilon \rho i \zeta \omega$.
$\pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$. The interrogative adverb introduces a direct question that serves as the apodosis of the conditional construction.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha \boldsymbol{\theta} \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{c}$. Fut mid ind 3rd sg ïбтๆut. Although the future middle form of this verb is common in the LXX, in the NT it appears only in Rev 18:15. This suggests that we are dealing with a transitional period in the evolution of the language in which the $-\theta \eta \sigma$ - suffix represents the emerging middle/passive form (cf. BDAG, 482.B.4). If so, the current verb should be parsed middle rather than passive.

av̉toṽ. Subjective genitive (see also 4:43).
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces a causal clause. Plummer (302) is likely correct in seeing ellipsis here: "I have said this because."
$\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 3rd pl $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v$ B $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \zeta \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{0} \mathbf{o v} \lambda$. Instrumental.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v}$. Pres act inf $\grave{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ (indirect discourse).
$\mu \varepsilon$. Accusative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon เ v$.
đò $\delta \alpha ı \mu o ́ v i \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon ı v$.


$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Introduces the protasis of a first class condition. Note that first class conditions only assume the protasis to be true for the sake of argument.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ह̇ $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
غ̇v Bé $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \zeta \varepsilon \beta$ où $\lambda$. Instrumental.
غ̇к $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$. Pres act ind 1 st sg $̇ \kappa \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
т̀̀ $\delta a \iota \mu \mathbf{v} v i \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\grave{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
oi vioì. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ovoıv. Since the addressee is vague in this section ( $\varepsilon \tau \tau \rho \circ \iota, \mathrm{v} .16$ ), the referent of oi viol is unclear. Most likely, it should be viewed as a metonym (see 1:17 on карסíac) for "followers," since those who "test" Jesus can be inferred to be religious leaders.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{v} v$. Genitive of relationship.
èv tivı. Instrumental.

 complex as some make it out to be. Jesus is simply arguing that (1) the addressees, i.e., religious leaders, affirm the validity of exorcisms by encouraging their followers to perform them; and (2) this very fact will be used as evidence against them, clearly showing their evil motives.

סıà toũto. Cause. See also 12:22 on סıà toũto.
av̉toì. Nominative subject of हैбovtal.
$\dot{\mathbf{v}} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Objective genitive.
$\kappa \rho ı \tau \alpha i ̀ . ~ P r e d i c a t e ~ n o m i n a t i v e . ~$
हैбovtaı. Fut act ind 3rd pl $\varepsilon$ ípí.


$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Introduces a first class condition.
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon}$. Introduces a strong contrast.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \delta \alpha \kappa \tau \cup \dot{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Instrumental. Metonymy (see 1:17 on кар $\delta \dot{i} a \varsigma$ ) for "power of God" (cf. Exod 8:19). This figure of speech also involves an anthropomorphism (cf. 1:51, 66, 74; Plummer, 302).
$\theta \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ ṽ. Possessive genitive.
[ $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \grave{\omega}]$. Nominative subject of $\grave{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$. Pres act ind 1 st sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
đò $\delta a \iota \mu o ́ v i \alpha . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~ \grave{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
ä $\rho \alpha$. Inferential conjunction expressing the result of the protasis, with a suggestion of emphasis (BDAG, 127.2.a).
$\varepsilon ̌ \varphi \theta a \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3 rd sg $\varphi \theta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$. Although the aorist form has often been used to argue for realized eschatology, under the influence of Dodd, Caragounis (261-78) presents a compelling argument against this view. He clearly establishes that the choice of the aorist rather than the future underscores "the certainty and imminence" of the future action (Caragounis, 275; emphasis in original).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \varphi^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\mu} \varphi$. Locative (see 1:12 on $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi^{\prime}$ aủtóv).
$\dot{\eta} \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ है $\varphi \theta \sigma \varepsilon v$.
тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ. Subjective genitive (see also 4:43).


ö $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\alpha} v$. On the translation "whenever" here, see 6:22.
ó ï $\sigma \chi u \rho o ̀ s$. Nominative subject of $\varphi$ "גá $\sigma \sigma!\underline{1}$.
$\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \pi \lambda \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon ́ v o c$. Prf mid ptc masc nom sg к $\alpha \theta$ о $\pi \lambda i \zeta \omega$ (manner).
$\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma!\eta$. Pres act subj 3rd sg $\varphi u \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega$. Subjunctive with ötav.
 could be the courtyard in front of the house, which the strong man patrols; it could be the inner courtyard around which houses were often built; or it could refer to the dwelling in general (see LSJ, 276). If the final sense is in view (so most scholars and versions), we are dealing with a case of synecdoche (see 1:46 on $\dot{\eta} \psi \nu \chi \dot{\eta} \mu \circ v$ ).

غ́avtoṽ. Possessive genitive.
 likely an idiom meaning, "to be out of danger" (BDAG, 287.1.a).

غ̇бтìv. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ ei $\mu$ í. Neuter plural subjects characteristically take singular verbs (see Wallace, 399-400). On the retention of the accent, see 1:36 on ह̇бтiv.
 Nominative subject of żбтiv.
av่̉าoũ. Possessive genitive.

 $\delta i \delta \omega \sigma \iota v$.

غ̇ $\pi$ à $v$. Temporal. Like ö $\tau \alpha v$, this term refers to "an indefinite point or points of time, which may be roughly simultaneous to or overlap with another point of time" (LN 67.31). It is used in a sentence that contrasts with a preceding ötav clause both here and in verse 34.
 of ír $\chi \cup \rho o ́ s$.
aủtoũ. Genitive of comparison.
 stance).

aủtóv. Accusative direct object of vıкฑ゙ซ!!.
$\tau \grave{\eta} v \pi \alpha v o \pi \lambda i \alpha v$. Accusative direct object of aị $\rho \varepsilon$.
av่̉oũ. Possessive genitive.
aï $\rho \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 3rd sg aîp $\omega$.
غ̀ $\varphi^{\prime}$ ñ. Locative. See also $1: 12$ on $̇$ ह̇ ${ }^{\prime}$ ' aủtóv.
غ̇л $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \mathbf{o} \dot{\theta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Plprf act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \operatorname{sg} \pi \varepsilon i \theta \omega$.
$\tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa v ̃ \lambda \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\delta \iota \alpha \delta i \delta \omega \sigma \iota v$. Only here in the NT: "that which is taken away by force, particularly in the case of war" (LN 57.243).
av่̉oṽ. Possessive genitive.
$\delta \boldsymbol{\omega} \delta i \delta \omega \sigma \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\delta \iota \alpha \delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{t}$. In Jesus' illustration, the implied indirect object would presumably be those who fight with the stronger man. The fact that Jesus does not have an army fighting with him may have led him to use this vaguer langauge.

##  غ̇цои̃ бкорліЦદเ.

$\dot{\mathbf{o}} . . . \ddot{\omega} v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\varepsilon \dot{\ell} \mu i ́$ (substantival). Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ह̇бтıv.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau$ ' $\varepsilon \mu \rho \mathbf{v}$. Association/accompaniment.
$\boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ' غ̇цои̃. Opposition.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg ei $\mu \mathrm{i}$. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عiцı.
$\dot{\mathbf{o}} . . . \quad \sigma v v \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\sigma v v \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega$ (substantival).

$\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{v}$. Association/accompaniment.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma \kappa о \rho \pi i \zeta \varepsilon เ . ~ P r e s ~ a c t ~ i n d ~ 3 r d ~ s g ~ б к о \pi i \zeta \omega . ~}$

## Luke 11:24-28

${ }^{24 " W h e n ~ a n ~ u n c l e a n ~ s p i r i t ~ l e a v e s ~ a ~ p e r s o n, ~ i t ~ g o e s ~ t h r o u g h ~ a ~}$ parched region seeking rest. And when it does not find it, [then] it says, 'I will return to my house that I left.' ${ }^{25}$ After it goes (back), it finds it swept (clean) and in order. ${ }^{26}$ Then it goes and takes along seven other spirits more evil than itself, and after entering (the person) they live there; and the final condition of that person is worse than the first."
${ }^{27}$ Now it happened that as he was saying these things a woman from the crowd raised her voice and said to him, "Blessed is the womb that carried you and the breasts that you nursed (from)." ${ }^{28}$ But he said, "On the contrary, blessed are those who hear the word of God and are careful to obey it."



＂O $\tau \alpha v$ ．On translating＂when＂rather than＂whenever，＂see 6：22．
тò àкá $\theta a \rho \tau 0 v \pi \nu \varepsilon \tilde{v} \mu \alpha$ ．Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\xi} \lambda \theta \eta$ n．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\xi} \lambda \theta \mathrm{n}$ ．Aor act subj 3rd sg $\grave{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi o \mu a ı$ ．Subjunctive with＂Otav．

סıغ́pxetaı．Pres mid ind 3rd sg סıépxouaı．
$\delta_{\mathbf{\imath}}$＇àvv́ $\boldsymbol{\delta} \rho \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$ тó $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Spatial．Lit．＂through a waterless place．＂ Marshall（479）suggests that＂the point is perhaps not the dryness but the absence of men from such desert regions，so that the demon cannot find anywhere to rest．＂

そŋтоũv ảvá $\pi \alpha v \sigma เ v$. Or，＂looking for a resting place．＂
そŋ $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ oũv．Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$（manner or purpose）．
àvánavoıv．Accusative direct object of そŋтoũv．
عúpíбкov．Pres act ptc masc nom sg eúpíбк（temporal）．
［ $\boldsymbol{\text { óte }}$ ］．The textual evidence is divided，with tóte appearing in $P^{75}{ }^{2} \boldsymbol{\aleph}$ B L $\Theta \Xi 33 p c$ ，while most scribes omit it（ $\mathfrak{P}^{45} \boldsymbol{\aleph}^{*}$ A C D W $\Psi$ $f^{1,13} \mathfrak{M} p m$ ）．The word may have been added to harmonize this pas－ sage with Matt 12：44（cf．Omanson，133）．
$\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon$ ．Pres act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
＇Yлобт $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \dot{\varepsilon} \psi \omega$ ．Fut act ind 1st sg ט́лобт $\rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$ ．
عíc tòv oĩkóv．Locative．On the second accent，see 1：13 on $\dot{\eta}$ ঠغ́ŋ $\sigma$ ఢ́s．
$\mu \mathbf{\mu}$. Possessive genitive．
ő $\theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\xi} \tilde{\eta} \lambda \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{O} v$ ．Lit．＂from which I left．＂


## 11：25 каì $̇ \lambda \theta o ̀ v ~ \varepsilon u ́ \rho i ́ \sigma \kappa \varepsilon ı ~ \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \kappa \varepsilon \kappa о \sigma \mu \eta \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v . ~$

$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \boldsymbol{\theta}$ òv．Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\varepsilon$ êp $\chi o \mu \alpha ı$（temporal）．

$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \rho \omega \mu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v o v$ ．Prf pass ptc masc acc sg $\sigma \alpha \rho o ́ \omega$ ．Complement in an object－complement double accusative construction（see 2：12 on $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \gamma \alpha v \omega \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v o v)$ ．The accusative direct object is an implied tòv oĩkóv．
$\kappa \varepsilon \kappa о \sigma \mu \eta \mu \varepsilon ̇ v o v . ~ P r f ~ p a s s ~ p t c ~ m a s c ~ a c c ~ s g ~ к о \sigma \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ ．Complement in an object－complement double accusative construction（see 2：12
on $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \gamma \alpha v \omega \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v o v)$. The accusative direct object is an implied tòv oĩkóv. The verb here could either refer to the house being tidy or nicely decorated (see BDAG, 560). Its use with $\sigma \alpha \rho o ́ \omega$ favors the former. The ambiguity could be maintained by rendering it something like "and looking good."



tóte. Temporal.
порєи́عтat. Pres mid ind 3rd sg порعv́o $\mu \alpha$.
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon ı$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$.
 of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon$.
$\pi о v \eta \rho o ́ \tau \varepsilon \rho a$. Comparative form of tov $\quad$ рós.
غ́avtoṽ. Genitive of comparison.

катоเкєi. Pres act ind 3rd sg катоькย่ $\omega$. Neuter plural subjects characteristically take singular verbs (see Wallace, 399-400), even in cases, as here, where a plural participle has already been used.

үivetaı. Pres mid ind 3rd $\gamma$ ivoual.
$\tau \grave{\alpha}$ हैб $\chi \alpha \tau \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\gamma$ ivetal. Lit. "the last (things)."
 ence.

रeípova. Predicate adjective.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \boldsymbol{\omega} \nu$. Genitive of comparison.

 $\sigma \varepsilon$ каì $\mu \alpha \sigma \tau 0 \grave{\text { oüৎ }} \mathfrak{\varepsilon} \theta \dot{\eta} \lambda \alpha \sigma \alpha \varsigma$.
'Eүモ́veto. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual. See 1:8 on'Eүéveto.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \iota v$. Pres act inf $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Used with $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\omega}$ to denote contemporaneous time (see also $1: 8$ on iعpatcúعıv). When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81).
av̉tòv. Accusative subject of $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon ı v$.
$\tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \iota v$. The antecedent is all that Jesus has said in verses 17-26 (Bovon, 2:187)
ė $\boldsymbol{\pi} \dot{\alpha} \rho a \sigma \dot{\alpha}$. Aor act ptc fem nom sg ėraip (attendant circumstance). On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\dot{\eta}$ ס $\dot{\varepsilon} \eta \sigma i$.
$\tau ı \varsigma . . . \gamma \nu v \grave{\eta}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon v$. The discontinuous NP may lend prominence to the woman's statement. A number of scribes have attempted to smooth out the syntax of the $\mathrm{NA}^{27 /}$ UBS ${ }^{4}$ (found in $P^{75} \boldsymbol{\aleph} \mathrm{~B} \mathrm{~L}$ ) by changing the word order to غ̇nd́a $\alpha \sigma \alpha$ $\tau \iota \varsigma ~ \gamma u v \eta ̀ ~ \varphi \omega v \eta ̀ v$ غ̇к 兀oṽ őx
 ő $\chi \lambda$ ov $\varphi \omega v \eta ̀ v\left(K f^{1} 579\right.$ al).
$\varphi \omega v \grave{\nu} v$. Accusative direct object of ह̇ $\pi \alpha \dot{\rho} \rho a \sigma \alpha \dot{ }$.
غ̇к тoṽ őर $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{\lambda o v}$. Partitive.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.

Maкарía. Predicate adjective in a verbless equative clause.
 clause. Both nouns represent synecdoches (see 1:46 on $\dot{\eta} \psi v \chi \dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{ov}$ ) for "mother" (cf. the NIV's "Blessed is the mother who gave you birth and nursed you").
$\dot{\eta} \beta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \sigma \tau \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \sigma \dot{\alpha}$. Aor act ptc fem nom sg $\beta \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\zeta} \omega$ (attributive). On the second accent, see $1: 13$ on $\dot{\eta} \delta \varepsilon ́ \eta \sigma i \varsigma$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Accusative direct object of $\beta a \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \alpha \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha}$.
oüc. Accusative direct object of $\grave{\varepsilon} \theta \dot{\eta} \lambda \alpha \sigma \alpha c$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \theta \dot{\eta} \lambda \alpha \sigma \alpha c$. Aor act ind $2 \mathrm{nd} \operatorname{sg} \theta \eta \lambda \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$.

##  тои̃ $\theta \varepsilon \frac{1}{~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \varphi u \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma . ~}$

aủtòc. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon v$. The use of the explicit fronted subject pronoun with $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ helps set up the contrast between Jesus' words and the statement of the woman in verse 27.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
Mevoṽv. A particle that is "used especially in answers, to emphasize or correct" (BDAG, 630).
$\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \rho \boldsymbol{o}$. . Predicate adjective in a verbless equative clause.
oí ákov́ovtec. Pres act ptc masc nom pl d̉кov́ $\omega$ (substantival).
Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
тòv $\boldsymbol{\lambda o ́} \mathbf{\gamma o v}$. Accusative direct object of ảkov́ovteৎ.
тoṽ $\theta$ عoũ. Genitive of source or subjective genitive (cf. 5:1).
¢u入áббovtec. Pres act ptc masc nom $\mathrm{pl} \varphi \cup \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega$ (substantival). Lit. "guard (it)." The verb $\varphi \cup \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega$, used in this manner, may carry more of a nuance of "careful obedience" than $\tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.

## Luke 11:29-32

${ }^{29}$ As the crowds were increasingly gathering, he began speaking: "This generation is a wicked generation! It seeks a sign, but a sign will not be given to it except the sign of Jonah. ${ }^{30}$ For just as Jonah was a sign for the Ninevites, so also the Son of Man will be for this generation. ${ }^{31}$ The Queen of the South will rise at the judgment with the men of this generation and will condemn them, because she came from the ends of the earth to hear the wisdom of Solomon, and something greater than Solomon is here! ${ }^{32}$ The men of Nineveh will come back to life with this generation at the judgment and will condemn it, because they repented at the preaching of Jonah, and something greater than Jonah is here!"

##   

 $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \alpha \theta \rho o \iota \zeta о \mu \dot{v} \nu \omega v$. Pres mid ptc gen masc pl $̇ \pi \alpha \theta \rho o i \zeta \omega$. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ ovev́ovtoc), temporal. Only here in the NT: "to gather together in addition or besides" (BDAG, 356).
$\eta ้ \rho \xi \alpha \tau 0$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg ä $\rho \chi \omega$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon เ v$. Pres act inf $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (complementary).

$\gamma_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \varepsilon \alpha$ à $\pi \mathbf{o v} \eta \rho \dot{\alpha}$. Predicate nominative.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg eiji. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عiцı.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\mu \varepsilon i ̃ o v . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~} \emptyset \eta \tau \varepsilon \tilde{\text { Ĩ. }}$
$\zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon i ̃ . ~ P r e s ~ a c t ~ i n d ~ 3 r d ~ s g ~ \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon ่ \omega . ~$
б $\eta \mu \varepsilon \tilde{0} \boldsymbol{o v}$. Nominative subject of $\delta$ o $\theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$.
$\delta \mathbf{\delta} \theta \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg $\delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{~L}$.
av่̉ñ. Dative indirect object of $\delta$ oӨŋ́ $\sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$.
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\imath} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathfrak{\eta}$. See 5:21.
тò $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} \boldsymbol{o v}$. Nominative subject of an implied $\delta 0 \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \tau \alpha$.
'I $\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{a}$. Epexegetical genitive.



каӨ்̀s . . . oṽт $\boldsymbol{\text { c. }}$. "Just as . . . so also."
$\gamma$ à $\rho$. Explanatory (see also 1:15).
غ̇үと́veto. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual.
'I $\omega v a ̃ \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ غ̇ү́veto.
тoĩc Nıvevítaıc. Dative of advantage.
бๆцєiov. Predicate nominative.
हैбтаı. Fut ind 3rd sg عiuí.
кaì. Ascensive.
ó viòs. Nominative subject of an implied हैбтaı. See 5:24 on ó viòs тои̃ ảv $\theta \rho \dot{\text { ® }}$ tou.

тoṽ $\mathfrak{\alpha} v \theta \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v}$. Genitive of relationship.





$\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda ı \sigma \sigma \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \not \varepsilon \varepsilon \rho \theta \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \alpha u$.
vótov. Genitive of subordination or source.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \rho \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{c}$. Fut mid ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ ย $\gamma \dot{\operatorname{cin}} \omega$. Although the verb could be either middle or passive ("will be raised"; see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction), the former is more likely here given the parallel use of the middle àvaбтinбovтal in the next verse. Some scholars (e.g., Marshall, 486; cf. Carson 1984, 297) follow Jeremias (1965,
 and $\dot{\alpha} v i \sigma \tau \eta \mu \iota \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \eta ̃ \pi ~ \kappa \rho i \sigma \varepsilon \iota \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ̀(v .32)$ as equivalent to the Hebrew meaning "to go with someone (to court, either to accuse him or to bear witness against him)." The use of both verbs with reference to a future judgment of "this generation," however, serves as strong contextual evidence for $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon i \rho \omega$ and $\dot{\alpha} v i \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ in the sense of rising from the dead (so, e.g., France, 492, n. 17; Nolland, 2:512; Keener 1999, 368; Davies and Allison, 358).
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \underline{n} \kappa \rho i \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Temporal, i.e., on the Day of Judgment.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ̀ \tau \tilde{\omega} v \alpha \mathfrak{\alpha} v \delta \rho \tilde{\omega} v$. Association.
 катакрıvعĩ. Fut act ind 3rd sg катакрivш.
av̉тov́c. Accusative direct object of катакрıvعĩ.
ötı. Introduces a causal clause.
$\tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg ép $\quad$ о $\mu \alpha \mathrm{l}$.
غ̇к $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \omega v$. Source.
$\tau \tilde{\varsigma} \varsigma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \varsigma$. Partitive genitive.
àкои̃бaı. Aor act inf àкои́ (purpose).
т $̀ v \boldsymbol{\sigma o \varphi i a v}$. Accusative direct object of àkoṽ $\sigma \alpha ı$.
इo入ouñvoc. Subjective genitive.
ídov̀. See 1:20.
$\pi \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} \mathbf{o v}$. The comparative adjective is used substantivally as the nominative subject of a verbless equative clause. There has been extensive debate regarding why Luke uses the neuter rather than masculine form here, with many commentators rejecting the view that the neuter could refer directly to Jesus. Some, e.g., Bock (2:1099) and Marshall (486), suggest a reference to Jesus' message. Caragounis, however, conclusively demonstrates that using the neuter in this manner is consistent with Greek usage across the centuries. Following Kühner-Gerth, he (236) notes that the neuter can indeed be used "when the emphasis is not on the person as such, but on qualities or characteristics ... that the person in question also possesses." He goes on to add, however, that in some cases, as here, "direct reference to oneself by means of the neuter softens the staggering claim made in the assertion" (238).
$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{0} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v o c}$. Genitive of comparison. The possibility that $\Sigma \mathrm{o} \lambda \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \tilde{\omega} \mathrm{vo}$, is once again a subjective genitive ("something greater than Solomon's teaching") is highly unlikely following a comparison term (see Caragounis, 239).
$\tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Here, the locative adverb serves as the predicate of a verbless equative clause.

11:32 ävס


$\alpha \ddot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\rho} \varsigma$ Nıvevĩtaı. Lit. "the Ninevite men."
$\alpha{ }^{\alpha} v \boldsymbol{\delta} \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\alpha \mathfrak{\alpha} v a \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma 0 v \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$.
Nıvevĩtaı. Nominative in apposition to a̋v $\delta \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$.
$\dot{\alpha} v a \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \boldsymbol{v} \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Fut mid ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \dot{\alpha} v i \sigma \tau \eta \mu \mathrm{I}$. On the meaning of this verb, see verse 31 on $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \rho \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$.

غ̇v $\tau \mathfrak{1}$ крíceı. Temporal, i.e., on the Day of Judgment.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ̀ \tau \tilde{\Omega} \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \tilde{a} \varsigma \tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta \varsigma$. Association.
катакрเvoṽбıv. Fut act ind 3rd pl катакрiv..
av̉兀ŋ่v. Accusative direct object of катакрıvoṽбıv.
ö tı. Introduces a causal clause.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon v o ́ \eta \sigma \alpha v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon ́ \omega$.
 cumstance that led to the repentance: "in light of" (cf. BDAG, 291.10.a).
'I $\boldsymbol{\omega} v \tilde{a}$. Subjective genitive.
ídoù. See 1:20.
$\pi \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} \mathbf{o v}$. Comparative adjective used substantivally. See also verse 31.
'I $\omega v \tilde{\alpha}$. Genitive of comparison.
$\tilde{\omega}^{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \delta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. See verse 31 .

## Luke 11:33-36

${ }^{33}{ }^{3} \mathrm{No}$ one lights a lamp and then puts it in a hidden place [or under a bushel]. Instead, (people put it) on a lampstand in order that those who enter may benefit from the light. ${ }^{34}$ Your eye is the lamp of (your) body. When your eye is healthy, your whole body is also full of light; but when it is sick, your body is also in the dark. ${ }^{35}$ Watch out, then, that the 'light' that is in you is not darkness. ${ }^{36}$ So then, if your whole body is full of light, not having any part in the dark, it will be completely full of light, like when a lamp shines its light on you."

 $\beta \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \omega \sigma \tau$.

Oúסعic. Nominative subject of tiӨŋбıv. The fronting of the subject helps heighten the tone of absurdity in the statement.
$\lambda u ́ \chi v o v$. Accusative direct object of ä $\psi a c$.

عíc кри́л $\tau \eta v$. Locative. Or, "in a cellar."
$\tau i \theta \eta \sigma \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg ti $\theta \eta \mu$.
[oúdè ú ù̀ tòv $\mu \mathbf{\mu} \delta \mathbf{\delta} \mathbf{o v}$ ]. This phrase is found in many important witnesses ( $\mathcal{N} \mathrm{B} \mathrm{BCDW} \Theta \Psi f^{13} 33 刃 p m$ ), but may have been added to harmonize the text with Matt 5:15 (it is omitted by $\mathrm{P}^{45} \mathrm{P}^{75} \mathrm{~L} \Gamma \Xi$ $070 f^{1} \mathrm{pc}$ ). Several modern translations (NRSV, REB, TOB) follow the shorter reading (Omanson, 134).
útò tòv $\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{o ́ \delta t o v . ~ L o c a t i v e . ~}$

îva. Introduces a purpose clause.
oi $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon i \sigma \pi о р \varepsilon v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o t . ~ P r e s ~ m i d ~ p t c ~ m a s c ~ n o m ~ p l ~ \varepsilon i ́ \sigma \pi о р \varepsilon v ́ o ~} \mu \alpha \downarrow$ (substantival). Nominative subject of $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega \sigma \iota v$.

тò $\varphi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega \sigma เ v$.
$\beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega \sigma \boldsymbol{v}$. Pres act subj 3rd pl $\beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega$. Subjunctive with ïva. Lit. "see the light."



ò $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{u} \chi \mathbf{v o c s .}$. Predicate nominative (see below).
тoṽ $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{c}$. Possessive genitive. On the second accent, see 1:13


ह̇бтıv. Pres act ind 3rd sg عiцi. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عíp.
$\boldsymbol{o} \mathbf{o} \varphi \boldsymbol{\varphi} \theta \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varphi}$. With the article and possessive pronoun, this should probably be viewed as the nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ह̇бтıv.
oov. Possessive genitive.
ö $\tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{v}$. Temporal. This could be rendered either "when" or "whenever" here (see 6:22), though the point seems to be that when X is true, Y is also true.
ó ò $\varphi \theta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{o} \boldsymbol{c}$. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\eta}$.
oov. Possessive genitive.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda o v ̃ \varsigma . ~ P r e d i c a t e ~ a d j e c t i v e . ~ H e r e, ~ l i k e l y ~ " p e r t a i n i n g ~ t o ~ b e i n g ~$ healthy, with the implication of sound, proper functioning" (LN 23.132).

กñ. Pres act subj 3rd sg عiluí. Subjunctive with ötav.
ö $\bar{\lambda} \mathbf{v} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \mathbf{o} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tilde{\omega} \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. On the second accent, see $1: 13$ on $\eta$ ŋ $\delta \dot{\eta} \eta \sigma i \varsigma$.
oov. Possessive genitive.
$\varphi \omega \tau \varepsilon เ v o ́ v$. Predicate adjective.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg عi $\mu \mathrm{i}$. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عíp.

غ̇ $\pi$ àv. Temporal. Like ö ö $\alpha v$, this term refers to "an indefinite point or points of time, which may be roughly simultaneous to or overlap with another point of time" (LN 67.31). It is used in a sentence that contrasts with a preceding ötav clause both here and in verse 22 . пovŋןòs. Predicate adjective.
ñ̃. Pres act subj 3rd sg عiuí. Subjunctive with غ̇rà̀v. The implied subject is ó ò $\varphi \theta a \lambda \mu$ óc.

тò $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \mu \dot{\alpha}$. Nominative subject of an implied $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס ह́ $ך \sigma i c$.

бov. Possessive genitive.
бкотєıvóv. Predicate adjective.

## 

бко́ $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Pres act impv 2nd sg бколє́ $\omega$.
oṽv. Inferential.
$\mu \grave{\eta}$. McKay (142) notes that "In classical Greek $\mu \dot{\eta}$ attached to a statement of fact or intention, positive or negative, had the effect of something like perhaps, making it an apprehensive statement" (cf. Plummer, 309; Marshall, 489; Robertson, 1045). He later notes that "When the fear is that something actually is or was so, the indicative is found with $\mu$ ', as in independent apprehensive statements" (142). The idea here seems to be: "Watch out, then, or else the 'light' in you may turn out to be darkness!"
$\tau$ ò $\varphi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau i v$.
тò $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v$ ooi. The article functions as an adjectivizer, changing the PP into an attributive adjective.
ėv $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{o l}$. Locative.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma \kappa} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{c}$. Predicate adjective.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau i v$. Pres act ind 3 rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$. On the retention of the accent, see 1:36 on éбтìv.



$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathbf{i}$. Introduces the protasis of a first class condition.
oṽv. Inferential.
đò $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$. . . ö入ov. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\dot{\eta} \delta \varepsilon ́ \eta \sigma i \varsigma$.
oov. Possessive genitive.
$\varphi \omega \tau \varepsilon เ \nu o ́ v$. Predicate adjective.
é $\chi o v$. Pres act ptc neut nom sg ë $\chi \omega$. The participle, which is used to flesh out the notion of $\varphi \omega \tau \varepsilon I v o v$, is best viewed as a predicate participle in apposition to $\varphi \omega \tau \varepsilon เ v o ́ v$.
$\mu \varepsilon ́ \rho о \varsigma ~ \tau ı ~ \sigma к о \tau \varepsilon เ v o ́ v . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~ e ́ \chi o v . ~$
ह̋бтаı. Fut ind 3rd sg عiцui.
$\varphi \omega \tau \varepsilon เ v o ̀ v . ~ P r e d i c a t e ~ a d j e c t i v e . ~$
ö $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{o v}$. Nominative subject of हैб $\tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$. Lit. "the whole will be full of light."
$\omega_{\mathbf{\omega}} \mathbf{c}$. Comparative.
ötav. On the translation, see 6:22.
$\dot{\mathbf{o}} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{v} \boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{v o c}$. Nominative subject of $\varphi \omega \tau i \zeta, \underline{\eta}$.
$\tau \tilde{\eta}$ à $\sigma \tau \rho \boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \tilde{\eta}$. Dative of instrument. Lit. "when a lamp illuminates you with its rays."
$\varphi \omega \tau i \zeta \eta$. Pres act subj 3 rd sg $\varphi \omega \tau i \zeta \omega$. Subjunctive with ötav.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Accusative direct object of $\varphi \omega \tau i \zeta!$ n.

## Luke 11:37-54

${ }^{37}$ Now, as he spoke, a Pharisee asked him to eat with him. So he went in and sat down to eat. ${ }^{38}$ Then, the Pharisee, when he saw (it), was shocked that he did not wash first before the meal. ${ }^{39}$ So the Lord said to him. "As it is, you Pharisees clean the outside of the cup and the dish, but the inside of you is full of violent greed and wickedness. ${ }^{40}$ Foolish men! Didn't the one who made the outside also make the inside? ${ }^{41}$ Nevertheless, make the things inside (of you your) alms and all things will be clean for you!"
${ }^{42 \text { " }}$ Instead, woe to you Pharisees, because you tithe mint and rue and everything you grow, but you bypass justice and love for God! It was necessary to do these things and not avoid the others. ${ }^{43} \mathrm{Woe}$
to you Pharisees, because you love the best seats in the synagogues and being greeted in the marketplaces! ${ }^{44}$ Woe to you, because you are like unmarked graves, and the people who walk over (them) do not know (it)!"
${ }^{45}$ Then, one of the lawyers responded and said to him, "Teacher, by saying these things you are insulting us as well." ${ }^{66}$ So he said, "Woe to you lawyers as well, because you load people with burdens that are hard to bear, but you yourselves won't even touch those burdens with one of your fingers! ${ }^{47}$ Woe to you, because you build the tombs of the prophets! Your ancestors (are the ones who) killed them! ${ }^{48}$ So then, you are witnesses and you approve of what your ancestors did, because they killed them, but you build (their tombs)! ${ }^{49}$ For this reason, in fact, the wisdom of God said, 'I will send them prophets and apostles, and they will kill and persecute (some) of them,' ${ }^{50}$ with the result that the blood of all the prophets, which was poured out from the foundation of the world, will be exacted from this generation, ${ }^{51}$ from the blood of Abel until the blood of Zechariah who died between the altar and the temple. Yes, I tell you, it will be exacted from this generation! ${ }^{52}$ Woe to you lawyers, because you take away the key to knowledge. You yourselves do not enter and you hinder those who are entering."
${ }^{53}$ And when he had left there, the scribes and the Pharisees began to be very hostile and to lecture him about many things, ${ }^{54}$ lying in wait for him to catch him in what he said.

##  

$\lambda \alpha \lambda \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha 1$. Aor act inf $\lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. Used with $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\omega}$ to denote contemporaneous time (see also 1:8 on iepatcúsiv). When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81). On the use of the aorist infinitive, see 3:21 on $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} v a u$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \boldsymbol{\omega} \tau \tilde{a}$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \omega \tau \dot{\alpha} \omega$. Kwong (112) notes that this is the final example of Luke using an imperfective verb to introduce an indirect speech (see further 7:36 on 'H$\dagger \omega \dot{\omega} \tau \alpha$ ) and argues that this is combined with a marked word order: the complement (aủtòv) precedes the subject (Фарıбаĩo؟). The word order, however, is actually the unmarked order for non-subject pronouns (see 1:2 on $\dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i} v$ ). On the significance of the historical present, see 7:40 on $\varphi \eta \sigma i v$.
av̉tòv. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ ह̀p $\omega \tau \tilde{a}$. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu i \pi v$.

Фарıбаĩoc. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \omega \tau \tilde{a}$.
ö $\pi \omega \varsigma$. Introduces indirect discourse (contra Klein, 429, n. 34, who takes it as purpose). Here, as in 7:3 and 10:2, ö $\pi \omega \varsigma$ is used in place of the more common îva (McKay, 116-17; BDAG, 718.2.b; cf. 7:36 and 8:31 on ǐva; see also 2:35 on ö $\pi \omega \varsigma$ ).
$\dot{\alpha} \rho เ \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \eta$. Aor act subj 3rd sg àpıotá $\omega$. Subjunctive with ö $\boldsymbol{\pi} \omega \varsigma$. $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}$ aủt $\mathfrak{\omega}$. Association.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\theta} \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \varepsilon \dot{\rho} \rho \chi o \mu a \mathrm{a}$ (attendant circumstance or temporal).
$\dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon ̇ \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg ảvarintcu.
 $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o v ̃ ~ a ̉ \rho i ́ \sigma \tau o v . ~$
ó . . . Фapıбaĩoc. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ह̀av́ $\mu \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$.
ídòv. Aor act ptc masc nom sg ó óá $\omega$ (temporal).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \theta a \dot{v} \mu \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3 rd sg $\theta \alpha u \mu \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$.
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces the clausal complement of $\dot{\varepsilon} \theta \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$. The complement clause could be viewed as either indirect discourse with a verb of cognition or causal (see McKay, 104).
$\pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau \mathbf{\tau} v$. Adverbial accusative.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \beta a \pi \tau i \sigma \theta \eta$. Aor pass ind 3 rd sg $\beta a \pi \tau i \zeta \omega$. Wallace (441) lists this as an example of a "permissive" passive: "he did not allow himself to be washed." It is not clear, though, that someone else would do the washing (cf. Plummer, 309). The idea is likely similar to saying, "his hands were not washed first." Two scribes ( $\mathfrak{P}^{45} 700$ ) smooth the text by using the middle $\dot{\beta} \beta a \pi t i \sigma \alpha \tau o$.
$\pi \rho$ ò тoṽ ảpíqтov. Temporal.

 $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\gamma} \mu \varepsilon \iota \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \alpha \gamma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ каі̀ $\pi о \nu \eta \rho i \alpha c$.
$\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{\text { on }} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \dot{\rho} \rho \boldsymbol{\iota}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon v$. $\pi \rho$ òs av̉tóv. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho$ òs aủtòv).
Nũv. In this instance the adverb is a temporal marker with a focus
not so much on the present time as it is on the situation pertaining at the moment (BDAG, 681.2).

oi Фapıбaĩot. Nominative in apposition to ú $\mu \varepsilon i ॅ$.
тò हैб $\omega \theta \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$. The article functions as a nominalizer (see 1:48 on à $\pi$ ò $\tau 0 \tilde{v} v \tilde{v} v)$, changing the adverb $\varepsilon$ है $\xi \omega \theta \varepsilon v$ into the accusative direct object of каӨарі гєє.

тои̃ лотпрiov каì тoṽ ліvакос. Partitive genitive.
ка $\theta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\zeta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act ind $2 \mathrm{nd} \mathrm{pl} \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho i \zeta \omega$.
тò $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sigma \omega \theta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v}$. The article functions as a nominalizer (see 1:48 on à $\pi$ ò $\tau 0 \tilde{v} v \tilde{v} v$ ), changing the adverb $\varepsilon$ है $\sigma \omega \theta \varepsilon v$ into the nominative subject of $\gamma \varepsilon \dot{\mu} \mu \varepsilon$.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Possessive genitive.
$\gamma \varepsilon ́ \mu \varepsilon เ$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \omega$.
 denotes "a state of strong desire to gain things and, if necessary, by violent means" (LN 25.24).
 $\sigma \varepsilon v ;$
äppoves. Vocative.
ov̉ $\chi$. Introduces a question that expects an affirmative answer.
 Nominative subject of $̇$ ह̇oi $\eta \sigma \varepsilon v$.

тò $\mathfrak{z} \xi \omega \theta \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$. The article functions as a nominalizer (see 1:48 on à $\pi$ ò $\tau 0 \tilde{v} v \tilde{v} v$ ), changing the adverb $\varepsilon$ है $\xi \omega \theta \varepsilon v$ into the accusative direct object of toıŋ́бац.

кai. Ascensive.
тò $\begin{gathered} \\ \sigma \\ \omega\end{gathered} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v}$. The neuter nominative singular article functions as a
 into the accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ घ̇пoí $\sigma \varepsilon v$.

 ข่ $\mu \tau ข$ モ̇бтเข.
$\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$. Adversative.

тà ẻvóv $\tau \alpha$. Pres act ptc neut acc pl ěvéut (substantival). Accusative direct object of $\delta$ ót $\varepsilon$.
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta} \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act impv 2nd $\mathrm{pl} \delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \eta \mu \mathbf{\sigma} \dot{v} v \eta$. Structurally, this should probably be viewed as a complement in an object-complement double accusative construction: lit. "make the inside things alms." More commonly, we find the verb $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$ used in a causative sense with an infinitival complement: "cause the inside things (to be) alms" (cf. Acts 10:40; 13:35).
ídov̀. See 1:20.
$\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$.
$\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}$. Predicate adjective.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative of advantage.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ ípi. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on $\varepsilon i \mu$. Neuter plural subjects characteristically take singular verbs (see Wallace, 399-400). The future tense in the translation (lit. "all things are clean for you") is driven by the context: This clause represents something that will follow obedience to the preceding command (but see also 3:9 on غ̇кко́лтєтаı).


 $\mu \eta ̀ \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon i ̃ v a ı$.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. The conjunction sets up a sharp contrast between the blessing that could be theirs (v. 42) and the woe that will be theirs instead (see also 1:60).
ov̉aì. This interjection does not represent a curse (Bovon, 2:55), but rather introduces "an expression of pity for those who stand under divine judgment" (Marshall, 255).
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative of disadvantage.
тоі̃я Фapıбaioıs. Dative in apposition to $\mathfrak{v} \mu \mathrm{i} v$.
ötı. Introduces a causal clause.
à $\pi \mathbf{o \delta \varepsilon к а т о и ̃ \tau \varepsilon . ~ P r e s ~ a c t ~ i n d ~ 2 n d ~ p l ~ a ̀ ~} \pi$ обєкатó $\omega$.
 direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \kappa \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau о \tilde{\tau} \tau \varepsilon$. Jesus refers to two specific herbs,
 used for seasoning"; LN 3.22), before broadening the reference to
$\pi \tilde{\alpha} v \lambda \dot{\alpha} \chi \alpha v o v$, with $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \chi \alpha v o v$ being a more general term denoting ＂any one of the smaller plants cultivated in a garden，for example， herbs and vegetables＂（LN 3．29）．
$\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ．Pres mid ind 2nd pl $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi о \mu \alpha$ ．Here，＂to ignore someth．in the interest of other matters＂（BDAG，776．4）．
 $\varepsilon ́ \rho \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ．Used with $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \rho \mu \alpha$ in this context，крiбıৎ means， ＂administration of what is right and fair＂（BDAG，569．3）．

тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ．Objective genitive，modifying т $̀ v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\gamma} \pi \eta \eta$（so Wallace， 118）．
$\tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha$ ．Accusative direct object of $\pi$ orñ $\sigma \alpha \mathrm{L}$ ．
ह̋ס $\delta \iota$ ．Impf act ind 3rd sg $\delta \varepsilon \tilde{i}$（impersonal）．
$\pi \mathbf{\pi} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \alpha \mathbf{I}$ ．Aor act inf $\pi$ ot $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \omega$（complementary；see also 2：49 on عĩvaí）．

кảкะĩva．Accusative direct object of tapeĩval．A shortened form （crasis）of каì غ̇кะĩva．Lit．＂and those things．＂
$\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \tilde{v} v a 1$ ．Aor act inf $\pi \alpha \rho i \eta \mu$（complementary；see also 2：49 on عĩvai＇）．


oủaì．See verse 42.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$ ．Dative of disadvantage．
то⿱亠⿻⿰丨丨八夊么 Фapıбaiots．Dative in apposition to ט́ $\mu \mathrm{i} v$.
ötı．Introduces a causal clause．
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \tilde{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon$ ．Pres act ind 2nd pl $\alpha \not \gamma a \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ ．
$\tau \grave{v} \boldsymbol{\pi \rho \omega \tau о к а \Theta \varepsilon \delta \rho i ́ a v . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~} \alpha \mathfrak{\alpha} \gamma a \pi \tilde{a} \tau \varepsilon$ ．
غ̇v $\tau \alpha i ̃ \varsigma ~ \sigma v v a \gamma \omega \gamma \alpha i ̃ c$. Locative．
тov̀¢ $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \mu \mathbf{v} \mathbf{c}$ ．Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \gamma a \pi \tilde{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon$ ．Lit．＂greet－ ings．＂
èv taĩ̧ ả $\gamma \mathbf{o \rho a i ̃ c . ~ L o c a t i v e . ~}$
 ［oi］$\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \pi \alpha \tau о ⿱ ̃ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ દ ̇ \pi \alpha ́ v \omega ~ o v ̉ \kappa ~ o i ̋ \delta a \sigma เ v . ~$
ov̉aì．See verse 42.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$ ．Dative of disadvantage．
ötı．Introduces a causal clause．
 because it follows a word which has an acute accent on the penult （Smyth \＄187．e）．
$\dot{\omega}$ ．Comparative conjunction．
$\tau \alpha ̀ ~ \mu \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon \pi ̃ \alpha ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \alpha ̉ \delta \eta \lambda \alpha$ ．Nominative subject of an implied ह̇бтiv：lit． ＂You are like unmarked graves are．＂

［oi］$\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau 0 \tilde{v} v \tau \varepsilon$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom $\mathrm{pl} \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \tau \alpha \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ ．Attri－ butive with the article，temporal or concessive without．
oí反aбtv．Prf act ind 3rd pl oí反a．
 $\tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \nu$ каì $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma \dot{v} \beta \rho i \zeta \varepsilon ı \varsigma$.


$\tau \iota c$ ．Nominative subject of $\lambda \varepsilon$ है $\gamma \varepsilon$ ．
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v$ vонкк $\boldsymbol{\omega} v$ ．Partitive genitive．
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon$ ．Pres act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．The combination of the extra
 （see 7：40 on $\varphi \eta \sigma^{i}(v)$ highlights what follows as a significant speech （cf．Runge，§7．2．1）．
av̉t $\tilde{0}$ ．Dative indirect object of $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon$ ．
$\Delta ı \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ ．Vocative．
$\tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha$ ．Accusative direct object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \nu$ ．
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$（means）．
каi．Ascensive．
$\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{a} \varsigma$ ．Accusative direct object of $\dot{v} \beta \rho i \zeta \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ ．
$\dot{v} \beta \boldsymbol{\rho i} \zeta \varepsilon ⿺ 𠃊$, ．Pres act ind 2nd sg $\dot{u} \beta \rho i \zeta \omega$ ．



$\dot{\text { on }}$ ．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon v$（see 1：29 on $\dot{\eta}$ ）． $\varepsilon \tilde{i} \pi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
Kaì．Ascensive．
vuinv．Dative of disadvantage．
тоі̃я vонккоі̃я．Dative in apposition to $\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$.
ov̉aí. See verse 42 .
ö $\tau$. Introduces a causal clause.

 two accusative complements: "to load/burden $\tau \iota v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau ı$ someone with someth., more exactly cause someone to carry someth." We are likely dealing with underlying semantics ("You load burdens on people" or "You load people with burdens") that are realized in the syntax with the oblique ("on people" or "with burdens") having advanced to the direct object role (cf. Culy 2009, 96).
 This idiomatic expression can be captured with the English, "You yourselves won't lift a finger to help carry those burdens!"
av่̉oì. Nominative subject of $\pi \rho о \sigma \psi a \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. The fronted intensive pronoun makes the statement very forceful. BDAG (887) notes that the overall hyperbole "accents a lack of concern for the one who is oppressed."
$\dot{\varepsilon} v i$. Dative of instrument.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \delta a \kappa \tau \dot{\jmath} \lambda \omega v$. Partitive genitive.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$. Possessive genitive.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \psi a v ́ \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act ind $2 \mathrm{nd} \mathrm{pl} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \psi a v ́ \omega$. Only here in the NT: "to reach out to touch" (BDAG, 887). The whole expressions appears to be analogous to the English, "You do not lift a finger to help."

тоĩ̧ بортioıs. Dative complement of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \psi \alpha u ́ \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$.



oủaì. See verse 42.<br>$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative of disadvantage.<br>ötı. Introduces a causal clause.<br>оіккобо $\mu \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 2nd pl oỉкобо $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.<br><br>$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. Possessive genitive.<br>oi . . . $\pi \alpha \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$. Nominative subject of à $\tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \varepsilon เ v a v$.<br>$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Genitive of relationship.<br>

av̉tov́c. Accusative direct object of à $\pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \varepsilon เ v a v$.

 боиєі̃тє.
$\mu \alpha \dot{\rho} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon$. Predicate nominative. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס $\varepsilon$ モ́

غ̇б $\sigma \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 2nd pl $\varepsilon i \mu i ́$.

 works of your ancestors."
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \omega v$. Subjective genitive.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$. Genitive of relationship.
ötı. Introduces a causal clause.
aủtoì. Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \tau \varepsilon \imath v a v$. The explicit fronted subject pronoun helps set up the contrast that is being drawn between the two groups.
$\mu \varepsilon ̀ \nu . . . \delta \dot{\delta}$. This construction sets up a contrast (see also 3:16 on $\mu \varepsilon ̀ v . . . \delta \grave{\varepsilon})$.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ v a v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl àmoктєiv $\omega$.
aủtov̀c. Accusative direct object of ả兀ék $\tau \varepsilon เ v a v$.

оїкобонєĩчع. Pres act ind 2nd pl оíкобо $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. Most manuscripts (A C W $\Theta \Psi 33 \mathfrak{m p m}$ ) supply the implied direct object: aủt $\omega v \tau \alpha$


 $\delta \mathbf{1 \omega}$ Kovaıv,

Sıà̀ toṽto. Cause. See also 12:22 on סıà toṽto.
каi. Ascensive.
 тoũ $\theta$ عoũ may represent personification or less likely refer to an unknown text that is being cited.

тoṽ $\theta$ عoũ. Subjective genitive.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.

cisc aủtoùc. Locative.
 $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{\omega}$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{u} \tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega} v$. Partitive. This PP is sometimes used in place of an NP: $\tau \iota \varsigma$ ह̇к $\tau \iota v \omega \nu$ (cf. 22:58). Here, the partitive expression serves as the direct object of à $\pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon v o \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \iota v$ кaì $\delta \iota \omega \mathfrak{\xi}$ ovбıv.

$\delta \boldsymbol{\iota} \dot{\xi} \mathbf{\xi} \boldsymbol{v o \tau} \boldsymbol{v}$. Fut act ind 3rd pl $\delta \iota \omega \kappa \omega$.


îva. Introduces a result clause.
 Here, the verb means "to look for in expectation of fixing blame" (BDAG, 302.4), "to charge someone with a crime or offense" (LN 56.9), or "demand an account of" (LSJ, 506.II).

тò aĩ $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ a. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \zeta \eta \tau \eta \theta \tilde{\eta}$. Metonymy (see 1:17 on карঠías) for "death."
$\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o \varphi \eta \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$. Possessive genitive.
тò $\varepsilon$ モ̇ккє $\chi u \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v o v$. Prf pass ptc neut nom sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \chi \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (attributive, modifying tò aĩ $\mu \alpha$ ).
à $\boldsymbol{\text { ò }} \boldsymbol{\kappa \alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \beta \mathbf{0} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$. Temporal.
кóбuоv. Objective genitive.
à $\pi$ ò т $\check{c} \varsigma \gamma^{\varepsilon v \varepsilon a ̃} \varsigma ~ \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \varsigma . ~ S o u r c e . ~$



 ко́б $\mu$ ои.
"A $\boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$. Possessive genitive.
हैws aïцатос. Temporal.
Zaxapiov. Possessive genitive.
 tive).
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \xi$ v̀ то⿱̃ $\operatorname{\theta v\sigma \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho iov~кaì~\tau oṽ~oỉkov.~Locative.~Lit.~"between~}$ the altar and the house (of God)."
vai．Here，the affirmative particle is used＂in emphatic repetition of one＇s own statement＂（BDAG，665．c）．
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{v} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{v}$ ．See 3：8．
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
$\dot{v} \mu \mathrm{i} v$ ．Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \zeta \eta \tau \eta \theta \eta \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$ ．Fut pass ind 3rd sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \zeta \eta \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ ．On the meaning， see verse 50 ．
à $\pi$ ò $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \gamma^{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon a ̃ \varsigma ~ \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \varsigma . ~ S o u r c e . ~$
11：52 ov̉aì ú $\mu i ̃ \nu$ тоі̃ৎ vo

ov̉à̀．See verse 42.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{v}$ ．Dative of disadvantage．
тоі̃я vоцькоĩs．Dative in apposition to $\dot{\cup} \mu i ̃ v$.
ötı．Introduces a causal clause．
ท̉ $\rho \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon$ ．Aor act ind 2nd pl ailp $\omega$ ．
$\tau \grave{\nu} v \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \varepsilon \tilde{\delta} \delta \alpha$ ．Accusative direct object of $\eta$ グ $\rho a \tau \varepsilon$ ．
$\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ．The reference is to the keys that＂unlock＂or＂give access to＂knowledge．
 sentence builds on the metaphor introduced with $\tau \grave{\eta} v \kappa \varepsilon \varepsilon \tilde{\delta} \delta \alpha$ ．
av̉тoì．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ íaض́ $\lambda \theta a \tau \varepsilon$ ．

 stantival）．Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \omega \lambda$ ú $\sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ．

モ̇к $\omega \lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ．Aor act ind 2nd $\mathrm{pl} \kappa \omega \lambda \dot{v} \omega$ ．

 $\pi \lambda \varepsilon$ เóv $\omega \nu$ ，

$\varepsilon ̇ \xi \varepsilon \lambda$ Өóvtoç．Aor act ptc masc gen sg $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\xi} p \chi o \mu a l$ ．Genitive absolute （see 2：2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvعv́ovtoc），temporal．
av̉тoṽ．Genitive subject of $\grave{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \lambda \theta$ óvtoc．
$\eta \eta^{\eta} \rho \xi \alpha \nu \tau 0$ ．Aor mid ind 3rd pl ä $\rho \chi \omega$ ．
 ท้р $\varsigma$ аขто.

غ̇vغ́xยıv. Pres act inf $\grave{\varepsilon} v \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \omega$ (complementary). The verb means, "to have a grudge against someone," and is here used with $\delta \varepsilon ו v \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$ (lit. "have it in for him terribly"; Fitzmyer, 2:952) in the sense of "to be very hostile" (BDAG, 336.1).
 Only here in the NT. This verb normally means something like "teach by word of mouth, teach by dictation" or "repeat by heart" (LSJ, 220), but is defined by most scholars as something like "to question someone with hostile intent" (LN 33.183) or "to question closely, interrogate" (BDAG, 122). Lacking evidence for the commonly proposed sense, it is better to attempt to make sense of the text using the normal sense of the verb. The idea seems to be that the scribes and Pharisees were attempting to correct Jesus on a range of issues drawing on their vast knowledge. In our translation "lecture" is used in the sense of "to rebuke or reprimand at some length."
av̉兀òv. Accusative direct object of à àoбто $\mu \alpha i \zeta \varepsilon เ v$.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \mathbf{i} \pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota o ́ v \omega v$. Reference. The comparative adjective is used for the positive (Marshall, 508).

## 11:54 દ̉vદ

 Only here and in Acts 23:21. This verb generally denotes "lie in wait for," "lay snares for," or "place in ambush" (LSJ, 562). Here, the idea seems to be that the scribes' and Pharisees' aggressive lecturing of Jesus on many topics was designed to elicit responses that would expose problems in his teachings.

 from his mouth."
$\theta \eta \rho \varepsilon \tilde{v} \sigma a i$. Aor act inf $\theta \eta \rho \varepsilon v i \omega$ (purpose). On the second accent, see $1: 13$ on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס $\varepsilon$ そ́ бís.
$\tau \mathbf{\tau}$. Accusative direct object of $\theta \eta \rho \varepsilon$ ṽ $\sigma a i$ i.
èк тоṽ бтó $\mu \alpha \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$. Source.
aủtoṽ. Possessive genitive.

## Luke 12:1-3

${ }^{1}$ Meanwhile, after thousands of people had gathered, so that they were trampling one another, he began to say to his disciples first, "Guard yourselves from the leaven of the Pharisees, which is hypocrisy. ${ }^{2}$ Nothing has been concealed that will not be revealed, and (nothing is) secret that will not be made known; 3because whatever you have said in the darkness will be heard in the light, and that which you have whispered in inner rooms will be proclaimed from the rooftops."


 $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ Фарıбаі $\omega v$.
' $\mathbf{E v}$ oics. The preposition with a dative plural relative pronoun may be used, as here, to form a temporal expression meaning "meanwhile" (see also Acts 26:12; Culy 1989b, 72-73, 89). On the same construction with a singular relative pronoun, see 5:34.

غ̇ $\pi \iota \sigma v v a \chi \theta \varepsilon \iota \sigma \tilde{\omega} v$. Aor pass ptc fem gen pl ह̇ $\pi \iota \sigma u v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma$. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ ovev́ovtoc), temporal. The voice may be middle (see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction).
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \mu \nu \rho \dot{\alpha} \delta \omega \nu \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{v}$ ő $\chi \lambda 0 v$. Lit. "thousands of the crowd."

тoṽ ő $\chi$ 入ov. Partitive genitive.
$\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \varepsilon$. Introduces a result clause.
$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tilde{v} v$. Pres act inf катататغ́ $\omega$. Used with $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ to indicate result.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda$ ovc. Accusative direct object of kata $\alpha \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} v$.
$\eta{ }_{\eta} \rho \xi \alpha \pi \%$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg ä $\rho \chi \omega$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon เ v$. Pres act inf $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (complementary).
$\pi \rho$ òs tov̀s $\mu \boldsymbol{\alpha} \theta \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau$ àc. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ v) . ~$
av̉兀oṽ. Genitive of relationship.
$\pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau \mathbf{\tau} v$. The adverbial accusative likely modifies $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon เ \nu$ (see Marshall, 511) rather than Пробغ́Хєєє ("above all, beware"; so Klostermann, 133).

Пробє́ $\chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd $\mathrm{pl} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \omega$. Culy and Parsons (103) note that Пробغ́ $\chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ غ́autoĩ̧ is an idiomatic expression (lit.
"take heed to yourselves") that occurs only in Luke/Acts in the NT (also 17:3; $21: 34$; Acts $5: 35 ; 20: 28$ ) but is fairly common in the LXX. At times it is used to respond to an unworthy idea (Gen 24:6) or simply to warn against a particular course of action (Exod 34:12). غ́avtoĩs. Dative complement of Пробغ́रยтє. à $\pi$ ò $\tau \tilde{c} \varsigma$ そú $\mu \eta$ c. Separation.
ท̋rıc. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ह̇бтiv. For more on the so-called indefinite relative pronoun, see 1:20 on oiltıves.

غ̇бтiv. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$. On the retention of the accent, see 1:36 on દ̇бтìv.
ט́то́крıбıя. Predicate nominative.



oủdèv. Nominative subject of $\sigma \cup ү \kappa \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \mu \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v ~ \varepsilon ̇ \sigma \tau i ̀ v . ~$
$\sigma v \gamma \kappa \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \mu \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v$. Prf pass ptc neut nom sg $\sigma \cup \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda u ́ \pi \tau \omega$ (perfect periphrastic). Given the parallel syntax with крטлтòv, this could also be construed as a predicate participle.

غ̇бтìv. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon \dot{\mu} \mu i$. On the retention of the accent, see 1:36 on દ̇бтìv.
ö. Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda v \varphi \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$.

$\kappa \rho v \pi \tau o ̀ v$. Predicate adjective of an implied (ov̉סદ̀v) ह̇бtiv.
ö. Nominative subject of $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \theta \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$.
$\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha u$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \omega$.

 $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \delta \omega \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$.
$\dot{\alpha} v \theta^{\prime} \tilde{\omega} v$. The preposition $\dot{\alpha} v t i$ with a genitive plural relative pronoun forms a causal idiomatic expression (see also 1:20; 19:44; Acts 12:23; 2 Thess 2:10; Culy 1989b, 72-74, 89).
ő $\sigma \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ l' $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon$. The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ö), which as a
 $\theta$ ض́бєтаı.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \underline{1} \boldsymbol{\sigma \kappa o t i a x . ~ L o c a t i v e . ~ T h e ~ s t r u c t u r e ~ o f ~ t h e ~ e n t i r e ~ s a y i n g ~ h e l p s ~}$ make it sound pleasant and easy to remember. The first part of it uses PP plus verb, PP plus verb ( $̇ v \tau \tilde{\pi} \sigma \kappa о \tau i a ̣ ~ \varepsilon i ́ n \alpha \tau \varepsilon, ~ દ ̇ v ~ \tau \tilde{̣} \varphi \omega \tau i ̀$ áкоvбӨウ்бєтat), while the second part uses verb plus PP, verb plus

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act ind 2nd $\mathrm{pl} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
غ̇v $\tau \tilde{\varphi} \varphi \omega \tau i ̀$. Locative.

ö. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \varepsilon$. The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ö), which as a whole
 object of кприх $Ө \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$.

$\pi \rho$ òs tò oũ̃. Locative.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act ind 2nd pl $\lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
غ̇v toĩs тaucioıs. Locative.

$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \grave{\imath} \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \delta \omega \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega v$. Locative.

## Luke 12:4-7

${ }^{4}$ "I tell you, my friends, do not shy away from those who kill the body and afterwards cannot do anything more. ${ }^{5}$ I will show you whom to fear: Fear the one who, after killing, has authority to throw (you) into Gehenna. Yes, I tell you, fear this one!"

6"Are not five sparrows sold for two assaria? And not one of them has been forgotten before God. ${ }^{7}$ Contrary to what you might think, even the hairs of your head have all been numbered! Do not be afraid. You are more valuable than many sparrows."

 тı лоเŋ̃баı.

$\Lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
тоĩs $\varphi$ ìlots. Dative in apposition to ט́ $\mu \mathrm{\imath} v$.
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Genitive of relationship.
 tive). On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
 cause. The idea with $\varphi o \beta \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \mu a \iota$ appears to be turning away from in fear (cf. Plummer, 319).
 stantival).

тò $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$. Accusative direct object of à $\pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ v o ́ v \tau \omega v$.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ̀ \tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha$. Temporal.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \chi \mathbf{o} v \tau \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc gen pl है $\chi \omega$ (substantival). Fitzmyer (2:959) argues that this reflects the classical Greek use of $\begin{gathered} \\ \chi \varepsilon ı v \\ \text { with }\end{gathered}$ an infinitive in the sense of "to be able."
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma$ ó $\tau \varepsilon \rho \dot{v} v \tau \mathbf{\tau}$. Accusative direct object of $\pi$ oıñ $\sigma \alpha \mathrm{l}$. On the second accent on the comparative adjective, see 1:13 on $\dot{\eta}$ ס $\dot{\eta} \eta \sigma i c$.
$\pi \mathbf{\pi} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \alpha 1$. Aor act inf $\pi$ oré $\omega$ (direct object). Lit. "do not have to do something more."

 ن́ $\mu \tau ̃$, тоข̃тоข $\varphi о \beta \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$.

$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\dot{\sim} \pi \sigma \delta \varepsilon i \xi \omega$.
riva. Introduces an indirect question. Accusative direct object of

$\varphi о \beta \eta \theta \tilde{\eta} \tau \varepsilon$. Aor mid subj 2nd pl بоß́̇ouaı (deliberative subjunctive). On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
 "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.

тòv . . . é é ovta. Pres act ptc masc acc sg ě $\chi \omega$ (substantival). Accusative direct object of $\varphi o ß \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{0} \kappa \tau \varepsilon \tilde{v} \boldsymbol{a} \mathrm{a}$. Aor act inf $\dot{\alpha} \pi ం \kappa \tau \varepsilon i v \omega$. Used with $\mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ tò to denote antecedent time, i.e., the event of the main verb follows the event of the infinitive (cf. Wallace, 594). When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81).

$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \beta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tilde{\tau} v$. Aor act inf $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ (epexegetical).

$\boldsymbol{v a i}$. Here, the affirmative particle is used "in emphatic repetition of one's own statement" (BDAG, 665.c).
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \dot{\mathrm{v}} \mu \mathrm{v} \nu$. See 3:8.
$\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
тoṽтov. Accusative direct object of $\varphi o \beta \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$.
$\varphi о \beta \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$. Aor mid impv 2nd pl $\varphi$ o $\beta \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \mu \alpha \mathrm{a}$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.


ov̉xì. The strengthened form of the negativizer ov̉ introduces a question that expects an affirmative answer.
$\pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \varepsilon \sigma \tau \rho 0 v \theta i \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\pi \omega \lambda$ oũv $\tau \alpha u$.
$\pi \omega \lambda \boldsymbol{0} \tilde{v} v \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$. Pres pass ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \pi \omega \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$ dúo. Genitive of price. An $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \dot{\alpha} \rho \stackrel{v}{ }$ is "a Roman copper coin, worth about one-sixteenth of a denarius" (BDAG, 144-45).


ع̌бтıv. Pres act ind 3rd sg عiцui. On the accent, see 6:40 on ع̌бтıv.
 periphrastic).



$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$. The adversative conjunction introduces a clause that runs counter expectation (see also 1:60).

кaì. Ascensive.

$\tau \tilde{\subsetneq} \varsigma \kappa \varepsilon \propto \lambda \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$. Partitive genitive.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Possessive genitive.
$\eta ̉ \rho i \theta \mu \eta \tau \tau a t$. Prf pass ind 3 rd pl $\dot{\alpha} \rho ı \theta \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. The unspecified agent is God. The perfect tense likely intensifies the semantics (cf. Campbell 2007, 207).


$\delta \iota \alpha \varphi \varepsilon ́ \rho \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 2nd pl $\delta \iota a \varphi \varepsilon ́ \rho \omega$. Here, the sense is to "differ to one's advantage fr. someone or someth." (BDAG, 239.4).

## Luke 12:8-12

8"I tell you, everyone who acknowledges me before people, the Son of Man will also acknowledge him before God's angels; ${ }^{\text {b }}$ but the one who denies me before people will be denied before God's angels. ${ }^{10}$ And everyone who says a word against the Son of Man will be forgiven, but the one who blasphemes against the Holy Spirit will not be forgiven. ${ }^{11}$ When they bring you before the synagogues and rulers and authorities, do not worry how or what you will say in defense or about what you will tell (them). ${ }^{12}$ For the Holy Spirit will teach you at that very time what you must say."

 غ̈ $\mu \pi \rho о \sigma \theta \varepsilon \nu \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ à $\gamma \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega \nu \tau$ тои $\theta \varepsilon о \tilde{v}$.
$\Lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\omega}$. . . vinĩv. See 3:8.
$\Lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \tilde{a} \varsigma$ ö $\varsigma \dot{\alpha} v$. The combination of the quantifier that indicates totality and the indefinite relative pronoun makes this statement particularly emphatic. In contrast, McKay (153) views Matthew's $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$ öбтıৎ (10:32) as more emphatic, even though öбтıৎ is often used interchangeably with ö¢ (see $1: 20$ on oïtıve¢). On the rhetorical force of this construction compared to a substantival participial subject, see $12: 9$ on ó . . . d $\rho v \eta \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon v o ́ s$. The whole statement that follows functions as a mitigated exhortation, i.e., a means of softening a direct command to make it more palatable to the reader (cf. Culy 2004, xviii-xx, 14), roughly equivalent to "Acknowledge me before people!"
$\pi \tilde{a} \varsigma$. The substantival nominative adjective, here modified by a relative clause, introduces the topic (see 1:36 on 'E $\lambda \iota \sigma \dot{\alpha} \beta \varepsilon \tau$ ) of what follows and is picked up by the resumptive pronoun aủtẹ.
 indefinite relative construction, see 9:48 on "O¢ દ $\dot{\alpha}$ वेv.
 The spelling here varies between the subjunctive $\left(P^{45} \mathfrak{P}^{75} \boldsymbol{\aleph} \mathrm{~B}^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right.$ Q
 $1424 \mathrm{al})$. This reflects the fact that the two forms were pronounced identically and were increasingly being used interchangeably (see also 7:4 on $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ \xi \eta ; 11: 5$ on $\tilde{\xi} \xi \varepsilon$ I). Similar variation occurs below.
 tive (see John 9:22; Acts 23:8; 24:14; Rom 10:9; 1 John 1:9; 2:23; 4:23; 2 John 1:7; Rev 3:5). Robertson (475, 524, 588) argues that here, and in the parallel passage in Matt 10:32, its use with $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ reflects a literal translation from the Aramaic (or Hebrew), while Plummer (320) states that the expression comes from Syriac.

кaì. Ascensive.
ó viòs тoũ àvӨ $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ ©́tov. See 5:24.
ó viòs. Nominative subject of ó $\mu$ о $\lambda о \boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\sigma} \sigma \varepsilon$.
то⿱̃ $\mathfrak{a} v \theta \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{v}$. Genitive of relationship.
$\dot{\boldsymbol{o}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\jmath} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon$. Fut act ind 3rd sg $\dot{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \omega$. On the text-critical


દ̇v av̉tư. Reference. See also $̇ v v ~ દ ̉ \mu o i ̀ ~ a b o v e . ~$
$\varepsilon \nLeftarrow \mu \pi \rho o \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \tau \tilde{\omega} v \dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega \nu$. Locative.
тoṽ $\theta$ coṽ. Possessive genitive or genitive of relationship.


ó . . . à $\boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v o ́ c}$. Aor mid ptc masc nom sg ảpvéoual (substantival). Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho v \eta \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$. On the second accent, see $1: 13$ on $\dot{\eta} \delta \varepsilon ́ \eta \sigma i \varsigma$. The substantival participle is the "practical equivalent" of the relative in 12:8 (Robertson, 1114; see also v. 12). Culy (2004, 26-27), however, has suggested that where both conditional clauses and substantival participles are used in mitigated exhortations in 1 John, the rhetorical force of the participial construction is more direct or accusatory than the conditional construction. The use of $\pi \tilde{a} \varsigma$ with a relative clause (as in vv. 8 and 10) or attributive participle (as is common in 1 John), on the other hand, appears to be rhetorically the most forceful of the three expressions (Culy 2004, 56). The whole sentence functions as a mitigated exhor-
tation (see 12:8 on $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$ ö $\varsigma$ äv), roughly equivalent to "Don't deny me before people!"
$\mu \varepsilon$. Accusative direct object of ả $\rho v \eta \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon v o ́ \varsigma$.

 argues that "some compounds tended to be used more or less as a merely emphatic variation on the simple verb: a longer word often seems more impressive. In NT Greek it can still be assumed that the writer intended some significance in his choice of a compound verb, but it is not always possible for the modern reader to be certain what that significance is." It is thus possible that $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho v \varepsilon \dot{\sigma} \mu_{\alpha}$ is used rather than $\dot{\alpha} \rho v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} o \mu a l$ to heighten the force of the statement, though it may merely be for stylistic variation.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{o} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \tilde{\omega} v \dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \dot{\gamma} \lambda \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Locative. See also 1:15.
тoṽ $\theta \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v}$. Possessive genitive or genitive of relationship.



$\pi \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$ öc. On the rhetorical force of $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$ modified by a relative clause, see verse 9 on ó . . . áp $\downarrow \eta \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon$ vós.
$\pi \tilde{a} \varsigma$. The substantival nominative adjective, here modified by a relative clause, introduces the topic (see 1:36 on 'E ${ }^{\prime} \iota \sigma \dot{\alpha} \beta \varepsilon \tau$ ) of what follows and is picked up by the resumptive pronoun aủtụ.
ö¢. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ घ่ $\varepsilon$ ĩ.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}$. Fut act ind 3 rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. There does not appear to be any significant semantic difference between this construction with the



عís tòv viòv. Disadvantage (Matt 12:32 uses katá).

тoṽ $\mathfrak{\alpha} v \theta \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v}$. Genitive of relationship.
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha 1$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg $\dot{\alpha} \varphi i \eta \mu$.
av̉t $\tilde{\omega}$. Dative of advantage. Lit. "it will be forgiven for him."
$\tau \tilde{\varphi} \ldots \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha \nu \tau t$. Aor act ptc masc dat sg $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \varepsilon ́ \omega$ (substantival). Dative of advantage. Lit. "it will not be forgiven for the one who blasphemes."

عís $\tau \mathbf{o ̀}$ ä $\gamma \mathbf{\imath} \boldsymbol{\imath} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\pi v \varepsilon v ̃} \mu a$. Disadvantage. $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \alpha \mathrm{t}$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg ả $\varphi$ í $\mu \mathrm{t}$.

 $\varepsilon$ є'л $\boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \varepsilon$.
öт $\boldsymbol{v}$. On the translation, see 6:22.
 ט̀ $\mu \tilde{a} \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon i \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \in \rho \omega \sigma \iota$.

$\mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \mu v \eta \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau \varepsilon}$. Aor act subj 2nd pl $\mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \mu \nu \alpha \dot{\omega} \omega$ (prohibitive subjunctive).
$\pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$. Introduces an indirect question.
$\tau$ i. Introduces an indirect question. Accusative direct object of à $\tau о \lambda о \gamma \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta \varepsilon$.
 subjunctive).
$\tau$ í. Introduces an indirect question. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \ell ँ \pi \eta \tau \varepsilon$.
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \prime \boldsymbol{\prime} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau}$. Aor act subj 2nd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (deliberative subjunctive).
 ย่ายยั๊.

үàp. Causal (see also 1:15).
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta} \delta \dot{\alpha} \xi \varepsilon \mathrm{\varepsilon}$. Fut act ind 3rd sg $\delta \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu a ̃ \varsigma$. On the function of the accusative case, see 11:1 on $\eta \mu \tilde{a} \varsigma$.



व̃ $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\imath} v$. Lit. "what it is necessary to say."
$\ddot{\alpha}$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon i \pi \varepsilon \tilde{\nu} \nu$. The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ö), which as a whole ( $\mathfrak{\alpha}$ $\delta \varepsilon i ̃ \varepsilon i \pi \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} v$ ) is the structural direct object of $\delta t \delta \dot{\alpha} \xi \varepsilon$ (but see $11: 1$ on ט́ $\mu \tilde{a} \varsigma)$.
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ i. Pres act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \operatorname{sg} \delta \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (impersonal).
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\imath} v$. Aor act $\inf \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (complementary; see also $2: 49$ on $\varepsilon \tilde{i} v a i)$.

## Luke 12:13-15

${ }^{13}$ Someone from the crowd said to him, "Teacher, tell my brother to divide the inheritance with me." ${ }^{14}$ But he said to him, "Man, who appointed me judge or arbitrator over you?" ${ }^{15}$ Then he said to them, "Watch out for and keep away from all greed, for even when someone has more than he needs that person's life does not consist of his belongings."

##  

Eĩ̃ev. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$.
$\tau \iota \varsigma$. Nominative subject of Eĩ̃ $\tau$.
غ̇к тoṽ ő $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{o v}$. Partitive.
av̉tẹ̃. Dative indirect object of Eĩ̃ $\varepsilon v$.
$\Delta \mathrm{t} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$. Vocative.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \bar{\varepsilon}$. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$.
$\tau \tilde{\varphi} \tilde{\alpha} \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \tilde{\varphi}$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon i \pi \varepsilon$.
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Genitive of relationship.
$\mu \varepsilon \rho i \sigma a \sigma \theta a t$. Aor mid inf $\mu \varepsilon \rho i \zeta \omega$ (indirect discourse).
$\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ غ̇ $\mu$ ои̃. Association.
$\tau \grave{v} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\rho o v o \mu i \alpha} \boldsymbol{v}$. Accusative direct object of $\mu \varepsilon \rho i \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{l}$.


ó. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$ (see 1:29 on $\dot{\eta}$ ).
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\alpha v ̉ \tau \tilde{\varphi}$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
'Av $\boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\rho} \omega \pi \varepsilon$. Vocative.
тic. Nominative subject of katéбтๆбยv. Introduces a direct question.
$\mu \varepsilon$. Accusative direct object of $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$.

$\kappa \rho \iota \tau \grave{\nu} v \mathfrak{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \grave{\eta} v$. Complement in an object-complement double accusative construction.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \varphi^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \tilde{a} \varsigma$. The PP functions as a "marker of power, authority, control of or over someone or something" (BDAG, 365.9.c).

 $\tau \tilde{v} v \dot{v} \tau \alpha \rho \chi$ óv $\tau \omega \nu$ av่̉ $\tilde{\omega}$.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ́ \varsigma . ~ I n d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~(s e e ~ 1: 13 ~ o n ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ~ a u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
©O $\boldsymbol{\sim} \tilde{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd pl ópá $\omega$.
$\varphi \cup \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$. Pres mid impv 2nd pl $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega$.
 the expression $\varphi \cup \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ a ̀ m o ́ ~ i s ~ c l a s s i c a l ~ a n d ~ o c c u r s ~ e l s e w h e r e ~$
 ... è $\chi o ́ v \tau \omega \nu)$.
ötı. Introduces a causal clause.
 $\tau \omega v$ av่̉ $\tilde{\omega}$. Lit. "not while it abounds to someone is his life from his possessions."
$\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v ่ \varepsilon เ v$. Pres act inf $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v ่ \omega$. Used with $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\omega}$ to denote contemporaneous time (see also 1:8 on iغ $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \alpha \tau \varepsilon v ่ \varepsilon เ v$; for an alternative view, see $1: 21$ on $\chi \rho o v i \zeta \varepsilon ı()$. When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81).
$\tau \iota v i ̀$. Dative of advantage.
$\dot{\eta} \zeta \omega \grave{\eta}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ह́б $\tau \iota$.
av̉тoṽ. Possessive genitive. The antecedent is $\tau \iota v i$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg عíhí. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عiju.
 does not live because of his possessions."
$\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{v} v \dot{v} \boldsymbol{\tau} \alpha \rho \chi \mathbf{o} v \tau \omega v$. Pres act ptc neut gen pl v́ $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \omega$ (substantival). The neuter plural participial form of $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \omega$ is used idiomatically to mean "property, possessions."
aủtụ. Dative of possession.

## Luke 12:16-21

${ }^{16}$ Then he told them a parable, saying, "A certain rich man's farm produced a good harvest. ${ }^{17}$ So he began thinking to himself and saying, 'What should I do, since I do not have a place where I can store my crops?' ${ }^{18}$ Then he said, 'Here is what I will do: I will tear down my barns, and build bigger ones. I will store all the grain and
my goods there, ${ }^{19}$ and I will say to myself, "You have many good things that have been stockpiled for many years (to come). Relax! Eat! Drink! Be happy!"' ${ }^{20}$ But God said to him, 'Fool! This night your life will be demanded from you. Then that which you have prepared, whose will it be?' ${ }^{21}$ So (shall it be for) the one who stores (things) up for himself and is not rich toward God."

## 12:16 Eĩ̃ $\tau v \delta \varepsilon ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta o \lambda \grave{̀} v \pi \rho o ̀ ̧ ~ a v ̉ \tau o u ̀ \varsigma ~ \lambda \varepsilon ́ ~ \gamma \omega v, ~ ' A v \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi o v ~ \tau ı v o ̀ \varsigma ~$ $\pi \lambda o v \sigma i o v ~ \varepsilon u ̉ \varphi o ́ \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ŋ́ $\chi \dot{\omega} \rho \alpha$.

Eĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \mathbf{o} \grave{\eta} v$. Accusative direct object of Eĩ̃ev.
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a u ̉ t o v ̀ \varsigma . ~ I n d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~(s e e ~ 1: 13 ~ o n ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ~ a v ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (manner).

عủழóp $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg ev̉po produce unusually well" (BDAG, 414).
$\dot{\eta} \chi \boldsymbol{\omega} \rho \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \dot{u} \varphi o ́ \rho \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. Here, "land used for farming" (BDAG, 1094.4).

##  тои̃ $\sigma \nu v \alpha \dot{\xi} \omega$ тоѝя карлоv́я $\mu$ оv;


દ̇v غ̇avtẹ̃. Locative.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (attendant circumstance; see 1:24 on $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma \alpha)$.

Ti. Accusative direct object of $\pi$ oıŋ $\sigma \omega$. Introduces a direct question that serves as a clausal complement of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$.
$\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega$. Aor act subj OR Fut act ind 1st sg $\pi o เ \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. The fact that the two forms were often used interchangeably in deliberative questions in the Koine period makes it impossible to say which is intended here (cf. 11:5 on $\varepsilon$ ह゙弓દı).
ötı. Introduces a causal clause.
है $\chi \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\varepsilon$ ě $\chi \omega$.
 will I store my crops?"), as an indirect question, serves as the structural direct object of ${ }^{\wedge} \chi \omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} v \dot{\alpha} \xi \omega$. Fut act ind 1st sg $\sigma u v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma$.

тov̀s карлои́я. Accusative direct object of $\sigma \cup v \alpha \dot{\xi} \xi$.
$\mu \mathrm{v}$. Possessive genitive.

 à $\gamma \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha} \mu \mathrm{ov}$
$\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
Toṽto. Accusative direct object of $\pi$ oוŋ́ $\sigma \omega$. The demonstrative pronoun is cataphoric (see also 10:11 on toṽтo), pointing forward to the following clause. Lit. "I will do this."
$\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Fut act ind 1 st sg $\pi$ ot $\varepsilon \omega$. Although the verb is morphologically ambiguous (cf. 12:17) and could also be an aorist subjunctive, here we are likely dealing with a future tense form given the focus on intention (see 7:4 on $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ \xi!\eta$ ).
$\kappa \boldsymbol{\kappa} \theta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$. Fut act ind 1 st $\operatorname{sg} \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \iota \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\mu \mathbf{\mu}$. Possessive genitive.
$\tau \grave{\alpha} \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{o} \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $\kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{\omega}$.
$\mu \varepsilon$ íovac. The substantival comparative adjective functions as the accusative direct object of oiko $\delta \boldsymbol{\mu} \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$.

оікобо $\mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$. Fut act ind 1st sg oikобо $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} v \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathfrak{\xi} \xi \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Fut act ind 1st sg $\sigma v v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega$.
غ̇кะĩ. Adverb of place.
 $\dot{\alpha} \xi \omega$.
$\mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$. Possessive genitive.


$\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau \tilde{n} \psi v \chi \tilde{n} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{o v}, \Psi v \chi \eta \dot{n}$. Lit. "I will say to my soul, 'Soul'."
$\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \tilde{\omega}$. Fut act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
 a synecdoche (see 1:46 on $\dot{\eta} \psi v \chi \dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{ov}$ ) for "myself."
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Possessive genitive.
Чихウ். Vocative.


кєіцєva. Prf pass ptc neut acc pl кعĩцаı (attributive).
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{c} \varsigma$ हैt $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{0} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ á. Temporal.
àva $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ av́ov. Pres mid impv 2nd sg ảvaraúv.
$\varphi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon$. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\varepsilon$ б́ $\theta^{i} \omega$.
$\pi i \varepsilon$. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\pi i v \omega$.
عủppaivov. Pres mid impv 2nd sg $\varepsilon \dot{u} \varphi \rho \rho a i v \omega$.


$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av̉t $\tilde{\varphi}$. Dative indirect object of عĩ̃zv. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu i ̃$ v.
$\dot{\mathbf{o}} \theta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{c} \boldsymbol{c}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$.
" $\mathrm{A} \varphi \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ v. Vocative.

$\tau \eta ̀ v \psi v \chi \dot{\eta} v$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha u \tau o v ̃ \sigma เ v$.
oov. Possessive genitive.
à $\pi \alpha \iota \tau 0 \tilde{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v} v$. Pres act ind 3rd pl à $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. McKay (19) argues that the use of plural verbs that refer to a subject that is not identified in the context "may be influenced by a Semitic idiom in which a plural verb with completely vague subject is used in the active in circumstances where English, and normally also Greek, would need a passive" (cf. v. 48; 14:35; 16:4, 9; Nolland, 2:687; see also Johnson, 199; Wallace, 402-3).
ànò ooṽ. Source.
à. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\eta} \tau о \dot{\mu} \alpha \sigma \alpha c$. The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ö), which as a whole


tivi. Dative of possession. Introduces a rhetorical question. हैбтat. Fut ind 3rd sg عíui.

ó $\theta \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \alpha \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \boldsymbol{\zeta} \boldsymbol{\zeta} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ v. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $Ө \eta \sigma \alpha v \rho i \zeta \omega$ (substantival). Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha \cup \tau \tilde{\omega}$. Dative of advantage.
عiç $\theta$ còv. Reference or advantage.
$\pi \lambda \operatorname{lovt} \tilde{\omega} v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\pi \lambda$ ovt $\dot{\omega} \omega$ (substantival: $\delta$ $\theta \eta \sigma \alpha v p i \zeta \omega v . \ldots$ кaì $\mu \eta$. . . $\pi \lambda$ оut $\tilde{\omega} v)$. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.

## Luke 12:22-34

${ }^{22}$ Then he said to his disciples, "Because of this I tell you, do not worry, with regard to life, about what you will eat; nor with regard to the body, about what you will wear. ${ }^{23}$ For life is more than food, and the body (more) than clothing. ${ }^{24}$ Consider the ravens: They neither sow nor harvest; they do not have a storeroom or a barn, and God provides food for them. You are much more valuable than birds! ${ }^{25}$ Who among you is able to add a cubit to his height by worrying? ${ }^{26}$ If, then, you are not able (to do) such a minor thing, why do you worry about the other things? ${ }^{27}$ Consider the lilies, how they grow. They neither work nor spin. But I tell you, not even Solomon in all his glory was clothed like one of them. ${ }^{28}$ Now, if God clothes the grass in this way, which is in the field today and tomorrow is thrown into an oven, how much more (will he clothe) you, you of little faith! ${ }^{29}$ So do not pursue what you will eat and what you will drink, and do not be unsettled. ${ }^{30}$ For all the nations of the world pursue these things, and your father knows that you need them. ${ }^{31}$ Instead, pursue his kingdom, and these things will be provided for you."
${ }^{32 \text { " }}$ Do not be afraid, little flock, because your father was pleased to give the kingdom to you. ${ }^{33}$ Sell your possessions and give to the needy. Make for yourselves purses that do not get old, an inexhaustible treasure in heaven, where a thief cannot come near (it) nor a moth destroy (it). ${ }^{34}$ For where your treasure is, there your heart will be also."



EĨ $\pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3 rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ òs тov̀ $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \dot{\alpha} \varsigma$. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a v ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
[av̉̃oṽ]. Genitive of relationship. The manuscript evicence is divided between those that omit the word $\left(\mathfrak{P}^{45 v i d} \mathfrak{P}^{75} \mathrm{~B}\right)$ and those that include it ( $\kappa$ A D L Q W $\left.\Theta \Psi 070 f^{f, 13} \mathfrak{M}\right)$.
$\Delta$ iò toṽto．Cause．Runge（ $\$ 2.6$ ）notes that this construction＂is often used in the gospels within reported speeches to introduce a key proposition，＂particularly with other highlighting devices，as here（ $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{v} \mu \pi \nu)$ ）．＂The story of the rich fool taught what not to do， סıà toṽтo introduces what is to be done instead，in response to the preceding story＂（Runge $\$ 2.6$ ；emphasis in original）．
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\omega} \dot{\mathbf{v}} \mu \mathrm{i} v$ ．See 3：8．
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$ ．Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
$\mu \varepsilon \rho \mu \nu \tilde{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon$ ．Pres act impv 2nd pl $\mu \varepsilon \rho \mu \nu \dot{\alpha}^{\omega} \omega$（prohibition）．
тก̃ $\psi v \chi$ ñ．Dative of reference or advantage（so BDAG，632．1）．
$\tau i$ ．Accusative direct object of $\varphi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ．Introduces an indirect question that should likely be viewed as the clausal complement of $\mu \varepsilon \rho \mu \nu \tilde{a} \tau \varepsilon$ ．
$\varphi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ．Aor act subj 2nd pl $\varepsilon$ ह̇ $\sigma$＇í $\omega$（deliberative subjunctive）．
$\tau \tilde{\varrho} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{\omega} \mu \alpha \tau$ ı．Dative of reference or advantage．
$\tau i$ ．Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} v \delta \dot{v} \sigma \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ．Introduces an indirect question that should likely be viewed as part of a conjoined clausal complement of $\mu \varepsilon \rho \mu v \tilde{a} \tau \varepsilon$ ．
 tive）．

##  $\mu \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ．

$\dot{\eta} . . . \psi v \chi \grave{\eta}$ ．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ह̇бтıv．
үà̀．Causal（see also 1：15）．
$\pi \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{i} o ́ v$. Predicate adjective（comparative）．According to BDF （ $\$ 131$ ）＂when the predicate stands for the subject conceived as a class and in the abstract，not as an individual instance or example， then classical usage puts the adjectival predicate in the neuter sing．， even with subjects of another gender．＂
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$ ．Pres act ind 3rd sg ei $\mu \mathrm{i}$ ．On the loss of accent，see 1：18 on عiŋu．
$\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \tau \rho \mathbf{\rho} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \tilde{\Upsilon} \varsigma$ ．Genitive of comparison．
тò $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$ ．Nominative subject of an implied（ $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} o ́ v)$ ह̇б $\tau \iota v$ ．
то⿱亠乂刂 $̇ v \delta \dot{u} \mu \alpha \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．Genitive of comparison．




катаvоŋ่батє. Aor act impv 2nd pl катаvoє́ம.
тoùs ко́ракая. Accusative direct object of катаvoŋ்батє.
ötı. Introduces a clause that is epexegetical to тoùs кópaкац.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \rho \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{v o t v}$. Pres act ind 3rd pl $\sigma \pi \varepsilon i \rho \omega$.
$\theta \varepsilon \rho i \zeta o u \sigma ı v$. Pres act ind 3rd pl $\theta \varepsilon \rho i \zeta \omega$.
oíc. Dative of possession.


$\dot{\delta} \theta \varepsilon$ òs. Nominative subject of $\tau \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \varepsilon$.
$\tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \varepsilon \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$.
av̉兀ov́c. Accusative direct object of $\tau \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \varepsilon$.
$\pi \mathbf{~ o ́ \sigma} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \mathbf{\lambda o v}$. "How much more?" (BDAG, 855.1).

$\delta \iota \alpha \varphi \varepsilon ́ \rho \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act ind $2 \mathrm{nd} \mathrm{pl} \delta \iota \alpha \varphi \varepsilon ́ \rho \omega$. Here, the sense is to "differ to one's advantage fr. someone or someth." (BDAG, 239.4).
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon เ \nu \tilde{\omega} \nu$. Genitive of comparison.
 $\pi \rho o \sigma \theta \varepsilon i ̃ v a \iota ~ \pi \tilde{\eta} \chi \cup v$;
tic. Nominative subject of Súvatal. Introduces a rhetorical question.
$\bar{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Partitive.
$\mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \mu v \tilde{\omega} v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\mu \varepsilon \rho \mu \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ (means).
סúvataı. Pres mid ind 3rd sg סúvapaı.
 the infinitival clause is ambiguous because $\dot{\eta} \lambda$ ıкia can refer to either "age" or "height." It is clearly used in the sense of "height" or "stature" in 2:52 and 19:3, and of "age" in John 9:21, 23 and Heb 11:11, though the latter uses should be viewed as instances of metonymy (see 1:17 on кар $\delta \dot{\alpha}$ ¢ ). In favor of the "age" reading, one might note that whatever Jesus is saying here is described as an insignificant task ( $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \chi \iota \sigma \tau 0 v)$ in the following verse. While one might think
he could extend his life by anxious efforts, adding eighteen inches to one's height would be quite a feat by any standard. Thus, most maintain that the context points to the "age" interpretation, with some drawing an analogy to LXX Ps 38:5 (Гvஸ́pıбóv $\mu$ oı, кúpı\&, тò $\pi \varepsilon ́ \rho a \varsigma ~ \mu o v ~ к a i ̀ ~ t o ̀ v ~ a ̉ p ı \theta \mu o ̀ v ~ \tau \tilde{\omega} v ~ \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \tilde{\rho} v \mu \mathrm{ov}$; "Make known to me, Lord, my boundary and the number of my days"), where the typically spatial term $\pi \varepsilon \dot{\rho} \rho a \varsigma$ is used in a temporal sense conjoined with $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \rho \tilde{\omega} \nu \mu o v$. If this analysis is correct we would render the clause: "Who among you is able to add one hour to his life by worrying?" The problem with the "age" view is that the ambiguity of $\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i \alpha$ appears to be removed by the use of a clear contextual marker, $\pi \tilde{\eta} \chi \cup v$ ("cubit"), a common unit of measurement that does not appear to be used of time. For this reason, it is better to take the "height" view (so BDAG, 812; contra most), which reads Jesus' words as a reference to an absurd impossibility that is in turn referred to in the following verse as $\dot{\lambda} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \chi$ เбтov in "an exquisite bit of irony" (BDAG, 812).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i ̀ ~ \tau \grave{̀} v \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa \boldsymbol{i} \alpha v$. Locative. Here, a "marker of addition to what is already in existence" (BDAG 365.7). The fronting of the PP lends further force to the statement.
av่̉oũ. Possessive genitive.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \theta \varepsilon i ̃ v a l$. Aor act inf $\pi \rho 0 \sigma \tau i \theta \eta \mu \mathrm{I}$ (complementary).
$\pi \tilde{\chi} \chi v v$. Accusative direct object of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \varepsilon i v a l$.

##  $\mu \varepsilon \rho\rfloor \nu \tilde{a} \tau \varepsilon ;$

$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Introduces the protasis of a first class condition.
oṽv. Inferential.
غ̀ $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ áxıбтov. Accusative direct object of an implied complementary verb: "you are not able to do even an insignificant thing." The superlative (lit. "the smallest thing") heightens the hyperbolic nature of Jesus' illustration.

סúvacoz. Pres mid ind 2nd pl סúvauaı.
$\pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\jmath} \mathbf{\tau} \tau \tilde{\omega} v \lambda \mathbf{\lambda o t} \boldsymbol{\pi} \tilde{\omega} v$. Reference. The fronting of the PP (see Kwong, 76; cf. 16:10 on $\dot{\varepsilon} v \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \tilde{\omega})$ makes the question more forceful.
$\mu \varepsilon \rho\rfloor \nu \bar{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 2nd pl $\mu \varepsilon \rho \mu \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$.

 $\dot{\omega} \varsigma \underline{v} v$ тои́т $\omega \nu$ ．

катаvoŋ่ $\sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ．Aor act impv 2nd pl катаvoє́ $\omega$ ． т к к $\boldsymbol{i v a}$ ．Accusative direct object of катаvoŋ́ $\sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ． $\pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$ ．Introduces an indirect question that is epexegetical to tò крiva（cf．the ötı clause in v．24）．
aủ $\xi \dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon เ$ ．Pres act ind 3 rd sg $\alpha u ̉ \xi \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ ．Neuter plural subjects char－ acteristically take singular verbs（see Wallace，399－400）．

котเ⿱̆ar．Pres act ind 3rd sg колıá $\omega$ ．On the number，see above．
$v \dot{\eta} \theta \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ ．Pres act ind 3 rd sg ví $\theta \omega$ ．On the number，see above．
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v} \mu \tilde{\mu} \nu$ ．See 3：8．
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．Pres act ind 1 st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$ ．Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
$\Sigma \mathbf{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \grave{\omega} v$. Nominative subject of $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \tau \tau$ ．

av่̉oṽ．Subjective genitive．
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \varepsilon \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \tau \frac{1}{}$ ．Aor mid ind 3rd sg $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ ．
$\tilde{\varepsilon} v$ ．Nominative subject of an implied $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \varepsilon \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \tau \sigma$ ．
тov́t $\omega \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Partitive genitive．

 ט́ $\mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$ ，ò入ıүо́тıбтоt．
$\varepsilon$ il．Introduces the protasis of a first class condition．
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \dot{a} \gamma \rho \rho \tilde{\omega}$. Locative．

övta．Pres act ptc masc acc pl عíhí（attributive）．
عiç к $\lambda \boldsymbol{i} \beta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v o v}$ ．Locative．
$\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \dot{\prime} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v o v}$ ．Pres pass ptc masc acc sg $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$（attributive）．

$\dot{\alpha} \mu \varphi t \varepsilon ́ \zeta \varepsilon$ ．Pres act ind 3rd sg ả $\mu \varphi เ \varepsilon ́ \zeta \omega$ ．
$\pi \mathbf{o ́ \sigma \varphi} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{v}$ ．＂How much more＂（BDAG，855．1）．
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{a} \varsigma$ ．Accusative direct object of an implied $\alpha$ ả $\mu \mid \varepsilon ̇ \zeta \varepsilon$ ．
ò入ıүótıбтot．Vocative．

12:29 каì ن́ $\mu \varepsilon i ̃ ৎ ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon i ̃ \tau \varepsilon ~ \tau i ́ ~ \varphi \alpha ́ ~ ү \eta \tau \varepsilon ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau i ́ ~ \pi i \eta \tau \varepsilon ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \mu \grave{~} \mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ $\omega \rho і \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$.
$\dot{\text { vi }} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon \tau} \boldsymbol{c}$. Nominative subject of $\zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \tau \tau \varepsilon$. The explicit subject pronoun lends force to the command.
$\zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd pl $\zeta \eta \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\tau i ́ \varphi \alpha \dot{\eta} \eta \tau \varepsilon$ каі̀ $\tau \mathbf{i} \pi i \eta \tau \varepsilon$. The question functions as the clausal complement (indirect discourse) of 乌 $\eta \tau \varepsilon \tau \tau \varepsilon$.
$\tau i$. Accusative direct object of $\varphi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \eta \tau \varepsilon$.
$\varphi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \eta \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act subj 2nd pl $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta^{\prime} i \omega$ (deliberative subjunctive).
$\tau i$. Accusative direct object of $\pi i \eta \tau \varepsilon$.
$\pi i \eta \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act subj $2 \mathrm{nd} \mathrm{pl} \pi i v \omega$ (deliberative subjunctive).
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \omega \rho i \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$. Pres mid impv 2nd pl $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \omega \rho i \zeta о \mu \alpha$ (prohibition). Only here in the NT. The verb appears to be used metaphorically of being "unsettled" or "anxious" (LSJ, 1120.II), or "to be very concerned about, with the implication of placing too much value upon something" (LN 25.232).



$\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha$. This adjective could modify either $\tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha$ or $\tau \alpha ̀ ~ ह ै ~ \theta v \eta$. In Luke, $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$ almost always precedes the word it modifies. When used with oũto $\varsigma$, on the other hand, it follows the demonstrative (16:14; 18:21; 21:36; 24:9; cf. Acts 7:50). Ultimately, however, the location of the $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ and the context both favor taking $\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha$ with $\tau \dot{\alpha} \varepsilon \ddot{\varepsilon} \theta v \eta$. Luke had many other word order options, including $\tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ č月 $\theta v \eta$ тoṽ кóб $\mu$ ov $\tau \alpha \tilde{\tau \alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha$, if $\tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha$ were intended as a unit. At a contextual level, Luke only referred to eating and drinking in the preceding verse. These two items do not make a natural antecedent for "all these things." On the other hand, a reference to all the nations fits the contextual contrast between the children of God and the rest of the world. (It is worth noting that in Matt 6:32, where $\pi \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \alpha$ clearly modifies $\tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha$, the preceding context refers to three items rather than two.)

үà $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).


тoṽ кóбuov. "The nations that inhabit the world."
 used with a neuter plural subject ( $\tau \alpha \mathfrak{c} \varepsilon \theta v \eta$ ). Wallace ( 400 ; emphasis in original) maintains that "when the author wants to stress the individuality of each subject involved in a neuter plural subject, the plural verb is used." Plummer (326) views the usage as highlighting a distributive nuance, which certainly fits the context. The fact,
 $\Theta \psi f^{1} \mathfrak{M}$ ) raises the possibility that the two forms may have simply been used interchangeably.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Genitive of relationship. The fronted pronoun helps highlight the "contrast between the nations and the disciples" (Marshall, 529).
$\dot{\mathbf{o}} \pi \alpha \tau \eta ̀ \rho$. Nominative subject of oĩ $\delta \varepsilon v$.
oĩ $\delta \varepsilon v$. Prf act ind 3 rd sg oĩ $\delta \alpha$. On the use of the perfect tense with this verb, see 4:34 on oĩ íd $^{\text {a }}$
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces the clausal complement (indirect discourse with a verb of cognition; see 1:22 on ötı) of oĩ $\delta \varepsilon v$.
$\chi \rho!\underline{1} \zeta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 2nd pl $\chi \rho!\mathfrak{\prime} \zeta \omega$.
тоv่т $\omega \boldsymbol{v}$. Genitive complement of $\chi \rho \mathfrak{ŋ} \zeta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$.


$\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$. Adversative.
$\zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd pl $\lceil\eta \tau \varepsilon ่ \omega$ (prohibition).

av̉toṽ. Subjective genitive (see also 4:43).
$\tau \alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \varepsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{L}$.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \tau \varepsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Fut pass ind 3 rd sg $\pi \rho о \sigma \tau i \theta \eta \mu \mathrm{I}$. Neuter plural subjects characteristically take singular verbs (see Wallace, 399400). Lit. "and these things will be added to you."

ن̀ $\mu \mathrm{i} v$. Dative indirect object of $\pi \rho$ обтєӨŋंбєтаı.



بoßoũ. Pres mid impv 2nd sg بoßéo $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{a}$ (prohibition).

tive (see 4:34 on 'I $\eta \sigma 0$ ũ) likely stems from the desire to lend more force to the command by fronting the imperative verb.
őtı. Introduces a causal clause.
 3:22 on عủסóкๆ $\sigma a$.
$\dot{\mathbf{o}} \pi \alpha \tau \eta ̀ \rho$. Nominative subject of عủסókๆ $\sigma \varepsilon v$.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Genitive of relationship.
$\delta \mathbf{o v ̃ v a l . ~ A o r ~ a c t ~ i n f ~} \delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{l}$ (complementary).
viliv. Dative indirect object of סoũvaı.
$\tau \grave{v} v \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} v$. Accusative direct object of Soũvaı.


 $\delta \iota a \varphi \theta \varepsilon i \rho \varepsilon \iota-$
$\Pi \omega \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \varepsilon$. Aor act impv 2nd pl $\pi \omega \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
 Accusative direct object of $\Pi \omega \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \varepsilon$.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$. Possessive genitive.
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Aor act impv 2nd pl $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \eta \mu \mathbf{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} v \eta \eta$. Accusative direct object of סóte. Lit. "give alms."

غ́avtoĩc. Dative of advantage.
$\beta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\alpha} v \tau \iota \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\pi$ oı $\sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$.
$\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota o v ́ \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$. Pres pass ptc neut acc $\mathrm{pl} \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha$ เó $\omega$ (attributive).
 èv toĩc oủpavoĩc. Locative.
$\kappa \boldsymbol{\kappa} \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \tau \eta$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \gamma i \zeta \varepsilon$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \gamma i \zeta \varepsilon \iota$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \gamma i \zeta \omega$.
бŋ̀ऽ. Nominative subject of $\delta ı \alpha \varphi \theta \varepsilon i \rho \varepsilon ı$.
$\delta \boldsymbol{\iota} a \varphi \theta \varepsilon \boldsymbol{c} \rho \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Pres act ind 3 rd sg $\delta \iota \alpha \varphi \theta \varepsilon i \rho \omega$.


 adverbial topic of what follows (see $1: 36$ on ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \lambda \iota \sigma \dot{\alpha} \beta \varepsilon \tau$ ) and is picked up with the resumptive adverb $\varepsilon$ ย̇єi.
ö $\boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{0}$. The locative adverb serves as the predicate of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$. Causal.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg ei $\mu \mathrm{i}$. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عíp.

$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Possessive genitive.


$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Possessive genitive.


## Luke 12:35-48

${ }^{35 \text { " }} \mathrm{Be}$ properly dressed and have your lamps be lit. ${ }^{36}$ Indeed, you are like people who are waiting for their master, when he returns from the wedding feast, so that when he comes and knocks they might open (the door) for him immediately. ${ }^{37}$ Blessed are those slaves whom the master finds keeping watch when he comes. I assure you, he will dress himself and seat them at the table, and will come and serve them! ${ }^{38} \mathrm{And}$ if he should come at the second or third watch and find (them) doing this, blessed are those people. ${ }^{39}$ But know this: If the master of the house had known at what hour the thief would come, he would not have allowed his house to be broken into. ${ }^{40}$ You also must be prepared, because the Son of Man will come at a particular time you do not expect!"
${ }^{41}$ Then Peter said, "Lord, are you telling this parable to us or to everyone?" ${ }^{42}$ The Lord said, "Who then is the faithful, wise steward, whom the master will put in charge over his servants to give (them their) allotted food at the proper time? ${ }^{43}$ Blessed is that servant whom the master finds doing his work when he comes. ${ }^{44}$ I tell you for certain, he will put him in charge of all his possessions! ${ }^{45}$ But if that slave should say in his heart, 'My master is taking a long time to come,' and should begin to beat the male and female servants, and to eat and drink and become drunk, ${ }^{46}$ the master of that servant will arrive on a day when he is not expecting (him) and at an hour that he does not anticipate, and he will cut him in two and will assign him a place with the unfaithful. ${ }^{47}$ That servant who knew the desire of his master and did not prepare or act in accord with his desire will be beaten (with) many (blows); ${ }^{88}$ but the one who did not know
(his master's will), although he did things worthy of blows, will be beaten (with) few (blows). Regarding everyone to whom much is given, much will be required from him; and to whom much is entrusted, much more will be asked of him."
 като́ияvoь•

Luke portrays what follows as a continuation of the preceding discourse with no conjunction. Levinsohn (2000, 118; emphasis in original) notes that "New Testament authors tend to use a conjunction whenever the relationship with the context concerned is strengthening ( $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ), developmental ( $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ ), associative or additive (кai), or inferential-cum-resumptive (oṽv), etc. Consequently, asyndeton tends to imply 'not strengthening, not developmental, not associative, not inferential, etc.' This is why asyndeton is often the norm when the relation of the following material to the context is not logical or chronological."
 girded." "Such girding is an indication that one is prepared for some activity" (BDAG, 801.2.c).
"E $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha v$. Pres act impv 3rd pl عíuí.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Possessive genitive. The pronoun is fronted for emphasis (Plummer, 330).
ai ỏopúsc. Nominative subject of "Eбт $\omega \sigma \alpha v$.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \varepsilon \zeta \omega \sigma \mu \varepsilon \dot{v a}$. Prf pass ptc fem nom $\mathrm{pl} \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \zeta \omega v v v \mu$. The participle should probably be viewed as a predicate rather than perfect periphrastic.
oi $\lambda \mathbf{\lambda} \chi$ रvot. Nominative subject of "E $\sigma \tau \omega \sigma \alpha v$.
$\boldsymbol{\kappa \alpha}$ о́ $\boldsymbol{\mu \varepsilon v o l . ~ P r e s ~ p a s s ~ p t c ~ m a s c ~ n o m ~ p l ~ к \alpha i \omega ~ ( s e e ~ a b o v e ~ o n ~} \pi \varepsilon \rho t-$ $\varepsilon \zeta \omega \sigma \mu \varepsilon ́ v a ı)$.




кaì. The conjunction seems to be used to introduce an illustration that fleshes out the reason why they should have their loins girded and lamps lit.
$\dot{\mathbf{v}} \boldsymbol{\mu \varepsilon i ̃}$ ．Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause．
ö $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{o}$ оь．Predicate nominative of a verbless equative clause．
$\dot{\alpha} v \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\iota}$ ．Dative complement of ö $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ оьо．
$\pi \rho о \sigma \delta \varepsilon \chi о \mu \varepsilon ́ v o t c$. Pres mid ptc masc dat pl $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta \varepsilon ́ \chi o \mu \alpha l$（attribu－ tive）．

тòv кúpıov．Accusative direct object of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta \varepsilon \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon}$ oıs．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha v \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ ．Genitive of subordination．
$\pi \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \varepsilon$ ．The interrogative temporal adverb introduces a clause （indirect discourse）that is in apposition to tòv kúpıov．
àva入úon．Aor act subj 3rd sg àva入ún（deliberative subjunctive）．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\alpha} \mu \omega \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Separation．
îva．Introduces a purpose clause．
è $\lambda$ Óóvtoc．Aor act ptc masc gen sg eैp $\chi o \mu \alpha ı$ ．Genitive absolute （see 2：2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvєv́ovtoc），temporal．While genitive absolute constructions generally have an explicit subject in the genitive case， this is not always the case（Wallace，655；cf．Acts 21：31）．

крои́баvтос．Aor act ptc masc gen sg кроv́w．Genitive absolute （see 2：2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvєv́ovto¢），temporal（see also above on $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda$ Өóvtoc）． $\dot{\alpha} v o i \xi \omega \sigma \boldsymbol{v} v$ ．Aor act subj 3rd pl ávoi $\gamma \omega$ ．Subjunctive with îva． av̉tĉ̣．Dative of advantage．



$\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha ́ p ı o t . ~ P r e d i c a t e ~ a d j e c t i v e . ~$
oi $\delta \mathbf{\delta o v ̃ \lambda o t ~} \grave{\text { ék }} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathbf{v} v o$ ．Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause．
oüc．Accusative direct object of عúpウ́бعı．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} v$ ．Aor act ptc masc nom sg ěp $\chi o \mu a l$（temporal or attendant circumstance；on the latter，see 11：8 on ávaбtà¢）．
ó кúpıoc．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \dot{\rho} \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon$ ．

 in an object－complement double accusative construction．
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \mathfrak{\eta} v$ ．This particle is used to signal＂a strong affirmation of what is stated＂（BDAG，53．1）．Rhetorically，the whole expression，$\dot{\alpha} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{\cup} \mu \tilde{\imath} \nu$ ，serves to introduce a statement of high importance（cf．
$4: 24 ; 18: 17,29 ; 21: 32 ; 23: 43$ ) by combining both a meta-comment (see 3:8 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\imath} v$ ) and $\alpha \mu \eta\rangle \nu$. It appears to be the Semitic equivalent of $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \tilde{\omega} \varsigma \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\mu} v(9: 27 ; 12: 44 ; 21: 3)$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{v} \mu \mathrm{u} v$. See 3:8.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ı. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also $1: 25$ on ö öt) of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \zeta \omega \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha 1$. Fut mid ind 3rd sg $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \zeta \omega v \nu v \mu$. Lit. "he will gird himself." Here, the verb likely points to getting dressed to serve (so NET Bible).
$\dot{\alpha} v a \kappa \lambda ı v \varepsilon i ̃ . ~ F u t ~ a c t ~ i n d ~ 3 r d ~ s g ~ a ̉ v a \kappa \lambda i v \omega . ~$
av̉тov̀c. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} v a \kappa \lambda เ \nu \varepsilon \tilde{I}$.
$\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ (attendant circumstance; see 11:8 on àvaбtàc).

aủtoĩc. Dative complement of סıaкovŋ்бยı.


$\kappa \alpha ̈ v$. A shortened form (crasis) of kaì äv.



عűpn. Aor act subj 3rd sg ev́piokw. Subjunctive with äv.
ov̈t $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ с. Manner. The reference is to $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma$ о оои̃vтац (v. 37).
$\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha ́ \rho ı o i . ~ P r e d i c a t e ~ a d j e c t i v e . ~ O n ~ t h e ~ s e c o n d ~ a c c e n t, ~ s e e ~ 1: 13 ~ o n ~$ ŋ̀ $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \eta \sigma i \varsigma$.

عícıv. Pres act ind 3rd pl cìí. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عiцı.




тои̃то. Accusative direct object of $\gamma \iota v \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. The demonstrative is cataphoric, pointing forward to the ötı clause (see also 10:11 on тои̃тo).
$\gamma \iota \downarrow \omega \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd pl $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \omega$. The context argues against viewing this as indicative (contra Plummer, 331).
ő $\tau$. Introduces the clause that is epexegetical to toũ $\frac{1}{}$.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Introduces the protasis of a second class (contrary to fact) condition.

ที̉ $\delta \varepsilon$. Plprf act ind 3 rd sg oî $\delta \alpha$. Wallace ( 695 , n. 25) notes that this is one of only five instances where the pluperfect is used in the protasis of a second class conditional sentence in the NT. Campbell $(2007,230)$ argues that the nuance of "remoteness" associated with the pluperfect, as with the aorist and imperfect, makes its use in unreal conditions predictable.

тoiá ©̈ $\rho \boldsymbol{\rho}$. . Dative of time.


$\ddot{\alpha} v$. Introduces the apodosis of a second class condition.
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi \tilde{\eta} \kappa \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg à $\varphi i \eta \mu$.
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{\iota} \rho \mathbf{\rho} \boldsymbol{\chi} \theta \tilde{\eta} \mathbf{v a l}$. Aor pass inf $\delta \iota o \rho v ́ \sigma \sigma \omega$ (complementary).
тòv oîkov. Accusative subject of $\delta$ เopux $\theta \tilde{\eta} v a ı$.
av่̉oũ. Possessive genitive.


vincĩ. Nominative subject of $\gamma$ iveб $\theta \varepsilon$.
$\gamma i v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$. Pres mid impv 2nd pl $\gamma i v o \mu a ı$.
ย̈тоцио. Predicate adjective.
ötı. Introduces a causal clause.
ñ $\boldsymbol{0}$ ơpa. Dative of time. The relative pronoun introduces an internally headed relative clause (see $1: 4$ on $\pi \varepsilon \rho i ̀ \tilde{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \varsigma \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$
 duces an intensive statement, "at the very hour."

бокะі̃тє. Pres act ind 2nd pl סокє́ш.
ó viòs тoṽ ảvӨ $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ ©́tov. See 5:24.
óviòs. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ हैpxetaı.
то⿱̃ $\mathfrak{a} v \theta \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{v}$. Genitive of relationship.

 $\tau \alpha \cup ́ \tau \eta \nu \lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon เ \varsigma ~ \grave{~}$ каі̀ $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha \varsigma ;$

Eĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．

Kúpıs．Vocative．
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$ ．Indirect object（see 1：13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \alpha u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~ K l e i n ~(463, ~$ n．30）suggests that the preposition here means something like ＂relating to＂or＂pertaining to．＂

$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon ⿺ 𠃊$, ．Pres act ind 2nd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
$\pi \rho \mathbf{o} \varsigma \pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha \varsigma$ ．Indirect object（see 1：13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \alpha u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$



$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \not \gamma \omega$ ．

Tic．Predicate nominative．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau i ̀ v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon \dot{l} \mu i$ ．On the retention of the accent，see 1：36 on દ̇бтìv．
 While it is uncommon to have a noun modified by adjectives in both the first attributive and second attributive position，it is per－ fectly grammatical（cf．，e．g．，John 5：37；Rev 1：20；2：1）．
$\mathbf{o} v$ ．Accusative direct object of катабтŋ́бعı．
катабтŋंбєь．Fut act ind 3rd sg каӨíбтๆu．
ó кúpıoc．Nominative subject of катабтŋ்бєı．
 in a collective sense to refer to a group of servants（cf．LSJ，792．IV）．
av̉тoṽ．Genitive of relationship．
тoṽ $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{o} \boldsymbol{v a l}$ ．Pres act inf $\delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{I}$（purpose）．
ह̀v каı $\rho \tilde{̣}$ ．Temporal．
［七ò］ $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\mu} \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \rho \iota \mathbf{v}$ ．Accusative direct object of $\delta \iota \delta o ́ v a ı$ ．Only here in the NT：＂an appropriate portion or ration of food＂（LN 5．3）．


$\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \dot{\rho} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Predicate nominative of a verbless equative clause.
ó $\boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{0} \mathbf{v} \lambda \mathbf{o c}$ èкعĩvoc. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
öv. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \dot{\rho} \rho \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \varepsilon$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg ép $\chi o \mu a ı$ (temporal or attendant circumstance; on the latter, see 11:8 on àvaбtà ¢).
ó кúpıoc. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \dot{\rho} \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \iota$.
aủtoṽ. Genitive of subordination.

$\pi \boldsymbol{\pi}$ о̃v $\tau \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ oṽt $\omega$ c. Lit. "doing thus."
$\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{o v} v \tau \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Pres act ptc masc acc sg $\pi$ ot $\dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. The participle functions as the complement in an object-complement double accusative construction (the object is öv).
 бıтоиغ́т this position than in the parallel account (Matt 24:45) where we read oűt $\omega \varsigma$ ¢оเoũvта (contra Nolland, 2:703).
 катабтŋ்бєı aủtóv.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \tilde{\omega} \varsigma \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \boldsymbol{\omega}$ ט́ $\mu \mu i ̃ v$. Lit. "truly I say to you" (see also 9:27 and 3:8 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{\cup} \mu i \pi v)$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{v} v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also $1: 25$ on ö öı) of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.


av่̉oũ. Possessive genitive.

aủtóv. Accusative direct object of катабтŋ́бモı.



ċàv. Introduces the protasis of a third class condition.
عilnt!. Aor act subj 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Subjunctive with $\varepsilon$ èà $v$.

દ̀v $\tau \underline{̃}$ к $\alpha \rho \delta$ iạ. Locative.
av̉тoṽ. Possessive genitive.
X $\boldsymbol{\rho o v i \zeta \varepsilon ı . ~ P r e s ~ a c t ~ i n d ~ 3 r d ~ s g ~ \chi \rho o v i \zeta \omega . ~}$
ó кúpıóc. Nominative subject of Xpoviไ\&ı. On the second accent,

$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Genitive of subordination.

${ }_{\alpha} \rho \xi \eta \tau \tau a$. Aor mid subj 3rd sg ä $\rho \chi \omega$. Subjunctive with $\varepsilon$ z̀à $v$.
тט́л $\tau \varepsilon เ v$. Pres act inf $\tau \cup \mathfrak{\tau} \tau \omega$ (complementary).
 тט่兀тยเข.

غ̇б日ívıv. Pres act inf $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta i ́ \omega$ (complementary).
$\pi i v \varepsilon เ v$. Pres act inf $\pi i v \omega$ (complementary).
$\mu \varepsilon \theta \dot{v} \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \mathrm{a}$. Pres mid inf $\mu \varepsilon \theta$ v́бко $\mu a$ (complementary).



$\eta ̋ \xi \varepsilon เ$. Fut act ind 3rd sg ŋ̋к $\omega$.
о ки́pıoc. Nominative subject of $\xi \varepsilon$ ৷.

غ̇v $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \dot{\mu} \rho \mathfrak{a}$. Temporal.
ñ. Dative object of an implied $\varepsilon$ ċv. Lit. "on which." Although the case of the relative pronoun could stem from attraction (see 5:9 on $\tilde{\omega} v$; so Plummer, 332 ; Klein, 464, n. 40), "where both the antecedent and the relative pronoun would be in the same case and governed by the same preposition, the preposition is sometimes omitted in the relative clause" (McKay, 147).
$\pi \rho о \sigma \delta о \kappa \tilde{a}$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta о \kappa \alpha \dot{\omega} \omega$.
èv $\mathbf{\omega} \rho \mathbf{\rho}$. Temporal.
ñ. See above.
$\gamma \iota \nu \omega ் \sigma \kappa \varepsilon เ$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\gamma \iota \nu \omega ் \sigma \kappa \omega$.



тò $\mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \mathbf{o c}$ aủtoṽ $\mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ à $\pi i \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \omega v$. Lit. "his portion with the unfaithful." Fitzmyer (2:990) creatively renders the expression, "a fate fit for the faithless."

тò $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \mathbf{\rho}$. Accusative direct object of $Ө \eta$ ض́бєı.
av̉тoṽ. Possessive genitive.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ̀ \tau \tilde{\omega} v \dot{\alpha} \pi i \sigma \tau \tau \omega v$. Association.
$\theta$ ŋ́бとı. Fut act ind 3rd sg ti $\theta \eta \mu$.

 $\pi о \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \varsigma$.

$\dot{\delta} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ vov̀c. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\gamma \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \omega$ (attributive).
тò $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\gamma$ vov̀ऽ.
тoṽ кupíov. Subjective genitive.
av̉тoṽ. Genitive of subordination.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \tau о \boldsymbol{\mu} \dot{\alpha} \sigma a \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau o \not \mu \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$ (attributive).
$\pi o เ \eta \dot{\sigma a \varsigma}$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\pi$ oté $\omega$ (attributive).
$\pi \rho$ òs tò $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$. Here $\pi \rho o ́ \varsigma$ means, "with a view to" (Marshall, 544). It is not clear that the preposition itself can denote standard or correspondence (contra LN 89.9; cf. BDAG, 875.3.e. $\delta$ ). Given the fact that $\pi$ oın $\sigma \alpha \varsigma$ is conjoined to $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau о \mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \varsigma$, both participles are modified by the negativizer $\mu \eta$. Thus, the preposition here does not point to opposition ("did not prepare or acted against his will"; contra Johnson, 205).
av̉тoṽ. Subjective genitive.
$\delta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha a$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \omega$.
$\pi \mathbf{o} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{c} . \mathrm{BDF}$ ( $\$ 154$ ) suggests that one must supply the noun $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ ("blows"; cf. v. 48). At the surface level, the accusative case marks the direct object. In this instance, the syntactic role of $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \varsigma$ is the result of oblique-to-direct object "advancement" (cf. 3:18 on tòv $\lambda \alpha o ́ v)$. For a fuller explanation, see 7:29 on tò $\beta \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$.



ó . . . $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{v}$ ov́s. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\gamma \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \omega$ (substantival). Nominative subject of $\delta \alpha \rho \eta$ ๆє $\sigma \tau \alpha$.
$\pi \mathbf{\pi} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \alpha \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\pi$ ot $\varepsilon$ ( $\omega$ (concessive, modifying ठарウ́бєтаl; cf. Fitzmyer, 2:991; TEV). Given the lack of another article or a kai preceding $\pi$ оıŋ́бaৎ, the participle cannot be substantival. Nor can it be an attributive modifier of $\dot{o}$. . . $\gamma$ voús given the $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ that follows (contra common English renderings, e.g., Nolland, 2:698; Bock, 2:1173; GW, NCV, NIV, NRSV, REB).
ả $\xi \iota$. Accusative direct object of $\pi$ оı $\eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma$.
$\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \tilde{\omega} v$. Genitive complement of ${ }^{2} \xi \nmid \alpha$.
$\delta a \rho \eta \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tau \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \omega$.

$\pi \alpha v \tau i ̀$. The substantival adjective could be taken as a dative of reference (see the translation) or represent the topic of what follows (see 1:36 on ${ }^{\text {'E }}{ }^{\prime} เ \sigma \dot{\alpha} \beta \varepsilon \tau$ ), which is picked up with the resumptive aủtoũ. In the latter analysis, which is consistent with the structure
 aútóv), the dative case would stem from inverse attraction (see 1:73 on ő $\rho к о v$; so $\operatorname{BDF} \$ 295$; Marshall, 545).
$\dot{\omega}$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon \delta \delta \dot{\theta} \theta \eta$.
غ̇ $\delta \dot{\prime} \theta \eta$. Aor pass ind 3 rd sg $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
$\pi \mathbf{o} \lambda \dot{v}$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \delta \dot{\delta} \theta \eta$.
$\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \lambda \dot{v}$. Nominative subject of $\zeta \eta \tau \eta \theta \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \alpha 1$.
$\zeta \eta \tau \eta \theta \eta \dot{\sigma} \tau \tau a$. Fut pass ind 3 rd $\operatorname{sg} \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \dot{\omega}$.
$\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}$ aùtoũ. Source.
$\tilde{\omega}$. Dative indirect object of $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \theta \varepsilon v \tau \tau$. The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see $6: 2$ on ö), which as a whole ( $\tilde{\omega} \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \theta \varepsilon v \tau 0 \pi o \lambda u ́)$ serves as the topic of what follows (see 1:36 on ' $E \lambda \iota \sigma \dot{\alpha} \beta \varepsilon \tau)$ and is picked up with the resumptive pronoun aủtóv.
$\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \theta \varepsilon v \tau \tau$. Aor mid ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau i \theta \eta \mu$.
$\pi \mathbf{o} \lambda \dot{v}$. Nominative subject of $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \theta \varepsilon v \tau 0$.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma o ́ \tau \varepsilon \rho o v$. Where ait $\dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ occurs with two accusatives (cf. 11:11 on i $\chi \theta \dot{v} v$ ), the non-personal accusative referent likely serves the direct object in an implied infinitival clause (indirect discourse): ठои̃vaı $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma о ́ \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ$.
 more." McKay (19) argues that the use of plural verbs that refer to a subject that is not identified in the context "may be influenced by a

Semitic idiom in which a plural verb with completely vague subject is used in the active in circumstances where English, and normally also Greek, would need a passive" (cf. v. 20 on $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \iota \tau o v ̃ \sigma ı v) . ~$
aủtóv. Accusative direct object of ailtク่סovoiv.

## Luke 12:49-53

${ }^{49 \text { "I }}$ have come to cast fire on the earth, and how I wish it had already been kindled! ${ }^{50} \mathrm{I}$ have a baptism (with which) to be baptized, and how distressed I am until it is completed. ${ }^{51} \mathrm{Do}$ you think that I came to bring about peace on earth? No, I tell you, but rather division! ${ }^{52}$ For from now on there will be five in one house who are divided, three against two and two against three. ${ }^{53}$ Father will be divided against son and son against father, mother against daughter and daughter against mother, mother-in-law against her daughter-in-law and daughter-in-law against mother-in-law."

## 

$\Pi \tilde{v} \rho$. Accusative direct object of $\beta a \lambda \varepsilon i ̃ v$. The fronting of the object, moving it all the way out of the infinitival clause, lends prominence to Jesus' statement. On the use of asyndeton here, see 12:35.

$\beta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{v} v$. Aor act inf $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ (purpose).
غ̇nì т $\boldsymbol{\tau} v \gamma \tilde{\eta} v$. Locative.
 ablaze?" (Fitzmyer, 2:996). Plummer (334) describes this as "A passage of well-known difficulty, the translation of which remains doubtful." He goes on to note three primary interpretations: (1) Punctuated as in the UBS ${ }^{4}$ and meaning, "What will I, if it be (is) already kindled?" (2) Punctuated as in the UBS ${ }^{4}$ and translated as above, which Plummer claims "does rather serious violence to the Greek." Or (3) punctuated кaì tí $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$; $\varepsilon \mathfrak{i} \eta \eta \delta \eta \dot{\alpha} v \eta \dot{\eta} \varphi \theta \eta$ ("And what will I? Would that it were already kindled!"). Plummer opts for the first option, suggesting that the meaning would be, "What more have I to desire, if it be already kindled." LSJ (481.B.V), however, notes that "after Verbs denoting wonder, delight, indignation, disappointment, contentment, and similar emotions, $\varepsilon i$ c. ind. is used instead of ötı, to express the object of the feeling in a hypothetical form." This makes option (2) most likely.
$\tau i$. Accusative direct object of $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$.
$\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$.
عỉ. See above.
$\dot{\alpha} v \dot{\eta} \varphi \theta \eta$. Aor pass ind 3rd sg $\alpha v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \omega$. Although Caragounis (277) argues that the aorist here "expresses the action merely as wished to have already taken place," the aorist tense is actually required because of the temporal adverb $\eta$ そ $\delta \eta$.

##  $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \tilde{0}$.

$\beta \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\notin \chi \omega$. The fronting of the direct object, though less striking than in verse 49, continues to lend prominence to Jesus' words.

$\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} v a \iota$. Aor pass inf $\beta a \pi \tau i \zeta \omega$ (epexegetical).
$\pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$. The interrogative particle is used to form an exclamation (BDAG, 901.2).
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} v \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \chi \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\mu} \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Pres pass ind 1st sg $\sigma u v \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \omega$. Here, the verb means "to cause distress by force of circumstances" (BDAG, 971.5).
 as the object of the preposition (see also $13: 8 ; 22: 16$ ) is one of several temporal relative phrases that functions as a fixed expression to denote "the continuous extent of time up to a point" (LN 67.119; cf. BDAG, 730.6; and McKay, 156, who calls them relative adverbial conjunctions). For more on the so-called indefinite relative pronoun, see 1:20 on oïtıvec.
$\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \tilde{n}$. Aor pass subj 3 rd sg $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. Subjunctive in an indefinite temporal clause with $\varepsilon$ है $\omega$.

##  

סокєite. Pres act ind 2nd pl סокє́ $\omega$.
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces the clausal complement (indirect discourse with a verb of cognition; see 1:22 on öтı) of סокعĩтع.

عịŋ่ $\boldsymbol{\eta} v$. Accusative direct object of $\delta$ oũval. The placement of عiр $\eta \quad \sim \eta v$ before the main verb continues the marked word order of the first three verses of this passage and sets up the contrast with $\delta ı \alpha \mu \varepsilon \rho ı \sigma \mu o ́ v$.
$\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v o ́ \mu \eta \nu$. Aor mid ind 1st sg $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma i v o \mu \alpha ı$.
סoṽval. Aor act inf $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$ ( purpose). Lit. "to give/cause peace on the earth."
èv $\tau \tilde{n} \gamma \underset{1}{n}$. Locative.
ouxi. This appears to be the standard form of the negativizer when used as a reply, especially when followed by $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ (cf. 1:60; 13:3, 5; 16:30; BDAG, 742.2).
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \dot{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mu \mathrm{v} \nu$. See 3:8.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative direct object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\delta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \mu$ óv. Accusative direct object of an implied $\delta$ oũvaı.



үà $\rho$. Explanatory (see also 1:15).
à $\pi$ ò $\tau \mathbf{~} \boldsymbol{v}$ vũv. Temporal. See also 1:48.
$\pi \varepsilon ่ v \tau \varepsilon$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ह̈бov $\tau \alpha$.

$\delta ı \alpha \mu \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon \dot{v} \boldsymbol{o}$. Prf pass ptc masc nom $\mathrm{pl} \delta \iota \alpha \mu \varepsilon \rho i \zeta \omega$ (attributive or future-perfect periphrastic). "The future-perfect tense expresses the state or condition of the subject of the verb in future time . . . In the NT, as in classical Greek, it is the rarest of the tenses, and it is mostly found in periphrastic form" (McKay, 51). As Caragounis (159-60, n. 97) notes, however, it may simply reflect a roughly synonymous alternative to the simple future (cf. 19:40 on крá $\xi o u \sigma \varpi v)$.
$\tau \rho \varepsilon i ̃$. . Nominative in apposition to $\pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \varepsilon$.
érì $\delta v \sigma i v$. Here, "a marker of opposition in a judicial or quasijudicial context" (LN 90.34).
$\delta \mathbf{v}$. Nominative in apposition to $\pi \varepsilon \in \vee \tau \varepsilon$.




$\delta \iota \alpha \mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \theta \mathfrak{\eta} \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathbf{I}$. Fut pass ind 3rd pl $\delta \iota \alpha \mu \varepsilon \rho i \zeta \omega$. $\pi \alpha \tau \grave{\rho} \rho$. . . каì viòs. Nominative subject of $\delta \iota \alpha \mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \theta \dot{\sigma} \sigma o v \tau \alpha ı$.

$\mu \eta \boldsymbol{\tau} \eta \rho$. . . каì $\theta \boldsymbol{v} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \tau \boldsymbol{\eta} \rho$. Nominative subject of an implied ठıа $\mu$ рььӨウ்боvтаı.
 દ̇ $\pi \grave{̀} \tau \grave{\eta} v \pi \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho a ́ v$. Opposition (see v. 52 on $̇$ غ̇ occurs with both the accusative and dative in the same verse. Plummer (335) argues that the accusative here and below is due to assimilation to LXX Mic 7:6.
$\pi \varepsilon \nu \theta \varepsilon \rho \dot{\alpha}$. . . каì $v \underset{u}{\mu \varphi \eta}$. Nominative subject of an implied ठıацєрıбӨウ்боvтаı.
av̉т $\check{c} \varsigma$. Genitive of relationship.

## Luke 12:54-56

${ }^{54}$ Then he also proceeded to say to the crowds, "When you see a cloud rising in the west, immediately you say, 'A rainstorm is coming'; and it does. ${ }^{55}$ And when (you see) a south wind blowing, you say, 'There will be scorching heat,' and there is. ${ }^{56}$ Hypocrites! You know how to interpret the appearance of the earth and sky; so how is it that you do not know how to interpret this (present) time?"

 каі̀ үі́vetat oũt $\omega \varsigma$.
${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.

"Otav. On translating "when" rather than "whenever," see 6:22.
$\nprec \delta \eta \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act subj 2nd pl ópá $\omega$.
[ $\tau \mathfrak{\eta} v] \nu \varepsilon \varphi \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \eta \nu$. Accusative direct object of ${ }^{\prime} \delta \eta \tau \varepsilon$.
$\dot{\alpha} v a \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda o v o a v}$. Pres act ptc fem acc sg $\alpha \mathfrak{v a \tau} \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda(\omega$. Complement
in an object-complement double accusative construction.
ह̇лì $\delta v \sigma \mu \omega \tilde{\omega} v$. Locative.
$\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 2nd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
ő $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces a clausal complement (direct discourse; see also
1:25 on őтı) of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$.
"O $\mu \beta \rho \boldsymbol{\rho}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ é $\rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha 1$.

үivetat. Pres mid ind 3rd sg yivoual. Lit. "and it happens thus."
 үі́veta.
ö $\tau \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v}$. On translating "when" rather than "whenever," see 6:22.
vótov. Accusative direct object of an implied 1 " $\delta \eta \tau \varepsilon$, which is supplied in $\mathfrak{P}^{45}$.
$\pi v \varepsilon ́ o v \tau a$. Pres act ptc masc acc sg $\pi v \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. Complement of an implied 1 í $\delta \tau \varepsilon$ in a object-complement double accusative construction.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 2nd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
ötı. Introduces a clausal complement (direct discourse; see also 1:25 on őtı) of $\lambda \varepsilon$ र́ $₹ \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$.

Kav́owv ह̂́бтat. We might render this in English, "It's going to be a scorcher!"

Kav́ $\sigma \omega v$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ हैбтaı.

үivetat. Pres mid ind 3rd sg $\gamma$ ivoual.


ítoкрıтаі. Vocative.
 ing of the direct object helps highlight the contrast with tòv kaıpòv ... Toũtov.
$\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \gamma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ каì тoṽ ov̉ $\rho a v o \tilde{v}$. Possessive genitive.
oí $\delta a \tau \varepsilon$. Prf act ind 2 nd pl oĩ $\delta \alpha$. On the use of the perfect tense with this verb, see 4:34 on oí $\delta$ á.
 complementary infinitive in the sense of "to know or understand how to do something" (BDAG, 694.3).

тòv кaı९òv. . . тoṽтov. Accusative direct object of $\delta$ ккца́ไદıv. On the word order, see above.
ou' $\delta a \tau \varepsilon$. Prf act ind 2 nd pl oî $\delta \alpha$. On the use of the perfect tense with this verb, see $4: 34$ on oĩ $\delta \dot{\alpha}$.


## Luke 12:57-59

${ }^{57 \text { "A And why do you not also judge for yourselves what is right? }}$ ${ }^{58} \mathrm{As}$ you are going to (appear before) an official with your accuser, make an effort to settle the matter with him on the way, so that he will not drag you before the judge, and the judge hand you over to the bailiff, and the bailiff throw you in prison. ${ }^{59} \mathrm{I}$ tell you, you will certainly not leave there until you in fact repay the last penny."

## 

Ti. Introduces a rhetorical question that functions as an exhortation to judge what is right.
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha v \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. Source. Lit. "from yourselves." BDAG (107.5.e) categorizes this metaphorical usage as "to indicate responsible agents for someth." (see also 21:30).

крішєtع. Pres act ind 2nd pl крive.
tò Síkatov. Accusative direct object of крivete.


 $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \kappa \tau \omega \rho \sigma \varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} \varepsilon$ モí $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa \grave{\nu} \nu$.
$\dot{\omega}$. Temporal.
$\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$. The conjunction is best viewed as broadly strengthening the preceding implied exhortation (see also 1:15).
$\dot{v} \pi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon เ \varsigma$. Pres act ind 2nd sg úró $\gamma \omega$.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ тoṽ ảvтıס́́kov. Association.
oov. Genitive of relationship.
غ̇ $\pi$ ' ä $\rho \chi$ оv $\tau \alpha$. Locative.
غ̇v $\tau$ ñ ó ợ̂. Temporal.
סòs $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \rho \gamma \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{a} v$. Likely a Latinism (Plummer, 336; lit. "give a working") meaning something like "make an effort" or "do your best" (cf. BDAG, 243.17.a).

反òs. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
èp $\gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Accusative direct object of $\delta$ òs.

$\dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \chi \theta \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Prf pass inf $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega$ (purpose).

$\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\eta} \pi \mathbf{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces a negative purpose clause.
катабט่p!!. Pres act subj 3rd sg катабט่ $\rho \omega$. Subjunctive with $\mu \eta$ потє.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Accusative direct object of катабúp!?.
$\pi \rho$ òs тòv крıтŋ́v. Spatial.
ó крıтйя. Nominative subject of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon \iota$.
$\sigma \varepsilon$. Accusative direct object of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon$. .
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon$ เ. Fut act ind 3rd sg $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta i \delta \omega \mu$. As is common in this period, the future tense is used in place of the subjunctive following $\mu \eta \dot{\pi}$ отє (cf. Matt 7:6; see esp. 7:4 on $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ \xi \eta$ ). Many manuscripts have the subjunctive $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \tilde{\omega}\left(\Psi f^{1} 33 \mathfrak{\Re p m}\right)$.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \pi \rho \alpha \dot{\kappa} \tau \mathbf{\rho} \boldsymbol{\prime}$. Dative indirect object of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon$. The noun refers to "an officer of a court responsible for carrying out the orders of a judge" (LN 37.92).
$\dot{\mathbf{o}} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \kappa \tau \omega \rho$. Nominative subject of $\beta a \lambda \varepsilon$ ĩ.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Accusative direct object of $\beta a \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}$.
$\beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}$. Fut act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \operatorname{sg} \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$. On the tense, see above on $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha-$ $\delta \omega \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon$. Again, many manuscripts have the subjunctive ( $\mathrm{A} \Theta \Psi f^{1,13} \mathfrak{M}$ $p m$; see above on $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon \iota)$.
$\varepsilon i \varsigma \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa \eta ं v$. Locative.
 $\lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau o ̀ v a ̀ \pi o \delta \tilde{\varphi} \varsigma$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$. See 3:8.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{o}$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
 with ov̉ $\mu$ ', which expresses emphatic negation (see also 1:15 on $\pi i(\eta)$.

ع̌ $\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{c}$. Temporal.
 $\lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau$ óv was "a copper (or bronze) coin worth $1 / 2$ of a quadrans or ${ }^{1 / 128}$ of a denarius" (LN 6.79). It was the smallest coin available (Bock, 2:1199).
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{o} \delta \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$. Aor act subj 2nd sg $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \delta i \delta \omega \mu$. Subjunctive in an indefinite temporal clause with $\varepsilon$ ع $\omega \varsigma$.

## Luke 13:1-5

${ }^{1}$ Now, at that very time some were present who told him about the Galilieans whose blood Pilate had mixed with their sacrifices. ${ }^{2}$ He responded and said to them, "Do you think that these Galileans were worse sinners than all the (other) Galileans because they suffered these things? ${ }^{3}$ No, I tell you! But if you do not repent, you will all likewise perish! ${ }^{4}$ Or those eighteen on whom the tower in Siloam fell and killed them, do you think that they were worse offenders than all the people who inhabit Jerusalem? ${ }^{5} \mathrm{No}$, I tell you! But if you do not repent, you will all perish as well."

##   av̉兀ธัv.

Пар $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Impf act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu$. Both Plummer (337) and Marshall (553) argue that the verb can mean either "to be present" or "to arrive." It appears, though, that the latter meaning requires some marker in the context, such as the preposition eiç ("to arrive at"; see LSJ, 1333.5), غ̇к ("to arrive from"; see LSJ, 1333.6), or $\pi \rho o ́ \varsigma$ ("to come to"; see Acts 12:20).
тıvec. Nominative subject of Пар $\tilde{\sigma} \sigma \alpha v$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \tilde{\omega} \tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\rho} \rho \tilde{\omega}$. Temporal. On the demonstrative use of aủtó, see 10:21 on'Ev aủtñ Tñ $\check{\sim}$ ©̈pạ.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \frac{1}{} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon$. Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$. The function of the participle will be attributive if Пар $\eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ means "they were present" and attendant circumstance (see 1:24 on $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma \alpha$ ) if Парף̃баv means "they arrived."

$\pi \varepsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \Gamma \alpha \lambda \iota \lambda \alpha i \omega v$. Reference.
 points out that this clause means that "Pilate killed them while they were busy offering sacrifices."
$\tilde{\omega} v$. Possessive genitive.
тò $\alpha \tilde{\imath} \mu \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \not \mu<\xi \varepsilon v$.

है $\mu \iota \xi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\mu i \gamma v \nu \mu$.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ̀ \tau \tau \tilde{v} \theta v \sigma \tau \omega ̃ v$. Association.
$\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\jmath} \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. Subjective genitive.

 $\pi \varepsilon \pi o ́ v \theta a \sigma \iota v ;$
 circumstance; see also $1: 19$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \kappa \rho(\theta \varepsilon i \varsigma)$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av่тoĩc. Dative indirect object of દĩлยv.
$\Delta$ окعĩтє. Pres act ind 2nd pl סокє่ $\omega$.
ö $\tau$ ı. Introduces the clausal complement (indirect discourse with a verb of cognition; see 1:22 on őtı) of $\Delta$ oкعitc.

$\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ ò. Predicate nominative.
$\pi \alpha \rho \grave{̀} \pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau o u ̀ \varsigma ~ \Gamma a \lambda ı \lambda \alpha i o v ̧ . ~ C o m p a r i s o n ~(c f . ~ 18: 14): ~ " a ~ d e g r e e ~$ which is beyond that of a compared scale of extent" (LN 78.29). Lit. "sinners more than all the Galileans."
ė $̧$ モ́vovto. Aor mid ind 3rd pl үívoual.
ötı. Introduces a causal clause.
$\tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\pi \varepsilon \pi o ́ v \theta a \sigma เ v$.
$\pi \varepsilon \pi \dot{o} v \theta a \sigma เ v$. Prf act ind 3rd pl $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \omega$.
 à $\pi \mathbf{o} \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \sigma \theta \varepsilon$.
oủxi. This appears to be the standard form of the negativizer when used as a reply, especially when followed by $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ (cf. 1:60; 12:51; 13:5; 16:30; BDAG, 742.2).
$\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\nu} \nu$. See 3:8.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$. The adversative conjunction introduces a clause that runs counter expectation.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v$. Introduces the protasis of a third class condition.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \tilde{\eta} \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act subj 2nd pl $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon ́ \omega$. Subjunctive with $\varepsilon$ ह̀àv. $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} v \tau \varepsilon$. Nominative subject of either $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \eta ̃ \tau \varepsilon$ or $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \theta \varepsilon$.

When used as a substantival subject in Luke $\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ almost always follows the verb it modifies.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta \varepsilon$. Fut mid ind 2nd pl $\dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$.

## 13:4 $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ह̉кะ  

 (see 1:36 on 'E入ıб́ $\beta \Omega \tau$ ) of what follows and is picked up by the resumptive pronoun aủtoì.

غ̀ $\varphi$ ’ oüc. Locative.
غ̈ँ $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi i \pi \tau \omega$.
о́ $\pi \dot{\prime} \rho \gamma \mathbf{o}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ër $\pi \sigma \varepsilon v$.
$\varepsilon ̇ \nu \tau \tilde{̣} \Sigma \mathbf{\Sigma} \lambda \omega \omega$ à $\mu$. Locative.
à $\pi \varepsilon ́ \kappa \tau \varepsilon เ v \varepsilon v . ~ A o r ~ a c t ~ i n d ~ 3 r d ~ s g ~ a ̀ \pi о к т \varepsilon i v \omega . ~$


ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces a clausal complement (indirect discourse with a verb of cognition; see 1:22 on őtı) of סокะі̃є.
av̉toì. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ غ่ $\hat{\varepsilon} v o v \tau 0$.
 than all."

ỏ $\varphi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ह́taı. Predicate nominative. This term (lit. "debtors") refers to "one who commits sin and thus incurs a moral debt" (LN 88.300). It thus carries a slightly different nuance than $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ oi (v. 2). Our translation follows the NET Bible.


тoùc катоוкои̃vтаৎ. Pres act ptc masc acc pl катоוкદ́ $\omega$ (attributive).
'İpovoa入n' $\mu$. Accusative direct object of катоוкои̃vтаৎ. With катоוк $\varepsilon$, in the sense of "to live in a locality for any length of time" (BDAG, 534.1), the place were the subject lives may be introduced by $\varepsilon i c ̧$ (e.g., Acts $2: 5 ; 7: 4$ ) or $\dot{\varepsilon} v($ Acts $1: 20 ; 7: 2,4$ ). Indeed, $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ is inserted here in many manuscripts ( $\boldsymbol{\aleph}$ A W $\Theta \Psi 070 f^{13} 1241 \mathfrak{M}$ ). Katoık $\omega$ may also be used with a direct object, however, in the sense of "to inhabit" (cf. Acts 2:9-11, 14; 19:10, 17; correcting Culy and Parsons, 17).

##  à $\pi \mathbf{o} \lambda \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta \varepsilon$.

ovxi. This appears to be the standard form of the negativizer when used as a reply, especially when followed by $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ (cf. 1:60; 12:51; 13:3; 16:30; BDAG, 742.2).
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v} \mu \mathrm{v} v$. See 3:8.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ '. The adversative conjunction introduces a clause that runs counter expectation.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v$. Introduces the protasis of a third class condition.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \eta ̃ \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act subj 2nd $\mathrm{pl} \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon ́ \omega$. Subjunctive with $\varepsilon \dot{a} \downarrow v$.
$\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} v \tau \varepsilon$. Nominative subject of either $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \eta ̃ \tau \varepsilon$ or $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{i} \sigma \theta \varepsilon$. When used as a substantival subject in Luke, $\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ almost always follows the verb it modifies.
$\boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma a v ่ \tau \omega \varsigma$. This adverb is likely used instead of ó $\mu \boldsymbol{o} \omega \varsigma$ (v. 3) simply for stylistic variation.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tilde{I}_{\sigma} \theta \varepsilon$. Fut mid ind 2nd pl àró̀ $\lambda \lambda \mu \mu$.

## Luke 13:6-9

${ }^{6}$ Then he proceeded to tell this parable: "A certain man had a fig tree planted in his vineyard, and he came looking for fruit on it but did not find (any). ${ }^{7}$ So he said to the gardener, '(It has been) three years since I first came looking for fruit on this fig tree and didn't find (any)! So, cut it down! Why should it even use up the soil?' ${ }^{8} \mathrm{He}$ responded and said to him, 'Sir, leave it alone again this year until I have loosened the soil around it and added fertilizer, ${ }^{9}$ and it might bear fruit in the future. But if not, you can cut it down.'"

##   kaì oủ $\chi$ ยũ $\rho$ عv.

${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \lambda \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon v$. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\gamma} \gamma \omega$.

$\Sigma \boldsymbol{v \kappa \tilde { \eta }} \boldsymbol{v}$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \frac{i}{x} \dot{\varepsilon} v$. The object, without its modifying participle, is fronted to highlight it as the focus of what follows.

عĩx $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} v$. Impf act ind 3rd sg ê $\chi \omega$. On the second accent, see 1:13 on ŋ̇ סદ́ๆбic.
$\tau \iota \varsigma$. Nominative subject of عĩx $\varepsilon$ v.
$\pi \varepsilon \varphi \cup \tau \varepsilon \cup \mu \varepsilon \dot{v} \nu \eta \nu$. Prf pass ptc fem acc sg $\varphi \cup \tau \varepsilon \cup ́ \omega$ (attributive, modifying $\Sigma u \kappa \eta ̃ v)$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\omega} \tilde{\alpha} \mu \pi \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{\omega} v \mathbf{v}$. Locative.
av̉тoṽ. Possessive genitive.
$\tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg ép $\quad$ о $\mu \alpha ı$.
$\zeta \eta \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. Pres act ptc nom masc sg $\zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. The participle could be read as purpose ("he came to look for fruit"), manner ("he came looking for fruit"), or less likely causal ("he came because he was looking for fruit").

кар $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ òv. Accusative direct object of $\zeta \eta \tau \tilde{\omega} v$.
દ̇v av̉tñ. Locative.
$\varepsilon \tilde{\rho} \rho \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg ev́píøк $\omega$.




ยі̃лยv. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\gamma} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ òs $\tau \mathbf{o ̀ v} \boldsymbol{a} \mu \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{o v} \rho \gamma \mathbf{o ́ v}$. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho$ òs aủtòv). The noun refers to "one who takes care of a vineyard" (LN 43.21).
'ISov̀. See 1:20.
$\tau \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ह̈tๆ. This neuter plural form should likely be viewed as a nominative used as an exclamation (see Wallace, 59-60) rather than an accusative indicating extent of time. The whole sentence, then, literally reads, "Three years . . . since I (began) coming looking for fruit on this fig tree and not finding (any)!"
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi \varphi^{\prime}$ oṽ. This is one example of several "relative phrases" that are fixed expressions built on a preposition and relative pronoun with no expressed antecedent. In this case, we have a temporal expression that means something like "from the time when" or "since" (see also 13:25; cf. 7:45 on $\dot{\alpha} \varphi^{\prime}$ خ̃¢), and likely involves $\chi \rho o ́ v o c$ as the implicit antecedent. Several manuscripts (A W $\Psi f^{1} 337001424 p c$ ) omit the phrase, perhaps for stylistic reasons (Willker, 197).

غ̈рхоиаı. Pres act ind 1st sg غ̈pхонаı. "When used with an expression of either past time or extent of time with past implications
［here，$\tau \rho i \alpha$ ë $\tau \eta$ ］．．．the present tense signals an activity begun in the past and continuing to present time＂（McKay，41）．
$\zeta \eta \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\zeta \eta \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ ．On the function，see verse 6.

карлòv．Accusative direct object of $\lceil\eta \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ ．

єúpíбкш．Pres act ind 1st sg عúpiбк $\omega$ ．On the tense，see above on ع̌рхонаи．

モ̌кко廿ov．Aor act impv 2nd sg غ̇ккóт $\tau \omega$ ．
［oṽv］．Inferential．The manuscript evidence for omitting or including ouṽv is evenly divided（cf．Omanson，136）．
aủtŋ̇ข．Accusative direct object of ěккочоv．
$i v a \tau i$. A shortened form（crasis）of ǐva ti $\gamma \varepsilon ́ v \eta \tau \alpha \iota$ meaning ＂why？＂（lit．＂in order that what might happen？）．
$\tau \grave{\eta} v \boldsymbol{\gamma} \eta v$ ．Accusative direct object of катарүعi．
$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \gamma \varepsilon \tilde{\text { in }}$ Pres act ind 3rd sg ката $\gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ ．It is not clear whether this verb，used with $\tau \eta \dot{\nu} \gamma \tilde{\eta} \nu$ ，points to waste of space，using up of the soil＇s nutrients，or both（so Marshall，555；Bock，2：1209）．

## 


ó．Nominative subject of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$（see 1：29 on $\dot{\eta}$ ）．
à $\pi \mathbf{o \kappa \rho ı \theta \varepsilon ̇ ̀ . ~ A o r ~ m i d ~ p t c ~ m a s c ~ n o m ~ s g ~ a ̀ r o к р i v o \mu a ı ~ ( a t t e n - ~}$ dant circumstance；see $3: 11$ on $\dot{\alpha}$ токрı $\theta \varepsilon i \varsigma)$ ．On the voice，see ＂Deponency＂in the Series Introduction．
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon$ ．Pres act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．On the significance of the histori－ cal present，see 7：40 on $\varphi \eta \sigma i v$ ．

Kúpıs．Vocative．
ä $\varphi \varepsilon \varsigma$ ．Aor act impv 2nd sg à $\varphi i \eta \mu$ ．
av̉兀ŋ̀v．Accusative direct object of ä $\varphi \varepsilon \varsigma$ ．
тои̃то тò ětoc．Accusative indicating extent of time．
$\varepsilon ̋ \omega \varsigma$ ő ơov．This PP with a genitive relative pronoun（from őotıৎ） as the object of the preposition（see also $12: 50 ; 22: 16$ ）is one of sev－ eral temporal relative phrases that functions as a fixed expression to denote＂the continuous extent of time up to a point＂（LN 67．119； cf．BDAG，730．6；and McKay，156，who calls them relative adverbial
conjunctions). For more on the so-called indefinite relative pronoun, see 1:20 on oïtıves.
 and thrown on manure."
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \kappa \dot{\alpha} \psi \omega$. Aor act subj 1st sg $\sigma \kappa \alpha \dot{\sigma} \tau \tau \omega$. Subjunctive in an indefinite temporal clause with $\varepsilon \approx \omega \varsigma$.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \mathrm{ì} \mathrm{aủt} \mathrm{\eta ̀v}. \mathrm{Spatial}$.
$\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega$. Aor act subj 1st sg $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$. Subjunctive in an indefinite temporal clause with $\varepsilon \approx \omega \varsigma$.
$\boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\delta} \pi \rho ı \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Accusative direct object of $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega$. Lit. "manure."

##  aủ่ท่า.

кâv. A shortened form (crasis) of kaì ôv.
$\mu \varepsilon ̀ \nu \quad \ldots \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$. This construction is used to contrast two possible outcomes (see also $3: 16$ on $\mu \varepsilon ̀ v ~ . ~ . ~ \delta ~ \delta \grave{\varepsilon}$ ).


عís тò $\mu \dot{\mu} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{\lambda} \mathbf{v}$. Temporal.
тò $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{v}$. Pres act ptc neut acc sg $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ (substantival).
عỉ $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma$. See 5:36. After affirmative clauses, as here, this string of particles can be rendered, "otherwise" (BDAG, 190. b.א, s.v. $\gamma \varepsilon)$. On the significance of the use of this expression to introduce a conditional clause, see 10:6 on $\varepsilon$ i. The content of the conditional clause ( $\pi$ оı $\ddagger \emptyset \eta$ карло̀v) has been omitted by ellipsis. Some scribes $\left(P^{45 v i d} \mathrm{ADW} \Theta \Psi f^{13} \mathfrak{R}\right)$ attempted to improve the style by changing the word order to $\varepsilon \dot{i} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon$ íc tò $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda$ ov ("but if not, in the coming year cut it down"; Omanson, 136). One scribe (070) solved
 $\mu \eta \mathcal{\eta}$ ("if it bears fruit in the coming year permit it [to live], if not. . ."; cf. Willker, 200).
 av̉兀ŋ̇v. Accusative direct object of દ̇кко́భદı૬.

## Luke 13:10-17

${ }^{10}$ Now, he was teaching in one of the synagogues on the Sabbath, ${ }^{11}$ and there was a woman (there) who had had a spirit that caused
an illness for eighteen years! She was bent over and unable to stand up completely straight. ${ }^{12}$ So when Jesus saw her, he called out and said to her, "Woman, you have been released from your illness!" ${ }^{13}$ Then he placed his hands on her and immediately she was straightened and began glorifying God. ${ }^{14}$ But the ruler of the synagogue, who was indignant because Jesus had healed on the Sabbath, responded and proceeded to say to the crowd, "There are six days on which one ought to work. So then, come and be healed on them, and not on the Sabbath day." ${ }^{15}$ The Lord responded to him and said, "Hypocrites! Does not each of you on the Sabbath untie his ox or donkey from its stall and, after leading (it) out, give it water? ${ }^{16}$ So shouldn't this woman, who is a daughter of Abraham whom Satan has bound for eighteen years, be set free from this bondage on the Sabbath day?" ${ }^{17}$ As he said these things, all those who were opposing him were put to shame, and the whole crowd was rejoicing at the wonderful things that were being done by him.

## 

${ }^{*} \mathrm{H} v$. Impf act ind 3rd sg عi $\mu \mathrm{i}$.
$\delta \mathbf{\delta} \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\delta \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega$ (imperfect periphrastic)

غ̇v $\mu t \tilde{a} \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. See 5:12.
غ̇v $\mu$ Iã̃. Locative.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \sigma v v a \gamma \omega \gamma \tilde{\omega} v$. Partitive genitive.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v$ тoĩ̧ $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \beta \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v}$. Temporal.


ídoù. See 1:20.
$\gamma v v \grave{\eta}$. Nominative subject of a nominal clause (see 5:12 on $\alpha$ à̀ $\rho$ ).
$\pi v \varepsilon \tilde{u} \mu \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ है $\chi o v \sigma \alpha$.
है $\chi o v \sigma \alpha$. Pres act ptc fem nom sg ê $\chi \omega$ (attributive).
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon i \alpha c$. . Genitive of product. The noun could refer to either "weakness" or "illness." The fact that the context points to an extended serious problem makes the latter a better translation.

हैँच $\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \alpha о к \tau \grave{\omega}$. Accusative indicating extent of time.
ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$ i.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\jmath} \boldsymbol{\pi} \tau \mathbf{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Pres act ptc fem nom sg $\sigma \cup \gamma \kappa u ́ \pi \tau \omega$ (imperfect periphrastic).
$\delta v v a \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta$. Pres mid ptc fem nom sg $\delta$ úvaんaı (imperfect periphrastic).
àvaкv̇భal. Aor act inf ảvaкv́ttc (complementary).
عis tò $\pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon$ c. This PP is synonymous with the adverb $\pi \alpha v$ $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$. It is unclear, however, whether it modifies $\delta u v a \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \eta \eta$ ("she was completely unable to straighten up") or ávaкú ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (see the translation). The latter is slightly more likely given the word order.


$i \delta \dot{\omega} \mathbf{v}$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg ó $\rho \dot{a} \omega$ (temporal).
$\alpha u ̉ \tau \eta ̀ v . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~ i \delta \omega ̀ v . ~$
ó 'İбoṽc. Nominative subject of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \varphi \omega \dot{\nu} \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \varphi \omega \dot{\nu} \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3 rd sg $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varphi \omega v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. The woman was some distance from Jesus and he "called out to" her (contra, e.g., NASB, NRSV, NIV). For more on this verb, see 6:13.
$\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av่ากั.. Dative indirect object of عĩ̃ $\tau v$.
「úvaı. Vocative.
à $\pi \mathbf{o} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \boldsymbol{v} \sigma a \mathrm{a}$. Prf pass ind 2nd sg à $\pi \mathbf{o} \lambda \dot{v} \omega$. Hobart (21) notes that although this is the only NT use of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \lambda \dot{v} \omega$ with reference to disease, Greek medical writers used the term to describe the relaxing of tendons and the taking off of bandages, among other things.
$\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \mathfrak{\alpha} \sigma \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon i \alpha c$. Genitive of separation.
бov. Subjective genitive.
 каì $̇ \delta o ́ \xi \alpha \zeta \varepsilon v ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \theta \varepsilon o ́ v . ~$

av̉тñ. Dative of location. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\dot{\eta} \mu i ̃ v$.

тарахр $\eta \mu \alpha$. See 1:64.
$\dot{\alpha} v \omega \rho \theta \dot{\omega} \theta \eta$. Aor pass ind 3 rd sg $\dot{\alpha} v o \rho \theta o \dot{\omega} \omega$. Hobart (22) notes that this verb is used by Greek medical writers to describe the straightening of abnormal or dislocated parts of the body.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \delta \dot{\delta} \xi \alpha \zeta \varepsilon v$ ．Impf act ind 3 rd sg $\delta o \xi \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$ ．On the ingressive transla－ tion，see 1：59 on غ̇к人́入ouv．
tòv $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ cóv．Accusative direct object of $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \delta \dot{\delta} \xi \alpha \Omega \varepsilon v$ ．





 the voice，see＂Deponency＂in the Series Introduction．
$\dot{o} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \iota \sigma v v \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \gamma \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ．Nominative subject of $\bar{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$ ．
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \kappa \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\alpha$ 人 $\alpha$ vakt $\dot{\varepsilon} \omega$（attributive）．
ötı．Introduces a causal clause．
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \sigma \alpha \beta \beta \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$ ．Dative of time．
غ̇ $\theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon v \dot{\omega} \omega$ ．

غ̈ $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$ ．Impf act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
$\tau \tilde{\omega}$ ő $\chi \lambda \omega$ ．Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon$ é $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \nu$ ．
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．Introduces the clausal complement（direct discourse；see also $1: 25$ on őтı）of $\check{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$ ．

عioiv．Pres act ind 3rd pl $\varepsilon i \mu i$ í．
èv aĩc．Temporal．
$\delta \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}$ ．Pres act ind 3 rd sg $\delta \varepsilon \tilde{\imath}$（impersonal）．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \gamma \dot{\alpha} \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \mathrm{a}$ ．Pres mid inf $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \gamma \alpha \dot{\zeta}$ Øouaı（complementary；see also 2：49 on عĩvai）．
èv av̉taĩc．Temporal．
oũv．Inferential．
 cumstance）．Attendant circumstance participles take on the mood of the verb they modify（see also $5: 14$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} v$ ）．
$\theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon v ่ \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ．Pres pass impv 2nd pl $\theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon v ่ \omega$ ．
$\mu \grave{\eta}$ ．This negativizer is used because the imperative $\theta \varepsilon \rho a \pi \varepsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ is implied．
$\tau \tilde{\eta} \mathfrak{\eta} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \rho a \mathfrak{a}$ ．Dative of time．
тoṽ $\sigma \alpha \beta \beta \dot{\alpha} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．Attributive genitive．



 àлокрı $\theta$ сіс.
av̉t $\mathfrak{e}$. Dative indirect object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \kappa \rho i \theta \eta$.

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ่ \gamma \omega$.
'Yлокрıтаі. Vocative.
ย̌кабтос. Nominative subject of $\lambda$ úعı.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Partitive genitive.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \beta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Dative of time.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\lambda$ ú $\omega$.
тòv $\beta$ oṽv . . . $\mathfrak{\eta}$ tòv ővov. Accusative direct object of $\lambda u ́ \varepsilon ı$.
av̉тoṽ. Possessive genitive.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{̀} \tau \eta \tilde{\varsigma} \varsigma \varphi_{\alpha} \tau \nu \eta \zeta$. Separation.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \gamma \grave{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega$ (temporal). Lit. "leading (it) away."




 woman to be set free?"
$\tau \alpha v ่ \tau \eta v$. Accusative subject of $\lambda \cup \theta \tilde{\eta} v a ı$. The substantival demonstative pronoun is placed at the very front of the sentence for prominence.

A $A \beta \rho a \grave{\alpha} \mu$. Genitive of relationship.
oṽoav. Pres act ptc fem acc sg عilui (attributive).
$\eta \eta v$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \delta \eta \eta \sigma v$.
そ̌ $\delta \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \Sigma \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \tau \alpha v \tilde{a} \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ है $\eta \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$.
íoov. See 1:20. Here, í $\delta$ ov serves to highlight the extensive period of time involved.

モ̋రعı．Impf act ind 3rd sg $\delta \varepsilon i ̃ ~(i m p e r s o n a l) . ~$
$\lambda v \theta \tilde{\eta} v a l$ ．Aor pass inf $\lambda \dot{v} \omega$（complementary；see also 2：49 on عĩvai）．
ànò тoṽ $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu$ oṽ toútov．Separation．
$\tau \underline{\pi} \eta \mathfrak{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho a$. ．Dative of time．
то⿱̃ $\sigma \alpha \beta \beta \dot{\alpha} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．Attributive genitive．

 тоі̃ৎ $\gamma เ v \circ \mu \varepsilon ่ v o เ \varsigma ~ ט ́ \pi ' ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ̃ . ~$

$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{c}$ ．Pres act ptc masc gen sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．Genitive absolute（see 2：2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvєv́ovtoc），temporal．
av̉тoṽ．Genitive subject of $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma o v \tau o \varsigma$.
 imperfect verbs to summarize the aftermath of Jesus＇actions and words．

 ject of кат！$\quad$ бúvovto．
av่̉ธฺ̣．Dative complement of oi ảvтıкعí

モ̇ $\chi \propto \iota \rho \varepsilon v$ ．Impf act ind 3rd sg $\chi \alpha i \rho \omega$ ．


$\dot{\mathbf{v}} \boldsymbol{\pi}$＇aủ̃oṽ．Ultimate agency．

## Luke 13：18－21

${ }^{18}$ Then he proceeded to say，＂What is the kingdom of God like and to what shall I compare it？${ }^{19}$ It is like a mustard seed that a man took and tossed into his garden，and it grew and became a tree， and the birds of the air nested in its branches．＂${ }^{20}$ Again he said，＂To what shall I compare the kingdom of God？${ }^{21}$ It is like leaven that a woman took and worked into three measures of wheat until the whole was completely leavened．＂

##  

"E $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
 double rhetorical questions "helps to pique interest, attracting attention to the target that follows."

Tivı. Dative complement of ó $\mu \mathrm{oi}$.
ónoía. Predicate adjective.
غ̇oтiv. Pres act ind 3rd sg عilui. On the retention of the accent, see 1:36 on દ̇бтìv.
$\grave{\eta} \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ દ̇бìv.
тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ. Subjective genitive (see also 4:43).
tivı. See 7:31.
$\dot{\boldsymbol{o}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Fut act ind 1st sg $\dot{o} \mu$ otó $\omega$. "The future is sometimes found in deliberative questions in place of the subjunctive" (McKay, 95; see also 11:5 on $\varepsilon$ ह゙ $\xi$ г).
av̉tク่v. Accusative direct object of $\dot{o} \mu \mathrm{ot} \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega$.



ónoía. Predicate adjective.
غ̇бтìv. Pres act ind 3rd sg عilui. On the retention of the accent, see 1:36 on દ̇бтìv.
ко́кк $\varphi$. Dative complement of ó $\mu$ оía.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v a} \pi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$. Attributive genitive or genitive of source ("seed from a mustard plant").
öv. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \beta \beta \lambda \varepsilon v$.
$\lambda \alpha \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ (attendant circumstance).
$\ddot{\alpha} v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ है $\beta a \lambda \varepsilon v$.
$\varepsilon ๕ \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$. It is unclear whether $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ عiऽ кף̃ $\pi$ ov is merely an idiomatic way of saying the man planted the seed (cf. BDAG, 163.3.b) or it carries a nuance of haphazardness to accentuate the point of the parable (see the translation).

عic к $\boldsymbol{n} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{v}$. Locative.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha v \tau 0 \tilde{v}$. Possessive genitive. Here, this form likely is synonymous with a possessive pronoun.
$\eta u ̋ \xi \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg aủ $\dot{q}^{\alpha} v \omega$.
غ̇үย́vยтo. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual.
عiç $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{v}$. Culy and Parsons (68) note that "The preposition $\varepsilon$ cis, with an accusative noun is frequently used as a substitute for a predicate modifier to indicate equivalence. . . . The construction usually occurs in Old Testament quotations, and thus typically reflects a Semitic influence" (see 3:5; 20:17). The future tense of $\varepsilon \dot{\mu} \mu i$ is typical in this construction (see Wallace, 47; cf. BDAG 291.8.a. $\alpha$ ).
$\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon เ v \grave{\alpha}$. Nominative subject of катєбкŋ่ $\nu \omega \sigma \varepsilon v$.
тoṽ oủpavoṽ. Genitive of source.
$\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \mathfrak{\eta} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\gamma}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg катабкๆvó $\omega$. Neuter plural subjects characteristically take singular verbs (see Wallace, 399-400).

av̉тoṽ. Possessive genitive.

## 

$\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
Tivı. See 7:31.
$\dot{\boldsymbol{o}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Fut act ind 1st sg $\dot{\delta} \mu$ otó $\boldsymbol{\omega}$. "The future is sometimes found in deliberative questions in place of the subjunctive" (McKay, 95; see also 11:5 on $\varepsilon$ ह゙孔દı).
$\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \beta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\omega} \lambda \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \alpha v$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\mathrm{O}} \mu \mathrm{o} \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega$.
тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ. Subjective genitive (see also 4:43).

## 13:21 ó $\mu \mathrm{oi} \mathrm{\alpha}$ દ̇ 

ó $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{o} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Predicate adjective.
غ̇бтìv. Pres act ind 3rd sg عijui. On the retention of the accent, see 1:36 on દ̇бтì.

$\mathfrak{\eta} \nu$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon ́ \kappa \rho \cup \psi \varepsilon v$.
$\lambda \alpha \beta o v ̃ \sigma \alpha$. Aor act ptc fem nom sg $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ (attendant circumstance).
$\gamma u v \grave{̀}$. Nominative subject of ह̇vغ́к $\rho \cup \psi \varepsilon v$.

 twelve liters (LN 81.23). So, Jesus' example involves a very large amount of dough.
à $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{v} \rho \mathbf{\rho o v}$. Genitive of material.
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ o $\boldsymbol{o}$. Temporal. The preposition and relative pronoun may be combined to form an idiomatic relative phrase (cf. Culy 1989b, 75-76) meaning, "at which time" or "until the time when." It is likely a bit more forceful than the simple $\varepsilon$ है $\omega$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \zeta \nu \mu \dot{\omega} \theta \eta$. Aor pass ind 3rd sg $\zeta u \mu o ́ \omega$.
ö $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{v} \boldsymbol{v}$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \zeta \nu \mu \dot{\omega} \theta \eta$.

## Luke 13:22-30

${ }^{22}$ Now, he was traveling from city to city and village to village teaching and journeying toward Jerusalem. ${ }^{23}$ And someone said to him, "Lord, will those who are saved truly be only a few?" He said to them, ${ }^{24 \text { "Strive to enter through the narrow door, for many, I tell }}$ you, will try to enter and will not be able. ${ }^{25}$ From the time when the householder gets up and locks the door, and you begin to stand outside and knock on the door, saying, 'Sir, open for us,' he will in fact respond and say to you, 'I don't know where you come from.' ${ }^{26}$ Then you will begin to say, 'We ate and drank in your presence, and you taught in our streets.' ${ }^{27}$ And he will certainly say to you, 'I don't know where you come from. Go away from me, all you evildoers!' ${ }^{28}$ There will be weeping and gnashing of teeth when you see Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob, and all the prophets in the kingdom of God but yourselves being thrown out! ${ }^{29}$ And (people) will come from east and west and from north and south, and they will take their places at the feast in the kingdom of God. ${ }^{30}$ Indeed, there are last who will be first, and first who will be last!"

##  

Kai. This conjunction is used with the imperfect verb to introduce background information before the first new development in the narrative is introduced with $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ and aorist verb in verse 23 .


$\delta \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\delta \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega$ (manner).
поряíav. Accusative direct object of $\pi$ ootoú $\mu$ voç. The phrase торвíav поьои́ $\mu \varepsilon$ voc literally means, "making (his) journey." McKay (24) suggests that this periphrastic verbal construction may have been used instead of $\pi о \rho \varepsilon$ v́o $\mu \varepsilon v o c$ "to avoid repetition because the leading verb is $\delta$ เєторєv่єто."

عic 'Iعробó入 $\boldsymbol{\nu} \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Locative.

##  $\pi \rho o ̀ ̧ ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau o v ́ \varsigma, ~$

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\tau \iota \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon v$.
av่̉โฺั. Dative indirect object of عĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$.
Kúpıs. Vocative.
$\varepsilon$ il. The vocative Kúpı\& makes it clear that the clause introduced by $\varepsilon \ell$ is direct discourse. Contra popular thinking, however, this does not mean that $\varepsilon i$ itself is being used to introduce a direct question. It is more likely that $\varepsilon i$ has been written in place of its homonym, the confirmatory adverb $\tilde{\eta}^{\tilde{j}}$ (thus the translation; see the full discussion at 6:9; cf. 22:49).
ò $\lambda \mathbf{i} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{o}$. Predicate adjective of a verbless equative clause.
oi $\sigma \omega \zeta$ ó $\mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v o l}$. Pres pass ptc masc nom $\mathrm{pl} \sigma \underline{\varrho} \zeta \omega$ (substantival). Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
ó. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon v$ (see 1:29 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ).
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ ò $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ủtov́s. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \alpha u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$


${ }^{\prime} A \gamma \omega v i \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$. Pres mid impv 2nd pl $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega v i \zeta \rho \mu \alpha u$. There is no basis for saying that the present tense emphasizes the continuing struggle (contra Bovon, 2:431). Instead, the tense likely marks this command as a general precept (see also 1:13 on $\varphi o \beta o u ̃)$.
 19.III).

ötı. Introduces a causal clause.

$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v} \mu \mathrm{v} \nu$. See 3:8.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\zeta \eta \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v o v i v}$. Fut act ind 3rd $\mathrm{pl} \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \dot{\omega} \omega$.




 દ̇ $\sigma \tau \varepsilon$.
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi \varphi^{\prime}$ oṽ $\mathfrak{\alpha} v$. On the form and function of this temporal relative phrase, see $7: 45$. Here, the addition of $\ddot{\alpha} v$ lends a sense of contigency to the temporal idea. The relative phrase should be viewed as starting a new sentence (contra Plummer, 347, who links $\dot{\alpha} \varphi$ ' oṽ $\alpha \ddot{ } v$ $\varepsilon ่ \gamma \varepsilon \rho \theta \tilde{n}$ with what precedes). Verse 25 contains a series of conjoined clauses that are all part of one compound temporal relative clause and introduce the temporal setting of the independent clause in $13: 26$. Nolland (2:734) admits that the string of conjunctions makes the translation difficult, and he offers two possible solutions: "either the clause following the second kai should have the opening clause subordinated to it (so: '[then] you will be standing outside . . .') or coordination should be kept through the verse." While Nolland opts for the first option, two factors point toward the second. First, the string of kais followed by tóte in 13:26 naturally lends itself to a two-part temporal progression. Second, the use of the subjunctive ${ }_{\alpha} \rho \xi \eta \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ makes it very likely that we are dealing with three con-
 the subjunctive and future were often used interchangeably in this period (see 7:4 on $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \dot{\xi} \eta$ ), almost all manuscripts read the subjunctive here, and Luke shifts to the future later in the parable ( $\varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \tau$ below and ${ }_{\alpha} \rho \xi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ in $v .26$, though many mostly later manuscripts
have the aorist subjunctive ${ }^{\alpha} \rho \xi \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ there $)$. What we have here, then, is the entirety of verse 25 serving as the temporal topic of what follows (see 1:36 on 'E $\lambda \iota \sigma \dot{\alpha} \beta \varepsilon \tau$ ), which is picked up with the resumptive adverb tóte in verse 26.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \rho \theta \tilde{\underline{1}}$. Aor mid subj 3rd sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon i \rho \omega$. Subjunctive with $\alpha ้ v$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.

$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{\alpha} \lambda \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \sigma \underline{1}$. Aor act subj 3rd sg à $\pi о \kappa \lambda \varepsilon i \omega$. Subjunctive with $\alpha$ äv.
$\tau \grave{v} \boldsymbol{\theta} \mathbf{v} \rho a v$. Accusative direct object of à $\pi о \kappa \lambda \varepsilon i \sigma \eta$.
$\alpha \ddot{\alpha} \xi \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$. Aor mid subj 2nd pl ${ }^{2} \rho \chi \omega$. Subjunctive with $\alpha$ äv.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} v a ı$. Prf act inf ïб $\tau \eta \mu \mathrm{I}$ (complementary).
$\kappa \rho o v ́ \varepsilon เ v . ~ P r e s ~ a c t ~ i n f ~ к \rho о и ́ \omega ~(c o m p l e m e n t a r y) . ~$

$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\tau \tau \varepsilon}$. Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (manner or attendant circumstance).

Kúpıs. Vocative.
ävoıほov. Aor act impv 2nd sg ảvoí $\gamma \omega$.
$\grave{\eta} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative of advantage.
 circumstance; see also $1: 19$ and $3: 11$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \kappa \rho ı \theta \varepsilon i \varsigma) . ~ O n ~ t h e ~ v o i c e, ~$ see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.

غ่ $\rho \varepsilon$ ĩ. Fut act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Although the future could simply be used as a functional equivalent to an aorist subjunctive (cf. 7:4 on $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \xi_{\eta}$ ), with this verb phrase continuing to outline the hypotheti-
 it is more likely that Luke shifts from the aorist subjunctive to the future indicative once he has set up the situation in order to highlight what the outcome will be in those circumstances (cf. 14:9). Rhetorically, the future tenses of $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \varepsilon \tau \tau a n d ~ a ̈ \rho \xi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ (v. 26) work with $\dot{\alpha} \varphi \varphi^{\prime}$ oṽ $\partial v$ to create a sense of certitude regarding the outcome, thus making the warning more stark.
v́rĩv. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \tilde{\imath}$.
oĩ $\delta \alpha$. Prf act ind 1st sg oĩ $\delta \alpha$. On the use of the perfect tense with this verb, see 4:34 on oĩ $\delta \dot{\alpha}$.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{a} \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of oĩ $\delta a$. This is an example of prolepsis, or anticipatory emphasis. In this construction, the conceptual subject of the verb in an indirect statement (e.g., oí $\delta \alpha \pi$ ó $\theta \varepsilon v$ $\dot{u} \mu \tilde{a} \varsigma$ عivval) is "given prominence by being expressed as the object
of the leading verb" (see McKay, 103, 108; cf. 4:34; 13:27; 24:7; Matt 25:24; John 9:8; 1 John 4:3). What could have been an indirect statement ( $\pi$ ó $\theta \varepsilon v$ ט́ $\mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma \varepsilon$ हĩval) becomes an interrogative clause that stands in apposition to the direct object: lit. "I do not know you, that is, where you are from."
$\pi \dot{\mathbf{O}} \theta \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$. The interrogative adverb serves as the predicate of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$. غ̇бтغ̇. Pres act ind 2nd pl $\varepsilon i \mu i$ i.


$\alpha{ }_{\alpha} \rho \xi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$. Fut mid ind 2nd $\mathrm{pl}{ }^{\alpha} \rho \chi \omega$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \iota v$. Pres act inf $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (complementary).
'Еழá $\gamma \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v$. Aor act ind 1st pl $\varepsilon$ $\sigma \theta i ́ \omega$.
 ठغ́ $\eta \sigma i \varsigma$.

غ̇пio $\mu \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 1st pl $\pi i v \omega$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \alpha i ̃ ৎ ~ \pi \lambda \alpha \tau \varepsilon i \alpha ı c$. Locative.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Possessive genitive.



$\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}$. Fut act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (manner). The text here is uncertain. The UBS ${ }^{4}$ reading is only supported by a few manuscripts $\left(P^{75 c} \mathrm{~B} p c\right)$. If it is original, it likely reflects the use of the Hebrew infinitive absolute construction ("saying, he will say"; cf. Omanson, 137) to intensify the statement (see the translation). Given the overwhelming textual support ( $\mathfrak{P}^{75 *}$ A D L R W $\Theta \Psi f^{1,13} \mathfrak{\Re p m}$ ) for the reading $\varepsilon \in \varepsilon i ̃ \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{\text { ú } \mu i ̃ v, ~ h o w e v e r, ~ w e ~ a r e ~ l i k e l y ~ d e a l i n g ~ w i t h ~}$ an early scribal error that sustituted $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$ for $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. The fact that codex $\boldsymbol{\aleph}$ and a few other manuscripts simply read $\varepsilon$ ह́peĩ ú $\mu i ̃ \nu$ does suggest an awareness of the reading $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\mu} v$, but does not significantly weaken the possibility that it represented an early mistake. Following the vast majority of manuscripts we would literally translate: "And he will say, 'I tell you. . ..'"
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\mu} v$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \tilde{\imath}$ or $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$, depending on which reading is followed (see above). If the UBS ${ }^{4}$ reading reflects a Hebrew infinitive absolute, úuiv should not be viewed as the direct object of $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \nu$.
oĩ $\delta \alpha$. Prf act ind 1st sg oĩ $\delta \alpha$. On the use of the perfect tense with this verb, see 4:34 on oĩ $\delta \dot{\alpha}$.
[ $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma]$. Accusative direct object of oĩ $\delta \alpha$. If the pronoun is original, we have another example of prolepsis here (see v. 25 on $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$ ), with the interrogative clause standing in apposition to $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\mu} c$. Otherwise, the interrogative clause serves as a clausal complement of oí $\delta a$.
$\pi \dot{0} \theta \varepsilon v$. The interrogative adverb serves as the predicate of $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon}$.
غ̇oté. Pres act ind 2nd pl $\varepsilon i \mu i$ i.
$\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{o} \sigma \tau \eta \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act impv 2nd pl $\dot{\alpha} \varphi i \sigma \tau \eta \mu$.
à $\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\prime}$ غ̀ $\mu \mathrm{o}$. Separation.


à $\delta$ ıкiac. Objective genitive.




ह̈бтaı. Fut act ind 3rd pl $\varepsilon$ ípí.

$\tau \tilde{\omega} v$ ỏ $\delta o ́ v \tau \omega v$. Objective genitive.
ö $\tau \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. On translating "when" rather than "whenever," see 6:22.
ő $\psi \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$. Aor mid subj 2nd pl ó $\rho \dot{\alpha} \omega$. Subjunctive with ö $\tau \alpha v$. There are three variants here. The unusual ő $\psi \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ is found in most manuscripts ( $\mathfrak{P}^{75}$ A B ${ }^{1}$ L R W $\Psi 070 f^{1} \mathfrak{N}$ ), while the future middle indicative ő $\psi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon\left(\mathrm{B}^{*} \mathrm{D} f^{13} 1241\right.$ al) and aorist active subjunctive $\imath \delta \eta \tau \varepsilon(\aleph \Theta)$ have limited attestation. McKay (160) plausibly argues that "the most likely explanation for o$\psi \eta \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ is that it is an aorist subjunctive, formed on the root ó $\pi-$, like ő $\psi \sigma \mu a \iota$ and $\ddot{\omega} \varphi \varphi \eta \nu$, so the variants would be attempts to correct this unusual form."
 Accusative direct object of ő $\psi \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$.

غ̇v $\tau \underline{n} \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon i ́ a ̣ . ~ L o c a t i v e . ~$
тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ. Subjective genitive (see also 4:43).
v́ $\mu \tilde{a} \varsigma$ ．Accusative direct object of an implied ő $\psi \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ．
غ̇к $\beta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mu \dot{v} \boldsymbol{v o v c .}$ ．Pres pass ptc masc acc pl $\grave{\kappa} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ ．Complement in a double－accusative object complement construction．The use of
 They witness both the blessing of the patriarchs and their own expulsion from the kingdom．



グそovotv．Fut act ind 3rd pl $\kappa \omega$ ．
àrò àvato $\lambda \tilde{\omega} v$ каì $\delta v \sigma \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ ．Source．
àtò $\beta$ oppã каì vótov．Source．
àvaк $\iota_{\imath} \theta \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ．Fut pass ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \dot{\alpha} v a \kappa \lambda i v \omega$ ．Here，＂to cause someone to assume a reclining（or possibly sitting）position as part of the process of eating＂（LN 17．24）．

غ̇v $\tau \mathfrak{n} \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon i^{\prime}$ ．Locative．
тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ．Subjective genitive（see also 4：43）．
 oî हैбovtaı हैбхато．
íoov̀．See 1：20．
عíoiv．Pres act ind 3rd pl $\varepsilon i \mu i$ í．
हैб $\boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ тot．Nominative subject of عiờv．
oil．Nominative subject of हैбov
है́oovtaı．Fut ind 3rd pl عìí．
$\pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau \mathbf{\tau}$ ．Predicate adjective．
عioiv．Pres act ind 3rd pl $\varepsilon$ iji．
$\pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau o t$ ．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ gioiv．
oí．Nominative subject of हैбovtaı．
દ̇бovtaı．Fut ind 3rd pl ciuí．
हैб $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ．Predicate adjective．

## Luke 13：31－35

${ }^{31}$ At that very hour，some Pharisees came to him，saying，＂Get away from here quickly because Herod wants to kill you．＂${ }^{32} \mathrm{He}$ said to them，＂Go and tell that fox，＇I am casting out demons and performing healings today and tomorrow，and on the third（day）

I will be finished! ${ }^{33}$ Nevertheless, it is necessary for me to continue traveling today, tomorrow, and on the following (day) because it is not possible for a prophet to die outside of Jerusalem.'"
${ }^{34 " J e r s u a l e m, ~ J e r u s a l e m, ~ w h i c h ~ k i l l s ~ t h e ~ p r o p h e t s ~ a n d ~ s t o n e s ~}$ those sent to it, how often I wanted to gather together your children just like a hen (gathers together) her own brood under (her) wings, and you were not willing! ${ }^{35}$ Your house is abandoned! I tell you, you will certainly not see me (again) until [(the time) comes when] you say, 'Blessed is the one coming in the name of the Lord!'"

##   ктะі̃val.

 see 10:21 on 'Ev aủtñ $\tau \tilde{̃}$ ©̈ $\rho a \underline{\text {. }}$
$\pi \rho o \sigma \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \dot{\alpha} v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \pi \rho o \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha 1$. On the second accent, see $1: 13$ on $\eta$ ๆ $\delta \dot{\eta} \eta \sigma i \varsigma$.

тıvє¢ Фapıoaĩo. Nominative subject of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \dot{\alpha} v$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v t \varepsilon}$. Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (manner).
$\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ט̉tẹ̃. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma . ~ L i t . ~ " c a m e, ~ s a y i n g ~ t o ~$ him."
 (lit. "go out and go from here") function like a doublet, intensifying the semantics.

порєv́ov. Pres mid impv 2nd sg торєv́o $\mu a$.
ötı. Introduces a causal clause.
'H $\dagger \hat{\varphi} \delta \eta \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon$.
$\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Pres act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \operatorname{sg} \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi о к \tau \varepsilon i ̃ v a l$.
$\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \kappa \tau \varepsilon \tilde{v} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathbf{a}$. Aor act inf $\mathfrak{\alpha} \pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon i v \omega$ (complementary).

 каì $\tau \tilde{n} \tau \rho i \tau \eta \tau \tau \lambda \varepsilon เ \frac{1}{\mu} \mu a$.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av̉兀oĩc. Dative indirect object of عĩл
 circumstance). Attendant circumstance participles take on the mood of the verb they modify (see also $5: 14$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} v$ ). On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\prime} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act impv 2nd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
 is obviously being used in a figurative sense. Louw (55) notes that "The fox was a symbol of a base and wicked person-a rascal."
'ISov̀. See 1:20.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
$\delta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mu \mathbf{\mu} \mathbf{v} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$.

$\dot{\alpha} \pi \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau} \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{\omega}$. Pres act ind 1 st sg $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \tau \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. In the context, this verb could either mean "to accomplish someth., but without special reference to a beginning" (so BDAG, 123.2; cf. LSJ, 222.4) or "to bring an activity to an end" (BDAG 123.1; cf. LSJ, 222.1), i.e., "I am casting out demons and completing my healings today and tomorrow."

тñ $\tau \rho i \tau!n$. Dative of time.
$\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ o \tilde{u} \mu a \mathrm{a}$. Pres mid/pass ind 1st sg $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ o ́ \omega$. Although this form could be taken as passive, with perhaps God being the agent (so Fitzmyer, 2:1031), a middle reading, which places focus on the subject, is more likely. It is the temporal NP that locates the time in the future. If the future tense is perfective (so Campbell), Luke may have used the present here to portray the future action in imperfective terms (but see also 3:9 on غ̇кко́лтєтaı). This would not, of course, hold true if the future carries no aspectual value (so, e.g., Porter, Fanning, Olsen, Decker).

##  

$\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} v$. Adversative. The conjunction likely sets up a contrast between Jesus' need to carry out his ministry and his need to continue traveling toward Jerusalem.
$\delta \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\delta \varepsilon \tilde{\imath}$ (impersonal).
$\mu \varepsilon$. Accusative subject of $\pi$ opev́ $\varepsilon \sigma \theta a \mathrm{a}$.
 of time. In this expression, where $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \alpha$ is implied, $\varepsilon$ é $\chi \omega$ carries
the temporal connotation of "immediately following" (BDAG, 422.11.b. $\beta$ ). Some manuscripts ( $\Re^{75} \boldsymbol{\aleph} \mathrm{D} \Lambda 69$ 157) read $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v!1$.
$\pi \mathbf{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \theta$ aı. Pres mid inf $\pi$ орєv́ouaı (complementary; see also 2:49 on عĩvai).
örı. Introduces a causal clause.
 "to be possible, in the sense of being fully in accord with human experience" (LN 71.4) or "to be acceptable to one's way of thinking" (BDAG, 332).
$\pi \rho \mathbf{\rho} \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \boldsymbol{v}$. Accusative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta \alpha$ a.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta a \mathrm{a}$. Aor mid inf $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \dot{\lambda} \lambda \nu \nu \mu \mathrm{~L}$. It is better to treat the infinitive clause as the subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} v \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha a$, rather than as complementary, since $\varepsilon$ év $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi$ ouaı does not clearly function in an impersonal manner elsewhere.
 as a spatial preposition.




 blet (here, a repetition of the same word; cf. 8:15 on $\varepsilon$ ह̇v кар $\delta i \underline{a}$ ка入ñ каì $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta \tilde{n}$ ) intensifies the emotional force of the address (cf. 8:24; Acts 9:4), likely highlighting affection and concern, as in 10:41. This is an example of apostrophe, a figure of speech in which a thing or a person who is not present is addressed directly for rhetorical effect.
 ciple could either be viewed as attributive or substantival in apposi-


$\lambda_{\imath} \theta o \beta o \lambda o v ̃ \sigma \alpha$. Pres act ptc fem nom sg $\lambda_{\imath} \theta_{\circ} \beta o \lambda_{\varepsilon} \omega$. The participle could either be viewed as attributive or substantival in apposition to 'I $\varepsilon \rho 00 \sigma \alpha \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu$.
$\tau \operatorname{lov} \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v c . ~ P r f ~ p a s s ~ p t c ~ m a s c ~ a c c ~ p l ~ a ̀ ~ \pi o \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ (substantival). Accusative direct object of $\lambda_{1} \theta_{\circ} \beta o \lambda_{o} \tilde{v} \sigma \alpha$.
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a v ̉ \tau \eta ่ v$. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \alpha u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
поба́кıऽ. The interrogative particle is used to formulate an excla-
mation (cf. 12:50 on $\pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma ;$ McKay, 92). It would also be possible to segment the text as a rhetorical question (as in the Textus Receptus and Westcott/Hort; cf. Omanson, 42, 137).
$\eta \dot{\eta} \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma$. Aor act ind 1 st $\operatorname{sg} \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$.

$\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon ́ \kappa v a$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \sigma u v \alpha \dot{\xi} \not \alpha \iota$.
oov. Genitive of relationship.
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{o} \boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{o} \boldsymbol{v}$. The internally headed relative clause (see 3:19 on $\pi \varepsilon \rho \mathrm{i}$ $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu \tilde{\omega} \nu \vee \dot{\varepsilon} \pi o i \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu \pi 0 \nu \eta \rho \tilde{\omega} v)$ likely produces an intensive expression: "in the very same manner."
őpvıs. Nominative subject of an implied $̇$ ह̇лı $\sigma \cup v \dot{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon \iota$.
 бuvá $\gamma \varepsilon$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha \cup \tau \tilde{c} \varsigma$. Genitive of possession.

$\eta \dot{\eta} \theta \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act ind $2 \mathrm{nd} \mathrm{pl} \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$.

 кирiov.
ídov̀. See 1:20 on íסoù.
àpíztal. Pres pass ind 3rd sg ảpíquı.
$\dot{v} \mu \mathrm{iv} v$. Dative of disadvantage or reference. Lit. "your house is abandoned for you!" The use of this pronoun together with $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ helps highlight the destruction foretold as their fate. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\dot{\eta} \mu i \pi$.
ó oîkos. Nominative subject of àpíctal.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Possessive genitive.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \dot{\mathrm{v}} \mu \mathrm{v} \nu$. See 3:8.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
そ $\delta \emptyset \eta \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$. Aor act subj 2nd pl ópáw. The subjunctive is used with ov̉ $\mu \eta$ ', which expresses emphatic negation (see also 1:15 on $\pi i \underline{i}$ ). On the second accent, see $1: 13$ on $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\eta} \eta \sigma i \varsigma$.
$\mu \varepsilon$. Accusative direct object of " $\delta \eta \eta \tau \varepsilon$.
$\ddot{\varepsilon} \omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Temporal. Klein (495, n. 42) notes that this is the only place in the NT where $\varepsilon \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$, occurs with the indicative rather than the subjunctive.
[ $\eta \xi \varepsilon ı]$. Fut act ind 3rd sg $\eta \not \kappa \omega$. The phrase $\eta \eta \xi \varepsilon \iota$ ő $\tau \varepsilon$ is omitted by many scribes $\left(P^{45} \mathfrak{P}{ }^{75} \aleph\right.$ B $f^{1,13}$ al) who presumably objected to the difficult construction (Marshall, 576-77).
[ötc]. The temporal particle may be used as a substitute for a relative pronoun following a word denoting time (BDAG, 731.1.b. $\gamma$ ). Citing this as an example, Caragounis (191) argues that authors who aimed at a more literary style during this period might choose to substitute ö $\tau \varepsilon$ for ö $\tau \alpha v$ and retain the subjunctive.
$\varepsilon \prime \prime \pi \eta \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act subj 2nd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Subjunctive in an indefinite temporal clause with $\varepsilon$ है $\omega \varsigma$.
 verbless equative clause.
 Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
èv ỏvó $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau}$. Manner.
кирiov. Possessive genitive.

## Luke 14:1-14

${ }^{1}$ And it happened that as he entered the house of one of the leaders of the Pharisees on a Sabbath day to eat some food they were watching him closely. ${ }^{2}$ And a certain man, right in front of him, was sick with dropsy. ${ }^{3}$ Jesus responded and spoke to the lawyers and Pharisees, saying, "Is it lawful to heal on the Sabbath, or not?" "But they were silent. So, taking hold of (the man) he healed him and sent him away. ${ }^{5}$ Then he said to them, "Which of you has a son or ox that falls into a pit and will not immediately pull him out on the Sabbath day?" ${ }^{6}$ And they were not able to respond to these things.
${ }^{7}$ Then he proceeded to tell a parable to the ones who had been invited, because he had observed how they were choosing the places of honor, saying to them, ${ }^{8 " W h e n ~ y o u ~ a r e ~ i n v i t e d ~ b y ~ s o m e-~}$ one to a wedding, do not sit down in the place of honor, in case someone more important than you has been invited by him, ${ }^{9}$ and the one who invited you and him will come and say to you, 'Give (your) place to this person.' And then, humiliated, you will be stuck with the least important place. ${ }^{10}$ Instead, when you are invited go and sit down to eat in the least important place, so that when the one who has invited you comes he will say to you, 'Friend, move up to a better place.' Then you will have glory before everyone dining
with you. ${ }^{11}$ Because everyone who exalts himself will be humbled and the one who humbles himself will be exalted."
${ }^{12}$ Then he also proceeded to say to the one who had invited him, "Whenever you prepare a meal, whether an early meal or a late meal, do not invite your friends or your siblings or your relatives or your rich neighbors, so that they do not also invite you in return and that be your reward. ${ }^{13}$ But whenever you prepare a banquet, invite the poor, crippled, lame, (and) blind; ${ }^{14}$ and you will be blessed, because they do not have (the ability) to repay you. For it will be repaid to you at the resurrection of the just."

 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \eta \rho о и ́ \mu \varepsilon v o t ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau o ́ v . ~$
éरéveto. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual. As is common, here kaì $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\gamma} v \varepsilon \tau \frac{1}{}$ helps mark the beginning of a new pericope (cf. 5:12; see also $1: 8$ on 'Eүع́veto).
 raneous time (see also 1:8 on iepatعúยıv). When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81). On the use of the aorist infinitive, see 3:21 on $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} v a l$.
av̉̃òv. Accusative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \theta \varepsilon i ̃ v$.
عiç oĩ̌óv. Locative. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ dénбic.
tıvoc. Possessive genitive.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi o ́ v \tau \omega v$. Partitive genitive.
[ $\tau \tilde{\omega} v]$ Фapıбai $\omega v$. Genitive of subordination.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \beta \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau} \omega$. Dative of time.

a̋ $\rho \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$. Accusative direct object of $\varphi$ वरץז̃v. Here, synecdoche (see 1:46 on $\dot{\eta} \psi v \chi \dot{~} \mu \circ v$ ) for "food."
av่̉тoi. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha v \pi \alpha \rho a \tau \eta \rho o u ́ \mu \varepsilon v o l$. On the use of the conjunction with aútós here, see 4:15.

ก̃ँav. Impf ind 3rd pl عi $\mu \mathrm{i}$.
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \eta \rho o u ́ \mu \varepsilon v o l$. Pres mid ptc masc nom pl $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (imperfect periphrastic).
aủtóv. Accusative direct object of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \eta \rho \circ \cup ์ \mu \varepsilon v o t$.

íoov̀. See 1:20.
äv $\boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\omega} \pi$ ós $\tau \iota \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} v$. On the second accent, see $1: 13$ on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ dén $\begin{gathered}\text { ís. } \\ \text {. }\end{gathered}$

ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$.
vid $\boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\pi}$ ıкòs. Predicate adjective. This disease involves "swelling resulting from the accumulation of lymph in the body tissues" (LN 23.164).
ě $\mu \pi \rho о \sigma \theta \varepsilon v$ av̉тоṽ. Locative. Lit. "before him."


 . . . saying." In this rare construction, a single act of speaking is referred to using three verbs of speech (cf. Matt 22:1). Whether this represents a Semitism, or projects a sense of a carefully considered response or touch of solemnity (cf. Davies and Allison, 273) is unclear. Runge has argued that when "redundant quotative frames" are used (see $1: 19$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ окрı $\theta \varepsilon i \varsigma)$ ), "the choice to use a redundant second verb has the effect of slowing the discourse like a speed bump, attracting attention to what follows" (Runge §7.3.1). Here, the rare use of three verbs of speech in the quotative frame would add to that effect significantly.
 circumstance; see also $1: 19$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi о к \rho(\theta \varepsilon i \varsigma)$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
 $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (manner).
 scipts ( $\mathfrak{P}^{45}$ A W $33 f^{f, 13} \mathfrak{M p m}$ ) insert $\varepsilon$ il before"E $\xi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$. In this reading, $\varepsilon$ l likely has been written in place of its homonym, the confirmatory adverb $\tilde{\eta}$ (see the full discussion at 6:9): "Is it really lawful to heal on the Sabbath or not?"
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha \beta \beta \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$ ．Dative of time．
$\theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon \tilde{v} \sigma \alpha \mathrm{a}$ ．Aor act $\inf \theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon v \dot{\omega} \omega$（complementary；see also 2：49 on हĩvaí）．
入uøev．
oi．The nominative article functions as the subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} \sigma \dot{\chi} \neq \alpha \sigma \alpha v$ （see also 1：29 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ）．
ŋ̀ $\sigma \dot{\chi} \chi \alpha \sigma \alpha v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd pl $\mathfrak{\eta} \sigma u \chi \dot{\zeta} \zeta \omega$ ．
 dant circumstance）．
iáoato．Aor mid ind 3rd sg iáoual．
aủtòv．Accusative direct object of láवato．
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\lambda} \nu \boldsymbol{v} \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg à $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \dot{v} \omega$ ．

 б人ßßа́тоv；
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ̀ c . ~ I n d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~(s e e ~ 1: 13 ~ o n ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ~ a u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$ عỉл $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
Tivos $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ viòs．Lit．＂a son of which of you．＂
Tívoc．Genitive of relationship．
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{v} v$ ．Partitive genitive．
viòs $\grave{\eta} \beta \mathbf{\beta o v e} \varsigma$ ．Nominative subject of $\pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$ ．Many manuscripts read ővoç（＂a donkey or ox＂；א K L X П $\Psi f^{1,13} p m$ ）or $\pi \rho o ß a t o v$ （＂sheep＂；D，following Matt 12：11）in place of viòs（ $\mathfrak{P}^{45} \mathfrak{P}^{75}$ B E G H N W $\Delta \Re p m$ ），perhaps to ease the＂unusval collocation of＇son＇and ＇ox＇＂（NET Bible）．Nolland（2：744），on the other hand，prefers ővos and rejects viòs as having＂been introduced in the face of a more extreme Jewish view（as reflected，e．g．，in CD 11：13－14）．＂
$\varepsilon i \zeta \varphi \rho \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\alpha} \rho$ ．Locative．
$\pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$ ．Fut mid ind 3rd sg $\pi i \pi \tau \omega$ ．＂The future is sometimes found in deliberative questions in place of the subjunctive＂（McKay， 95；see also 11：5 on $\varepsilon$ ह゙ $\xi$ ء）．
$\dot{\alpha} v a \sigma \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \varepsilon$ ．Fut act ind 3rd sg $\dot{\alpha} v a \sigma \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$ ．The ov̉k indicates that an affirmative answer to the question is expected．
av̉ $\tau \mathbf{o} v$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} v a \sigma \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \varepsilon$ ．
$\grave{\varepsilon} v{ }^{\eta} \mu \dot{\mu} \rho \underset{\rho}{ }$. Temporal.
тои̃ $\sigma \alpha \beta \beta \dot{\alpha} \tau o v$. Attributive genitive.
14:6 каì oủk ỉб $\chi v \sigma \alpha v$ ảv $\tau \alpha \pi о \kappa \rho ı \theta \tilde{\eta} v a ı ~ \pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \tau \alpha v ̃ \tau \alpha . ~$
ilo $\quad$ voav. Aor act ind 3 rd pl í $\chi \chi \dot{v} \omega$.
 On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction. Only here and in Rom 9:20 in the NT: "to respond to a question, with emphasis upon an implied opposition or contradiction" (LN 33.186).
$\pi \rho \mathbf{o ̀ s} \tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha$. Reference.


${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \lambda \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon v$. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
 aủtòv).

$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta o \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} v$. Accusative direct object of "E入 $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$.
غ̇ $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \omega \boldsymbol{v}$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $̇ \pi \varepsilon ́ \chi \omega$ (causal).


$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (attendant circumstance; see also 1:24 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma 0 v \sigma \alpha)$. Here, the use of a second verb of speech (see $1: 19$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi о к \rho ı \theta \varepsilon i \varsigma) ~ l i k e l y ~ s t e m s ~ f r o m ~ t h e ~ n e e d ~ t o ~ r e e s t a b l i s h ~$ that Jesus is about to speak after the lengthy participial phrase

$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ́ s . ~ I n d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~(s e e ~ 1: 13 ~ o n ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ v) . ~$

 av̉тoṽ,
"Otav. On translating "when" rather than "whenever," see 6:22.
$\kappa \lambda \eta \theta \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$. Aor pass subj 2nd sg ка入غ́ $\omega$. Subjunctive with "Otav.
útó tıvoc. Ultimate agency.
عic $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mu \mathbf{\mu} \mathbf{\varphi}$. Locative. Bovon (2:488) notes that it is the wedding celebration or banquet that is in view.
 subjunctive). It is unclear whether this form of катак $\lambda i v \omega$, which only occurs in Luke (also 7:36; 9:14, 15; 24:30), should be viewed as middle (see the translation) or passive (presumably, "allow yourself to be seated"). Given the focus on the person's initiative (see v. 10) and broad usage, middle voice is more likely.

$\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau}$. A marker of negated purpose (BDAG, 648.2). McKay (142) notes that "fear that something may happen or prove to have happened is expressed by $\mu \dot{\prime}$ with the subjunctive (often with an indefinite adverb, $\pi \circ \tau \varepsilon, \pi \omega \varsigma$ or $\pi 0 v$ added)." He goes on to translate this verse: "when you are invited to a wedding breakfast, don't take the position of honour, in case (for fear that) someone more important . .." (143).

घ̇vтıцóтєро́s. Nominative subject of ond accent, see 1:13 on $\eta$ ŋ́ $\delta \dot{\text { én }} \boldsymbol{\sigma i c}$.
oov. Genitive of comparison.

$\kappa \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \varepsilon ́ v o c$. Prf pass ptc masc nom sg $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (perfect periphrastic).

ט̇̃' av̉тoṽ. Ultimate agency.


$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg êp $\chi \circ \mu a ı$ (attendant circumstance or temporal; on the former, see 11:8 on $\dot{\alpha} v a \sigma \tau \alpha \grave{c})$. The temporal reading, which might be supported by the clear temporal expression
 when the one who invited you . . . comes, he will say to you. . . ."
 Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ غ $\varepsilon \tilde{\text { in }}$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ と̀ кaì aủtòv. Accusative direct object of кa入દ́бac.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \varepsilon \tilde{\text { in }}$. Fut act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \operatorname{sg} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Now that the hypothetical situation has been established, Luke shifts from the aorist subjunctive to the future indicative in order to highlight what the outcome will be should those circumstances come about (cf. 13:25).
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{o}$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon$ ह่ $\varepsilon$ ĩ.
$\Delta$ òs. Aor act impv $2 \mathrm{nd} \operatorname{sg} \delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
тov́t $\omega$. Dative indirect object of $\Delta$ òs.
тó $\boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}$. Accusative direct object of $\Delta$ òs.
 highlights the ongoing shame that would come from being moved to the last seat. Lit. "you will begin to occupy."
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ aío $\chi \mathbf{v} v \eta \varsigma$. Association or manner. Lit. "with shame."

$\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \varepsilon เ v$. Pres act inf кат $\dot{\chi} \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Although Louw and Nida argue that the verb here means, "to come to be in a place, to occupy" (85.9), this is probably reading too much of the context into the semantics of the verb. The verb more likely simply denotes, "to have or possess objects or property" (LN 57:1) or "to have a place as one's own" (BDAG, 533.5).


 $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ бot.
ötav. On translating "when" rather than "whenever," see 6:22.
$\kappa \lambda \eta \theta \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$. Aor pass subj 2nd sg ка入 $\bar{\varepsilon} \omega$. Subjunctive with öтаv.
$\pi о \rho \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon i \varsigma$. Aor mid ptc masc nom sg порعט́ouaı (attendant circumstance). On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
àvá $\pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon$. Aor act impv 2nd sg àva $\pi i \pi \tau \omega$.



ötav. On translating "when" rather than "whenever," see 6:22.
 expresses a contingent temporal element.

о́ кєк $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \dot{\omega}$. Prf act ptc masc nom sg ка入 $\dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (substantival). Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ हृĩ.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \varepsilon$. Accusative direct object of $\kappa \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \kappa \dot{\omega} \varsigma$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}$. Fut act ind 3 rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. The future is occasionally used in place of the subjunctive with ivva, as here (cf. 19:40 on $\sigma \iota \omega \pi \mathfrak{\sigma} \sigma 00 \sigma \iota \nu$, where the future is used with $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v$ ). In such instances, McKay (133)
notes that there are typically variant readings with the subjunctive (here A D W $\Psi f^{1,13} \mathfrak{\Re}$ read $\varepsilon \prime \prime \pi \eta$ ). Jannaris (Appendix IV, $\S 11$; cited in Caragounis, 557) has pointed out that as the aorist subjunctive began to take the place of the future indicative, the future was occasionally used in place of the subjunctive in reaction to this phenomenon, even to the point of sometimes having the future used with iva but spelled with the thematic vowel ( $\eta$ and $\omega$ ) of the subjunctive. Caragounis (117, n. 100) suggests that although the subjunctive was still the dominant form used with îva, the indicative was beginning to be used during this period, with no difference in meaning, and became increasingly common in post-NT times.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{t}$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon$ घ่ $\varepsilon$ ĩ.
$\Phi i \lambda \varepsilon$. Vocative.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \alpha v \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta \theta$ 七 $\mathfrak{\alpha} v \dot{v} \tau \varepsilon \rho o v$. Lit. "go up to a higher (place)." Plummer (358) notes that the clause could mean "'Come up higher,' i.e. to where the host is sitting."
$\pi \rho o \sigma \alpha v \dot{\alpha} \beta \boldsymbol{\eta} \theta$. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\pi \rho o \sigma \alpha v \alpha \beta a i v \omega$.

бot. Dative of possession or advantage. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu i ̃ v$.
$\delta \mathbf{o} \xi \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Nominative subject of हैбтаı.

 (substantival).
$\boldsymbol{\sigma o t}$. Dative complement of бuvavaкєцц்้ $\omega v$.

##  

ötı. Epexegetical conjunction.
$\pi \tilde{a} ৎ$ ó $\mathbf{v} \psi \tilde{\omega} v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg ú $\psi o ́ \omega$ (substantival; see 1:66
 The sense here is "to cause enhancement in honor, fame, position, power" (BDAG, 1046.2).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha \cup \tau \grave{v} v$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{v} \psi \tilde{\omega} v$.
$\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon เ v \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha a$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg талєıvó $\omega$.
ó $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon เ v \tilde{\omega} \nu$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg тa兀єıvó $\omega$ (substantival). Nominative subject of $\dot{\cup} \psi \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \downarrow$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha v \tau o ̀ v$ ．Accusative direct object of $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon เ v \tilde{\omega} v$ ． $\dot{v} \psi \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha a$ ．Fut pass ind 3rd sg ú $\psi o ́ \omega$ ．




＂E $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$ ．Impf act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
$\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ ．There is no scene change from 14：1－6，but the conjunction marks what follows as the next development in the narrative．

Dative indirect object of＂E $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$ ．
av̉ $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ v．Accusative direct object of кєк $\lambda \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \iota$.
＂Otav．On the translation＂whenever＂here，see 6：22．
$\pi o \not n ̃ c . ~ P r e s ~ a c t ~ s u b j ~ 2 n d ~ s g ~ \pi o ו \varepsilon ́ \omega . ~ S u b j u n c t i v e ~ w i t h ~ " O \tau \alpha v . ~$
äpıotov ŋ̉ $\delta \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \mathbf{v o v}$ ．Accusative direct object of toiñc．The use of $\eta$ juggests that Luke is not using the two terms synonymously，i．e．， ＂meal or meal．＂Rather，the former likely refers to the noon／early meal and the latter to the evening／main meal（cf．LN 23．23，25）．
$\varphi \dot{\omega} v \varepsilon$ ．Pres act impv 2nd sg $\varphi \omega v \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$（prohibition）．
тoùs ழỉ $\pi \lambda$ dovaiovs．Accusative direct object of $\varphi \dot{\omega} \varepsilon \varepsilon ⿺$ ．Marshall（584）rightly notes that toù $\mathfrak{\alpha} \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi$ oús may refer to immediate family here．

бov．．． $\boldsymbol{\sigma o v}$ ．．． $\boldsymbol{\sigma o v}$ ．Genitive of relationship．
$\mu \eta \dot{\eta} \pi \mathbf{\tau}$ ．Introduces a negative purpose clause．
av̉兀oì．Nominative subject of àvtıка入 $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \omega \sigma i v$ ．
 $\mu \eta$ ๆотв．
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ．Accusative direct object of àv $\tau \iota \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \omega \sigma i v$ ．
$\gamma \varepsilon ́ v \eta \tau a ı$ ．Aor mid subj 3rd sg $\gamma i v o \mu a ı$ ．Subjunctive with $\mu \eta \dot{\eta} \pi о \tau \varepsilon$.
$\dot{\alpha} v \tau \boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{o ́}^{\delta} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ．Nominative subject of $\gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \eta \tau \tau \alpha$ ．On the second accent，see 1：13 on $\eta$ ŋ $\delta$ ह́ $ך \sigma i c$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{o}$ ．Dative of advantage or possession．


$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ．Objective genitive．
ö $\tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$. On the translation "whenever" here, see 6:22.
反oxク̀v. Accusative direct object of noוñ̃.
$\pi$ oñ̃c. Pres act subj 2nd sg $\pi$ oté $\omega$. Subjunctive with ötav.
$\boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Pres act impv 2nd sg к $\alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
 object of ка́ $\lambda \varepsilon$.


$\mu \boldsymbol{\kappa} \alpha \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\prime} \boldsymbol{c}$. Predicate adjective.
हैб!!. Fut mid ind 2nd sg عiцi.
ötı. Introduces a causal clause.
غ̈ $\chi$ ovorv. Pres act ind 3rd pl $\varepsilon$ ê $\chi \omega$.
$\dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha \pi o \delta o v ̃ v a i . ~ A o r ~ a c t ~ i n f ~ \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha \pi o \delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{I}$ (direct object). Lit. "they do not have to repay you."
$\boldsymbol{\sigma o t}$. Dative indirect object of àvтato
$\dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha \pi \mathbf{o \delta o \theta} \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha a$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg ảv $\tau \alpha \pi o \delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
$\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$. Causal, modifying $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha ́ \rho ı o \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ̌ \sigma!!. ~$

èv $\tau \underline{̃} \mathfrak{a} v a \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \varepsilon$. . Temporal.
$\tau \tilde{v} \boldsymbol{\delta}$ \&каí $\omega v$. Objective genitive.

## Luke 14:15-24

${ }^{15}$ Now, when one of those dining with him heard these things, he said to him, "Blessed is the one who eats a meal in the kingdom of God." ${ }^{16}$ Then he said to him, "A certain man was preparing a special meal and had invited many people. ${ }^{17}$ So he sent his slave at the time of the meal to say to those who had been invited, 'Come, because it is now ready.' ${ }^{18}$ But they all, without exception, began to make excuses. The first one said to him, 'I (just) bought a field and need to go out and see it. I ask you, (please) consider me excused.' ${ }^{19}$ And another said, 'I (just) bought five pair of oxen and am going to examine them. I ask you, (please) consider me excused.' ${ }^{20}$ And another said, 'I just got married and because of this I am not able to come.'"
${ }^{21}$ "When the slave returned, he told his master these things. Then the householder became angry and said to his slave, 'Go out
quickly into the streets and alleys of the city, and bring the poor and crippled and blind and lame here.' ${ }^{22}$ The slave replied, 'Master, what you commanded has been done, and there is still room.' ${ }^{33}$ So the master said to the slave, 'Go out into the roads and paths and force (people) to come in, so that my house might be full. ${ }^{24}$ For I tell you, none of those men who were invited will taste my meal!'"

##  

’Aкоv́бac. Aor act ptc masc nom sg àкоv́ $\omega$ (temporal).
$\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$. There is no scene change from 14:1-14, but the conjunction marks what follows as the next development in the narrative.
$\tau \iota \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \frac{\pi}{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$.
 (substantival). Partitive genitive.

тaṽта. Accusative direct object of 'Aкov́бac.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av̉兀 $\tilde{\omega}$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
Maка́pıoc. Predicate adjective of a verbless equative clause.
öбтıs. Nominative subject of $\varphi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$. The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ö), which as a whole
 of a verbless equative clause. For more on the so-called indefinite relative pronoun, see 1:20 on oïtıves.

ä $\rho \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{v}$. Accusative direct object of $\varphi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon \tau \alpha 1$. Here, synecdoche (see 1:46 on $\dot{\eta} \psi v \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{ov}$ ) for "food" or "a meal."
દ̇v $\tau \mathfrak{1} \mathfrak{\beta} \beta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \iota \lambda \varepsilon$ íạ. Locative.
тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ. Subjective genitive (see also 4:43).


ó. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon v$ (see 1:29 on $\dot{\eta}$ ).
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.

 20) notes that "sentences at the beginning of discourses commonly
open with a non-verbal constituent" to mark a point of departure for the story that follows.

غ̇поíع. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\pi$ oı́̇ $\omega$.

èкá $\lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \operatorname{sg} \kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\pi \mathbf{\sigma} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{o v} \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$.


$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg à $\pi 0 \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
тòv $\delta \mathbf{\delta o v ̃ \lambda o v . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~} \alpha \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \varepsilon \iota \lambda \varepsilon v$.
av่̉oũ. Possessive genitive.
$\tau \tilde{n}\rceil \dddot{\omega} \rho a$. . Dative of time. Lit. "at the hour."
тoṽ $\delta \varepsilon i \pi n \mathbf{v o v}$. "At the hour when the meal would be served."
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v$. Aor act inf $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (purpose).
 Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon$ घi爪عiv.

ő $\tau$. Introduces a causal clause.

ह̈тоцца́. Predicate adjective.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg ei $\mu \mathrm{i}$. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عíu.




ท̋ $\rho \xi$ аvтo. Aor mid ind 3rd pl ä $\rho \chi \omega$.
à $\pi \grave{o} \boldsymbol{\mu} \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$. Lit. "from one." It is not clear what feminine noun is implied in this adverbial expression, perhaps $\gamma v \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \varsigma$, $\dot{\rho} \rho \mu \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$, $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \eta \varsigma$, or $\varphi \omega \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \varsigma$ (BDAG, 107.6). The expression likely means "unanimously," though it could be an Aramaism for "at once" (see BDAG, 107.6).
$\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\eta \rho \rho \xi \alpha \nu \tau 0$.
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \tau \varepsilon i ̃ \sigma \theta a \iota$. Pres mid inf $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \tau \varepsilon \dot{c} \mu a \iota$ (complementary). On this use of the verb, see below on $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \tau \eta \mu \varepsilon ่ v o v$.
$\dot{\mathbf{o}} \pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{c}$. Nominative subject of عĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tilde{\pi} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$.
av̉า $\tilde{\text {. }}$. Dative indirect object of عĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$.
A $\mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{o}} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \gamma \mathbf{o} \rho \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \alpha$. This expression is apparently still used as an excuse in modern Greek (Caragounis, 71, n. 17).

A $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \rho \mathbf{\rho} \mathbf{v} v$. Accusative direct object of $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma$ ópa $\sigma \alpha$.


$\dot{\alpha} v \dot{\alpha} \gamma \kappa \eta \geqslant$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ है $\chi \omega$. Lit. "I have need."
$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\grave{\xi} \xi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi o \mu a ı$ (attendant circumstance).
íعĩ̃. Aor act inf ópó $\omega$ (epexegetical).
av̉tóv. Accusative direct object of ídeĩv.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \omega \tau \tilde{\omega}$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \omega \tau \dot{\alpha} \omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \varepsilon$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \tilde{\omega}$.

$\boldsymbol{\mu \varepsilon}$. Accusative direct object of $\begin{gathered} \\ \chi \\ \text { ® }\end{gathered}$.
$\pi \alpha \rho \eta \tau \tau \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v o v$. Prf pass ptc masc acc sg $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \mu \alpha ı$. Complement in an object-complement double accusative construction (contra Wallace, 646, who treats it as indirect discourse): lit. "hold me excused." When "used in connection w. an invitation, it becomes a euphemism in the sense [of] excuse" (BDAG, 764.2.a). This concise expression is still in use in the Greek language today (Caragounis, 74, n. 57).



ย̈tepoc. Nominative subject of عĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$. $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.

$\beta \mathbf{o} \tilde{\omega} v$. Genitive of measure.

торєv́o $\mu a 1$. Pres mid ind 1st sg поргv́o $\mu a 1$.


$\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \omega \tau \tilde{\omega}$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \dot{\alpha} \omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \varepsilon$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \omega \tilde{\omega}$.
$\varepsilon \notin \chi \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd sg $\varepsilon$ é $\omega$.
$\mu \varepsilon$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ é $\chi \varepsilon$.
$\pi \alpha \rho \eta \tau \eta \mu \varepsilon \dot{v} \boldsymbol{v o v}$. Prf pass ptc masc acc sg $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \tau \varepsilon \dot{o} \mu \alpha u$. Complement in a double accusative object-complement construction (see also 14:18).
 غ̀ $\lambda \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon ̃ \nu$.

ётєрос. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$. $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.

Гuvaĩкa. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ है $\eta \eta \mu$.
$\varepsilon ̈ \gamma \eta \mu \alpha$. Aor act ind 1st sg $\gamma \alpha \mu \varepsilon ́ \omega$.
סıà toũto. Cause. See also 12:22 on סıà toũto.
סúvaraa. Pres mid ind 1st sg סúva $\mu a \mathrm{l}$.





 poral). Lit. "arrived."
ó $\delta$ oṽ $\lambda \mathbf{o c}$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon ı \lambda \varepsilon v$. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\eta} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon ı \lambda \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg ả $\pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$. $\tau \tilde{\varphi} \boldsymbol{\kappa v} \boldsymbol{\rho} \dot{\varphi} \varphi$. Dative indirect object of à $\boldsymbol{\pi} \dot{\gamma} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \iota \lambda \varepsilon v$. av่̉ธoṽ. Genitive of subordination.
$\tau \alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\mathfrak{\alpha} \pi \dot{\gamma} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \iota \lambda \varepsilon \nu$.
 cumstance).

$\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{\delta o u ́ \lambda} \boldsymbol{\varphi}$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \varepsilon$.
av̉toṽ. Possessive genitive.
"E $\xi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon$. Aor act impv 2nd sg $̇ \xi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi o \mu \alpha ı$.
عís tà¢ $\pi \lambda a \tau \varepsilon i \alpha c ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \rho u ́ \mu a c . ~ L o c a t i v e . ~$
$\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \pi$ лó $\lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$. Partitive genitive.
 sative direct object of $\varepsilon$ íซव́ $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \gamma \varepsilon$.
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\imath} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \gamma \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Aor act impv 2nd sg عỉó่ $\gamma \omega$.
 то́лоৎ દ̇бтiv.
$\varepsilon \tilde{i} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
ó $\boldsymbol{\delta o v ̃ \lambda o c . ~ N o m i n a t i v e ~ s u b j e c t ~ o f ~ \varepsilon i ̃ \pi \varepsilon v . ~}$
Kúpız. Vocative.
ү $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{o v e v}$. Prf act ind 3rd sg $\gamma$ ivoual.
ö. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha \xi \alpha \varsigma$. The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ö), which as a whole (ő $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha \xi a \varsigma)$ serves as the subject of $\gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \quad \mathrm{ovev}$.

то́тос. Nominative subject of $\grave{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau i v$.
غ̇бтiv. Pres act ind 3rd sg عíui.


$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
ó кúpıoc. Nominative subject of عĩлєv.
$\pi \rho$ òs tòv $\boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{O} \tilde{\mathbf{v}} \lambda \mathbf{o v}$. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a v ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$

 eral term for a thoroughfare, either within a population center or
 path or area along a fence, wall, or hedge" (LN 1.105). Nolland (2:757) may be correct, however, in seeing the two terms as referring to a single idea: "the situation outside the town where the rural roads are abutted by the hedges or fences surrounding the fields."
àvá $\gamma \kappa \alpha \sigma o v$. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \dot{\zeta} \zeta \omega$. The understood
 $\chi \omega \lambda$ oùs.
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \lambda \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act inf $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \bigcirc \mu \alpha 1$ (complementary).
iva. Introduces a purpose clause.
$\gamma \varepsilon \mu \boldsymbol{\sigma} \theta \tilde{n}$. Aor pass subj 3 rd $\operatorname{sg} \gamma \alpha \mu i \zeta \omega$. Subjunctive with ǐva.
$\mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$. Possessive genitive.
ó oĩкoc. Nominative subject of $\gamma \varepsilon \mu \sigma \theta \hat{\eta}$.


$\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega . .$. vínĩv. See 3:8.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. It is difficult to determine who the speaker is here. On the one hand, the use of the plural $\dot{u} \mu \tilde{v} \nu$ when the householder has been interacting with a single servant suggests that this is Jesus himself highlighting the implications of the parable for his audience. On the other hand, the use of $\mu \mathrm{ov}$ in the statement that follows may suggest that the speaker is still the householder, though Jesus could plausibly be referring to his own $\delta \varepsilon i \pi v o v$. On the whole, we prefer to take the speaker as the householder, with vjuiv either broadening the audience to include those present as the householder speaks to his slave or perhaps being the literary equivalent of a stage actor (here, a parable character) turning and making a comment to the audience.
$\gamma$ à $\rho$. Inferential (see also 1:15), used in "self-evident conclusions, esp. in exclamations, strong affirmations, etc." (BDAG, 190.3).
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also 1:25 on ő ö) of $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
ov̉סعic. Nominative subject of $\gamma$ عv́бยтаi.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \mathfrak{a} v \delta \rho \tilde{\omega} v \varepsilon$ ėk $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\omega} v$. Partitive genitive.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \kappa \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v \omega v$. Prf pass ptc masc gen $\mathrm{pl} \kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (attributive).
$\gamma \varepsilon v ́ \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha i$. Fut mid ind 3rd sg $\gamma \varepsilon$ v́o $\mu a \mathrm{a}$.
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Possessive genitive.
тoṽ $\delta \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{v o v}$. Genitive object of $\gamma \varepsilon v ่ \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha i$.

## Luke 14:25-35

${ }^{25}$ Now, large crowds were going along with him, and he turned and said to them, ${ }^{26 " I f}$ anyone comes to me and does not hate his own father and mother and wife and children and brothers and sisters, and even his own life, he cannot be my disciple. ${ }^{27}$ Whoever does not carry his own cross and follow me cannot be my disciple. ${ }^{28}$ Who among you, when wanting to build a tower, does not first, after sitting down, calculate the cost, (to see) if he has (enough) for
completing (it)? ${ }^{29}$ (A person does this) so that everyone watching never begins to ridicule him because he has laid the foundation and is unable to finish, ${ }^{30}$ saying, 'This man began to build and was not able to finish!' ${ }^{31}$ Or what king, when going (out) to meet another king in battle, will not first sit down and carefully consider if he is able with ten thousand (men) to face the one coming against him with twenty thousand? ${ }^{32}$ Otherwise, while he is still far away, by sending a representative he requests terms for peace. ${ }^{33}$ In this manner, then, every one of you who does not give up all your possessions cannot be my disciple."
${ }^{34}$ "So then, salt is good, but if in fact salt loses its flavor, how will it be made salty again? ${ }^{35}$ It is fit neither for the soil nor for the manure pile. (People) just throw it away! The one who has ears to hear, let him hear!"

14:25 इขvะ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \alpha v ̉ \tau o v ́ \varsigma, ~$
 av̉tẹ̃. Dative complement of $\Sigma$ vvéo

$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \rho \boldsymbol{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon}$. Aor mid ptc masc nom sg $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varphi} \varphi \omega$ (attendant circumstance). The participle should likely be viewed as middle rather than passive (see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction).
$\varepsilon \tilde{i} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ ò $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ aủtov́c. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$





Et. Introduces the protasis of a first class condition.

غ゙pхєтаı. Pres mid ind 3rd sg ěp $\chi о \mu \alpha ı$.
$\pi \rho o ́ \varsigma \mu \varepsilon$. Spatial.


$\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha v \tau 0 \tilde{v}$. Genitive of relationship. The reflexive pronoun is likely intensive here.
$\tau \varepsilon$. For another example of the phrase étı $\tau \varepsilon$ кaì, see Acts 21:28. Levinsohn $(2000,109)$ notes that the pragmatic effect of introducing the final element in a series with $\tau \dot{\varepsilon}$ "is to indicate that it is of particular signficance for a following significant event." He goes on to note (2000, 109, n. 24) that here "t $\tau$ adds the final and most significant object that a potential disciple is to 'hate'. Non-conjunctive $\kappa \alpha i$ is also used, since the reference ( $\tau \eta\rangle \nu \psi \cup \chi \eta ̀ v \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha \nu \tau 0 \tilde{v}$ 'his own life') is the least likely thing that one might be expected to hate." It is not clear, however, that this reflects the original text. The UBS ${ }^{4}$ reading is supported by BL $\Delta 33892 p c$, while a stronger group of manuscripts replace $\tau \varepsilon$ with $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}\left(\mathfrak{P}^{45} \boldsymbol{\aleph}\right.$ A D W $\left.\Theta \Psi f^{1,13} \mathfrak{R}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{P}^{75}$ omits the conjunction altogether. In this context, the two readings with conjunctions would function roughly the same way (see 2:4 on $\delta \grave{\varepsilon}$ кaì).

т $\boldsymbol{\eta} v \psi v \chi \grave{\eta} v$. Although this NP is a part of the direct object of $\mu \iota \sigma \varepsilon \tilde{1}$, it is set off as particularly important using êtı $\tau \varepsilon$ kaì ("and in addition"; Marshall, 592). If $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ is read for $\tau \varepsilon$ (see above), $\tau \eta\rangle \psi \cup \chi \eta \grave{\nu}$ would be the accusative direct object of an implied $\mu \not \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \tilde{i}$.

غ́avtoũ. See above.
Súvataı. Pres mid ind 3rd sg סúvapaı.
عĩvai. Pres act inf عíhi (complementary).
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Genitive of relationship.
$\mu \boldsymbol{\alpha} \theta \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \eta$ 亿. Predicate nominative. Where the subject of an infinitive is not expressed, the predicate may appear in the nominative case (cf. McKay, 55).

##  

ö $\sigma \tau \iota$. Nominative subject of $\beta \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\varepsilon} \zeta$. The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ö), which as a whole
 serves as the subject of סúvatal. For more on the so-called indefinite relative pronoun, see $1: 20$ on oïtıvec.
$\beta a \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \zeta \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\beta a \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$.
тòv $\sigma \tau \alpha v \rho$ òv. Accusative direct object of $\beta a \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\zeta}$.

غ́avtoṽ. Possessive genitive. See 14:26.


ò $\pi \mathbf{i} \omega \omega \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$. Spatial.
Súvataı. Pres mid ind 3rd sg סúva $\mu a \mathrm{a}$.
عĩvai. Pres act inf عiцui (complementary).
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Genitive of relationship.
$\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \eta \dot{\varsigma}$. Predicate nominative. See also verse 26.


tic. Nominative subject of $\psi \eta \varphi i \zeta \varepsilon ı$.
$\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$. The conjunction is best viewed as introducing further material that broadly strengthens the preceding assertions (see also 1:15).

غ̇६ $\mathfrak{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Partitive.
$\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$ (temporal, conditional, or attributive).
$\pi u ́ \rho \gamma o v$. Accusative direct object of oikoסo $\mu \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha$ a.

каӨícac. Aor act ptc masc nom sg каӨi $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\omega}$ (temporal).
$\psi \eta \varphi i \zeta \varepsilon \iota$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\psi \eta \varphi^{i} \zeta \omega$.
$\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \eta \boldsymbol{\nu}$. Accusative direct object of $\psi \eta \varphi i \zeta \varepsilon \iota$.
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ i. Although the particle could be viewed as introducing an indirect question that is epexegetical to what precedes (". . . calculate the cost, whether he has . . ."), it is better to view it as introducing the protasis of a first class condition with a preceding verb implied.
 عíc à $\pi \alpha \rho \tau \iota \sigma \mu o ́ v$. Goal.

##  

îva $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{\sigma} \tau \varepsilon$. Marshall (594) notes that this phrase appears to be a strengthened form of iva $\mu \eta$. This is likely correct, though $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ should still be read in its adverbial sense "never." The phrase, which occurs only here in the NT and is rare elsewhere in Greek literature, thus introduces a negative purpose clause. Bovon (2:539)
suggests that here $\mu \eta \pi \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ provides a sense of uncertainty and fear or suspicion.

Ө́vivtoc. Aor act ptc masc gen sg ti $\theta \eta \mu$. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvєט́ovtoc), causal or temporal.
aủ่oṽ. Genitive subject of $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \frac{1}{}$.
$\theta \varepsilon \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda ı o v$. Accusative direct object of $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau$ тos.
ícxúovtoc. Pres act ptc masc gen sg ỉ $\sigma \chi$ v́c. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\grave{\eta} \gamma \mu$ оvєv́ovtoc), causal or temporal.


 $\alpha{ }^{\circ} \rho \xi \omega v \tau \alpha$.
$\alpha \mathfrak{\alpha} \rho \xi \omega v \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$. Aor mid subj 3 rd pl ä $\rho \chi \omega$. Subjunctive with îva.

$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \alpha i \zeta \varepsilon \iota v$. Pres act inf $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \alpha i \zeta \omega$ (complementary).


$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{o v \tau \varepsilon}$. Pres act ptc masc nom $\mathrm{pl} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (means).
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also 1:25 on őтı) of $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \circ \vee \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$.

$\eta ้ \rho \xi \alpha \tau 0$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg ä $\rho \chi \omega$.
оїкобоиєĩv. Pres act inf oikoסо $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (complementary).




 aủtóv;

 conditional, or attributive).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \varphi \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}$. Dative complement of $\sigma \cup \mu \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{v} v$.
$\sigma v \mu \beta a \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{v} v$. Aor act inf $\sigma v \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ (purpose).
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varsigma} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{0} \boldsymbol{v}$. Purpose. Lit. "to meet another king for battle."

каӨíбas. Aor act ptc masc nom sg каӨi广 $\omega$ (attendant circumstance or temporal; on the former, see 11:8 on ávartàc).
 over carefully in an attempt to make a decision" (LN 30.8).
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Introduces the protasis of a first class condition, which here serves as the clausal complement of $\beta$ ou $\lambda \varepsilon$ vioctau.

סuvatóc. Predicate adjective.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg ei $\mu \mathrm{i}$. On the loss of accent, see $1: 18$ on $\varepsilon \dot{\prime} \mu \mathrm{l}$.

$\dot{v} \pi \boldsymbol{\alpha} v \tau \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \mathbf{\alpha}$. Aor act inf útavtáw (epexegetical).
$\tau \tilde{\omega} . . . \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon ̇ \rho \chi о \mu \varepsilon ่ v \omega ̣ . ~ P r e s ~ m i d ~ p t c ~ m a s c ~ d a t ~ s g ~ e ̂ p \chi o \mu a ı ~(s u b s t a n t i v a l) . ~}$ Dative complement of $\dot{\sim} \pi \alpha v \tau \eta ̃ \sigma \alpha u$.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ̀$ eilkoot $\chi$ ı $\lambda_{t} \dot{\alpha} \delta \omega v$. Association/accompaniment. The position of this type of PP before the participle (see Kwong, 88, n. 102) lends prominence to the number and rhetorical force to the overall statement.

غ̀ $\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}$ av̉tóv. Locative or opposition.


$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon$. See 5:36. After a clause that affirms the importance of a particular action, as here, this string of particles can be rendered, "otherwise" (BDAG, 190. b.א, s.v. $\gamma \varepsilon$ ). On the significance of the use of this expression to introduce a conditional clause, see 10:6

 has been omitted by ellipsis.
av̉тoṽ. Genitive subject of oैvtoc.
$\pi \mathbf{o} \rho \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\omega}$. The adverb serves as the predicate of ővtoc.
ővtoc. Pres act ptc masc gen sg eipi. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvะv́ovtoৎ), temporal.
$\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \alpha v$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon i \lambda a \varsigma$.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{\sigma} \sigma \tau \varepsilon i \lambda a \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg à $\pi o \sigma \tau \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \omega$ (means). Wallace (643) sees this as an example of an aorist participle introducing an attendant circumstance to a (historical) present tense verb ( $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \tilde{\alpha})$. While there will certainly be exceptions (cf. 3:11 on
à $\pi \mathbf{o \kappa p} \theta \varepsilon i \varsigma)$, here the "rule" that an attendant circumstance participle will share the same aspect with its main verb (see also 1:24 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma o v \sigma \alpha)$ appears to rule out that reading.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \omega \tau \underset{\alpha}{a}$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$.
tà. The article functions as a nominalizer (see 1:48 on à $\pi o ̀ ~ \tau o \tilde{v}$ $v \tilde{v}$ ), changing the PP, $\pi \rho \frac{̀}{\varsigma}$ عí $\dagger \dot{\eta} \eta \eta$, into the accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \tau \underset{a}{:}$ lit. "the things for peace."
$\pi \rho \mathbf{o ̀ s} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \rho \dot{\eta} \nu \eta \boldsymbol{\eta}$. Purpose.
 غ́avtoṽ v́táค
$\pi \tilde{a} \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\delta$ úvataı.
$\grave{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{v} \mu \tilde{v} v$. Partitive.
öc. Nominative subject of àrotá $\sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$.
à $\pi \mathbf{o \tau} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Pres mid ind 3rd sg átotó $\sigma \sigma o \mu \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Lit. "say goodbye to all his possessions."

$\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha u \tau o v ̃ . ~ P o s s e s s i v e ~ g e n i t i v e ~(s e e ~ 14: 26) . ~$.
סúvatau. Pres mid ind 3rd sg סúvapal.
عivvai. Pres act inf eifi (complementary). On the second accent, see $1: 13$ on $\dot{\eta}$ ס $\dot{\eta} \eta \sigma i \varsigma$.
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Genitive of relationship.
$\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \eta \dot{\varsigma}$. Predicate nominative. See also verse 26.
 à $\rho \tau \nu \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha!;$

Ka入òv. Predicate adjective of a verbless equative clause.
oũv. Inferential. Although similar language occurs in Matt 5:13 and Mark 9:50 without the oũv, the context in both cases is quite different. Here, the oũv (omitted by A D W $\Psi f^{1} \Re \supseteq$ ) indicates that this section builds on or sums up the preceding material (Nolland, 2:764; contra $\mathrm{UBS}^{4}$ ), though Plummer thinks the conjunction relates to general comments made previously by Jesus (366).
tò ä $\lambda \alpha \varsigma$. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
غ̀àv. Introduces the protasis of a third class condition.
тò ä $\lambda a c$. Nominative subject of $\mu \omega \rho \alpha v \theta \tilde{\eta}$.
$\mu \omega \rho a v \theta \tilde{\eta}$. Aor pass subj 3 rd sg $\mu \omega \rho a i v \omega$. Lit. "if the salt becomes foolish." Here, Luke (like Matt 5:13) appears to have used a literal translation of an Aramaic term used by Jesus, which could refer to either saltlessness or folly. The result is an expression that does not appear to be used elsewhere in Greek literature (see Marshall, 595; cf. Mark 9:50 for a dynamic equivalent translation: äv $\alpha \lambda$ ov $\gamma \varepsilon ́ v \eta \tau \alpha \iota)$.
év tivı. Instrumental. Lit. "by what means."
à $\rho \tau v \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg ảptúw. Lit. "will it be seasoned."

##  


عűӨctóv. Predicate adjective.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عípı.
$\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{o v \sigma t v}$. Pres act ind 3rd pl $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$. McKay (19) argues that the use of plural verbs that refer to a subject that is not identified in the context "may be influenced by a Semitic idiom in which a plural verb with completely vague subject is used in the active in circumstances where English, and normally also Greek, would need a passive" (cf. 12:20 on átaıtoṽбıv).
av̉tó. Accusative direct object of $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ovoıv.
 hear pay attention!" See also 8:8.
$\dot{\delta}$ eै $\bar{\chi} \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\begin{gathered} \\ \chi\end{gathered} \omega$ (substantival). Nominative subject of д̉коvét $\omega$.
$\tilde{\omega} \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ é $\chi \omega v$.
àкov́धıv. Pres act inf à̉кои́w (epexegetical).


## Luke 15:1-10

${ }^{1}$ Now all the tax collectors and sinners were drawing near to hear him; ${ }^{2}$ and both the Pharisees and scribes were complaining, saying, "This man welcomes sinners and eats with them!" ${ }^{3}$ So he told them this parable, saying, ${ }^{4}$ "What man among you, if he has a hundred
sheep and loses one of them, does not leave the ninety-nine in the open field and go after the lost one until he finds it? ${ }^{5}$ And when he finds it, he puts it on his shoulders, rejoicing. ${ }^{6}$ Then, after going home, he calls together his friends and neighbors and says to them, 'Rejoice with me because I have found my sheep that I had lost!' 'I tell you, in the same way there will be more joy in heaven over one sinner who repents than over ninety-nine righteous people who do not need repentance."

8"Or, what woman who has ten drachmas, if she should lose one, will not light a lamp, sweep the house, and search carefully until she actually finds it? ${ }^{9}$ And after she finds (it) she calls her friends and neighbors together and says, 'Rejoice with me, because I found the drachma that I had lost.' ${ }^{10}$ In the same way, I tell you, there is joy in the presence of the angels of God over one sinner who repents."
 $\tau \omega \lambda$ ò àkov́عıv aủtoṽ.
${ }^{\text {T}} \mathrm{H} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \alpha \boldsymbol{v}$. Impf ind 3rd pl عi $\mu \mathrm{i}$.

 phrastic; see 1:10 on $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \cup \chi$ о́ $\mu \varepsilon v o v)$.
$\pi \alpha \dot{v} v \varepsilon \varepsilon$, oi $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{\omega} v a \iota$ кaì oí $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ oì. Nominative subject of ${ }^{\text {n }} \mathrm{H} \sigma \alpha \nu . . . \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \gamma i \zeta о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Hyperbole.
àkov่ยıv. Pres act inf àkov́ $\omega$ (purpose).
av̉兀oṽ. Genitive object of ảkov́ยıv.

##  

$\delta เ \varepsilon \gamma \mathbf{o} \gamma \gamma \cup \zeta \mathbf{o v}$. Impf act ind 3rd pl $\delta \iota \alpha \gamma 0 \gamma \gamma \cup \dot{\zeta}(\omega$. The verb, which occurs only in Luke in the NT, is frequently used in the LXX of Israel's complaints against their leaders (e.g., Exod 15:24; 16:2, 7, 8; Num 14:2, 36; 16:11; Deut 1:27; Josh 9:18).
$\tau \varepsilon .$. кaì. "Both . . . and."
 $\delta เ \varepsilon \gamma$ о́ $ү ү \cup \zeta$ оv. The accent on the article oí comes from the enclitic $\tau \varepsilon$ (see 1:13 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \delta \varepsilon ́ \eta \sigma i ́) . ~$
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{o v \tau \varepsilon}$. Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (attendant circumstance; see 1:24 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma$ ouoa; or manner).
ötı. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also $1: 25$ on öтı) of $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \circ \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$.

Oṽ̃тoc. Nominative subject of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha a$. Both the subject and direct object are fronted to give prominence to the statement.
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ ov̀s. Accusative direct object of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha u$.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Pres mid ind 3rd sg $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \circ \mu \alpha \mathrm{a}$. On the semantics of this compound form, see 10:38 on ט́m\& $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \xi a \tau$.

бuveo日icı. Pres act ind 3rd sg ouveб日i $\omega$.
aủtoĩc. Dative complement of бuveoӨíi .

## 

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ òs aủtov̀s. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~ T h e ~$ antecedent of aủtov̀s could be the Pharisees and scribes exclusively (the target of the parable), or it could include all those who were present.

$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (means). The use of a second verb of speech likely introduces the actual speech frame after the intervening material (but see 1:19 on á $\pi о к \rho ı \theta$ вic).

15:4 Tí̧ ảvӨ


 $(2000,20)$ notes that "sentences at the beginning of discourses commonly open with a non-verbal constituent" to mark a point of departure for the story that follows.
$\grave{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Partitive.
$\varepsilon ँ \chi \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg ě $\chi \omega$ (conditional or attributive).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \alpha \tau o ̀ v \pi \rho o ́ \beta \alpha \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \neq \chi \omega v$.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma a c$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg àró̀ $\lambda \nu \mu \mathrm{I}$ (conditional or attributive).

غ̇ $\xi$ av̉̃ $\tilde{\omega} v$. Partitive.
$\tilde{\varepsilon} v$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \alpha \varsigma$.


 غ่vvéa into the accusative direct object of ката入عíte．

торєи́єтаı．Pres mid ind 3rd sg торги́онаı．
 used with $\pi о \rho \varepsilon \dot{v} \circ \mu a 1$ to introduce the goal（or direction）of the motion（cf．Acts 8：26；9：11；Matt 22：9；cf．BDAG，364．4．b．$\alpha$ ）．
đò à $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \omega \lambda$ òs．Prf act ptc neut acc sg àró̀ $\lambda \lambda \mu \mu \mathrm{t}$（substantival）．
है $\omega \boldsymbol{c}$ ．Temporal．
ยűpn．Aor act subj 3rd sg عúpiซk $\omega$ ．Subjunctive in an indefinite temporal clause with $\varepsilon$ है $\omega \varsigma$ ．
av̉tó．Accusative direct object of عűp！̣．

عípùv．Aor act ptc masc nom sg ev́píซK（temporal）．
غ̇兀ıтiӨŋбıv．Pres act ind 3rd sg èmıtiӨn $\mu$ ．

av่̉าoũ．Possessive genitive．
$\chi \propto i \rho \omega v$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\chi \alpha i \rho \omega$（attendant circumstance or manner）．



$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \boldsymbol{\theta} \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Aor act ptc masc nom sg êp $p o \mu a l$（temporal）．
عíc tòv oĩkov．Locative．
$\sigma v \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ ĩ．Pres act ind 3rd sg $\sigma \cup \gamma к \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ̇ \omega$ ．
toùs pìlous kaì tov̀s $\gamma$ ₹＇íovac．Accusative direct object of $\sigma \cup ү к а \lambda \varepsilon і ̃$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$（attendant circumstance； see 1：24 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \circ 0 \sigma \alpha$ ）．
av่̉oĩc．Dative indirect object of $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$ ．
$\Sigma v \gamma \chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \eta \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$ ．Aor mid impv 2nd pl $\sigma v \gamma \chi \alpha i \rho \omega$ ．On the voice，see ＂Deponency＂in the Series Introduction．On the second accent，see 1：13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס $\varepsilon$ そ́ $\sigma$ ic．
$\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{o t}$. Dative complement of $\Sigma v \gamma \chi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta \tau \varepsilon$.
ötı. Introduces a causal clause.
عṹpov. Aor act ind 1st sg عúpiok $\omega$.
tò $\pi \boldsymbol{\rho} \mathbf{o ́} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathbf{o} \mathbf{v}$. Accusative direct object of eṽ $\rho o v$. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס $\dot{\eta} \eta$ бic.
$\mu \mathbf{\mu}$. Possessive genitive.
тò à $\pi \mathbf{o} \lambda \omega \lambda$ óc. Prf act ptc neut acc sg à $\pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \mathrm{~L}$ (attributive).



$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v} \mu \mathrm{i} v$. See 3:8.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also 1:25 on ő öt) of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\chi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ . ~ N o m i n a t i v e ~ s u b j e c t ~ o f ~ ह ै \sigma \tau \alpha ı . ~$
દ̇v $\tau \tilde{\sim}$ ov̉ $\rho a v \tilde{\varphi}$. Locative.
हैбтal. Fut ind 3rd sg عiui.

$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o o v ̃ v \tau \iota$. Pres act ptc masc dat sg $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon ̇ \omega$ (attributive).
ŋ̈. Comparative conjunction.

oïtıves. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ " $\chi$ ovoıv. For more on the so-called indefinite relative pronoun, see $1: 20$ on oiltıves.

र $\boldsymbol{\rho \varepsilon \boldsymbol { \varepsilon } \boldsymbol { \alpha } v . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~ e ̂ \chi o v o i v . ~}$
モ̌ $\chi o v \sigma เ v$. Pres act ind 3rd pl $\varepsilon$ ê $\chi \omega$.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o i a c$. Objective genitive. Lit. "who did not have need of repentance."

 ع̌ $\omega \varsigma$ oṽ $\varepsilon$ モűp! ;
$\tau i \varsigma \gamma \cup v \eta ̀$. Nominative subject of $\alpha \ddot{\alpha} \pi \tau \varepsilon$.


ċàv. Introduces the protasis of a third class condition.

$\delta \rho a \chi \mu \grave{\eta} v \mu i \alpha v$. Accusative direct object of à $\pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \eta$.
$\alpha \ddot{\alpha} \pi \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 3rd sg ä $\pi \tau \omega$.
$\lambda u ́ \chi$ vov. Accusative direct object of ä $\pi \tau \varepsilon$.
ба $\rho$ oĩ. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\sigma \alpha \rho o ́ \omega$.
тìv oikiov. Accusative direct object of oapoĩ.
$\zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \mathrm{ĩ}$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\eta \tau \varepsilon \dot{\omega} \omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\varsigma}$ oṽ. Temporal. The preposition and relative pronoun may be combined to form an idiomatic relative phrase (see Culy 1989b, 75-76) meaning, "at which time" or "until the time when." It is likely slightly more emphatic than the simple $\varepsilon$ है $\omega$ c.

عv̋p! Aor act subj 3rd sg ev́piซk $\omega$. Subjunctive in an indefinite temporal clause with $\tilde{\varepsilon} \omega \varsigma$.



ยúpoṽба. Aor act ptc fem nom sg عúpiбкడ (temporal).
$\sigma v \gamma к \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\sigma \cup \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
тà̧ $\varphi i \lambda \alpha \varsigma$ каì $\gamma$ عíтovac. Accusative direct object of $\sigma \cup \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma o v \sigma \alpha$. Pres act ptc fem nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (attendant circumstance; see 1:24 on $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma \alpha)$.
$\boldsymbol{\Sigma v} \gamma \chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \eta \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$. Aor mid impv 2nd pl $\sigma v \gamma \chi \alpha i \rho \omega$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction. On the second accent, see

$\mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$. Dative complement of $\Sigma v \gamma \chi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta \tau \varepsilon$.
ő $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces a causal clause.
عũ $\rho o v$. Aor act ind 1st sg ev́piok $\omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\eta} v \delta \rho a \chi \mu \eta ̀ v$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ ṽ $\rho o v$.
$\eta \geqslant v$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha$.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha$. Aor act ind 1st sg $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o} \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \mathrm{~L}$.


$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{v} \mu \boldsymbol{\imath} \boldsymbol{\imath} v$. See 3:8.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
vinĩv. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
үivetaı. Pres mid ind 3rd sg $\gamma$ ivoual.
$\chi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}$. Nominative subject of $\gamma$ ivetau.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \dot{v} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{v}$. Here, the preposition may not be locative, but may rather introduce "a participant whose viewpoint is relevant to an event-'in the sight of, in the opinion of, in the judgment of'" (LN 90.20; so Plummer, 371; cf. 1:15).

тoṽ $\theta$ coṽ. Possessive genitive or genitive of relationship.
દ̀ $\pi i ̀ ~ \varepsilon ́ v i ̀ ~ \alpha ́ \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda \tilde{̣}$. Temporal or causal.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o o v ̃ v \tau ı$. Pres act ptc masc dat sg $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon ̇ \omega$ (attributive).

## Luke 15:11-32

${ }^{11}$ Then he said, "A man had two sons, ${ }^{12}$ and the younger of them said to the father, 'Father, give me the share of the property that is due me.' So, he divided the property for them. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{~A}$ few days later, the younger son gathered up everything and set out for a distant country. There he squandered his wealth by living recklessly."
${ }_{14}$ "Now, when he had spent everything, there was a terrible famine throughout that country; and he began to go without. ${ }^{15}$ So he went and took a job with one of the citizens of that country; and the man sent him into his fields to feed pigs. ${ }^{16} \mathrm{He}$ longed to fill up on (even) the carob pods that the pigs were eating, but no one was giving him (anything)."
${ }^{17 \text { "Now, when he came to his senses, he said, 'How many of my }}$ father's hired hands have an abundance of food? And I am here dying of hunger! ${ }^{18} I$ will head back to my father and say to him, "Father, I have sinned against heaven and in your view. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{I}$ am no longer worthy to be called your son. (Please) Make me like one of your hired hands." ${ }^{20}$ So, he headed off to his father; but while he was still a long way off, his father saw him and was filled with compassion. He ran and embraced him, and kissed him. ${ }^{21}$ Then the son said to him, 'Father, I have sinned against heaven and in your view. I am no longer worthy to be called your son.' ${ }^{22}$ But the father said to his slaves, 'Quick, bring out a robe-the best one-and put it on him! Put a ring on his finger and sandals on his feet. ${ }^{23}$ Bring the fattened calf. Kill it and let's eat it and celebrate, ${ }^{24}$ because this son of mine was dead and has come back to life! He was lost and has been found!' So they began to celebrate."
${ }^{25 " N o w}$, the older son was out in the field (during this time). After he had come (in from the field), when he approached the
house he heard music and dancing. ${ }^{26}$ And when he had summoned one of the servants, he began asking what could possibly be happening. ${ }^{27} \mathrm{He}$ said to him, 'Your brother has come, and your father has killed the fattened calf because he got him back safe and sound.' ${ }^{28}$ Then (the older son) was angry and was not willing to go in. So his father came out and urged him (to come in). ${ }^{29}$ But he responded and said to his father, 'I have slaved for you all these years and I have never ignored your orders; and you have never given me (even) a goat so that I could celebrate with my friends! ${ }^{30}$ But when this son of yours, who threw away your property with whores, came (home) you killed the fattened calf for him!' ${ }^{31}$ (The father) said to him, 'Son, you are always with me, and everything I have is yours. ${ }^{32}$ But we had to celebrate and rejoice, because this brother of yours was dead and has (now) been made alive! He had been lost and (now) has been found!'"

## 

Eĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
"A $\boldsymbol{v} \theta \rho \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\pi}$ ós $\tau \iota \varsigma$. Nominative subject of Eĩ̃ $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon v}$. On the second accent, see $1: 13$ on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ $\delta$ é $\eta \sigma i ́ c$. Levinsohn $(2000,20)$ notes that "sentences at the beginning of discourses commonly open with a non-verbal constituent" to mark a point of departure for the story that follows.

عĩx $£ v$. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon \not \chi \omega$. Background information, i.e., that a particular man had two sons, is presented using the imperfect عĩx\&v. The embedded narrative, i.e., parable, then proceeds forward with aorist verbs.

反v́o vioúc. Accusative direct object of عĩ $\chi$ ev.


$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.

av̉tãv. Partitive genitive.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \pi \alpha \tau \rho i$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon \tilde{i} \pi \varepsilon v$.
Пátع $\rho$. Vocative.
$\boldsymbol{\delta}$ óc. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
$\mu \mathrm{o}$. Dative indirect object of סóc.


 part of the property that falls to（me）＂（BDAG，368．3）．

тĨৎ ov̉oiac．Partitive genitive．Only here and verse 13 in the NT： ＂that which exists as property and wealth＂（LN 57．19）．
$\dot{\text { on }}$ ．The nominative article functions as the subject of $\delta 1 \varepsilon i ̃ \lambda \varepsilon v$（see also 1：29 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ）．
$\boldsymbol{\delta}$ ．Although some manuscripts read ó $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$（ ${ }^{2} \boldsymbol{\aleph}$ A B L $579 p c$ ），oth－ ers replace the phrase with $\kappa \mathrm{Kai}^{( } \mathbf{N}^{\star} \mathrm{D} \mathrm{W} \Theta \Psi f^{1,13} \mathfrak{R}$ ）or omit it alto－ gether $\left(\Re^{75}\right)$ ．Levinsohn（2000，77，n．5）argues that if $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ is original，it marks development within the exchange recorded in verse 12 only．
$\delta \iota \varepsilon i ̃ \lambda \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg סıaıpé่ $\omega$ ．
av่̉oĩc．Dative indirect object of $\delta$ เદĩ入દv（＂he distributed it to them＂）or dative of advantage．On the word order，see 1：2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i} v$ ．
tòv $\beta$ iov．Accusative direct object of $\delta \iota \varepsilon i ̃ \lambda \varepsilon v$ ．Here，＂the resources which one has as a means of living－＇possessions，property，liveli－ hood＇＂（LN 57．18）．

 ov̉𧰨iav aủtoṽ 乡ẽv à $\sigma \dot{\omega} \tau \omega \varsigma$ ．
 many days＂）is an example of litotes meaning＂very soon．＂Litotes is a figure of speech in which a statement is made by negating the opposite idea．
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} v \mathbf{v} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{a} \gamma \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \mathrm{v}$ ．Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\sigma v v \alpha \dot{\gamma} \gamma \omega$（attendant cir－ cumstance）．
$\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha$ ．Accusative direct object of $\sigma u v a \gamma \alpha \gamma \dot{\omega} v$ ．
ó véutepoc viòs．Nominative subject of à $\pi \varepsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ．
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3 rd sg $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \delta \eta \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ ．The verb means＂to journey away from one＇s home or home country，implying for a considerable period of time and at quite a distance＂（LN 15．47）．

عíc đஸ́pav $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \alpha \dot{v} v$. Locative．
غ̇кะі̃．The spatial adverb sets the scene for the next events in the narrative．
$\delta \iota \varepsilon \sigma \kappa о ́ \rho \pi \iota \sigma \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \kappa о \rho \pi i \zeta \omega$ ．This verb carries a strong connotation of wastefulness．

т $̀ \mathbf{v}$ ov̉oiav. Accusative direct object of $\delta \iota \varepsilon \sigma \kappa o ́ \rho \pi ı \sigma \varepsilon v$. See also verse 12 .
av̉兀oũ. Possessive genitive.
$\zeta \tilde{\omega} v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\zeta \dot{\alpha} \omega$ (means).
$\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{\omega} \tau \omega \varsigma$. Although the adverb does not appear elsewhere in the LXX or NT, it is fairly common in extra-biblical literature. Here, it refers to "one who lives a wild and undisciplined life" (Foerster, 507).


$\delta \alpha \pi \alpha v \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$. . Aor act ptc masc gen sg $\delta \alpha \pi \alpha v \alpha \dot{\omega} \omega$. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvev́ovtoc), temporal. Levinsohn (2000, 183) suggests that the use of the genitive absolute serves "to set the scene for the introduction of a new participant (the famine) and to give prominence to this significant action that changes the direction of the story" (cf. Fuller, 151-52).
av่̉тoṽ. Genitive subject of $\delta \alpha \pi \alpha v \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \circ \varsigma$.
$\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\delta \alpha \pi \alpha v \eta \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nu} \tau o \varsigma$.
غ̇ץモ́vévo. Aor act ind 3rd sg үivoual.
 makes it clear that $\lambda_{1} \mu$ òs is being treated as feminine here, following Doric usage (see also Acts 11:28), though it is treated as masculine in $4: 25$, which is the common usage (Plummer, 128).
 serves to highlight the son's separation from his homeland, as well as to contrast the situation in the land with that of his homeland.
av̉tòs. Nominative subject of ท̆ $\rho \xi$ ато.
$\eta ้ \rho \xi \alpha \tau$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg ä $\rho \chi \omega$.



 circumstance). On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.

غ́ко $\lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \mathbf{\eta}$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg ко $\lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$. Lit. "joined." Here, the
term is likely used of the younger son hiring himself out to this per－ son．On the voice，see＂Deponency＂in the Series Introduction．
$\dot{\varepsilon} v i ̀$. Dative complement of $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa о \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$ ．Caragounis（113），citing this passage，argues that＂in the NT the cardinal numerals，ciic， $\mu i \alpha, \varepsilon ้ v$ ，are losing their numerical value and are being reduced to an indefinite pronoun．＂Here，however，where $\dot{\varepsilon} v \grave{i}$ is followed by a partitive genitive，it is clearly still a number（see e．g．，22：50；Mark 14：47；John 11：49）．
$\boldsymbol{\tau} \tilde{\omega} v \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ．Partitive genitive．
$\tau \tilde{\tau} \varsigma \boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{\omega} \rho a \varsigma$ èкعivŋc．＂One of the citizens who lived in that coun－ try．＂
$\varepsilon \ddot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3 rd sg $\pi \varepsilon ́ \mu \pi \omega$ ．
aủtòv．Accusative direct object of $\check{\varepsilon ̈} \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \varepsilon v$ ．

av̉兀oũ．Possessive genitive．
ßóбкєıv．Pres act inf $\beta$ ó $\sigma \kappa \omega$（purpose）．
रoipovs．Accusative direct object of $\beta$ óбкєıv．



$\chi о \rho \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} v a 1$. Aor pass inf $\chi о \rho \tau \alpha \dot{\zeta} \zeta \omega$（complementary）．Some translations（REB，NIV）follow the variant reading $\gamma \varepsilon \mu i \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \tau \eta ̀ v$ коı入iav à áo（＂filled his stomach with＂； $\mathrm{NA}^{26}$ ； $\mathrm{A} \Theta \Psi \mathfrak{M} p m$ ）rather than the $\mathrm{UBS}^{4}\left(\mathfrak{P}^{75} \boldsymbol{\aleph}\right.$ B D L $\left.f^{f, 13} 57912412542 p c\right)$ ．There is little difference in meaning（Omanson，138）．

غ̇к $\tau \tilde{\omega} v$ кєратíwv．Source．Lit．＂he longed to be filled from the carob pods．＂
$\tilde{\omega} v$. Genitive by attraction to кعратi$\omega \nu$（see 5：9 on $\tilde{\omega} v$ ）．Without attraction we would have expected oũ ，since the relative pronoun is the syntactic direct object of $\eta \gamma \theta$ เov．
$\eta ้ \sigma \theta$ ıov．Impf act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\circ} \theta i ́ \omega$ ．
oi रoĩpot．Nominative subject of グб $\theta$ เov．
ov̉ $\delta \varepsilon i \grave{c}$ ．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ żiסou．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \delta i \delta o v$. Impf act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{sg} \delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{t}$ ．Although McKay（43） suggests that when＂a negative is attached to an imperfect the effect is often equivalent to idiomatic English would not or could not，＂ such a view does not do justice to the imperfective aspect．
av̉兀ụ. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon$ ह́íiou.

##  

 finally realizing the proper course of action.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \boldsymbol{\theta} \dot{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg êp $\chi o \mu a l$ (temporal).
है $\varphi \eta$. Aor/Impf act ind 3rd sg $\varphi \eta \mu$ í. On the tense, see 7:44.
Пóбоь $\mu \mathbf{i} \sigma \theta$ tot. Nominative subject of $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v ่ \frac{v \tau \alpha ı}{}$.
тoṽ $\pi \alpha \tau \rho$ ós. Genitive of relationship.
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Genitive of relationship.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v \dot{o} v \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$. Pres mid ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v ่ \omega$. This form could be viewed as passive, with the emphasis on the father providing for his workers: "How many of my father's hired hands get more than enough bread?" (cf. BDAG, 805.2.b).
$\alpha \not \rho \tau \omega \nu$. Genitive of content or perhaps reference.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \grave{\omega}$. Nominative subject of àró $\lambda \lambda \nu \mu \alpha ı$.
$\lambda^{\mu} \mu \tilde{\omega}$. Dative of instrument. Although a causal function certainly makes sense conceptually, this usage is not well attested (contra, e.g., Wallace, 168). Perhaps, though, we should recognize with Porter (1994, 98-99) that it is difficult to distinguish between these notions with the dative.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \boldsymbol{o} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda \nu \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Pres mid ind 1st sg à $\pi \dot{\partial} \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \mathrm{L}$.


àvaб̃àc. Aor act ptc masc nom sg àviot $\eta \mu \mathrm{L}$ (attendant circumstance; see also 11:8 on àvaftàc). Lit. "getting up, I will go." The verb $\dot{\alpha} v i \sigma \tau \eta \mu \mathrm{I}$ may be acting as a helping verb here to connote haste or to highlight the onset of a journey (see 1:39 on Avaotãбa . . .


$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \alpha . ~ S p a t i a l . ~$
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Genitive of relationship.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \tilde{\omega}$. Fut act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av̉т $\tilde{\omega}$. Dative indirect object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \tilde{\omega}$.
Пáтєр. Vocative.

ท̋ $\mu \alpha \rho \tau о v$. Aor act ind 1st sg ả $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \dot{\alpha} v \omega$.
عís tòv oủpavòv. Used with $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$, the preposition indicates who the sin was directed toward. Here, tòv oúpavòv is a euphemism for tòv $\theta$ cóv.

モ̇v'́utióv oov. Here, the preposition is not locative, but rather introduces "a participant whose viewpoint is relevant to an event'in the sight of, in the opinion of, in the judgment of'" (LN 90.20). See also $1: 15$. On the second accent, see $1: 13$ on $\mathfrak{\eta} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \eta \sigma i c$.
 $\mu \mathrm{\sigma} \theta \mathrm{i} \omega \nu$ бov.

عiul. Pres ind 1st sg عiuí.
a̋ $\xi \iota o \varsigma$. Predicate adjective.
$\kappa \lambda \eta \theta \tilde{\eta} v a \mathbf{l}$. Aor pass inf $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (epexegetical).
vióc. Complement in a subject-complement double nominative construction (see 1:32 on viòc). Where the subject of an infinitive is not expressed, the complement in a double case construction may appear in the nominative case (for a fuller discussion, see Culy 2009, 90, n. 19; cf. McKay, 55).
oov. Genitive of relationship.
$\pi \mathbf{o i} \eta \sigma \dot{o} v$. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\pi o t \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. On the second accent, see

$\mu \varepsilon$. Accusative direct object of toínoóv.
हैva. Nominative subject of an implied equative verb: "Make me like one of your hired hands is."
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \mu \tau \theta \theta^{\prime} \omega v$. Partitive genitive.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma o v}$. Genitive of relationship.


 aủtóv.
$\dot{\alpha} v a \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg (attendant circumstance). See also 15:18.
$\tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg ép $\quad \chi \circ \mu \alpha \mathrm{l}$.
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \alpha . ~ S p a t i a l . ~$

غ́avtoũ. Genitive of relationship. Luke's rare use of the genitive reflexive pronoun may function as a way of focusing attention on a key component of the narrative (contra Marshall, 610; cf. 2:3; 11:21; 13:19; 14:26, 27, 33; 15:20; 16:5; 19:13; Acts 21:11). Alternatively, it may in the present context convey a heightened sense of attachment: "his dear father."
aủtoṽ. Genitive subject of àné $\chi$ оvtoc.
 (see 2:2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvعv́ovtoc), temporal.

عĩరعv. Aor act ind 3rd sg ó óá $\omega$.
av̉tòv. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \tilde{i} \delta \varepsilon v$. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu i ̃ v$.
$\dot{o} \pi \alpha \tau \eta ̀ \rho$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \mathbf{\varepsilon} \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon$.
av̉тoṽ. Genitive of relationship.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi v i \sigma \theta \eta$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg $\sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi v i \zeta о \mu \alpha u$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
$\delta \rho \alpha \mu \grave{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\tau \rho \varepsilon \in \chi \omega$ (attendant circumstance).


ėnì đòv $\tau \rho \alpha \dot{\chi} \chi \eta \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{v}$. Locative.
aủtoṽ. Possessive genitive.
$\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \varphi i \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg катацı $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\omega} \omega$.
aủtóv. Accusative direct object of кat\&ழi $\lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$.


$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
ó viòc. Nominative subject of عĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$.
av่̉โต̣. Dative indirect object of عĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$.
Па́ $\tau \varepsilon \rho$. Vocative.
ท̆ $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \boldsymbol{\sigma} v$. Aor act ind 1st sg $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \dot{\alpha} v \omega$.
عís tòv oủpavòv. See verse 18.



عíui. Pres ind 1st sg عìíi.
a̋ $\xi \iota o \varsigma . ~ P r e d i c a t e ~ a d j e c t i v e . ~$
$\boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\eta} \theta \tilde{\eta} v a \boldsymbol{u}$. Aor pass inf $\kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega($ (epexegetical).
vióc. Complement in a subject-complement double nominative construction (see 1:32 on vióc). See also verse 18.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma o v}$. Genitive of relationship.



$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \not \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{\mathbf{o}} \pi \alpha \tau \eta ̀ \rho$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon v$.
$\pi \rho \mathbf{o ̀} \boldsymbol{\varsigma}$ tov̀s $\boldsymbol{\delta o v i} \boldsymbol{\lambda o v}$. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho$ òs $\alpha$ ủtòv).
av่̉oũ. Possessive genitive.

$\sigma \tau \boldsymbol{\lambda} \grave{\eta} v \tau \eta ̀ v \pi \rho \omega ่ \tau \eta v$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon$.
Marshall $(300,611)$ calls the word order here (noun-article-adjective) Hellenistic (cf. BDF $\$ 270.3$ ). Our translation may reflect the slightly different connotation that is involved. A number of manuscripts have the more typical $\tau \eta े \nu \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \eta \eta \nu \tau \eta \geqslant \tau \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \nu\left(\Re^{75} \mathrm{D}^{2} f^{1,13} \mathfrak{M}\right)$.

દ̇vסv́ซate. Aor act impv 2nd pl $̇ v \delta u ́ \omega$.
aủtóv. Accusative direct object of $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} v \delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$. Lit. "dress him."
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta} \tau \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Aor act impv 2nd $\mathrm{pl} \delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
סaктú入ıov. Accusative direct object of סóte. Some manuscripts (W 472 1009) supply an indirect object: aủt $\tilde{\omega}$.

عíc $\tau \mathfrak{\eta} v$ रعĩ $\boldsymbol{\rho}$. Locative (so BDAG, 289.1.a. $\gamma$ ). Lit. "on his hand." aủtoṽ. Possessive genitive.

عíc тoù $\boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{o ́ \delta a c .}$. Locative (so BDAG, 289.1.a. $\gamma$ ).
 عủ $\varphi \rho \alpha \nu \theta \tilde{\omega} \mu \varepsilon \nu$,
$\varphi \dot{\rho} \rho \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd pl $\varphi \varepsilon ́ \rho \omega$. The shift to present tense may serve to highlight the bringing out of the fattened calf, which becomes a source of contention later in the parable (see vv. 27, 29, 30; cf. Porter 1989, 355).

Өúбate. Aor act impv 2nd pl $\theta \dot{\omega} \omega$.
¢aүóvtec. Aor act ptc masc nom pl $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta i \omega$ (attendant circum-
stance）．Attendant circumstance participles take on the mood of the verb they modify（see also $5: 14$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} v$ ）．
$\varepsilon v ̉ \varphi \rho a v \theta \tilde{\omega} \mu \varepsilon v$ ．Aor mid subj 1st pl $\varepsilon v ̉ \varphi \rho a i v \omega$（hortatory）．Lit． ＂let us be glad．＂On the voice，see＂Deponency＂in the Series Introduction．


ötı．Introduces a causal clause．
oṽtoc $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ víóc．Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} \nu$ ．
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$ ．Genitive of relationship．
$\boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\rho}$ òs．Predicate adjective．
ท̃v．Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$ i．
àv $\check{\zeta} \zeta \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg àva $\zeta \dot{\alpha} \omega$ ．
ท̃v．Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ i $\mu i$ i．
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{o} \lambda \omega \lambda \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{c}$ ．Prf act ptc masc nom sg $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \mathrm{I}$（pluperfect peri－ phrastic）．The participle could also be viewed as a predicate，match－ ing the structure of veкрòs $\tilde{\eta} v$ ．

عúpé̇ $\theta$ ．Aor pass ind 3 rd sg عúpíбк $\omega$ ．On the tense，see above on ảvéそŋซยv．
$\eta$ ク̆ $\rho \xi а \nu \tau 0$ ．Aor mid ind 3rd pl ä $\rho \chi \omega$ ．
عủppaiveoӨaı．Pres mid inf $\varepsilon u ̉ \varphi p a i v \omega$（complementary）．Lit．＂to be glad．＂On the voice，see＂Deponency＂in the Series Introduction．




av่̉oṽ．Genitive of relationship．The way the older son is intro－ duced continues the focus on the father in this section of the par－ able．
ėv ả $\gamma \rho \underset{\sim}{c}$ ．Locative．
$\dot{\omega}$ ．Temporal．

グ $\gamma \gamma \iota \sigma \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma i \zeta \omega$ ．
тñ oikiạ．Dative complement of $ク$ グ $\gamma \gamma เ \sigma \varepsilon v$ ．
ŋ̈коvбとv．Aor act ind 3rd sg àкоúw．
 and dancings."
 عไŋ $\tau \alpha$ ṽ $\tau$.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v o c}$. Aor mid ptc masc nom sg $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} о \mu \alpha ı$ (temporal).

हैva. Accusative direct object of $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \gamma \circ \varsigma$.
$\tau \tilde{v} v \pi \alpha i \delta \omega v$. Partitive genitive.
 translation, see 1:59 on غ̇к ${ }^{\prime} \lambda$ ouv.
$\tau \dot{1}$ àv $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}{ }^{\prime \prime} \eta$ тaṽ $\tau \alpha$. Lit. "what these things might be." The optative with $\tau i \not{a} \downarrow$ may indicate indirect discourse (see Wallace, 483), but nothing in the context rules out direct discourse (Porter 1989, 175-76).
ti. Predicate nominative.
عìn. Pres opt 3rd sg eíuí.
$\tau \alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ हो $\eta$.


ó. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon v$ (see 1:29 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ).
$\varepsilon \tilde{i} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av่̉ $\tilde{\omega}$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon \frac{i ̃ \pi \varepsilon v . ~}{\text {. }}$
$\dot{\mathbf{o}} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \varphi$ ós. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} \kappa \varepsilon$.
oov. Genitive of relationship.
ŋ゙кєı. Pres act ind 3rd sg ŋ̈к $\omega$. McKay (33) notes that "there are a few stative verbs whose imperfective [present or imperfect tense] seems to be used sometimes like a perfect, with the implication of a state arising from an otherwise unexpressed event."

ह̈ $\theta$ vocv. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\theta v ́ \omega$.
$\dot{\delta} \pi \alpha \tau \dot{\rho} \rho$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ عै $\theta v \sigma \varepsilon v$.
oov. Genitive of relationship.

őtı. Introduces a causal clause.
$\dot{v} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ aivovta. Pres act ptc masc nom sg úpıaiva. Complement in
an object－complement double accusative construction．The com－ plement and object are fronted to lend force to the statement． av̉tòv．Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon v$ ． $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} \beta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ ．
 $\varepsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} v \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \varepsilon เ ~ \alpha v ̉ \tau o ́ v . ~$
$\dot{\omega} \rho \gamma i \sigma \theta \eta$ ．Aor mid ind 3rd sg ópүi $\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{\text {o }} \boldsymbol{\mu} \alpha \mathrm{l}$ ．On the voice，see ＂Deponency＂in the Series Introduction．

б́̀ кaì．See 2：4．
$\eta_{\eta} \theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v$ ．Impf act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \operatorname{sg} \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$ ．

$\dot{\mathbf{o}} . . . \pi \alpha \tau \grave{\rho} \rho$ ．Nominative subject of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \varepsilon$ ． av̉тoṽ．Genitive of relationship．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} v$ ．Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi o \mu \alpha a$（temporal）．
$\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \varepsilon$. Impf act ind 3rd sg таракє入غ́ш $\omega$ ．
aủtóv．Accusative direct object of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda$.



ó．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ Ĩ爪દข（see 1：29 on $\dot{\eta}$ ）．
 circumstance；see also $1: 19$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \kappa \rho(\theta \varepsilon i \varsigma)$ ．On the voice，see ＂Deponency＂in the Series Introduction．
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \pi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \pi \alpha \tau \rho \dot{\text { ．}}$ ．Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$ ．
av̉тoṽ．Genitive of relationship．
ídoù．See 1：20．
тooaṽта हैtๆ．Accusative extent of time．Lit．＂for so many years．＂
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{o u \lambda \varepsilon u ́ \omega}$ ．Pres act ind 1st sg $\delta$ ou $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{v} \omega$ ．Lit．＂I have served．＂
$\boldsymbol{\sigma o t}$ ．Dative complement of סou入عú $\omega$ ．
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau 0 \lambda \dot{\eta} v$ ．Accusative direct object of $\pi \alpha \rho \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \mathrm{o} v$ ．
бov．Subjective genitive．
$\pi \alpha \rho \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta o v$ ．Aor act ind 1st sg $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \dot{\rho} \rho \chi \rho \alpha \iota$ ．Here，＂to ignore someth．in the interest of other matters＂（BDAG，776．4）．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \boldsymbol{o}$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon$ है $\delta \omega \kappa \alpha \varsigma$. The indirect object is fronted for emphasis.
$\varepsilon ँ \delta \omega \kappa \alpha c$. Aor act ind 2nd sg $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
غ́pıpov. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ है $\delta \omega \kappa \alpha c$.
îva. Introduces a purpose clause.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ̀ \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \varphi i \lambda \omega v$. Association.
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Genitive of relationship.
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathbf{v} \varphi p a v \theta \tilde{\omega}$. Aor mid subj 1st sg عủழpaiva. Subjunctive with ǐva. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.

##  

ő $\tau \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Temporal.
ó viós . . . oṽ̃тoc. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\tilde{\eta}} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$. The use of ó viós бov rather than ód $\alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi$ ¢ó $\mu$ $\mu$ v conveys a sense of disgust toward the younger brother. By adding a demonstrative pronoun, when ó viós is already modified by a genitive personal pronoun, the older brother conveys a strong note of contempt (cf. Marshall, 612), a nuance that is further strengthened by the attributive participial clause.
oov. Genitive of relationship.
катараүஸ́v. Aor act ptc masc nom sg катєбӨí $\omega$ (attributive). Lit. "devoured."
oov. Possessive genitive.
tòv $\beta \mathbf{i o v}$. Accusative direct object of ката $\alpha \gamma \dot{\omega} v$. On the meaning, see verse 30.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \boldsymbol{\sigma} \rho \boldsymbol{v} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \boldsymbol{v}$. Association. The parallel syntax with verse 30 ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \varphi i \lambda \omega v$ ) highlights the point the older son is making regarding whom he and his brother had chosen to associate with and thus strengthens his case for the unfairness of the situation.
$\tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg ép $\quad$ opal.
é $\theta$ voac. Aor act ind 2 nd sg $\theta \dot{v} \omega$.
av̉т $\check{.}$. Dative of advantage. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\dot{\eta} \mu i \pi v$.

 тà $̇ \mu \alpha ̀ ~ \sigma \alpha ́ ~ \varepsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota v . ~$
o. Nominative subject of عĩ $i \pi \varepsilon \nu$ (see 1:29 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ). Levinsohn (2000, 222) argues that when the final exchange in a conversation does
not attain the goal of either of the participants, the exchange will be introduced with a reference to the speaker (here $\dot{o}$ ) rather than with a verb. He thus concludes that here "the father's final speech to the elder son presumably fails to persuade him to enter the house" (Levinsohn 2000, 223; cf. 10:37b).
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av่̉โฺั. Dative indirect object of عĩ̃દv.
тと́кvov. Vocative.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{v}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ĩ.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau$ ' $̇ \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$. Association.
عĩ. Pres act ind 2nd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$.
$\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \varepsilon ̇ \mu \grave{\alpha}$. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Lit. "all my things."
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Predicate adjective.
غ̇бтıv. Pres ind 3rd sg عipi. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on $\varepsilon i \mu$.


 also 2:49 on عivai). On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction. Placing the infinitives first adds force to the father's words.
$\chi \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tilde{\eta} v a 1$. Aor mid inf $\chi \alpha i \rho \omega$ (complementary; see also 2:49 on عĩvai). On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.

ع̌ $\delta \varepsilon$. Impf act ind 3 rd sg $\delta \varepsilon i ̃$ (impersonal). Lit. "it was necessary to celebrate and rejoice."
ötı. Introduces a causal clause.
ó ád $\delta \lambda \varphi$ о́s . . . oṽ̃oc. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} v$. The father takes up the same language as the son (see v. 30 on ó viós . . . oũ̃toc) to drive the point home.
oov. Genitive of relationship.
$\boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\rho}$ òs. Predicate adjective.
ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ i $\mu \mathrm{i}$.

$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \omega \lambda \dot{\omega} \varsigma$. Prf act ptc masc nom sg à $\pi \dot{\partial} \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \mathrm{t}$. The participle may be viewed as either part of a pluperfect periphrastic construction or as a predicate (see v. 24). In either case, $\tilde{\eta} v$ is implied. Many scribes ( $\mathcal{N} \mathfrak{M p m}$ ) made the implied verb explicit.


## Luke 16:1-13

${ }^{1}$ Then he also proceeded to say to his disciples, "A certain man was wealthy, and he had a manager. This manager was accused of squandering his resources. ${ }^{2}$ So he called him (to come) and said to him, 'What is this I am hearing about you? Give an account of your management. For you can no longer serve as (my) manager.' ${ }^{3}$ Then the manager said to himself, 'What should I do, since my master is taking my manager position away from me? I'm not strong enough to dig; I'm ashamed to beg. ${ }^{4}$ I know what I'll do so that when I'm removed from the management position (people) will welcome me into their homes!' ${ }^{5}$ So, after he had summoned his master's debtors one by one, he proceeded to say to the first one: 'How much do you owe my master?' 'He replied, 'One hundred baths of oil.' So he said to him, 'Take your bill and quickly sit down and write fifty.' ${ }^{7}$ Then he said to another, 'You! How much do you owe?' He replied, 'One hundred cors of wheat.' He said to him, 'Take your bill and write eighty!' 'Then the master commended the crooked manager because he had acted shrewdly. (I say this) Because the people of this age are shrewder with their contemporaries than the children of light. ${ }^{9}$ And I tell you, make friends for yourselves using worldly wealth in order that when it is used up you will be welcomed into eternal dwellings."
${ }^{10 \text { "The one who is faithful in little is also faithful in much, and the }}$ one who is dishonest in little is also dishonest in much. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{If}$, then, you are not faithful with worldly wealth, who is going to entrust true wealth to you? ${ }^{12}$ And if you are not faithful regarding the property of others, who is going to give you your own? ${ }^{13}$ No servant can serve two masters. For he will either hate one and love the other, or be loyal to one and despise the other. You cannot serve God and wealth (as well)!"

##   $\sigma \kappa о \rho \pi i \zeta \omega v \tau \alpha ̀$ v́ $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi о v \tau \alpha$ av่̉oṽ.

## ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \lambda \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon v$. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.

ઈ̇̀ кaì. See 2:4.

 wealthy, who had a steward, and this man was accused to him as one who was squandering."
'A $\boldsymbol{v} \theta \rho \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\prime} \varsigma \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} \nu$. On the second accent, see $1: 13$ on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ $\delta$ én $\sigma i c$. Levinsohn $(2000,20)$ notes that "sentences at the beginning of discourses commonly open with a non-verbal constituent" to mark a point of departure for the story that follows.

ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg عi $\mu \mathrm{i}$.
$\pi \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{o v ́ \sigma t o}$. Predicate adjective.
öc. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ĩxev.
عĩ̉ยv. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ ê $\chi \omega$.
oikovó $\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{v}$ v. Accusative direct object of عĩ $\chi$ عv.
oṽtoc. Nominative subject of $\delta เ \varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \theta$.
$\delta \iota \varepsilon \beta \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$. Aor pass ind 3rd sg $\delta ı \alpha \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$. The verb denotes "make a complaint about a pers. to a third party" (BDAG, 226).
av̉т $\tilde{\omega}$. Dative complement of $\delta \iota \varepsilon \beta \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$. The antecedent is the wealthy man.
$\delta \iota a \sigma \kappa о \rho \pi i \zeta \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma к о \rho \pi i \zeta \omega$. In comparative constructions such as this, the participle is best viewed as substantival. Here, it functions as the nominative subject of an implied $\delta$ taß $\lambda \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \tau \alpha u$ : lit. "as one who is squandering his resources is accused."
$\tau \grave{\alpha}$ ví $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \rho \chi \mathbf{o v \tau \alpha}$. Pres act ptc neut acc pl ט́ńá $\rho \chi \omega$ (substantival). Accusative direct object of $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \kappa о \rho \pi i \zeta \omega v$.
aủtoṽ. Possessive genitive.

 $\mu \varepsilon \pi v$.
$\varphi \omega v \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\varphi \omega v \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (attendant circumstance).
aủtòv. Accusative direct object of $\varphi \omega v \eta{ }^{\sigma} \sigma \alpha$, .
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av̉t $\mathfrak{\omega}$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon \frac{i \pi}{\pi} \varepsilon v$.
Tí тои̃тo àкov́ $\omega$ лєрі̀ ooṽ; Though less likely, this clause could be understood as, "Why am I hearing this about you?" (see Plummer, 382; Fitzmyer, 2:1100, rejects this translation). In this case, тoṽтo would serve as the accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \kappa о \dot{\omega} \omega$.

Ti．Predicate nominative of a verbless equative clause（but see above）．
toṽтo．Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause（but see above）．
 see above）．
àкои́w．Pres act ind 1st sg ảkov́ $\omega$ ．
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \grave{\text { in }} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{0}$ ．Reference．
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{\sigma}^{\delta} \delta \mathbf{o}$ ．Aor act impv 2nd sg $\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathrm{o} \delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ．
tòv $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ó $\gamma \mathbf{o v}$ ．Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ \delta o c$.

oov．Subjective genitive．
ov̉ ．．．סúvn！．Pres mid ind 2nd sg $\delta$ úva $\mu a$ ．
ү⿳亠㐅⿸厂⿰丨丿
оїкоvоцєĩv．Pres act inf oỉкоvо $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$（complementary）．

 غ̇̃aıtยĩv aỉ̃ $\chi$ v่vo $\mu a$.

ยĩлยv．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
ėv $\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha v \tau \tilde{̣}$ ．Manner．With verbs of speaking，perception，etc．，the preposition $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ plus a reflexive pronoun points to an inward process （see BDAG，327．1．f）．
ó oỉкovó $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{o}$ ．Nominative subject of عĩitev．
Ti．Accusative direct object of $\pi$ oı $\bar{\sigma} \omega$ ．
$\pi \mathbf{\pi} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega$ ．Aor act subj OR Fut act ind 1st sg $\pi$ oté $\omega$ ．The fact that the two forms were often used interchangeably in deliberative questions in the Koine period makes it impossible to say which is intended here（cf．11：5 on $\varepsilon \check{\xi} \xi \mathrm{\varepsilon})$ ．
ötı．Introduces a causal clause．
ó кúpıós．Nominative subject of ápaıгĩtaı．On the second accent，see $1: 13$ on $\eta \dot{\eta} \delta \varepsilon ́ \eta \sigma i c$.
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$ ．Genitive of relationship．
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi \alpha \iota \rho \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha$ ．Pres mid ind 3rd sg à $\varphi \alpha \iota \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ ．

$\dot{\alpha} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \mathbf{\mu} \mathbf{v}$ ．Separation．
$\boldsymbol{\sigma \kappa \alpha} \pi \tau \varepsilon \iota v$ ．Pres act inf $\sigma \kappa \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \omega$（complementary）．The fronting of the infinitive is striking．Kwong（50－51，n．19）notes that of the
eighty-three occurrences of complementary infinitives in Luke, in only three cases does the infinitive precede the verb, and two are found in this verse (the other is in 20:36). Here, the word order may suggest that the manager was working through his list of options: "Dig? . . . No, I'm not strong enough. Beg? . . . No, I'm too ashamed."
í $\boldsymbol{\chi} \mathbf{v} \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Pres act ind 1st sg í $\sigma \chi \dot{v} \omega$. Bock $(2: 1328)$ suggests "the expression I am not able is idiomatic of people who do not like their prospects."
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \alpha ı \tau \varepsilon \pi v$. Pres act inf $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \alpha \iota \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (epexegetical). On the position of the infinitive, see $\sigma \kappa \alpha \pi \tau \varepsilon เ v ~ a b o v e . ~$
aỉo $\chi$ v́voual. Pres mid ind 1st sg aïoरúv $\omega$.

## 


é $\gamma \boldsymbol{v} \omega v$. Aor act ind 1st sg $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \omega$. As Decker (42) notes, the use of the aorist here portrays the man having a "flash of inspiration" (cf. 1:47; 3:22; 7:35; 8:46; 15:24). Caragounis (329) paraphrases the whole expression ( $\varepsilon \not \gamma v \omega v \tau i \pi o เ \eta ं \sigma \omega$ ), "I've got it!"
$\tau i \pi o เ \eta \sigma \omega$. This clause functions as the direct object of $\varepsilon \not \gamma v \omega v$.
$\tau i$. Accusative direct object of $\pi$ oın $\sigma \omega$.
$\pi \mathbf{r} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega$. Aor act subj OR Fut act ind 1st sg $\pi$ oté $\omega$. See also verse 3.
ivva. Introduces a purpose clause.
ö $\tau \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. On translating "when" rather than "whenever," see 6:22.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \tilde{\omega}$. Aor pass subj 1st sg $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \tau i \theta \eta \mu$. Subjunctive with öта⿱.

غ̇к тท̃ৎ oỉкоvoцíac. Separation.
$\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \omega v \tau \alpha i$. Aor mid subj 3rd pl $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi<\mu a l$. Subjunctive with ǐva. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \eta \sigma i c$. McKay (19) argues that the use of plural verbs that refer to a subject that is not identified in the context "may be influenced by a Semitic idiom in which a plural verb with completely vague subject is used in the active in circumstances where English, and normally also Greek, would need a passive" (cf. 12:20 on à $\pi \alpha$ aıтoṽбıv).
$\mu \varepsilon$. Accusative direct object of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \omega \nu \tau \alpha i$.
عís toùs oi̋kovg. Locative.
àvtũv. Possessive genitive.


$\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v o c$. Aor mid ptc masc nom sg $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (temporal).

ह̈va $๕ \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau o v . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~ \pi \rho о \sigma к \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma . ~$
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \chi \rho \varepsilon о \varphi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \tau \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. Partitive genitive.
тоṽ кирiov. Objective genitive.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha v \tau o v ̃$. Genitive of subordination. The use of aủtoũ by many scribes ( $\kappa$ D F G M $\Theta \Lambda \Psi f^{1,13} p c$ ) suggests that they attached no special significance to $\varepsilon$ غ́uvtoṽ in this context.
$\ddot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$. Impf act ind 3 rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. One could also use an ingressive translation here (see 1:59 on غ̇кá $\lambda o u v$ ).
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \tau \omega$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon$ é $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$.
Пóбov. Accusative direct object of ỏ $\varphi \varepsilon i \lambda \varepsilon ı$.
ò $\varphi \varepsilon i \lambda \varepsilon เ \varsigma$. Pres act ind 2nd sg ò $\varphi \varepsilon i \lambda \omega$.
$\tau \tilde{\varphi}$ кирi $\varphi$. Dative indirect object of ò $\varphi \varepsilon i \lambda \varepsilon ı \varsigma$.
$\mu \mathbf{o v}$. Genitive of subordination.


o. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon v$ (see 1:29 on $\dot{\eta}$ ). $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$.
'Eкатòv $\beta$ átovc. Accusative direct object of an implied ỏ $\varphi \varepsilon i \lambda \omega$. According to Bock (2:1330-31) one hundred baths of oil equals about 875 gallons and would be worth approximately 1,000 denarii, the equivalent of three years of wages for a day laborer.

غ̇̀aiov. Genitive of content.
o. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon \nu$ (see 1:29 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ).
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\tau}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
aủา $\tilde{\text {. }}$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon v$.
$\Delta \dot{\varepsilon} \xi a \mathrm{a}$. Aor mid impv 2nd sg $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi o \mu a ı$.
oov. Possessive genitive.
$\tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\Delta \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha a$. Lit. "the things that are written."

каӨíбac. Aor act ptc masc nom sg каӨi广, (attendant circum-
stance). Attendant circumstance participles take on the mood of the verb they modify (see also $5: 14$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} v$ ).
$\tau \alpha \chi \dot{\varepsilon} \omega \varsigma$. Temporal. Rather than asking whether the adverb modifies the participle or the main verb, it is probably best to view it as modifying the whole verbal complex: каӨíaऽ . . . $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \psi o v$.
$\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \psi o v$. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \varphi \omega$.
$\pi \varepsilon \nu \tau \grave{j} \kappa \boldsymbol{v} \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\psi} \%$ ov.

 $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \psi о v$ ỏ $\gamma \delta$ боŋ́коขта.

ع̈лєıта. Temporal.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \varphi$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$.
$\varepsilon і ँ \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\Sigma \dot{v}$. Nominative subject of ò $\varphi \varepsilon i \lambda \varepsilon ı \varsigma$. The use of the explicit subject pronoun may indicate the shift of attention to a new addressee as in the translation. Alternatively, the clause could be rendered, "And how much do you owe?"
$\pi \mathbf{o ́ \sigma o v}$. Accusative direct object of ỏ $\varphi \varepsilon i \lambda \varepsilon ı \varsigma$.
ò $\varphi \varepsilon i \lambda \varepsilon ı \varsigma . ~ P r e s ~ a c t ~ i n d ~ 2 n d ~ s g ~ o ̉ \varphi \varepsilon i \lambda \omega . ~$
$\dot{\delta}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon v$ (see $1: 29$ on $\dot{\eta}$ ). $\varepsilon i ँ \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
'Eкатòv кópov̧. Accusative direct object of an implied ò $\varphi \varepsilon i \lambda \omega$. According to Bock (2:1331) one hundred cors of wheat would equal nearly 400 liters, and would be worth approximately $2,500-3,000$ denarii, the equivalent of eight to ten years of wages for a day laborer.

бitov. Genitive of content.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. On the significance of the historical present, see 7:40 on $\varphi \eta \sigma i v$.
av̉兀 $\check{.}$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \varepsilon$ ह́ $\gamma \varepsilon$.
$\Delta \dot{\varepsilon} \xi a l$. Aor mid impv 2nd sg $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \circ \mu \alpha$.
oov. Possessive genitive.
$\tau \alpha ̀ ~ \gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\Delta \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha$ al. See also verse 6 . $\gamma \boldsymbol{\rho} \dot{\alpha} \psi \mathbf{o v}$. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \varphi \omega$.





ó кúpıoc. Nominative subject of દ̇ $\pi \mathfrak{̣} v \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$.

$\tau \tilde{\varsigma} \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \boldsymbol{\prime} \boldsymbol{a}$. Attributive genitive. Lit. "manager of unrighteousness."
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ı. Introduces a causal clause. Omanson (139) notes that ö 1 ı could introduce indirect discourse here: "the master commended the dishonest manager that he had acted shrewdly."
$\varphi \rho о \boldsymbol{v} i \mu \omega \varsigma$. Adverb of manner.
غ̇лоíŋ $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v$. Aor act ind 3 rd sg $\pi$ otź $\omega$.
ö $\tau$ ı. Introduces a causal clause, with something like $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ тoũ $\tau$ being implied.
oi vioì. Nominative subject of عiovv.
тoṽ aíãvos toútov. "The people living in this age."
$\varphi \rho о v \iota \mu \dot{\omega} \tau \varepsilon \rho о t$. Predicate adjective of عiбıv.
ütè $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ toùs vioùs. Comparison.
 Semitic idiom (cf. "son of wickedness" = "wicked person"; Ps 89:22) that is common in the NT (e.g., "sons of thunder," Mark 3:17; "son of perdition/destruction," John 17:12; "sons of disobedience," Eph 2:2; 5:6; see also Moule, 174-75). The Qumran community regularly used the expression "sons of light" to refer to their own group (cf. 1QS 1:9-10; 3:13, 24-25; 1QM 1:1, 3).

عis $\tau \grave{̀} v \boldsymbol{\gamma} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon$ àv. Reference, modifying $\varphi \rho о \nu \iota \mu \dot{\tau} \tau \varepsilon \rho o$. The translation (lit. "toward their own generation") follows the NET Bible.
$\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\eta} v \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \boldsymbol{v}$. The article functions as a adjectivizer turning $\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha u \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ into an attributive modifer of t $\eta\rangle \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha ̀ \nu$.

عiбtv. Pres ind 3 rd pl عiцi. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عípu.

 бкŋváя.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega} \dot{\mathbf{v}} \mu \boldsymbol{\imath} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \boldsymbol{\omega}$. With the explicit subject pronoun and shift in
word order, this construction appears to be more forceful than the typical $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$ ن́ $\mu \mathrm{i} \nu$ (see also 3:8).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \grave{\omega}$. Nominative subject of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
غ́avtoĩc. Dative of advantage.
$\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act impv 2nd pl $\pi$ oté $\omega$.

غ̇к то⿱丷 $\mu \alpha \mu \omega v a ̃$. Means.
$\tau \tilde{\varsigma} \varsigma$ àסıкiac. Attributive genitive. Lit. "the mammon of unrighteousness." Likely an idiom for wealth associated with this world rather than wealth acquired dishonestly (cf. Marshall, 621).
îva. Introduces a purpose clause.
ö $\tau \boldsymbol{\alpha} v$. On translating "when" rather than "whenever," see 6:22.
 the verb means "to change to a state in which something is lacking or insufficient" (LN 57.46).
$\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \omega v \tau \alpha u$. Aor mid subj 3rd pl $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi o \mu \alpha ı$. Subjunctive with ïva. McKay (19) argues that the use of plural verbs that refer to a subject that is not identified in the context "may be influenced by a Semitic idiom in which a plural verb with completely vague subject is used in the active in circumstances where English, and normally also Greek, would need a passive" (cf. 12:20 on $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ı \tau o v ̃ \sigma ı v) . ~$
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{a} \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \omega \nu \tau \alpha u$.
عís tà̧ aỉwviovs $\boldsymbol{\sigma \kappa \eta v a ́ c . ~ L o c a t i v e . ~ L i t . ~ " i n t o ~ e t e r n a l ~ t e n t s . " ~}$


ó $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ c$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ह́б $\tau \iota v$.

$\dot{\varepsilon} v \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \tilde{\tilde{y}}$. Reference. The fronting of the PP (see Kwong, 76; cf. 12:26 on $\pi \varepsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \tilde{\omega} v \lambda o ı \pi \tilde{\omega} v)$ helps create a balanced (chiastic), appealing, and memorable structure.
$\pi \iota \sigma \tau o ́ c . ~ P r e d i c a t e ~ a d j e c t i v e . ~$
غ̇бтıv. Pres ind 3rd sg عíhi. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on $\varepsilon i \mu$.
ó . . . ä $\delta \mathbf{\iota} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \mathbf{c}$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$.
غ̇v $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{\prime} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega$. Reference.

غ̇v $\boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{o} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \tilde{\omega}$. Reference. On the word order, see above.
ä $\delta$ tкóc. Predicate adjective. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\dot{\eta}$ ठغ́qбís.

غ̇бтıv. Pres ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on $\varepsilon i \mu ı$.


$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Introduces the protasis of a first class condition.
oṽv. Inferential.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\varphi} \mathfrak{a} \delta \delta i \kappa \omega \mu \alpha \mu \omega v \tilde{a}$. Reference. On the translation, see verse 11 on tŋ̃ऽ àdıкíac.
$\pi$ roctoi. Predicate adjective.

тò $\mathfrak{a} \lambda \eta \theta$ ıvòv. Accusative direct object of $\pi \downarrow \sigma \tau \varepsilon v ่ \sigma \varepsilon ı$.
tic. Nominative subject of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \dot{\sigma \varepsilon}$.
$\dot{v} \mu \mathrm{i} v$. Dative indirect object of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \dot{\sigma} \varepsilon$.
$\pi เ \sigma \tau \varepsilon v ่ \sigma \varepsilon$. Fut act ind 3rd sg $\pi เ \sigma \tau \varepsilon v ่ \omega$.
 тíc úpĩv $\delta \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon ;$
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Introduces the protasis of a first class condition.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{v} \mathfrak{a} \lambda \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\tau} \rho \dot{\prime} \varphi \varphi$. Reference. Lit. "with the thing (neuter) belonging to another."
$\pi \iota \sigma \tau o i ̀ . ~ P r e d i c a t e ~ a d j e c t i v e . ~$
غ̇ $\boldsymbol{y} \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$. Aor mid ind 2nd pl $\gamma$ ivoual.
tò $\mathbf{u} \mu \dot{\tau} \tau \varepsilon \rho o v$. Accusative direct object of $\delta \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon$.
$\tau i c$. Nominative subject of $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon$.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon$.
$\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon$ เ. Fut act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \operatorname{sg} \delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{t}$.



 "a servant in a household" (LN 46.5) as opposed to another type of slave.

סúvataı. Pres mid ind 3rd sg סúvauaı.

Sucì kupiots．Dative complement of סou入cúsıv．
反ou入cúعıv．Pres act inf $\delta$ ou $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{v} \omega$（complementary）．
ŋ̈ ．．．ŋ̀．＂Either ．．．or．＂
$\gamma$ à $\rho$ ．Causal（see also 1：15）．


тòv étepov．Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma$ ．
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon$ ．Fut act ind 3rd sg $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \dot{\alpha} \omega$ ．
$\dot{\varepsilon} v o ̀ c$. Genitive complement of $\alpha v \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \tau \alpha a$ ．
$\dot{\alpha} v \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \tau \alpha a$ ．Fut mid ind 3rd sg àvté $\chi \omega$ ．Here，＂to join with and to maintain loyalty to＂（LN 34．24）．


ov̉ $\delta u ́ v a \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ．Pres mid ind 2nd pl $\delta u ́ v a \mu a l$.
$\theta \varepsilon \tilde{\omega}$ ．Dative complement of $\delta$ ou入cúsıv．The position of $\theta \varepsilon \tilde{\omega}$ high－ lights the fact that serving God is what is in question．

סou入cúsiv．Pres act inf $\delta o u \lambda \varepsilon u ́ \omega$（complementary）．
$\mu \alpha \mu v v \tilde{a}$. ．This noun could be viewed as part of a discontinuous NP（ $\theta \varepsilon \tilde{\omega} \ldots$ ．．кגì $\mu \alpha \mu \omega v \tilde{\tilde{a}}$ ）or，if kai marks a clause boundary，the dative complement of an implied $\delta o u \lambda \varepsilon u ́ \varepsilon เ v$.

## Luke 16：14－18

${ }^{14}$ Now，the Pharisees，who were lovers of money，were listening to all these things and were ridiculing him．${ }^{15}$ So he said to them， ＂You are men who justify yourselves before people，but God knows your hearts．（I say this，）Because what is exalted among people is an abomination in the Lord＇s opinion．${ }^{16}$ The Law and the Prophets （testified）until John．Since then，the kingdom of God has been preached and everyone is using violence against it．${ }^{17}$ It is easier for heaven and earth to pass away than for one stroke of the Law to fail．${ }^{18}$ Everyone who divorces his wife and marries another woman commits adultery，and the one who marries a woman who has been divorced from（her）husband commits adultery．＂

16：14＂Hкovov $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha \tilde{̃} \tau \alpha$ тáv $\tau \alpha$ oi $\Phi a \rho ı \sigma a i ̃ o t ~ \varphi t \lambda \alpha ́ \rho \gamma v \rho o t ~ v ́ \pi \alpha ́ \rho-~$

＂Hкovov．Impf act ind 3rd pl ảkov́ $\omega$ ．Luke uses two imperfect verbs to establish the background for what follows．
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon}$ ．The conjunction introduces the next development in the narrative．
$\tau \alpha v ̃ \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \dot{\sigma} \tau \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ．Accusative direct object of＂Hкovov．
oi Фapıoaĩot．Nominative subject of＂Hкоvov．
甲i入á $\rho \gamma \mathbf{v} \boldsymbol{\rho o t . ~ P r e d i c a t e ~ a d j e c t i v e . ~}$

 ＂to ridicule in a sneering and contemptuous way＂（LN 33．409）．
av̉兀óv．Accusative direct object of $\mathfrak{\varepsilon ̌} \xi \mu \nu \kappa \tau \eta \dot{\rho!\zeta o v . ~}$



$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
av̉тoĩc．Dative indirect object of عĩл
$` \Upsilon \mu \varepsilon i ̃$, ．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ żб $\tau$ ．
غ̇бтє．Pres ind $2 \mathrm{nd} \mathrm{pl} \varepsilon i \mu \mathrm{i}$ ．On the loss of accent，see $1: 18$ on $\varepsilon i \mu \mathrm{l}$ ．
oi $\delta$ เкaıoṽvtec．Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\delta ı \kappa \alpha$ oó $\omega$（substantival）． Predicate nominative．

غ́avtov̀c．Accusative direct object of $\delta$ เкaıoũvtec．
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \dot{\omega} \pi \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{o v} \tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{v} \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \theta \boldsymbol{\rho} \dot{\omega} \pi \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$ ．This first use of the preposition likely carries a true locative sense：What the Pharisees do，they do in the presence of others（but see below）．

$\gamma \iota \nu \omega \dot{\sigma \kappa \varepsilon}$ ．Pres act ind 3rd sg $\gamma \iota \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \omega$ ．
тà̧ карঠiac．Accusative direct object of $\gamma เ \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \varepsilon$ ．
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Possessive genitive．
ötı．Introduces a causal clause，providing the reason for Jesus＇ reference to God＇s implicit repudiation of the Pharisees＇attempt to justify themselves．
tò ．．．$\dot{v} \psi \eta \lambda$ ì̀ $v$ ．Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause．

$\beta \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda v \gamma \mu \alpha$ ．Predicate nominative of a verbless equative clause．
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{0} \boldsymbol{v}$ тoṽ $\theta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{c}$ ṽ．Here，the preposition is not locative，but rather introduces＂a participant whose viewpoint is relevant to an event－＇in the sight of，in the opinion of，in the judgment of＇＂（LN 90．20）．See also 1：15．


'O vó $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{o s}$ каì oi $\pi \rho \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause. Although a few scribes $(\mathrm{D} \Theta)$ supplied the verb غ̇про甲 $\dot{\tau} \varepsilon \cup \sigma \alpha v$, following the parallel account in Matt 11:13, Luke simply writes "the Law and the Prophets (were) until John."
$\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \rho \boldsymbol{\rho}$ 'I $\omega \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v o v}$. With the genitive, $\mu \varepsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota$ functions as a preposition marking "continuance in time up to a point" (BDAG, 644.2.a).
à $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ò tóte. Temporal: "from then on."
$\grave{\eta} \beta a \sigma ı \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon v ̉ \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda i \zeta \varepsilon \tau \alpha u$.
тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ. Subjective genitive (see also 4:43).
عủa $\gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda i \zeta \varepsilon \tau \alpha a$. Pres pass ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ v̉a $\gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda i \zeta \omega$.
$\pi \tilde{a} \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\beta$ ıáไعтaı.
モiç aủtŋ̀ข. Opposition.
$\beta$ á $\zeta \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{c}$. Pres mid ind 3 rd $\operatorname{sg} \beta \iota \alpha \dot{\zeta} \zeta \omega$. The verb could actually be either passive (lit. "everyone suffers violence into it") or middle. Although some claim that the verb can be used in a positive sense (see also Bovon, 3:100, who says it refers to exerting oneself), such claims are based on flimsy support at best (see BDAG, 175.3). Thus, while Bock's (2:1353) rendering, "all are urged insistently to come in" may fit "remarkably well in the current context," it does not reflect the semantics of the verb and should therefore be rejected. It is better to accept the standard meaning of $\beta \stackrel{\alpha}{\zeta} \zeta \omega$, "to employ violence in doing harm to someone or something" (LN 20.10), "to gain an objective by force" (BDAG, 175.2), or "to inflict violence on" (BDAG, 175.1). The decidedly negative connotations of the term make it virtually certain that it is a middle form. The use of $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$ as the subject of this verb reflects a simple case of Lukan hyperbole to emphasize the opposition of the Jewish establishment (see v. 14) to Jesus' preaching of the kingdom and its values.



غ̇бтıv. Pres ind 3rd sg عíhi. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on $\varepsilon i \mu$.
đòv oủpavòv кaì $\tau \grave{\eta} v \gamma \tilde{\eta} v$ ．Accusative subject of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} v$ ． $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} v$ ．Aor act inf $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha$ ．The infinitival clause tòv oủpavòv кaì t $̀ v \gamma \tilde{\eta} v \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} v$ functions as the subject of $\varepsilon$ ह̇otiv．
ŋ̈．The conjunction introduces a comparison．
то⿱亠乂，vó $\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{o v}$ ．Source．
$\mu \mathbf{i \alpha} \nu \boldsymbol{\kappa \varepsilon \rho a i \alpha} v$ ．Accusative subject of $\pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon i ̃$ ．The term кєраia refers to＂a part of a letter of the alphabet＂（LN 33．37）．
$\pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} v$ ．Aor act inf $\pi i \pi \tau \omega$ ．The infinitival clause $\tau$ oṽ vó $\mu$ ov $\mu i \alpha \nu$ к （ $\varepsilon$ и̋котоv）：lit．＂For heaven and earth to pass away is easier than for one stroke of the law to fall（is easy）．＂



Пã¢．Nominative subject of $\mu$ orðعن́عı．In this context，$\pi \tilde{a} \varsigma$ is prob－ ably substantival since it is followed by two participles connected by каí（see also 1：66 on oi àkov́ซavteऽ）．
$\dot{o}$ à $\pi \mathbf{o} \lambda \dot{v} \omega v$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom sg à $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \omega$（attributive）．

av̉тoṽ．Genitive of relationship．
$\gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\gamma \alpha \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$（attributive）．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \rho a v$ ．Accusative direct object of $\gamma \alpha \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$ ．

ó ．．．$\gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\gamma \alpha \mu \varepsilon ́ \omega($（substantival）． Nominative of $\mu$ огхعט́عו．
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{o} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \nu \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v \eta \nu$ ．Prf pass ptc acc fem sg $\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathrm{o} \lambda u ́ \omega$（substantival）．
Accusative direct object of $\gamma \alpha \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ ．
à $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ò àv $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\rho}$ òs．Separation．


## Luke 16：19－31

${ }^{19 " N o w, ~ a ~ c e r t a i n ~ m a n ~ w a s ~ r i c h, ~ a n d ~ h e ~ d r e s s e d ~ h i m s e l f ~ i n ~ p u r p l e ~}$ cloth and fine linen and partied lavishly each day．${ }^{20}$ And a poor man，named Lazarus，had been placed at his gate，who was full of sores ${ }^{21}$ and longed to satisfy his hunger with what fell from the rich man＇s table．Instead，the dogs were even coming and licking his sores！
${ }^{22}$ Now it happened that the poor man died and he was carried away by angels to Abraham's side. Then the rich man also died and was buried. ${ }^{23}$ In Hades, when he looked up, being in torment, he saw Abraham from a distance and Lazarus at his side. ${ }^{24}$ So he called out and said, 'Father Abraham! Take pity on me and send Lazarus so that he can wet the tip of his finger with water and cool my tongue, since I am in anguish in these flames.' ${ }^{25}$ Abraham replied, 'Child, remember that you received your good things during your life, and Lazarus likewise (received) bad things; but now he is being comforted and you are in anguish. ${ }^{26}$ Besides all these things, a great chasm has been put in place between us and you so that those wanting to go over to you from here are not able to, nor could they cross over from there to us.' ${ }^{27}$ Then he said, ' $I$ ask you then, Father, that you send him to my father's house- ${ }^{28}$ for I have five brothers-so that he might alert them in order that they too will not come to this place of torment.' ${ }^{29}$ But Abraham said, 'They have Moses and the Prophets. Let them pay attention to them!' ${ }^{30}$ Then he replied, 'No, Father Abraham! But if someone from the dead goes to them, then they will repent.' ${ }^{31}$ But he said to him, 'if they do not pay attention to Moses and the Prophets, they will not be convinced even if someone rises from the dead!'"

##  

 20) notes that "Sentences at the beginning of discourses commonly open with a non-verbal constituent" to mark a point of departure for the story that follows. Before "A $\downarrow \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma^{\circ}$ several mss ( $\mathrm{D} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{mg}} \mathrm{d}$
 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta$ o $\eta \eta \nu$ ("and he spoke another parable"). The addition may have been for stylistic reasons to smooth the transition in subject from 16:18 to $16: 19$, or it may have been introduced to resolve the question of whether the story was intended as a historical account (so Ambrose, Hugh of Saint-Cher, and Bonaventure; see further below) or a parable (see Wailes, 255-60).

ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ i $\mu i ́$.
$\pi \lambda$ ov́ $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{o}$. Predicate adjective. One scribe $\left(\mathfrak{P}^{75}\right)$ added a name for the rich man: óvó $\mu a \tau \iota$ Nzuŋৎ (see also Cadbury, 1962; Fitzmyer,

2:1130). This addition, which derives from the Latin adjective for "rich" (dives), may have contributed to the "historicizing" of the parable by adding a name to balance with the name of "Lazarus" and to make the story more concrete. Only here in all of Jesus' parables is a character named.
 verb introduces background information about the rich man.

$\varepsilon \dot{\varphi} \varphi \rho a!v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o c ̧ \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon ́ \rho a v \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$. The NET Bible footnote rightly sees here a picture of conspicuous consumption.
$\varepsilon \dot{\varphi} \varphi \rho a \operatorname{vó} \mu \varepsilon v o c$. Pres mid ptc masc nom sg $\varepsilon \cup ̉ \varphi p \rho a i v \omega$ (attendant circumstance; see 1:24 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \quad 0 \quad \sigma \alpha)$.
$\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon ́ \rho a v$. Distributive.
$\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$. Only here in the NT: "pertaining to living in ostentatious luxury" (LN 88.255).

##  aủtoṽ $\varepsilon i \lambda \kappa \omega \mu \varepsilon ́ v o \varsigma$

$\pi \tau \omega \chi$ òs . . . $\tau \iota \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \beta \dot{\varepsilon} \beta \lambda \eta \tau \tau$. In Luke's gospel the adjective $\pi \tau \omega$ रós functions as a substantive with $(6: 20 ; 19: 8)$ or without (4:18; 7:22; 18:22) the article.

ỏvó $\mu \boldsymbol{\tau}$ т. Dative of reference.
иа́そарос. Nominative in apposition to $\pi \tau \omega \chi$ òs.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \beta \dot{\varepsilon} \beta \lambda \eta \tau 0$. Plprf pass ind 3 rd sg $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$. The pluperfect introduces background information regarding Lazarus's state (see "Verbal Aspect" in the Introduction) and "suggests that the poor man had been at the door for some while" (Robertson, 905). When used to refer to the state of a sick person, the verb $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ is characteristically passive voice (see Matt 8:6, 14; 9:2; cf. Mark 7:30). The fact that it is modified by $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ t o ̀ v ~ \pi u \lambda \tilde{\omega} v a$ here makes it tempting to see the passive form of $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ as an effort to characterize Lazarus as an insignificant person who is dropped like a sack of rice at a place where he might be able to make himself useful, but this may be an overly literal reading of the Greek. The same may be true of the claim that the passive voice suggests that Lazarus is too ill to move himself (Bock, 2:1366). Given usage elsewhere, $\dot{\varepsilon} \beta \dot{ß} \beta \lambda \eta$ to may mean nothing more than "he had been lying."
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ t o ̀ v ~ \pi v \lambda \tilde{\omega} v a$. Locative.
av่̉oũ. Possessive genitive.
$\varepsilon і \lambda \kappa \omega \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v o c}$. Prf pass ptc masc nom sg $\varepsilon$ í入кó $\omega$ (attributive).

 т $\grave{\text { è }} \lambda \kappa \eta$ av̉тoṽ.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \theta v \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi t \theta \nu \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (attributive).
$\chi \mathbf{\rho} \tau \boldsymbol{\sigma} \theta \tilde{\eta} v a 1$. Aor pass inf $\chi$ орта́Ц $\omega$ (complementary).
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{o ̀} \tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{o} \mathbf{v} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$. Separation (contra BDAG, 105.1.f, which cites this as an example of the preposition used as a substitute for the partitive genitive).
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \pi \iota \pi \tau \dot{o} v \tau \omega v$. Pres act ptc neut gen $\mathrm{pl} \pi i \pi \tau \omega$ (substantival).
à $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ò $\tau \tilde{\varsigma} \varsigma \tau \rho \alpha \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \zeta \eta \varsigma$. Separation.
тoṽ $\pi \lambda$ ovoiov. Possessive genitive.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$. The adversative conjunction introduces a clause that runs counter expectation (see also 1:60).
кai. Ascensive.

 cumstance; see 1:24 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma o v \sigma \alpha$ ).
 account that follows continues to be established with imperfective verbs.

av่̉oũ. Possessive genitive.

 $\pi \lambda$ ov́бıo̧ каì ėtá $\varphi \eta$.

غ̇үย́vยтo. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual. See also 1:8 on 'Eүદ́veto.
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon}$. The conjunction introduces the first significant development in this embedded narrative.
à $\pi \mathbf{0} \boldsymbol{a v \varepsilon \varepsilon ̃ v . ~ A o r ~ a c t ~ i n f ~} \mathfrak{\alpha} \pi o \theta v$ ทุ́бк $\omega$. The whole infinitival clause,


đòv $\pi \tau \omega \chi$ òv. Accusative subject of à $\pi$ O $\theta$ aveĩv.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon v \varepsilon \chi \theta \tilde{\eta} v a \boldsymbol{a}$. Aor pass inf $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \omega$. On the function, see àmoӨaveĩv above.
av̉tòv. Accusative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon v \varepsilon \chi \theta \tilde{\eta} v a$.

عís tòv кó $\lambda \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{v}$. Locative. The phrase, tòv кó入 $\pi$ ov 'A $\beta$ páá (lit. "the chest/lap of Abraham"), is a figure of speech that points to enjoying a blessed afterlife in the place where Abraham, the epitome of faithfulness to God, dwells.
${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{A} \beta \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu$. Possessive genitive.


$\varepsilon ̇ \tau \dot{\alpha} \varphi \eta$. Aor pass ind 3rd sg $\theta \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \omega$.

 ко́入лоเя aט̉тоṽ.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \tau \tilde{̣}$ ặ $\delta \underline{\eta}$. Locative.
 his eyes."

av̉тoṽ. Possessive genitive.
$\dot{v} \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \omega$ (temporal or manner).
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \sigma \dot{\alpha} v o t c$. . Context (see 1:78 on $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ oĩc).
$\dot{\mathbf{o}} \boldsymbol{\rho} \tilde{\tilde{a}}$. Pres act ind 3rd sg ópá $\omega$. This is one of only three instances of historical presents in Luke's gospel that are not associated with a speech (see also $8: 49 ; 24: 12$; cf. Acts $10: 11,27$ ). In each case, they mark the information associated with the historical present as significant and give prominence to what follows (Levinsohn 2000, 208; see also 7:40 on $\varphi \eta \sigma i v$.).
'A $\boldsymbol{\beta} \rho \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ à $\mu$. Accusative direct object of ó $\rho \tilde{a}$.
à $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ò $\mu \propto \kappa \rho \dot{\alpha} \theta \varepsilon v$. Source.
$\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \dot{\alpha} \zeta \alpha \rho \mathbf{\rho} \boldsymbol{v}$. Accusative direct object of ó $\rho \tilde{a}$.
 of the plural noun, see Robertson, 408; cf. BDF $\$ 141$.
av่̉าov. Possessive genitive.



av̉tòc. Nominative subject of عĩ̃ $\frac{1}{} v$.
$\varphi \omega v \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\varphi \omega v \dot{\varepsilon} \omega($ attendant circumstance).
$\varepsilon \tilde{i} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
Пátгр. Vocative.
A $\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu$. Vocative in apposition to Пáte $\rho$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \dot{o} v$. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega \omega$. On the second accent, see

$\mu \varepsilon$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon ́ \eta \sigma o ́ v$.
$\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \psi \boldsymbol{o v}$. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \omega$.
$\Lambda \dot{\alpha} \zeta \alpha \rho o v$. Accusative direct object of $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \psi o v$.
îva. Introduces a purpose clause.
$\beta \dot{\alpha} \psi \eta$. Aor act subj 3 rd sg $\beta \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \omega$. Subjunctive with ǐva.
тò ö́крov. Accusative direct object of $\beta \dot{\alpha} \psi \eta$.
тои̃ $\delta a \kappa \tau \cup \dot{\lambda} \lambda \mathbf{o v}$. Partitive genitive.
aủtoṽ. Possessive genitive.
v̈סatoc. Wallace (124; cf. Marshall, 637) views this as a genitive of place. Although one scribe seems to have taken it this way ( $\mathcal{\aleph}$ reads v̌ $\delta a \tau \iota$ ), it is not clear that this is a legitimate function of the genitive case. BDF ( $\$ 172$ ) places this usage with verbs that take a genitive of content, such as $\pi i \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu \mathrm{t}, \pi \lambda \eta \rho o \dot{\omega} \omega$, and $\gamma \varepsilon \mu i \zeta \omega$. This makes sense, since the purpose of the dipping is to bring some of the water to the rich man. A similar usage occurs in LXX Lev 14:16, where $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ó is used instead of the genitive, suggesting a different view. The noun ű $\delta a \tau$ ç may well represent a genitive of separation, which when used with $\beta \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \omega$, indicates dipping in something and moving that something from one location to another.
$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \psi v i \xi n . ~ A o r ~ a c t ~ s u b j ~ 3 r d ~ s g ~ к а \tau \alpha \psi v ́ \chi \omega$. Subjunctive with ǐva.
$\tau \grave{v} \nu \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \dot{\alpha} v$. Accusative direct object of катачúधn. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\eta \dot{\eta}$ dén $\sigma$ i̧.
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Possessive genitive.
őtı. Introduces a causal clause.
ó $\delta u v \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Pres mid ind 1st sg ó $\delta u v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$. The verb, which is only
used by Luke in the NT (also 2:48; 16:25; Acts 20:38), means "to experience great distress or anxiety" (LN 25.236).




$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
${ }^{\text {A }} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta} \rho a \alpha \dot{\mu}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ĩ $\pi \varepsilon \varepsilon$.
Tغ́кvov. Vocative.
$\mu \nu \eta \dot{\sigma} \theta \eta \tau \mathrm{c}$. Aor mid impv 2nd sg $\mu \mu \nu \eta \eta^{\sigma} \sigma \kappa о \mu \alpha l$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
ö $\tau$. Introduces the clausal complement of $\mu v \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma \eta \eta \tau$.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon c$. Aor act ind 2nd sg $\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$. Caragounis (279-91) presents a plausible, if not conclusive, argument for understanding $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{c} \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \varsigma$ to mean "enjoy" rather than "receive" in this passage. He notes that over time $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ and $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \lambda a \dot{v} \omega$ (generally, "to enjoy"), which in some forms are pronounced the same, came to be confused, and $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ consequently also took on the meaning of "to enjoy." This use of $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$, however, is not widespread (Caragounis, 284, cites only 50 instances of this sense out of 2,900 uses of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ from the first to the eleventh century). Furthermore, the earliest putative use of this sense is the NT (here and Rom 1:27). Only one of 410 instances of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ in the papyri might carry the sense "to enjoy" (Caragounis, 288). Although he goes on (289) to explain how his reading makes good sense of the overall text, the limited evidence suggests that we should be cautious in adopting the proposed sense here.
$\tau \grave{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \theta \dot{\alpha}$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \varsigma$.
oov. Possessive genitive.
$\varepsilon ̇ v \tau \tilde{n} \zeta \omega \tilde{n}$. Temporal.
oov. Subjective genitive.
$\Lambda \dot{\alpha} \zeta \alpha \rho о с$. Nominative subject of an implied $\alpha \pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon v$.
$\tau \dot{\alpha}$ каќ่. Accusative direct object of an implied $\dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \beta \varepsilon v$.
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha к а \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha$. Pres pass ind 3rd sg тарака入غ́ш.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Nominative subject of ỏ ouvã̃бaı.
óduvãoal. Pres mid ind 2nd sg ó ouváa. The verb, which is only
used by Luke in the NT (also 2:48; 16:24; Acts 20:38), means "to experience great distress or anxiety" (LN 25.236).

 $\delta \dot{v} v \omega v \tau \alpha \iota, \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon ̀ ~ \varepsilon ̇ \kappa \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} \theta \varepsilon v \pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \tilde{a} \varsigma ~ \delta เ \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \tilde{\omega} \sigma เ v$.
ėv $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma ı$ тоט́тotç. Association. Lit. "among all these things." Many scribes (A D W $\Theta \Psi f^{f, 13} \mathfrak{R}$ ) replaced $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ with $\varepsilon$ ह̇ $\pi i$ yielding an idiom meaning, "in addition to all these things" (BDAG, 365.7).
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \xi \mathrm{v} \dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ каì $\dot{u} \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$. Locative.
$\chi \boldsymbol{\alpha} \sigma \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\rho} \rho \kappa \tau \alpha$.
غ̇бтŋ̇ŋıктаı. Prf pass ind 3rd sg $\sigma \tau \eta \rho i \zeta \omega$.
ö $\pi \omega \varsigma$. Introduces a purpose clause, or perhaps result (so Caragounis, 184; see also 2:35 on ö $\pi \omega \varsigma$ ).
oi $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ovtec. Pres act ptc masc nom $\mathrm{pl} \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$ (substantival). Nominative subject of $\delta u ́ v \omega v \tau \alpha 1$.
$\delta \iota \alpha \beta \tilde{\eta} v a l$. Aor act inf $\delta$ ıaßaiv $\omega$ (complementary).
$\varepsilon ँ v \theta \varepsilon v$. The adverb indicates "extension from a source, with the point of reference near the speaker" (LN 84.9).
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ v ́ \mu a ̃ c . ~ S p a t i a l . ~$
סúvovtaı. Pres mid subj 3rd pl $\delta u ́ v a \mu a ı$. Subjunctive with ö $\pi \omega \varsigma$. The present subjunctive following ö $\pi \omega$ c is extremely rare in the NT (only here, v. 28, and Matt 6:4). Porter $(1989,327)$ argues that the present subjunctives here and in verse 28 ( $\delta u ́ v \omega v \tau \alpha u, \delta \iota a \pi \varepsilon \rho \tilde{\omega} \sigma \iota v$, and $\delta \iota \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \dot{\rho} \eta \tau \tau \alpha)$ draw "attention to significant points in the narrative." It is also possible that Luke chooses the present tense (imperfective aspect) to portray these events as processes that would require significant effort.

غ̇кع $\tilde{\theta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v$. The adverb indicates "extension from a source which is away from the speaker" (LN 84.10).
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \tilde{\mu} \varsigma$. Spatial.
$\delta \iota a \pi \varepsilon \rho \tilde{\omega} \sigma \iota v$. Pres act subj 3rd pl $\delta \iota \alpha \pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \dot{\omega} \omega$. Subjunctive with ő $\pi \omega \varsigma$. On the tense, see above on $\delta \dot{v} v \omega v \tau \alpha$.
 oĩkov то⿱̃ $\pi \alpha \tau \rho o ́ c ~ \mu o v$,
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \varepsilon \nu$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.

$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Accusative direct object of 'E $\rho \omega \tau \tilde{\omega}$.
oṽv. Inferential.
$\pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon \rho$. Vocative.
îva. Introduces indirect discourse (see also 8:31 on îva).
$\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \psi \eta \varsigma$. Aor act subj 2nd sg $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \omega$. Subjunctive with ǐva.

عís tòv oîkov. Locative.
тoṽ $\pi \alpha \tau \rho$ óc. Possessive genitive.
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Genitive of relationship.



$\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$. Causal (see also 1:15). Here it introduces a parenthetical statement.
$\pi \varepsilon ่ \nu \tau \varepsilon \dot{\alpha} \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi$ ov́c. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ é $\chi \omega$.
ö $\pi \omega \varsigma$. Introduces a purpose clause or perhaps result (see also v. 26; 2:35 on ö $\pi \omega \varsigma$ ).
$\delta ı \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau v ́ \rho \eta \tau \alpha ı$. Pres mid subj 3rd sg $\delta ı \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup ́ \rho o \mu \alpha ı$. Subjunctive with ö $\pi \omega \varsigma$. On the tense, see verse 26 on $\delta \dot{v} v \omega v \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$. We should avoid assigning the sense "to warn" to this verb (contra LN 33.425). The verb merely indicates "to affirm someth. with solemnity" (BDAG, 619.1) or "to urge someth. as a matter of great importance" (BDAG, 619.2). The fact that it functions as a warning stems from what is being affirmed in this context, not from the semantics of the verb.
aủtoĩc. Dative complement of סıa $\alpha$ ртט́p $\tau \tau a$.
îva. Introduces a purpose clause.
aủtoi. Nominative subject of $\ddot{\varepsilon} \lambda \theta \omega \sigma \iota v$.

عís tòv tónov toṽтov. Locative.
$\tau \tilde{\varsigma} \varsigma \beta \sigma \alpha \dot{v}$ ov. Attributive genitive.


$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 3 rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. On the significance of the historical present, see 7:40 on $\varphi \eta \sigma i \nu$.
'A $\beta \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \alpha \boldsymbol{\mu}$. Nominative subject of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon$.
"Exovat. Pres act ind 3rd pl $\varepsilon$ है $\omega$.
 Metonymy (see 1:17 on кар $\delta i \alpha \varsigma)$ for "the writings of Moses and the prophets."
àкоvбát $\omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \alpha v$. Aor act impv 3rd pl ảkov́ $\omega$.
aủt $\tilde{\omega} v$. Genitive object of $\alpha$ ảאovбát $\omega \sigma \alpha v$.
 $\pi \rho \rho \varepsilon v \theta \tilde{n} \pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ̀ \varsigma ~ \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \eta ́ \sigma o v \sigma เ v . ~$
ó. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \varepsilon \nu$ (see 1:29 on $\dot{\eta}$ ).
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
Oủxi. The standard form of the negativizer when used as a reply, especially when followed by $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ (see also $1: 60 ; 12: 51 ; 13: 3,5$; BDAG, 742.2).
$\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varepsilon \rho{ }^{\text {'A }} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \alpha{ }^{\mu} \mu$. Vocative.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ '. Introduces a clause that contrasts with the implied "They will not pay attention to Moses and the Prophets."
$\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v$. Introduces the protasis of a third class condition.
тıs. Nominative subject of порعu $\theta \tilde{\eta}$.
à $\pi$ ò v vкן $\rho \tilde{v} v$. Source.
 On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a v ̉ \tau o u ̀ c . ~ S p a t i a l . ~$



$\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Following Levinsohn's analysis (2000, 218-27) of reported conversations, introducing this final speech with a verb indicates that Abraham's goal in the conversation, and Jesus' goal in telling the parable, has been reached.
av่̉ $\tilde{\omega}$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$.
Ei. Introduces the protasis of a first class condition.
 Metonymy (see 1:17 on карঠíaৎ) for "the writings of Moses and the Prophets."
àkov́ovotv. Pres act ind 3rd pl àkov́w. Although Porter (1989, 300-1) argues that the context points to a past reference here ("they did not listen"), the opposite seems to be true, since Abraham has just said, "Let them pay attention to Moses and the Prophets," and the rich man has implied with his ouxi that they will not do so.
$\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v$. Introduces the protasis of a third class condition.
$\tau \iota \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} v a \sigma \tau \tilde{n}$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \kappa \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\omega} v$. Source. The placement of this PP lends force to the statement.

$\pi \varepsilon \iota \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \alpha a$. Fut pass ind 3rd pl $\pi \varepsilon i \theta \omega$.

## Luke 17:1-6

${ }^{1}$ Then he said to his disciples, "It is impossible for stumbling blocks not to come, but woe to the one through whom they come! ${ }^{2}$ It would be better for him if a millstone were hung around his neck and he were hurled into the sea than that he should cause one of these little ones to stumble! ${ }^{3}$ Guard yourselves! If your brother sins, rebuke him; and if he repents, forgive him. ${ }^{4}$ Even if he should sin against you seven times in a day and return to you seven times, saying, 'I'm sorry,' you must forgive him."
${ }^{5}$ So the apostles said to the Lord, "Increase our faith!" ${ }^{6}$ The Lord replied, "If you have faith like a mustard seed, you would say to (this) mulberry tree, 'Be uprooted and planted in the sea,' and it would obey you."



Eĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ òs тov̀s $\mu \boldsymbol{\alpha} \theta \eta \tau$ àc. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \alpha u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$ av̉тoṽ. Genitive of relationship.
 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס $\varepsilon$ そ́ $\sigma$ ís.

غ̇бтıv. Pres ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$. . On the loss of accent, see $1: 18$ on $\varepsilon i \mu$.
 cf. Porter 1994, 196). Although the infinitive clause would make good sense as the subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$ ("For stumbling blocks not to
come is impossible"), Burk (54-58) provides strong arguments that articular infinitives that function as the subject of a clause will always use the nominative case. He also maintains that genitive articles will have the same range of syntactic functions when used with infinitives as they do elsewhere. If Burk is correct, the use of the genitive would slightly change the force of the statement and require that the verb be viewed as impersonal: (lit.) "It is impossible, namely, that stumbling blocks not come." The use of the genitive rather than the nominative would apparently put extra emphasis on the fronted predicate 'Avévסءктóv, effectively serving as a grammatical indicator of a pause: "It is impossible . . . that stumbling blocks not come." Note, however, that Caragounis (173-74) does not seem to see the case of articular infinitives as significant.

тà $\sigma \kappa \alpha \dot{v} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Accusative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \theta \varepsilon \tilde{i} v$. Here, "that which or one who causes someone to sin" (LN 88.306), or as BDAG (926.2) puts it, "an action or circumstance that leads one to act contrary to a proper course of action or set of beliefs."
$\pi \lambda \eta ̀ v$. Adversative.
ov̉aì. This interjection does not represent a curse (Bovon, 2:55), but rather introduces "an expression of pity for those who stand under divine judgment" (Marshall, 255).
$\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathbf{\prime}}$ ' oṽ. Intermediate agency. The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ö). An explicit antecedent would have been expressed in the dative case (dative of disadvantage; cf. $6: 24,25 ; 10: 13,13 ; 11: 42,43,44,46,47,52 ; 21: 23 ; 22: 22$ ).
 $\sigma \kappa \alpha ́ v \delta \alpha \lambda o v$. Neuter plural subjects characteristically take singular verbs (see Wallace, 399-400).

##   $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \mu$ нк $\tilde{\omega} v$ тоט́т $\omega v$ हैva.

To sort out the syntax of this verse, it is important to recognize that we are dealing with (1) an "impersonal" verb that is (2) used with a comparative construction. The conditional clause, $\varepsilon i \lambda i \theta o s$
 $\theta \dot{\lambda} \lambda a \sigma \sigma \alpha v$, serves as the structural subject of $\lambda v \sigma ı \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\imath}$ ("Tying a
millstone . . . would be better for him . . ."); $\eta$ i introduces the second part of the comparison; and ivo is used as the structural counterpart to $\varepsilon i$ (see further below). Thus, "If a millstone is hung around his neck . . . it will be better for him than that he should cause one of these little ones to stumble."

aủt $\mathfrak{c}$. Dative of advantage.
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ i. Introduces the protasis of a first-class condition.
$\lambda i \theta o c \mu \nu \lambda ı \kappa \mathbf{o} \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\pi \varepsilon \rho i \kappa \varepsilon ı \tau \alpha ı$.
$\pi \varepsilon р і к \varepsilon ı \tau \alpha ı$. Pres pass ind 3rd sg $\pi \varepsilon р і к \varepsilon ц \mu \alpha ı . ~$
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \grave{~ \tau o ̀ v ~} \tau \rho \alpha \dot{\chi} \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\lambda o v}$. Spatial.
av̉兀oṽ. Possessive genitive.
غ́p $\boldsymbol{\iota} \pi \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$. Prf pass ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \operatorname{sg} \dot{\rho} i \pi \tau \omega$. The use of the perfect tense may be intended to add intensity to the semantics of the verb (cf. Campbell 2007, 205).

عís тŋ̀v $\theta \dot{\lambda} \lambda a \sigma \sigma \alpha v$. Locative.
ŋ̀. Comparative (cf. 15:7).
îva. One might have expected Luke to use $\varepsilon$ i again in the second part of the comparative construction (see above). The choice of iva may highlight volition (see McKay, 135), but structurally the îva clause functions as the subject of the second part of the comparative construction (cf. Robertson, 992).
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \lambda i \boldsymbol{\sigma} \eta$. Aor act subj 3rd sg $\sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda i \zeta \omega$. Subjunctive with iva. The sense of this term is "to cause to be brought to a downfall" (BDAG, 926.1). Here, it denotes "to cause to sin, with the probable implication of providing some special circumstances which contribute to such behavior" (LN 88.304).
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \mu \kappa \kappa \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \omega v$. Partitive genitive.
हैva. Accusative direct object of $\sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta \alpha \lambda i \sigma \eta 1$.


$\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon ́ \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd pl $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \omega$. Culy and Parsons (103) note that Пробغ́ $\chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha \cup \tau 0 i ̃ \varsigma ~ i s ~ a n ~ i d i o m a t i c ~ e x p r e s s i o n ~(l i t . ~$ "take heed to yourselves") that occurs only in Luke in the NT (also 12:1; 21:34; Acts $5: 35 ; 20: 28$ ) but is fairly common in the LXX. At times it is used to respond to an unworthy idea (Gen 24:6) or simply to warn against a particular course of action (Exod 34:12).

غ́avtoĩc. Dative complement of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon ́ \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$.
żàv. Introduces the protasis of a third class condition.
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \tau 1$. Aor act subj 3rd sg $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \dot{v} \omega$. Subjunctive with $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v$. Some mss ( $\mathrm{D} \Psi f^{13} \nsupseteq p c$ ) insert $\varepsilon i \varsigma \sigma \varepsilon$ ("against you") in order to clarify the meaning (Omanson, 140; cf. Matt 18:15).

oov. Genitive of relationship.


żàv. Introduces the protasis of a third class condition.
 ä $\varphi \varepsilon \varsigma$. Aor act impv 2nd sg à $\varphi i \not \eta \mu$.
aủt $\tilde{\omega}$. Dative of advantage.


żàv. Introduces the protasis of a third class condition.
$\tau \eta ̃ \varsigma ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \dot{\rho} \rho a \varsigma$. Genitive of time.
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma!$. Aor act subj 3rd sg $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \dot{\alpha} v \omega$. Subjunctive with $̇$ éáv.
عíc $\sigma \varepsilon$. Disadvantage.
 $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \sigma \grave{\varepsilon}$. Spatial.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (manner).
Metavoñ. Pres act ind 1st sg $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon ́ \omega$.
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$. Fut act ind sg $\dot{\alpha} \varphi i \eta \mu$ (imperatival future).
aủtụ. Dative of advantage.

Kaì. The conjunction suggests that this scene is being portrayed as closely tied to or a part of the previous one, making the request for more faith a response to the radical call to forgiveness.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \pi \alpha v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. On the form, see 1:61.
oíà áócto久ot. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ हĩ $\pi \alpha v$.
$\tau \tilde{\mu} \kappa v \rho i \varphi($. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \alpha v$.
Про́б日عя. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\pi \rho о \sigma \tau i \theta \eta \mu$.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i} v$. We are likely dealing with an idiom here, and in 12:31 and Matt 6:33, in which $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau i \theta \eta \mu$ plus the dative of advantage (lit.
"add for us") means something like "grant to us" (cf. BDAG, 885.2: "to add as a benefit, provide, give, grant, do"). Thus, the apostles would be literally asking Jesus to grant them faith rather than increase their faith. The following verse, however, suggests that they are asking him, "grant us more faith" (thus the translation).
$\pi$ ioviv. Accusative direct object of ПрóбӨعऽ.



$\varepsilon \tilde{i} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.

Ei. Introduces the protasis of a second class (contrary to fact) condition. This construction portrays "the assumption of an untruth (for the sake of argument)" (Wallace, 694; emphasis in original). Nolland (2:838-39) thus suggests that the condition does not deny the reality of the disciples' faith, but indicates the failure to follow through with behavior appropriate to such faith.

モै $\chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 2nd pl $\begin{gathered} \\ \chi \\ \omega \\ \\ \text {. McKay notes (169) that the variant }\end{gathered}$ reading ei้ $\chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon(\mathrm{D} \mathfrak{R})$ "is clearly an attempt to regularize the form of the condition," which typically has ei plus the imperfect or aorist in the protasis.
$\pi i \sigma \tau \iota v$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ है $\chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$.
ко́ккоv. Accusative direct object of an implied है $\chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} v \dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$. Attributive genitive.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \hat{\gamma} \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Impf act ind 2nd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
äv. Introduces the apodosis of the second class condition.
$\tau \tilde{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \kappa \kappa \alpha \mu i v \varphi$ [ $\tau \alpha v \dot{\tau} \underline{n}]$. Dative indirect object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. The demonstrative suggests a "reference to an actual tree" (Marshall, 644). It is omitted by $\mathfrak{P}^{75} \boldsymbol{\aleph} \mathrm{D} p$.



 ond class condition uses either the aorist or imperfect tense.
$\ddot{\alpha} v$. Introduces the second part of the conjoined apodosis of the second class condition.


## Luke 17:7-10

7 "Who among you is a person who has a slave who has been plowing or tending sheep, and who will say to him as he comes in from the field, 'Come at once and take a seat at the table!' ${ }^{\text {s Instead, }}$ won't you say to him, 'Prepare my meal, and after you get dressed wait on me until I have had my meal; and after that you'll have your meal'? ${ }^{~} \mathrm{He}$ doesn't thank the slave because he has done what he was ordered, does he? ${ }^{10}$ Likewise, you also, when you have done everything you were ordered to do, should say, 'We are insignificant slaves; we have (simply) done what we were obligated to do.'"



Tic. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause (see the translation).
$\varepsilon ̇ \xi \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Partitive.
סoṽ $\lambda \mathbf{o v}$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ eै $\chi \omega v$.
ย̇ $\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ v. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\varepsilon \not \chi \omega$. The participle should probably be viewed as the substantival nominative predicate of a verbless equative clause (see the translation).
$\dot{\alpha} \rho o \tau \rho t \tilde{\omega} v \tau \alpha$. Pres act ptc masc acc sg ảpo $\tau \rho \dot{\alpha} \omega$ (attributive, modifying $\delta 0$ õ̃ $\lambda o v$ ).
$\pi о \_\mu \boldsymbol{i} v o v \tau \alpha$. Pres act ptc masc acc sg $\pi о \not \mu \alpha i v \omega$ (attributive, modifying סoũไov).
öc. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \varepsilon$ Ĩ. If the analysis above is correct, the antecedent is $\begin{gathered} \\ \chi \\ \\ \\ \\ \nu v .\end{gathered}$
 modifying aủtẹ̃; see Culy 2003). Lit. "will say to him who is coming in from the field."

غ̇к тои̃ ả $\gamma$ рои̃. Source.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \varepsilon \tilde{\text { in }}$. Fut act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
aủt $\mathfrak{\omega}$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon$ ह่ $\rho \tilde{1}$.
Eủ $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \omega \varsigma$. The Textus Receptus introduces a break after Eủ $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \omega \varsigma$ (rather than before it as in $\mathrm{NA}^{27} / \mathrm{UBS}^{4}$ ), with the adverb modifying غ่pعĩ, as in the KJV: "But which of you, having a servant plowing
or feeding cattle, will say unto him by and by [ $\varepsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \omega c$ ], when he is come from the field, Go and sit down to meat?" (Omanson, 140).
$\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi o \mu \alpha \mathrm{l}$ (attendant circumstance). Attendant circumstance participles take on the mood of the verb they modify (see also $5: 14$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} v$ ).
àváncove. Aor act impv 2nd sg àva $\pi i \pi \tau \omega$.

 каì đí\&бat ov́;
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$. Introduces a statement that contrasts with what precedes.
oủxì. In a question, this negativizer anticipates an affirmative answer.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}$. Fut act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.


'Етоіцабоv. Aor act impv 2nd sg غ́тоцц่́ $\zeta \omega$.
$\tau i$. Accusative direct object of $\delta \varepsilon ı \pi v \eta \dot{\eta} \omega$. The interrogative clause, $\tau i \delta \varepsilon \iota \tau v \eta \dot{\sigma} \omega$, serves as the structural direct object of 'Eтоі $\mu \alpha \sigma o v$.
$\delta \varepsilon ı \pi v \eta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega$. Aor act subj OR Fut act ind 1st sg $\delta \varepsilon ı \pi v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. The fact that the two forms were often used interchangeably in deliberative questions in the Koine period makes it difficult to say which is intended here (cf. 11:5 on $\varepsilon$ ह́ $\xi \varepsilon ı)$.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho!\zeta \omega \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu v o c$. Aor mid ptc masc nom sg $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \zeta \dot{\omega} v \nu \mu \mathrm{~L} / \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota-$ $\zeta \omega v$ ú $\omega$ (temporal).

סıaкóvel. Pres act impv 2nd sg סıaкоvé $\omega$.
$\mu \mathrm{ot}$. Dative complement of Sıakóvel.
$\check{\varepsilon} \omega \varsigma \varphi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega$ каì $\pi i \omega \omega$. Lit. "until I have have eaten and drank."
है $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ с. Temporal.
$\varphi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega$. Aor act subj 1st sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta i \omega$. Subjunctive with $\varepsilon$ है $\omega$.
$\pi i \omega$. Aor act subj 1st sg $\pi i v \omega$. Subjunctive with $\varepsilon$ है $\omega \varsigma$.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ̀ ̀ \tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha$. Temporal.

甲á $\gamma \varepsilon \sigma a \mathrm{a}$. Fut mid ind 2nd sg $\mathfrak{\varepsilon 丿 \sigma \theta i ́ \omega \text { . }}$
$\pi i \varepsilon \sigma a l$. Fut mid ind 2nd sg $\pi i v \omega$.


## 

 slave."
$\mu \mathrm{\eta}$. The negativizer indicates that a negative answer is expected to this question.
é $\chi \varepsilon$ เ. Pres act ind 3rd sg é $\chi \omega$.
$\chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota v$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ é $\chi \varepsilon$.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \delta \boldsymbol{\delta o v i} \lambda \omega$. Dative of advantage.
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces a causal clause.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \boldsymbol{\prime} \boldsymbol{i} \eta \boldsymbol{\sigma} v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi$ oté $\omega$. The implied subject of the verb is the slave.
 $\mathrm{val})$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \mathrm{oi} \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$.

 $\pi \varepsilon \pi о$ юŋккцєv.

ט́川кĩ. Nominative subject of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$.
ötav. On translating "when" rather than "whenever," see 6:22.

$\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \alpha \tau \alpha \chi \theta \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \alpha$. Aor pass ptc neut acc pl $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \omega$ (substantival). Accusative direct object of $\pi$ or $\rceil \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \varepsilon$.
v́ĩv. Dative indirect object of $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \alpha \chi \theta \varepsilon ́ v \tau \alpha$. Lit. "everything that was told to you."
$\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd $\mathrm{pl} \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
ö $\tau$ ı. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also 1:25 on őтı) of $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \tau$.
$\Delta \mathbf{o v} \lambda \mathbf{o}$ à $\chi \rho \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} o \mathbf{o}$. Predicate nominative. On the second accent, see
 less," and here represents a case of hyperbole on the lips of the slaves that serves to show self-abasement.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \mu \varepsilon v$. Pres ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon \dot{\mu} \mu i$.
ö. Accusative direct object of $\pi$ oוŋ̃ $\sigma \alpha$. The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ö), which as a whole

$\dot{\omega} \varphi \varepsilon i \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$. Impf act ind 3rd sg ỏ $\varphi \varepsilon i \lambda \omega$.
$\pi$ oıñ $\sigma \boldsymbol{a}$. Aor act inf noıź $\omega$ (complementary).


## Luke 17:11-19

${ }^{11}$ And it happened as he was going to Jerusalem that he traveled between Samaria and Galilee. ${ }^{12}$ And as he was entering a village ten lepers met him, who stood a distance away. ${ }^{13}$ They shouted, saying, "Jesus! Master! Take pity on us!" ${ }^{14}$ When he saw (them), he said to them, "Go and show yourselves to the priests!" And it happened that as they were going away, they were healed. ${ }^{15}$ One of them, when he saw that he had been cured, came back glorifying God with a loud voice. ${ }^{16} \mathrm{He}$ fell on his face at the feet of (Jesus), thanking him. And he was a Samaritan. ${ }^{17}$ Then Jesus responded and said, "Weren't ten men healed? So, where are the (other) nine?" ${ }^{18}$ Have none of them come back to give glory to God except this foreigner?" 19Then he said to him, "Get up and go (on your way). Your faith has delivered you."

##  

$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\gamma} v \varepsilon \tau \tau$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual. As is common, here кaì $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \tau \frac{1}{c}$ helps mark the beginning of a new pericope (cf. 5:12; see also 1:8 on 'Eүع́veto).
 contemporaneous time (see also $1: 8$ on iعpatcú $\varepsilon เ v$ ). When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81).

عic 'İpovoa入ŋ̀ $\mu$. Locative.
 junction with aútós here, see 4:15.

 225.B.1). The rarity of $\delta$ d plus the accusative ( $\mathfrak{P}^{75} \boldsymbol{\aleph}$ B L 5791426 $p c$ ) in this sense spawned several textual variants: $\mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma o v(D)$, àvà $\mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma o v\left(f^{1,13} 2542\right.$ ), $\delta \iota \alpha ̀ \mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma o v(A W \Theta \Psi 33 p m$ ). The point is likely that Jesus was traveling along the border between Samaria and Galilee (cf. Marshall, 650). Bock (2:1401) rightly points out that the apparent geographical problem this raises-the border between
the two does not lead to Jerusalem-is only superficial. The phrase
 sense to refer to Jesus' life moving toward the culmination of his ministry in Jerusalem rather than to his current route.
$\Sigma \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \varepsilon i \alpha \varsigma ~ к а i ̀ ~ Г а \lambda ı \lambda \alpha i ́ \alpha c . ~ P a r t i t i v e ~ g e n i t i v e . ~$


 absolute (see 2:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvعúovtos), temporal.

عís $\tau เ v a \kappa \dot{\omega} \mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$. Locative.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\mathfrak{j}} v \tau \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha v \tau \dot{\alpha} \omega$.
[av̉тũ]. Dative complement of árŋ́v $\tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{u} v$.
 leprous men."
oit. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ हैб $\tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$.
हैб $\tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3 rd pl ḯ $\tau \eta \mu$.
$\pi \boldsymbol{\rho} \rho \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathbf{v}$. "A position at a relatively great distance" (LN 83.31).
 $\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{a} \varsigma$.
aủtoì. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} \rho a v$.
ท̃ $\rho \boldsymbol{\rho} v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl ailp $\omega$. Lit. "they raised (their) voice."
$\varphi \omega v \eta ̀ v$. Accusative direct object of $\mathfrak{\eta} \rho a v$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \varepsilon \varsigma$. Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (manner).
 son of high status, particularly in view of a role of leadership" (LN 87.50).

$\mathfrak{\eta} \mu a ̃ \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \eta \sigma o v$.


$i \delta \mathbf{\omega} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg ópá $\omega$ (temporal).
$\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
av̉тoĩc．Dative indirect object of عĩлยv．
 circumstance）．Attendant circumstance participles take on the mood of the verb they modify（see also 5：14 on $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} v$ ）．

غ̇ँı $\delta \varepsilon i \xi \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ．Aor act impv 2nd pl $̇ \pi \iota \delta \varepsilon i \kappa v v \mu$ ．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha u \tau o ⿱ 亠 乂, ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~ દ ̇ \pi ı \delta \varepsilon i \xi a \tau \varepsilon . ~$

غ̇ $\gamma$ と́vยто．Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual．
$\dot{v} \pi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon เ v$ ．Pres act inf $\dot{u} \pi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega$ ．Used with $\varepsilon \in \nu \tau \tilde{\omega}$ to denote contem－ poraneous time（see also $1: 8$ on iepatcúsiv）．When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition，they are always articular（Burk，81）．

 cleansed．＂
 $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \dot{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\eta} \varsigma \delta_{0} \xi \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega \nu$ тòv $\theta \varepsilon o ́ v$ ，

عĩc．Nominative subject of ú $\pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \rho \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon v$ ．
غ̇६ av̉兀ธ̃v．Partitive．
ídìv．Aor act ptc masc nom sg ópá $\omega$（temporal）．
ő $\tau$ ı．Introduces the clausal complement of $i \delta \dot{\omega} v$ ．
ià $\theta \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ．Aor pass ind 3rd sg iáoual．
$\dot{v} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg útoбт $\rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$ ．

$\delta \mathbf{o} \xi \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega v$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\delta 0 \xi \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$（manner）．
тòv $\theta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ óv．Accusative direct object of $\delta \mathrm{o} \xi \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega v$ ．



غ̇ $\pi \grave{̀} \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi \pi \mathbf{~}$ ．Locative，as part of an idiom meaning，＂to pros－ trate oneself．＂
$\pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \tau o u ̀ \varsigma ~ \pi o ́ \delta a c . ~ L o c a t i v e . ~$
aủtoṽ．Possessive genitive．

av่̉ $\tilde{\varphi}$ ．Dative complement of $\varepsilon \cup ̉ \chi \alpha \rho เ \sigma \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ ．
av̉tòs. Nominative subject of ñ̃v.
ñv. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ i $\mu i$ i.
$\Sigma \alpha \mu \alpha \rho i \tau \eta \varsigma$. Predicate nominative.


à $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \rho \boldsymbol{\rho} \theta$ عìs. Aor mid ptc masc nom sg àrокрivoبaı (attendant
 "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
 ยіัँ $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$.
Oúxì. In a question, this negativizer anticipates an affirmative answer.
oi $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ह̇ка $\theta a \rho i \sigma \theta \eta \sigma a v$.
غ̇к $\alpha \theta \alpha \rho i \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$. Aor pass ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho i \zeta \omega$. Lit. "cleansed."
oi $\delta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ èvvと́a $\boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{0} \mathbf{v}$. McKay (91) suggests "Some short questions [such as this one] are made sharper or more urgent by the omission of the verb . . . which is readily understood."
oi évvéa. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.


 returning... ?"
ov̉x. In a question, this negativizer anticipates an affirmative answer. It is also possible to punctuate the verse as a statement, as the NJB does (Omanson, 141).

 plement in a subject-complement double nominative construction, with the third person subject only marked on the verb (see 1:32 on


סoṽval. Aor act inf $\delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{I}$ (purpose).
$\delta \mathbf{\delta} \xi \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v}$. Accusative direct object of $\delta$ oũvaı.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\omega}$. Dative indirect object of סoũvaı.
عỉ $\boldsymbol{\mu}{ }^{\text {ŋ̀. See 5:21. }}$



$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av่̉ $\tilde{\omega}$. Dative indirect object of عĩtev.
Àvartàc. Aor act ptc masc nom sg ảvíбтŋ $\mu$ (attendant circumstance). Attendant circumstance participles take on the mood of the verb they modify (see also $5: 14$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} v$ ).

порعи́ov. Pres mid impv 2nd sg торєv́o $\mu \alpha$.
$\dot{\eta} \pi \mathbf{i} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \iota \varsigma \boldsymbol{\sigma o v} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$. The same exact statement occurs at 7:50; 8:48; and 18:42.
$\dot{\eta} \pi \mathbf{i} \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \omega \kappa \varepsilon ่ v$.
oov. Subjective genitive.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \omega \kappa \dot{v} v$. Prf act ind 3rd sg $\sigma \dot{\varphi} \zeta \omega$. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \eta \sigma i c$. This is the third verb used to refer to the man's cure from leprosy ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho i \zeta \omega$ is used in v. 14 and ióo $\alpha \alpha$ in v. 15).
$\sigma \varepsilon$. Accusative direct object of $\sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \omega \kappa \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v$.

## Luke 17:20-37

${ }^{20}$ Now, when he was asked by the Pharisees when the kingdom of God was coming, he responded to them and said, "The kingdom of God does not come in an observable manner. ${ }^{21}$ Nor will (people) say, 'Here it is!' or 'There it is!' For the kingdom of God is within you!"
${ }^{22}$ Then he said to the disciples, "The days are coming when you will long to see one of the days of the Son of Man, but you will not see it. ${ }^{23}$ (People) will say to you, 'It is there!' [or] 'It is here!' Do not go out or pursue (these things). ${ }^{24}$ For just as lightning that flashes shines from one end of the sky to the other, so shall the Son of Man be [on his day]. ${ }^{25}$ First, however, it is necessary for him to suffer many things and to be rejected by this generation."
${ }^{26 " A}$ And just as it was in the days of Noah, so also it will be in the days of the Son of Man- ${ }^{27}$ they were eating, drinking, marrying, and being given in marriage until the very day Noah entered the ark and the flood came and destroyed everyone. ${ }^{28}$ Likewise, just as it was in the days of Lot-they were eating, drinking, buy-
ing, selling, planting, and building; ${ }^{29}$ but on the very day Lot left Sodom, (the Lord) rained fire and sulphur down from the sky and destroyed everyone. ${ }^{30}$ There will be the same type of things on the day the Son of Man is revealed. ${ }^{31}$ On that day, let the one who is on the roof, and his belongings are in the house, not go down to take them; and the one in the field, likewise, let him not turn back. ${ }^{32}$ Remember Lot's wife! ${ }^{33}$ Whoever tries to preserve his life will lose it; and whoever loses (his life) will preserve it. ${ }^{34} \mathrm{I}$ tell you, on that night two people will be in one bed; one will be taken and the other left behind. ${ }^{35} \mathrm{~T}$ wo (women) will be grinding together; one will be taken, the other left behind." ${ }^{37}$ They responded and said to him, "Where, Lord?" He said to them, "Where there is a body, there also will vultures gather."

 тоข̃ $\theta \varepsilon о \tilde{v} \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \eta \rho \eta ่ \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$,
 vinò $\tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$ Фapıoaicuv. Ultimate agency.

$\dot{\eta} \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\alpha} \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ép $\chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$.
тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ. Subjective genitive (see also 4:43).
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \kappa \rho i \theta \eta$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg à $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \rho i v o \mu \alpha ı$. See also 1:19 on àлокрı $\theta$ гіс.
av̉兀oĩc. Dative indirect object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \kappa \rho i \theta \eta$.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\tau}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.

$\dot{\eta} \beta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\iota} \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\alpha} \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ép $\chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$.
тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ. Subjective genitive (see also 4:43).
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \eta \rho \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\omega}$, Manner. Perhaps, "accompanied by observable signs" (see, e.g., Bock, 2:1413-14).



غ́poṽซıv. Fut act ind 3rd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
'ISoù. See 1:20 í סoù.
$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Here, the adverb stands alone in its clause: "Here!"
'Екєच̃. Here, the adverb stands alone in its clause: "There!"
íoù. See 1:20.
үà $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).
$\dot{\eta} \beta \boldsymbol{\beta} \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ モ̇бтıv.
тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ. Subjective genitive (see also 4:43).
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \mathbf{o ̀} \varsigma \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Spatial. This expression could either mean (1) "within you," or (2) "in your presence," presumably as a self-reference. Option (2) is supported by the fact that $\dot{\varepsilon} v \dot{\eta} \mu i v v(L X X), ~ \dot{v} v \tau o ̀ s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ (Aquila) and $\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \varepsilon \sigma \tilde{\omega}$ (Symmachus) are apparently all viewed as synonymous renderings of Exod 17:7 (see Beasley-Murray, 102; so most modern scholars). Option (1), on the other hand, has considerable support from ancient commentators (e.g., Origen, Chrysostom, Athanasius, and Jerome; Bock, 2:1415, n. 11). The fact that Luke only uses $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau o ́ \varsigma ~ h e r e ~ a n d ~ c o n s i s t e n t l y ~ u s e s ~ \dot{\varepsilon} v ~ \mu \varepsilon \sigma \tilde{̣}$ to refer to "among" (Beasley-Murray, 102) also favors the former view. If (1) is adopted, $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$ must be taken as a general reference to "people" rather than as a reference to the Pharisees.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres ind 3rd sg ei $\mu \mathrm{i}$. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on $\varepsilon i \mu \mathrm{l}$.

 ov̉к ő $\psi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$.

Eİ $\pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ òs тov̀s $\mu \boldsymbol{\alpha} \theta \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \alpha \dot{c}$. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ v) . ~$

$\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \rho a \iota$. Nominative subject of 'E入єv́бovtaı.
ő $\tau \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Temporal.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi ı \theta \nu \mu \dot{\jmath} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Fut act ind 2 nd pl દ̇ $\pi \imath \theta \nu \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\mu i \alpha v$. Accusative direct object of iסعiv.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \rho \tilde{\omega} v$. Partitive genitive.

тoṽ vioũ. This genitive element refers to the agent of some action that takes place in the time given by the previous NP (see 1:5 on 'Hpédov), but the particular content of what the Son of Man does and when this takes place is not specified. For more on the interpretive options, see, e.g., Bock, 2:1427-28; Fitzmyer, 2:1168-69.

тo $\tilde{v} \mathfrak{\alpha} v \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{v}$. Genitive of relationship.
í\&ะĩv. Aor act inf ópáw (complementary).
ő $\psi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$. Fut mid ind 2nd pl ópá $\omega$.
 $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon ̀ \delta t \omega \dot{\xi} \eta \tau \varepsilon$.

غ่poṽбıv. Fut act ind 3rd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. The subject is unspecified.
บ่ $\mu \mathrm{v} v$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon$ ह่poṽซıv.
'İov̀. See 1:20.
غ̇кยะ . . . $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \mathbf{\delta \varepsilon}$. See verse 21. Here, the adverb stands alone in its clause: "Here!"
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \theta \eta \eta \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act subj $2 \mathrm{nd} \mathrm{pl} \dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi o \mu a \mathrm{a}$ (prohibitive subjunctive).
$\delta \boldsymbol{\omega} \dot{\zeta} \eta \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act subj 2nd pl $\delta \iota \omega \kappa \omega$ (prohibitive subjunctive).




үà $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).
$\dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \pi \grave{\eta}$. Nominative subject of $\lambda \alpha ́ \mu \pi \varepsilon$.
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau 0 v \sigma \alpha$. Pres act ptc fem nom sg $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \omega$. The participle could be either temporal or attributive. The latter was made explicit by many scribes (AD $\mathbb{R}$ al) by the inclusion of the article $\mathfrak{\eta}$.

غ̇к . . . eic. "From . . . to . . ."
غ̇к тŋ̃¢. Source.
т $\check{\varsigma} \ldots$. . $\grave{\eta} v$. The two articles function as nominalizers, changing the PPs útò tòv oủpavòv and ú $\pi$ ' oủpavòv into substantives. In each case, a feminine noun, such as $\chi \dot{\omega} \rho \alpha \varsigma, \gamma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$, or $\mu \varepsilon$ pi $\delta$ o $\varsigma$, must be supplied (Marshall, 660).
útò tòv oủpavòv . . . vín' oủ $\rho a v o ̀ v . ~ S p a t i a l . ~$
عís Tŋ̀v. Goal.
$\lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \varepsilon ı$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \omega$.
ह̈б秋. Fut ind 3rd sg عiuí.
ó viòs тoṽ ảv $\theta$ р́̈́tov. See 5:24.
óviò̧. Nominative subject of हैбтaı. тoṽ à $v \theta \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma} v$. Genitive of relationship.

[av̉тoṽ]. "On the day he comes." On the function of the genitive, see 1:5 on 'H$\varphi \varphi$ '̣́סou.
 à $\pi$ ò $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma ~ \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon a ̃ \varrho ~ \tau a v ́ \tau \eta \varsigma . ~$
$\delta \varepsilon \tilde{i}$. Pres act ind 3 rd sg $\delta \varepsilon \tilde{\text { Ĩ }}$ (impersonal).
aủtòv. Accusative subject of $\pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon i ̃ v$.
$\pi \mathbf{0} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Accusative direct object of $\pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} \nu$.
$\pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon \tilde{v} v$. Pres act inf $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \omega$ (complementary; see also 2:49 on عĩvaí).
 see also 2:49 on عĩvai).
à $\pi \mathbf{o ̀} \tau \tilde{\varsigma} \varsigma \gamma^{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \tilde{a} \varsigma \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \varsigma$. Agency. In contrast to Attic Greek, Koine Greek sometimes used ánó rather than úró to introduce the agent of a passive verb (Caragounis, 115; see also 6:18; 7:35; 8:43; 9:22).



غ̇ץย̇veto. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual.
غ̇v таĩ¢ $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \varepsilon ́ \rho a ı c . ~ T e m p o r a l . ~$
Nãe. "The days when Noah lived/built the ark." On the function of the genitive, see $1: 5$ on 'Hp $\varphi$ © $\delta$ ov.

ह̇бтаı. Fut ind 3rd sg عiцi.
èv тaĩ̧ $\mathfrak{\eta j \mu \varepsilon ́ \rho a ı c . ~ T e m p o r a l . ~}$

тoṽ vioũ. See verse 22.
то⿱̃兀 $\mathfrak{\alpha} v \theta \rho \dot{\jmath} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{o v}$. Genitive of relationship.

 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v \nu \pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha \varsigma$.
$\eta ้ \sigma \theta$ ıov. Impf act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \theta^{\prime} \omega$.
غ̇́tıvov. Impf act ind 3rd pl $\pi i v \omega$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \mu \mathbf{o v v}$. Impf act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \gamma \alpha \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \alpha \mu i \zeta o v \tau 0$. Impf pass ind 3rd pl $\gamma \alpha \mu i \zeta \omega$. This verb is typically
used to refer to a male head of household giving his daughter in marriage.
ä $\chi \rho ı \eta ँ \varsigma \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \rho a \varsigma$. The relative pronoun introduces an internally headed relative clause (see 1:4 on $\pi \varepsilon \rho \grave{~} \tilde{\omega} v$ кат $\eta \chi \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \varsigma \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega v$ and
 an intensive statement, "until the very day." The same expression occurs at 1:20.

N $\tilde{\varepsilon} \varepsilon$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ íoŋ̃ $\lambda \theta \varepsilon v$.
عís tı̀v кı $\beta \omega$ tóv. Locative.
$\tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg ěp $\chi \circ \mu \alpha 1$.
$\dot{\mathbf{o}}$ катак $\lambda \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\mu}$ òc. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon$.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3 rd sg $\dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \nu \mu$.
$\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$.


 ("just as . . . so also") is left implicit by ellipsis: oűt $\omega \varsigma$ čø $\tau \alpha \iota$ kaì $\dot{\varepsilon} v$


غ̇ $\gamma$ ह́veto. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivouau.
غ̇v таĩৎ $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \dot{\rho} \rho a ı \varsigma$. Temporal.
$\Lambda \dot{\omega} \tau$. "The days when Lot lived." On the function of the genitive, see 1:5 on 'Hp $\varphi$ б́סou.

ënıvov. Impf act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \pi i v \omega$.

$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \mathbf{o u v}$. Impf act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \pi \omega \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
غ̇ழútzvov. Impf act ind 3rd pl 甲utعúw.



ñ. The relative pronoun introduces an internally headed relative clause (see 1:4 on $\pi \varepsilon \rho \dot{\omega} \dot{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \varsigma \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ and 3:19 on $\pi \varepsilon \rho i$ $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \nu \tilde{\omega} \nu \varepsilon \varepsilon ̇ \pi o i \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu \pi о \nu \eta \rho \tilde{\omega} v$ ), which likely produces an intensive statement, "on the very day" (also in v. 30; cf. v. 27).
ŋ̀ $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \underline{\rho}$ ．Dative of time．

$\Lambda \dot{\omega} \tau$ ．Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ．
à $\boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{~} \Sigma \mathbf{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{\delta} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Separation．
$\ddot{\varepsilon} \beta \rho \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\beta \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \omega$ ．The account to which this
 $\theta \varepsilon \tilde{o}$ оे каì $\pi \tilde{\rho} \rho$ ）suggests that kúpıoc is the unspecified subject here．However，the syntax seems to more naturally favor taking the neuter $\pi \tilde{v} \rho$ кai $\theta \varepsilon i ̃ o v$ as the nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ है $\beta \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon v$（cf． BDAG，184．3）：＂fire and sulphur rained down from the sky and destroyed everyone．＂
$\pi \tilde{u} \rho$ кaì $\theta \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{o v}$ ．Accusative direct object or nominative subject of «$\beta \rho \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon v$（see above）．
à $\pi$＇oủpavoṽ．Source．
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg à $\pi \dot{\partial} \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \mu$ ．
$\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \varsigma$ ．Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v$ ．
 ка入üлтєта兀．

Lit．＂In accord with these things will the day be when the Son of Man is revealed．＂

кatà tà av̉tà．Standard．

ñ．The relative pronoun introduces an internally headed rela－ tive clause（see 1：4 on $\pi \varepsilon \rho \dot{̀} \dot{\omega} \nu ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \varsigma ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ and 3：19 on $\pi \varepsilon \rho i ̀$
 statement，＂on the very day＂（also in v．29；cf．v．27）．
$\dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \underline{\text { a }}$ ．Dative of time．
ó viòs тõ̃ àvӨ $\mathbf{\rho}$ ©́tov．See 5：24．
óviòs．Nominative subject of àrока入útтєtaı．
то⿱̃ $\mathfrak{a} v \theta \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{o v}$ ．Genitive of relationship．





öc. Nominative subject of $\check{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha a$. The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ö), which as a whole (öৎ हैб
 of what follows or the subject of катаßá $\tau \omega$ ("let the one who is on the roof, and his belongings are in the house, not go down to take them"). The lack of a resumptive pronoun with катаß $\dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$ appears to make the topic view less likely. The position of o $\mu \mathrm{oi} \omega \varsigma$ between the nominative element and verb in the final clause, however, supports the topic analysis.

ह̌бтаı. Fut ind 3rd sg عìui.
èmì toṽ $\delta \dot{\omega} \mu \alpha \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$. Locative.
кai. Technically, the conjunction introduces an independent clause rather than a second part of the relative clause.

тà $\sigma \kappa \varepsilon u ́ \eta$. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
av่̉oṽ. Possessive genitive.
غ̇v $\tau \mathfrak{n}$ oíkią. Locative.
$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \dot{\alpha} \tau \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Aor act impv 3rd sg катаßаiv $\omega$ (prohibition).
$\dot{\alpha} \rho a \mathrm{a}$. Aor act inf ailp $\omega$ (purpose).
av̉tá. Accusative direct object of ãpaı.
ó. The article functions as a nominalizer (see 1:48 on ànò toṽ $v \tilde{v}$ ), changing the PP $\dot{\varepsilon} v \dot{\alpha} \gamma \rho \tilde{\varphi}$ into either the topic (see 1:36 on 'E入ıб்́ $\beta \varepsilon \tau$ ) of what follows or the nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$ ("likewise let the one in the field not turn back"). The former is more likely given the location of ó $\mu \mathrm{o} i \omega \varsigma$ (see also above).
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \dot{\alpha} \gamma \rho \tilde{\rho} \tilde{\varphi}$. Locative.
$\mu \eta ̀ ~ \varepsilon ̇ \pi ı \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$ عiç $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ ò $\pi \mathbf{i} \sigma \omega$. The language suggests a strong


 عis tà óniow. Locative. Lit. "to the things behind (him)."

## 


$\tau \eta ̃ \varsigma ~ \gamma \nu v a \iota \kappa o ̀ \varsigma . ~ G e n i t i v e ~ c o m p l e m e n t ~ o f ~ \mu \nu \eta \mu о v \varepsilon v ่ \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$.
$\Lambda \dot{\omega} \tau$. Genitive of relationship.


öc èàv. Nominative subject of $\zeta \eta \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \eta$. The indefinite relative pronoun (see 9:48 on "O $\varsigma \dot{\varepsilon} \grave{\alpha} v$ ) introduces a headless relative clause


$\zeta \eta \tau \eta \dot{\eta}$. Aor act subj 3rd sg $\zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. Subjunctive with $\varepsilon \dot{\alpha} \alpha{ }^{2}$.
$\tau \grave{\eta} v \psi v \chi \grave{\eta} v$. Accusative direct object of $\zeta \eta \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \eta$.
av่̉oũ. Possessive genitive.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi о \iota \eta \quad \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$. Aor mid inf $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi o เ \varepsilon \in \omega$ (complementary). The vast majority of manuscripts read $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota$, with only a few reading $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi о \iota \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\left(\mathfrak{P}^{75} \mathrm{~B}\right.$ L 579) and Western witnesses (e.g., D) changing the verb to $\zeta \omega 0 \gamma 0 v \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma a l$ to match the verb in the second half of the verse (Omanson, 141-42). Most scholars prefer $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi о \stackrel{\eta}{\sigma} \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{l}$, since it is the harder reading (in the NT, the verb occurs only here, in Acts 20:28, and 1 Tim 3:13). If scribes changed this verb to the more familiar $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota$ here, why did they not do the same with $\zeta \omega 0 \gamma o v \eta$ ŋ́бı below, which occurs elsewhere in the NT only in 1 Tim 6:13, and is only altered to $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \sigma \alpha$ by a few late scribes (1 118788 1582)? Both terms are familiar enough in the LXX, with $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \omega$ occurring thirty-one times and $\zeta \omega 0 \gamma 0 v \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ thirteen times. Perhaps scribes left the second verb intact for the sake of stylistic variation.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \boldsymbol{\alpha} \lambda \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Fut act ind 3rd sg àró̀ $\lambda \lambda \nu \mu$.
aủtク̇v. Accusative direct object of ả $\pi 0 \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \varepsilon$.
 tive pronoun (see 9:48 on "Oc દ̀àv) introduces a headless relative
 the subject of $\zeta \omega 0 \gamma 0 v \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \varepsilon$. The direct object ( $\tau \eta े \nu \psi u \chi \grave{\eta} v$ aủtoṽ) is omitted by ellipsis.
à $\pi \mathbf{o} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma!\eta$. Aor act subj 3 rd sg à $\pi o \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \mu \mu$. Subjunctive with äv.
$\zeta \omega \mathbf{o} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Fut act ind 3rd sg $\zeta \omega 0 \gamma o v \varepsilon ́ \omega$. Lit. "will cause it to remain alive."



$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{v} \dot{\mu} \mu \mathrm{v} \nu$. See 3:8.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\imath} v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
สav่т! $\tau \mathfrak{n}$ vvктì. Dative of time.
モ̌́oovtaı. Fut ind 3rd pl $\varepsilon \grave{\mu i}$ i.
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\mathbf{v}}$. Nominative subject of žбovtaı.
ènì к $\lambda i \boldsymbol{\imath} \eta \varsigma \boldsymbol{\mu} \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$. Locative.

$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \eta \mu \varphi \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$. Cf. Matthew's use of the present tense $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \varepsilon \tau \alpha, ~ i n ~ a ~ s i m i l a r ~$ saying in 24:40. On the question of what the one is taken for, we can only say that this verb itself carries no negative connotations.
ó $̇ \tau \varepsilon \rho o c ̧$. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon \theta \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$.
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha 1$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg à $\varphi ı \dot{\mu} \mu$.



हैбovtaı. Fut ind 3rd pl $\varepsilon i \mu i$ i. The verb is plural because of the sense of the adjective $\delta$ vio.
$\delta$ úo. Nominative subject of हैбovtaı $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\eta} \dot{\theta}^{\prime}$ ovoaı.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta$ ovoal. Pres act ptc fem nom $\mathrm{pl} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \omega$ (future periphrastic; see 1:20 on $\sigma \omega \tau \pi \tilde{\omega})$.

غ̇rì tò aủtó. Locative: "at the same (place)" or "together."
ŋ̀ $\mu i \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \eta \mu \varphi \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \alpha 1$.
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \eta \mu \varphi \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$.
$\dot{\eta} . . . \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon \theta \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \alpha \Delta$.
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha 1$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg $\dot{\alpha} \varphi ı \eta \dot{\mu} \mu$.
 à $\varphi \varepsilon \theta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$.

This verse ("Two people will be in the field; one will be taken and the other left behind") is not found in the vast majority of manuscripts ( $\mathfrak{p}^{75} \boldsymbol{\aleph}$ A B E G H K L N Q W X $\Delta \Theta \Pi \Psi f^{1} 33 \mathfrak{M p m}$ ), and while it could have been accidentally omitted (both vv. 35 and 36 end with $\dot{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota)$, the addition probably reflects a late attempt at harmonization to Matt 24:40 (cf. Omanson, 142). On the syntax of this reading, see above.
 av̉тoĩৎ, "О
à $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \rho \iota \theta \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Aor mid ptc masc nom pl àroкрivoual (attendant circumstance; see also 1:19 and $3: 11$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi о к р ı \theta \varepsilon i \varsigma) . ~ O n ~ t h e ~ v o i c e, ~$ see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \operatorname{ov\sigma } t v$. Pres act ind 3rd $\mathrm{pl} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. On the significance of the historical present, see 7:40 on $\varphi \eta \sigma i v$.

Пoṽ. The interrogative adverb forms a clause by itself.
кúpı̨. Vocative.
o. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon v$ (see 1:29 on $\dot{\eta}$ ).
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av̉тoĩc. Dative indirect object of عĩ爪cv.
"Otov тò $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$. This verbless clause introduces the topic of what follows and is picked up with the resumptive locative adverb $\varepsilon$ モ̇єĩ.

тò $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.



## Luke 18:1-8

${ }^{1}$ Then he proceeded to tell them a parable in order to highlight the need for them to always pray and not lose heart: $2^{\text {" }}$ There was a judge in a particular city who did not fear God or care about people. ${ }^{3}$ There was (also) a widow in that city and she kept coming to him, saying, 'Give me justice from my enemy!' ${ }^{4}$ For a time he was not willing (to do so), but after a while he said to himself, 'Although I don't fear God or care about people, ${ }^{5}$ yet because this widow is causing trouble for me, I will give her justice, so that she will not in the end shame me by her coming.'"
${ }^{6}$ Then the Lord said, "Hear what the unjust judge says! ${ }^{7}$ So won't God certainly give justice to his chosen ones who cry out to him day and night? Indeed, he is patiently waiting for them (to do just that)! ${ }^{8}$ I tell you, he will give them justice quickly! Nevertheless, when the Son of Man comes, will he find faith on the earth?"


${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \lambda \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon v$. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \mathbf{o} \eta \grave{\nu}$. Accusative direct object of "E入 $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$.
av่̉oĩc. Dative indirect object of "E $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$. The antecedent is toùऽ $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha \dot{c}$ in 17:22 (see Bock, 2:1446).

סعĩv. Pres act inf $\delta \varepsilon \tilde{i}$ (impersonal). Used with $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ t o ́ ~ t o ~ i n d i c a t e ~$ purpose. When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81). This is the only place in Luke's writ-
 scholars argue that this construction sometimes denotes neither purpose nor result in the Synoptics, but rather imitates the Hebrew ? plus an infinitive and denotes "reference" (so Turner 1963, 144; cf. Nolland, 2:867; NRSV). It is certainly possible that Luke is imitating a Semitic construction, but given the fact that purpose makes good sense here, we have assumed this more typical usage (cf. Matt 5:28; 6:1; 13:30; 23:5; 26:12; Mark 13:22; 2 Cor 3:13; Eph 6:11; 1 Thess 2:9; 2 Thess 3:8). Indeed, it appears that $\pi \rho$ ò $\varsigma$ tò $\delta \varepsilon \tilde{v} v$ may be a shorthand way of expressing, "in order to show the necessity."
$\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon v ่ \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \mathrm{a}$. Pres mid inf $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \dot{\chi} \chi о \mu a ı$ (complementary).
av̉̃oov̀. Accusative subject of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon v ́ \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \mathrm{a}$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \kappa \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \tilde{v} v$. Pres act inf $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \kappa \alpha \kappa \varepsilon ่ \omega ~(c o m p l e m e n t a r y) . ~$

##  

$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (attendant circumstance; see $1: 24$ on $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma \alpha)$.

Kрıтŋ́я тıc. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} \nu$.
ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ i $\mu \mathrm{i}$.
हैv $\tau \iota v ı \pi \dot{o} \lambda \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Locative.

(2:1447-48) notes that this is "a description common in extrabiblical materials of people with fiercely independent wills."
tòv $\theta \varepsilon$ còv. Accusative direct object of $\varphi$ о $\beta$ oú $\mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$.
 imperfect periphrastic). The word order favors the attributive view (but see 1:10 on $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon v \chi o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v)$, placing emphasis on the judge's character rather than his actions.

ence" (BDAG, 341.2). We might render it colloquially, "did not give a rip about people."
$\alpha ̋ v \theta \rho \omega \pi \boldsymbol{o} v$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi \dot{\mu} \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o c$, Pres mid ptc masc nom sg $\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \pi \omega$ (attributive or imperfect periphrastic; see above).


$\chi \dot{\eta} \rho \boldsymbol{\rho}$. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta}^{\sim} \nu$.
ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg عi $\mu \mathrm{i}$.

ท้рхєто. Impf mid ind 3rd sg êp $\wp о \mu \alpha$. The context of the parable (e.g., the judge's concern that the widow might wear him down) suggests that the imperfective verb should be translated with an iterative sense.
$\pi \rho o ̀ ̧ ~ a u ̉ \tau o ̀ v . ~ S p a t i a l . ~$
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha$. Pres act ptc fem nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (attendant circumstance; see 1:24 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \quad 0 \quad \sigma \alpha$ ).
'Екסікпбо́v. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \delta ı \kappa \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. On the second accent,

$\boldsymbol{\mu}$. Accusative direct object of 'Екסíкпоóv.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ò tove ávtıסíkov. The PP is somewhat awkward, not occurring with the verb $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \delta$ бкє่ $\omega$ in either the LXX or the NT. While several translations (e.g., NIV, NRSV) translate the phrase with a sense of opposition, "against my adversary," it may be better to understand the phrase as denoting separation, i.e., from the adversary's attacks (cf. 12:58).
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Genitive of relationship.


$\eta \eta \theta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3 rd $\operatorname{sg} \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$.
ènì 犭 $\rho$ óvov. Temporal.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} .$. . $\tau \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \tilde{\tau} \alpha$. Temporal. Lit. "after these things." Runge ( $\$ 11.3$ ) notes that by using three "frames"-this temporal frame, a conditional frame (Eỉ kaì tòv $\theta$ ròv ov̉ $\varphi o \beta$ oṽ $\mu \alpha \iota ~ o v ̉ \delta \check{~} \grave{a}$ äv $\theta \rho \omega \pi$ rov

$\chi \grave{\rho} \rho a v \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta v$ )—Luke effectively delays revealing "what exactly the judge will do, creating suspense."

عĩлcv. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.

Eỉ кaì. Lit. "if even." A conditional protasis "may assume a concessive force if $\varepsilon i ̉$ or $\grave{\varepsilon} \alpha \dot{v}$ is preceeded or followed by kai" (McKay, 175; cf. Rijksbaron, 74-75; see also 11:8).

Ei. Introduces the protasis of a first class condition.
tòv $\theta$ عòv. Accusative direct object of $\varphi$ oßoũ $\mu \alpha$.
ov̉ . . . ov̉סغ̇. "Neither . . . nor."

$\alpha \not ้ v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \sigma \mu \alpha u$.


##  

$\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\chi} \chi \varepsilon เ \nu \mu$ но ко́тог. The same idiom ("to cause trouble for me")

$\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ \chi \varepsilon เ v$. Pres act inf $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \dot{\chi} \chi \omega$. Used with $\delta$ dá tó to denote cause. BDAG (190) notes that $\gamma \varepsilon$ serves to focus attention on the expression it is attached to.
$\mu \boldsymbol{o}$. Dative of disadvantage or reference.
ко́тоv. Accusative direct object of $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\chi} \chi \varepsilon เ v$.
$\tau \grave{v} \boldsymbol{\chi \eta \dot { \eta } \rho a v \tau a v ́ \tau \eta v . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ s u b j e c t ~ o f ~ \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ \chi \varepsilon ı v . ~}$
$\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \delta \iota \kappa \eta \dot{\sigma} \omega$. Fut act ind 3rd sg દ̇к $\delta \iota \kappa \varepsilon ́ \omega$.

ǐva. Introduces a purpose clause.
عis t $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda o s .}$. While the PP is clearly temporal, it is not as clear whether it modifies $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \eta \eta$ or $\dot{u} \pi \omega \pi \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha}\lfloor\eta$. Nolland (2:868) offers two options (cf. Marshall, 673, whose four options can be distilled into the following two): (1) the phrase modifies $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \eta$ and refers to the widow "continually" coming to the judge; or (2) the phrase modifies $\dot{v} \pi \omega \pi \dot{\alpha} \breve{\eta}$ n and means that she will "ultimately" shame (see below) the judge.

 This verb (lit. "to strike under") has been regularly translated "to
wear out" in this passage. Superficially, this makes sense, given the similarity to the English idioms, "to be beaten down" and "to be beaten into subjection." Nolland (2:868) points out, however, that this meaning is difficult to document in the ancient literature. As an alternative, he follows Derrett in rendering the verb "to shame," based on a number of ancient texts where it appears to carry this sense (e.g., Plutarch, Mor. 2.921-22). According to Derrett (see esp. 189-91), "to blacken the face" was and is a common expression for being shamed much like the metaphorical "black eye" that one can receive in our culture.
$\mu \varepsilon$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{v} \pi \omega \pi \iota \dot{\alpha} \zeta!\underline{n}$.

## 

Eĩ̃cv. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$.
ó кúpıoc. Nominative subject of Eĩ̃ $\varepsilon$ v.
'Акои́бате. Aor act impv 2nd pl àкоú $\omega$.
$\tau i$. Accusative direct object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon$. The whole indirect question,
 ’Акои́бате.
ó крıтŋ̀ऽ. Nominative subject of $\lambda \dot{\gamma} \gamma \varepsilon \iota$. т $\check{\mid} \varsigma$ àठıкiac. Attributive genitive.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.

 av่̉าõ̌;
 Lit. "Won't God certainly bring about the avenging of his chosen ones?"
ó . . . $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ òs. Nominative subject of $\pi$ rońб!̣.
$\pi \mathbf{o} \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}$. Aor act subj 3rd sg $\pi$ oté $\omega$. The subjunctive is used with ov̉ $\mu$ ', which expresses emphatic negation (see also 1:15 on $\pi i \nmid$ ). The use of the formula in a question is rare in the NT, occuring only here and in John 11:56 and 18:11. The periphrastic verb phrase,
 see McKay, 24). Caragounis (109) cites this as an example of the
use of the active in place of the middle, though it is unclear why the middle would be expected in this context.

т $\mathfrak{\eta} v$ モ̇к
$\tau \tilde{v} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ غ̇к $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \tau \tilde { \omega } v . ~ O b j e c t i v e ~ g e n i t i v e . ~}$
av่̉oṽ. Subjective genitive.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\beta o \omega} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\omega} \omega \boldsymbol{v}$. Pres act ptc masc gen $\mathrm{pl} \beta \mathrm{o} \dot{\alpha} \omega$ (attributive).
av̉ะตั. Dative indirect object of $\beta$ o $\omega v \tau \omega v$.
ŋ̇uغ́pac каì vvктós. Accusative extent of time.
$\mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \theta v \mu \varepsilon \tilde{\text {. }}$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \theta v \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. As BDAG (612.1) notes, $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \theta \nu \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ غ̇ $\pi i$ means, "to wait patiently for something." The most natural way to take the expression каi $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \theta \nu \mu \varepsilon i ́ ~ \dot{~} \pi$ ' aútoins in this context, then, is as a statement of God's patience toward his people: "Will God not certainly give justice to his chosen ones who cry out to him day and night? Isn't he patiently waiting for them to do just that?" (cf. Jas 5:7, where the farmer is patiently waiting for the expected "response" from his crops). Such a reading contrasts God with the unrighteous judge. God responds, as does the judge. Unlike the judge, however, God responds out of patience rather than exasperation. It is probably best to view the question as ending prior to каì $\mu \propto \kappa \rho о \theta \nu \mu \varepsilon і ̃ ~(s e e ~ t h e ~ t r a n s l a t i o n ; ~ c f . ~ N o l l a n d, ~$ 2:865). Such a reading fits with the stated purpose of the parable and also makes good sense of the shift from aorist subjunctive (perfective aspect) to present tense (imperfective aspect) in verse 7. God will respond to those who pray day and night; he is waiting patiently for them to do so. Finally, this interpretation makes good sense of the final statement of the parable. God is patient in waiting for his children to bring their needs to him in prayer, but will anyone still be doing so when the Son of Man returns?
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi$ 'av̉̃oĩc. Lit. "he is waiting patiently upon them" (see also above).


$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{v} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\imath} v$. See 3:8.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{v} v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
ö $\tau \mathbf{t}$. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also $1: 25$ on ötı) of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
 avenging of them."
$\pi \mathbf{o} \dot{\boldsymbol{j}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Fut act ind 3rd sg $\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \omega$. The periphrastic verb phrase
 5 ; see McKay, 24). Caragounis (109) cites this as an example of the use of the active in place of the middle, though it is unclear why the middle would be expected in this context.

av̉โむ̃v. Objective genitive.
ėv $\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi \varepsilon$. Manner. The expression, however, could point to (1) "suddenly," i.e., vindication will happen quickly when it takes place; or (2) "soon," i.e., vindication is temporally near. The other seven instances of the phrase in the NT (Acts 12:7; 22:18; 25:4; Rom 16:20; 1 Tim 3:14; Rev 1:1;22:6) seem to favor the second option (so Fitzmyer, 2:1180-81; Nolland, 2:870; Bock, 2:1455).
ó víòs тoũ àv $\boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{0}$. See 5:24.
ó víò. Nominative subject of عט́ $\rho \dot{\jmath} \sigma \varepsilon$.
тoṽ ảv $\theta \rho \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{0}$. Genitive of relationship.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \boldsymbol{\theta} \dot{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg ép $\chi o \mu a ı$ (temporal).
$\tilde{\alpha} \rho \alpha$. In the NT, this particle, which functions as a "a marker of a negative response to questions, usually implying anxiety or impatience" (LN 69.14), is found only in the writings of Luke (also Acts 8:30) and Paul (Gal 2:17).




## Luke 18:9-14

${ }^{9}$ Then he also spoke this parable to some who had confidence in themselves that they were righteous and looked with contempt on the rest: ${ }^{10 \text { " }}$ Two men went up to the temple to pray, one was a Pharisee and the other a tax collector. ${ }^{11}$ The Pharisee stood and prayed these words to himself: 'O God, I thank you that I am not like other people-swindlers, unjust, adulterers-or even like this tax collector. ${ }^{12}$ I fast twice a week; I give a tithe from everything I acquire.' ${ }^{13}$ The tax collector, on the other hand, who stood at a distance, was not even willing to look up to heaven. Instead, he was beating his chest and saying, 'O God, may you be propitiated
for me, a sinner.' ${ }^{14}$ I tell you, this man, rather than that one, went down to his home justified. For, everyone who exalts himself will be humbled; and the one who humbles himself will be exalted."

##   тav́t $\eta$.

Eĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
б́̀ кaì. See 2:4.
 aủtòv).

тov̀¢ $\pi \varepsilon \pi \mathbf{\pi} \boldsymbol{\iota} \theta$ ótac. . Prf act ptc masc nom $\mathrm{pl} \pi \varepsilon i \theta \omega$ (substantival).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi$ ' $\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha v \tau 0 i ̃ c$. The PP is used with verbs of "believing, hoping, trusting" (BDAG, 364.6.b).
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces the clausal complement of $\pi \varepsilon \pi \sigma$ öótac (contra Nolland, 2:875, who prefers a causal function).

عioiv. Pres ind 3rd pl ciui.
סíkoıot. Predicate adjective.
غ̇ $\zeta$ ov $\theta \varepsilon v o u ̃ v \tau \alpha \varsigma . ~ P r e s ~ a c t ~ p t c ~ m a s c ~ a c c ~ p l ~ \grave{\xi} \xi o u \theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon ́ \omega ~(s u b s t a n t i-~$ val). This participle is linked to the previous one by the kai.

тov̀s $\lambda$ o七tò̀s. Accusative direct object of $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi$ ou $\theta \varepsilon v o v ̃ v \tau \alpha c . ~$
$\tau \grave{v} \boldsymbol{\pi \alpha} \rho \alpha \beta \mathbf{o} \lambda \grave{\eta} v \tau \alpha u \dot{\tau} \eta \eta$. Accusative direct object of Eĩ̃ $\pi \varepsilon v$. The direct object is significantly separated from the verb due to the lengthy indirect object.

##  

 $(2000,20)$ notes that "sentences at the beginning of discourses commonly open with a non-verbal constituent" to mark a point of departure for the story that follows.
$\dot{\alpha} v \dot{\varepsilon} \beta \eta \sigma \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl àvaßaiv $\omega$.
عiç tò íepòv. Locative.

ó cĩc. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
Фарıбаĩos. Predicate nominative of a verbless equative clause.

$\tau \varepsilon \lambda \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v} \eta \varsigma$. Predicate nominative of a verbless equative clause.




$\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \varepsilon i \varsigma$. Aor mid ptc masc nom sg îo $\tau \eta \mu \mathrm{L}$ (attendant circumstance).
 Plummer (416) rightly notes, if Luke had meant "standing by himself" he would have used something like $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ' $\dot{\alpha u \tau o} v$. Many scribes used the word order $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \varepsilon i \varsigma ~ \tau \alpha u ̃ \tau \alpha ~ \pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ́ a v \tau o ̀ v ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \eta u ́ \chi \varepsilon \tau o ~\left(~\left(P^{75}\right.\right.$ ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~N}$ B L T $\Theta \Psi \mathrm{f}^{1} 205579892$ 1241), making it clear that they thought this PP modified $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta$ ט́X $\tau \tau$ rather than $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \varepsilon i \varsigma$ (contra NRSV; Omanson, 142). Codex D, however, reads $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ غ́avtóv, and Bovon (3:208-9) suggests that the potentially parallel expression in verse 13 ( $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \dot{\theta} \theta \varepsilon v \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \grave{\omega} \varsigma)$ supports this reading.
$\tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta \cup \cup \chi \varepsilon \tau o$.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \eta$ úxєто. Aor mid ind 3rd sg пробєи́хоцаı.
'O $\theta$ zóc. Vocative. Caragounis (142) notes that although it was not uncommon in classical Greek, "the nominative with the function of the vocative increases substantially in the NT, no doubt under LXX influence," and becomes increasingly common in subsequent centuries.

$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{o}$. Dative complement of $\varepsilon \cup \cup \chi \propto \rho \iota \sigma \tau \tilde{\omega}$.
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also 1:25 on öтı) of عủxمрıбт $\omega$.

عiui. Pres ind 1st sg عiцi.
$\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\rho}$. Comparative.
oi $\lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda o t} \pi \mathbf{o l}$. Nominative subject of an implied عioiv.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \alpha \mathfrak{\alpha} v \theta \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \pi \omega v$. Partitive genitive.
 oṽ̃oç $\boldsymbol{\delta} \tau \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu \eta \varsigma$. Nominative of an implied ह̇бтiv.
 $\mu \alpha$.
$\nu \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon \dot{v} \omega$ ．Pres act ind 1st sg vךбтعú $\omega$ ．
то⿱亠乂， $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \beta \beta \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \mathbf{o v}$ ．Genitive of time．
à $\boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{o \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \tilde { \omega }}$ ．Pres act ind 1 st sg ároঠeкатó $\omega$ ．
$\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha$ ．Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathrm{o} \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \tilde{\omega}$ ．
őбa．Accusative direct object of $\kappa \tau \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$ ．
$\boldsymbol{\kappa \tau} \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha \mathrm{l}$ ．Pres act ind 1st sg $\kappa \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ о $\mu \mathrm{a}$ ．

 aủtoṽ $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \nu,{ }^{O} \theta \varepsilon o ́ \varrho, ~ i \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta \eta \tau i \mu o t \tau \tilde{̣} \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda \tilde{\omega}$.

$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \grave{\omega}$ ．Prf act ptc masc nom sg ḯ $\tau \eta \mu$ ．The participle could be either attributive or perhaps temporal（＂When the tax collector had stopped at a distance ．．．＂）．
$\eta \nexists \theta \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v$ ．Impf act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \operatorname{sg} \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$ ．
oúdè．McKay（72；emphasis in original）argues that＂When more than one negative is used in the same clause there is an important distinction depending on whether the last of them is simple or compound，irrespective of what the others are．When the last one is a simple negative it cancels the previous negative force．．．．When the last negative is compound［as here］it reinforces the previous negative force．＂
 heaven．＂

غ̀ $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \rho a ı$ ．Aor act inf $̇ \pi \alpha a i p \omega$（complementary）．
عís tòv oủpavóv．Locative．
ย̈тט $\tau \tau \varepsilon v$ ．Impf act ind 3rd sg $\tau \cup ่ \pi \tau \omega$ ．
$\tau$ ò $\sigma \tau \tilde{\eta} \theta$ oc．Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ हैtข $\tau \tau \varepsilon v$ ．
av่̉oũ．Possessive genitive．
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$（attendant circumstance； see 1：24 on $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma \alpha)$ ．
＇O $\boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ ćc．Vocative．See also verse 11.
 see $1: 13$ on $\dot{\eta} \delta \varepsilon ́ \eta \sigma i ́ c$. Büchsel（315）argues that the passive form of i入áбконаı in the LXX refers to God showing mercy（Exod 32：14； Esth 4：17；Dan 9：19），and most commentators follow this view（e．g．，

Fitzmyer, 2:1188; Nolland, 2:877; Bock, 2:1464; cf. LN 88.75: "to show compassion and concern for someone in difficulty, despite that person's having committed a moral offense"). Although the translation, "God be merciful to me," dates back more than 600 years to the first English version of the Bible (Wycliffe), such a rendering should be avoided since it makes iláбконаı a synonym of $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega / \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ and mutes this verb's focus on "propitiation." BDAG's definition (473.1) is helpful: "to cause to be favorably inclined or disposed."
$\mu$ or. Dative of advantage.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \tilde{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \tau \lambda \hat{\omega}$. Dative in apposition to $\mu o$.

 $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon เ v \tilde{\omega} v$ と̇avtòv $\dot{\psi} \psi \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha,$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{\boldsymbol{v}} \mu \tilde{\nu} \nu$. See 3:8.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1 st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \beta \eta$. Aor act ind 3rd sg катаßaivต. The use of this verb is conditioned by the fact that one spoke of travel to and from Jerusalem and the Temple in terms of going up to or going down from (see also 2:51 on кат $\varepsilon$ ( $)$ ).
oṽ̃тя. Nominative subject of кат $\varepsilon \beta \eta$.
$\delta \varepsilon \delta \iota \kappa a \iota \omega \mu \varepsilon \dot{v} \boldsymbol{o c}$. Prf pass ptc masc nom sg $\delta$ ıкaıó $\omega$ (manner). Wallace (297) argues that the participle functions comparatively: "This one went down to his house more justified than the other." In the context, the meaning of the participle is that the tax collector's request was granted, that is, he received God's forgiveness (see Talbert, 200).

Eiç tò̀ oĩkov. Locative.
aủtoṽ. Possessive genitive.
 alternative" (LN 89.132).
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces a causal clause.
$\pi \tilde{\alpha} ৎ \dot{o} \dot{v} \psi \tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}} v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\dot{u} \psi o ́ \omega$ (substantival; see 1:66 on $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ oi $\dot{\alpha} \kappa o v ่ \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma) . ~ N o m i n a t i v e ~ s u b j e c t ~ o f ~ \tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon ı v \omega-~$ $\theta \dot{\text { п́бєтаı. }}$

غ́aviòv. Accusative direct object of $\dot{v} \psi \tilde{\omega} v$.
$\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon เ v \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha a$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon เ v o ́ \omega$.
$\dot{\mathbf{o}}$. . . $\tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \pi \varepsilon \iota \omega \tilde{\omega} v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\tau \alpha \pi \varepsilon เ v o ́ \omega$ (substantival).

$\dot{v} \psi \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha a$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg $\dot{v} \psi o ́ \omega$.

## Luke 18:15-30

${ }^{15}$ Now, (people) were also bringing babies to him so that he could touch them; but when the disciples saw (this), they started scolding them. ${ }^{16}$ So, Jesus called for them, saying, "Allow the children to come to me and do not prevent them, for the kingdom of God belongs to such as these. ${ }^{17}$ I assure you, whoever does not receive the kingdom of God like a child will certainly not enter it."
${ }^{18}$ Then a certain leader questioned him, saying, "Good Teacher! What must I do to inherit eternal life?" ${ }^{19}$ Jesus said to him, "Why do you call me good? No one is good except one, namely, God. ${ }^{20} \mathrm{You}$ know the commands: Do not commit adultery; do not murder; do not steal; do not give false testimony; honor your father and mother." ${ }^{21}$ Then he said, "I have carefully kept all these from (my) youth." ${ }^{22}$ When Jesus heard (this), he said to him, "You still lack one thing. Sell everything you have and distribute (the proceeds) to the poor, and you will have treasure in heaven. Then come, follow me." ${ }^{23}$ When (the man) heard these things, he became very sad; for he was extremely wealthy. ${ }^{24}$ When Jesus saw him [becoming very sad], he said, "How difficult it is for those who have wealth to enter the kingdom of God? ${ }^{25}$ Indeed, it is easier for a camel to go through the eye of a needle than for a rich person to enter the kingdom of God."
${ }^{26}$ Then those who heard said, "So, who can be saved?" ${ }^{27} \mathrm{He}$ replied, "The things that are impossible with people are possible with God." ${ }^{28}$ Then Peter said, "We have left what we had and followed you!" ${ }^{29} \mathrm{He}$ replied to them, "I assure you that there is no one who has left home or wife or siblings or parents or children for the sake of the kingdom of God ${ }^{30}$ who will not receive [back] many times over in this life and in the coming age eternal life!"



Пробє́ழєроv. Impf act ind 3rd pl $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varphi \varepsilon ́ \rho \omega$. McKay (19) argues that the use of plural verbs that refer to a subject that is not identified in the context "may be influenced by a Semitic idiom in which a plural verb with completely vague subject is used in the active in circumstances where English, and normally also Greek, would need a passive" (cf. 12:20 on ả́aıтои̃бıv).
$\boldsymbol{\delta}$ غ̀ . . . каı̀. See 2:4.
av̉t $\tilde{\omega}$. Dative indirect object of Пробغ́ $\varphi \varepsilon \rho o v$.
$\tau \grave{\alpha} \beta \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \eta$. Accusative direct object of Пробєं $\varphi \varepsilon \rho o v$.
îva. Introduces a purpose clause.
av̉t $\omega$ v. Genitive complement of ä $\pi \tau \eta \tau \alpha$.
ä $\pi \tau \eta \tau \alpha a$. Pres mid subj 3rd sg ä $\pi \tau \omega$. Subjunctive with ǐva.
íסóvtec. Aor act ptc masc nom pl ópá $\omega$ (temporal).
oi $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha i ̀$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \tau i \mu \omega v$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \tau i \mu \omega v$. Impf act ind 3rd pl $̇ \pi \iota \tau \mu \dot{\alpha} \omega$. On the ingressive translation, see 1:59 on غ̇ка́ $\lambda$ ouv.
av̉toĩc. Dative complement of $\varepsilon$ ह̇п $\varepsilon \tau i \mu \omega v$.

 ŋֹ $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon$ ía тои̃ $\theta \varepsilon о$ ṽ.
ó . . . 'İбooṽ. Nominative subject of $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon к а \lambda \varepsilon ́ \sigma \alpha \tau o$.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \alpha \tau 0$. Aor mid ind 3 rd sg $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. Some manu-
 rather than $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \alpha \tau 0$ aủtà $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$, with the participle then introducing an attendant circumstance of عĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$.
av̉тà. Accusative direct object of $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \alpha \tau$. The antecedent is $\tau \dot{\alpha} \beta \rho \varepsilon ́ \varphi \eta$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg (manner).
"А $\varphi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd pl $\dot{\alpha} \varphi i \not \eta \mu$.
т $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ı \delta \dot{\prime} \alpha$. Accusative subject of ép $\rho \in \sigma \theta a u$.

$\pi \rho o ́ \varsigma \mu \varepsilon$. Spatial.
$\boldsymbol{\kappa} \omega \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd pl $\kappa \omega \lambda$ ú $\omega$ (prohibition).
aủtá. Accusative direct object of $\kappa \omega \lambda$ ú $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu .$. тotov́tcuv. Possessive genitive.
үà $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).
غ̇бтìv. Pres ind 3rd sg عipi. On the retention of the accent, see 1:36 on દ̇бтìv.
ŋ̇ $\beta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha$. Nominative subject of દ̇бтìv.
тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ. Subjective genitive (see also 4:43).

##  

$\dot{\alpha} \mu \grave{\eta} v$. This particle is used to signal "a strong affirmation of what is stated" (BDAG, 53.1). Rhetorically, the whole expression, $\dot{\alpha} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{u} \mu \tilde{\imath} \nu$, serves to introduce a statement of high importance (cf. $4: 24 ; 12: 37 ; 18: 29 ; 21: 32 ; 23: 43$ ) by combining both a meta-comment (see $3: 8$ on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{v} \mu \pi \bar{v})$ and $\dot{\alpha} \mu \eta ̀ \nu$. It appears to be the Semitic equivalent of $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \tilde{\omega} \varsigma \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ن́ $\mu i ̃ v(9: 27 ; 12: 44 ; 21: 3)$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ ט́ $\mu \mathrm{i} v$. See 3:8.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
öc. Nominative subject of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \eta \tau \alpha a$. The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ö), which as a whole
 subject of $\varepsilon i \sigma \dot{\chi} \lambda \theta \eta!$.

$\tau \grave{v} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha v$. Accusative direct object of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \eta \tau \alpha a$.
тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ. Subjective genitive (see also 4:43).
$\pi \alpha \iota \delta i o v$. Nominative subject of an implied $\delta \varepsilon ́ \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ (тŋ̀v $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha v$ тoṽ $\theta \varepsilon o \tilde{v}$ ): "as a child receives the kingdom of God" (so most scholars and translations). Although Green's (551) suggestion that we take $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i o v$ as the accusative direct object of an implied $\delta \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ ("as you receive a child") is intriguing, there are not sufficient markers in the context to make this reading plausible.
 with ov่ $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$, which expresses emphatic negation (see also 1:15 on $\pi$ ing).

عiç aủtŋ̀v. Locative.



Kai. The conjunction closely links this scene with the preceding one.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \eta \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \dot{\alpha} \omega$. On the second accent, see $1: 13$ on $\dot{\eta} \delta \varepsilon ́ \eta \sigma i \varsigma . ~$
$\tau \iota \varsigma . .$. ä $\rho \chi \omega v$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \eta \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} v$.

$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (means).
$\Delta \mathrm{t} \boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \boldsymbol{\theta} \dot{\varepsilon}$. Vocative.
 used in 10:25.
$\tau$ i. Accusative direct object of $\pi$ oı $\bar{\sigma} \sigma \varsigma$.
$\pi \mathbf{\pi} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma a \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\pi$ oté $\omega$ (means). Lit. "By doing what will I inherit eternal life?"
$\zeta \omega \grave{\eta} v$ aíwiviov. Accusative direct object of $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ$ vo $\mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$.
 future).

##  

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.

ó 'I $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{o v} \boldsymbol{v}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \frac{\pi}{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$.
$\mu \varepsilon$. Accusative direct object of $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \iota \varsigma$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon เ \varsigma$. Pres act ind 2nd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \hat{\gamma} \omega$.
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \theta \mathbf{o ́ v}$. Complement in an object-complement double accusative construction.
ov̉סcic. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \theta$ òc. Predicate adjective of a verbless equative clause.
$\varepsilon \grave{\jmath} \mu \grave{\eta}$. Following a negative (oủ $\delta \varepsilon i c ̧$ in this case), $\varepsilon i j \mu \eta ̀ ~ n o r m a l l y ~$ has the meaning of "except" (see BDAG, 278.6.i. $\alpha$ ). See also 5:21 on $\varepsilon \mathfrak{l} \mu \eta$.

عĩc. Nominative subject of an implied żбтìv ả $\gamma \alpha$ Oòs.

 Мク̀ $\psi \varepsilon v \delta о \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \eta \dot{\sigma \eta \varsigma, ~ T i ́ \mu \alpha ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \alpha ~ \sigma o v ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau \grave{v} \nu \mu \eta \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \alpha . ~}$
tạ̀ $\mathfrak{e ́ v}$ to $\lambda$ àc. Accusative direct object of oĩ $\delta a \varsigma$. oĩ $\delta \alpha$ c. Prf act ind 2 nd sg oĩ $\delta \alpha$. On the use of the perfect tense with this verb, see 4:34 on oĩ ${ }^{\text {odad }}$.
 tive).

بovevínc. Aor act subj 2nd sg povev́ $\omega$ (prohibitive subjunctive). $\kappa \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\varepsilon} \psi \eta \varsigma$. Aor act subj 2nd sg к $\lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau \dot{\omega}$ (prohibitive subjunctive).
$\psi \varepsilon v \delta о \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \ddagger \varsigma$. Aor act subj 2nd sg $\psi \varepsilon v \delta o \mu a \rho \tau v \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (prohibitive subjunctive).

Tíua. Pres act impv 2nd sg $\tau \mu \mu \dot{\alpha} \omega$.
đòv $\pi \alpha \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \alpha \ldots$. . каì $\tau \grave{\eta} v \mu \eta \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \alpha$. Accusative direct object of Tí $\mu \alpha$.
oov. Genitive of relationship.

ó. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon \nu$ (see 1:29 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ). $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$.
Taṽ $\tau \alpha \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \dot{v} \lambda \alpha \xi \alpha$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \xi \alpha$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega$. On the meaning of the verb, see 11:28 on $\varphi$ И入áббоvtє६.




 creates a tail-head construction that, along with the same constructions in verses 23 and 24, slows "the pace of the dialogue in order to highlight the speech that follows each instance." He goes on to suggest that "another effect of the tail-head linkage in this context is to closely relate the events to one another. Far from being signs of redaction, the use of tail-head linkage here suggests an intimacy or tight connection in this dialogue. Each response is explicitly tied back to the other speaker's action."
àкои́бая. Aor act ptc masc nom sg àkov́ف (temporal).
 $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \pi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
av่̉ $\tilde{\omega}$ ．Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon i ̃ \pi \varepsilon v$ ．
$\varepsilon ँ v$ ．Nominative subject of $\lambda \varepsilon i \pi \varepsilon$ ．Literally，＂One thing is still lacking for you．＂The fronting of the subject lends force to the state－ ment．
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ．Dative of disadvantage or reference．
$\lambda \varepsilon i \pi \varepsilon เ$ ．Pres act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon i \pi \omega$ ．
$\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha$ ．Accusative direct object of $\pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \eta \sigma \sigma o v$ ．The fronting of the direct object makes the statement even more forceful．
ö $\sigma \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ．Accusative direct object of éx\＆ıৎ．Note the similar construc－ tion in verse 12：$\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha$ ö $\sigma \alpha \kappa \tau \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$ ．
éxモıc．Pres act ind 2nd sg ě $\chi \omega$ ．
$\pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \eta \sigma o v$ ．Aor act impv 2nd sg $\pi \omega \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ ．
Stádoc．Aor act impv 2nd sg $\delta \iota \alpha \delta i \delta \omega \mu$ ．
$\pi \tau \omega \chi$ оĩs．Dative indirect object of סıádoç．
ع゙ $\varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma$ ．Fut act ind 2nd sg eै $\chi \omega$ ．For an explanation of the shift from smooth to rough breathing in the future tense，see Mounce，260， n． 10 ．
$\theta \eta \sigma a v \rho o ̀ v . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~ ह ै \xi z ı \varsigma . ~$ $\dot{\varepsilon} v$［ $\tau 0 i ̃ c]$ ov̉ $\rho a v o i ̃ c . ~ L o c a t i v e . ~$
$\boldsymbol{\delta \varepsilon} \mathbf{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\rho}$ ．This directional adverb，meaning＂here，＂was often used like an imperative verb，＂Come here！＂

ảко入ov́ $\theta \varepsilon$ ．Pres act impv 2nd sg àkо入ou $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ ．
$\mu$ о．Dative complement of $\dot{\alpha} \kappa о \lambda$ ои́ $\theta \varepsilon ı$ ．
 $\sigma \varphi o ́ \delta \rho \alpha$ ．

ó．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \dot{\gamma} v \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$（see also 1：29 on $\dot{\eta}$ ）．
áкои́бac．Aor act ptc masc nom sg ảkov́ف（temporal）．
$\tau \alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha$ ．Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \kappa o v ́ \sigma \alpha \varsigma$.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho і \lambda \nu \pi о \varsigma$. Predicate adjective．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon v \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$ ．Aor mid ind 3rd sg fivoual．On the voice，see ＂Deponency＂in the Series Introduction．

ท̃v．Impf ind 3rd sg عi $\mu \mathrm{i}$ ．
$\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ ．Causal（see also 1：15）．
$\pi \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{0} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{o}$ ． ．Predicate adjective．

 торяúovта।－



aủtòv．Accusative direct object of＇I $\delta \dot{\omega} v$ ．

［ $\pi \varepsilon \rho i \lambda v \pi o v$ ］．Predicate accusative of $\gamma \varepsilon v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v$.
［ $\gamma \varepsilon v$ ó $\mu \varepsilon v o v$ ］．Pres mid ptc masc acc sg $\gamma i v o \mu \alpha ı$ ．The participle functions as the complement in a double accusative object－comple－ ment construction，with aủtòv being the direct object．
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \pi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
$\Pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$ ．Introduces a direct rhetorical question．
$\delta v \sigma \kappa o ́ \lambda \omega \varsigma$ ．The adverb modifies $\varepsilon$ íбторгv́ovtau．
oi ．．．है $\chi$ оvtec．Pres act ptc masc nom pl ě $\chi \omega$（substantival）． Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ íवторعúov $\tau \alpha$ ．
$\tau \grave{\alpha} \chi \rho \eta \dot{\mu} \mu \tau \alpha$ ．Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ है $\chi$ оvтєৎ．

тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ．Subjective genitive（see also 4：43）．
 ficultly are those having wealth entering the kingdom of God．＂


 because the subject is the whole infinitival clause．
$\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ．The conjunction is best viewed as broadly strengthening the preceding assertion（see also 1：15）．

ह̇бтıv．Pres ind 3rd sg عipi．On the loss of accent，see 1：18 on $\varepsilon i \mu$ ．


$\beta \varepsilon \lambda$ óv $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ．Partitive genitive．
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\imath} v$. Aor act inf $\varepsilon$ íのغ́p $\chi o \mu \alpha$ ．The whole infinitival clause，
 of $̇$ モ̇兀ıv．
$\pi \lambda$ ov́бtov. Accusative subject of the second $\varepsilon \dot{i} \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} v$. The fronted position of the subject puts the rich man in focus.

عís т̀̀v $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha v$. Locative.
тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ. Subjective genitive (see also 4:43).

 implied દ̇бтìv (عűкотоv).

## 18:26 عĩ̃av $\delta$ è oi ảkov́баvteৎ, Kaì tí̧ $\delta u ́ v a \tau \alpha ı ~ \sigma \omega \theta \tilde{\eta} v a ı ; ~$

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \pi \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. On the form, see 1:61.
oí ảкov́баข
Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \frac{\pi}{\pi} \pi \alpha v$.
tic. Nominative subject of $\delta u{ }^{\prime} v a \tau \alpha ı$.
סúvataı. Pres mid ind 3rd sg סúvapaı.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega \theta \tilde{\eta} v a t$. Aor pass inf $\sigma \dot{\varphi} \zeta \omega$ (complementary).
 $\theta \varepsilon \tilde{e}$ と̀бтเข.
$\dot{\text { on }}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon v$ (see 1:29 on $\dot{\eta}$ ). $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
Tà ả $\delta \dot{v} v a \tau \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ह̇ $\sigma \iota v$.
$\pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ a ̉ v \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\prime}$. Here, the preposition likely introduces participants "whose viewpoint is relevant to an event-'in the sight of, in the opinion of, in the judgment of'" (LN 90.20; cf. BDAG, 757).
$\delta \mathbf{v} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ à. Predicate adjective.

غ̇бтıv. Pres ind 3rd sg عíhi. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on $\varepsilon i \mu$.



Eĩ̃ev. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$.
ó Пغ่́ $\rho \boldsymbol{\rho}$. Nominative subject of Eĩ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \varepsilon$ v.
'ISov̀. See 1:20.
$\grave{\eta} \mu \varepsilon i ̃ c$. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} \kappa о \lambda o v \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v$. The fronted explicit subject pronoun shifts the focus to the disciples.
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi \dot{\varphi} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom pl $\dot{\alpha} \varphi i \eta \mu \mathrm{I}$ (attendant circumstance).
$\tau \alpha ̀$ édıa. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon \in v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Lit. "(our) own things."
$\dot{\eta} \kappa \mathbf{\kappa} \lambda_{0} \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v$. Aor act ind 1st $\mathrm{pl} \dot{\alpha} \kappa о \lambda o v \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס $\dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ic.


 $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha c ~ \tau o v ̃ ~ \theta \varepsilon o v ̃, ~$
o. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon \nu$ (see 1:29 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ).
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\tau}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av̉тoĩc. Dative indirect object of عĩл
A $\mu \eta \mathbf{\eta} v$. This particle is used to signal "a strong affirmation of what is stated" (BDAG, 53.1). Rhetorically, the whole expression, 'A $\mu \eta \geqslant$ $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\mathrm{i} v}$, serves to introduce a statement of high importance (cf. 4:24; 12:37; 18:17; $21: 32 ; 23: 43$ ) by combining both a meta-comment (see 3:8 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\imath} v$ ) and $\alpha \mu \eta\rangle \nu$. It appears to be the Semitic equivalent of $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \tilde{\omega} \varsigma \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\mu} v(9: 27 ; 12: 44 ; 21: 3)$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{v} \mu \mathrm{u} v$. See 3:8.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
ő $\tau \mathbf{\tau}$. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also 1:25 on öтı) of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
ov̉סعic. Nominative subject of $\grave{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau ı v$.
غ̇бтıv. Pres ind 3rd sg عíhi. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on $\varepsilon i \mu u$.
öc. Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \varphi \tilde{\eta} \kappa \varepsilon v$. The relative pronoun could introduce a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ö), which as a whole
 $\tau \eta ̃ \varsigma ~ \beta a \sigma ı \lambda \varepsilon i a c$ тo $\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon o \tilde{v}) \text { would serve as the predicate of } \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v \text {. If }\end{aligned}$ غ̇бтıv is taken as impersonal (cf. 8:17; 10:12), on the other hand, the relative clause would modify oủ $\delta$ sic.
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi \tilde{\eta} \kappa \varepsilon v$. Prf act ind 3rd sg à $\varphi i ́ \eta \mu$.
 object of $\dot{\alpha} \varphi \tilde{\eta} \kappa \varepsilon v$.

тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ. Subjective genitive (see also 4:43).


öc. Nominative subject of $[\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma] \lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta$.
$[\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{o}] \lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \mathrm{n}$. Aor act subj 3 rd sg [ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathrm{o}] \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$. The subjunctive is used with oúxì $\mu \grave{\eta}$, which expresses emphatic negation (see also 1:15 on $\pi i n(\eta)$.
$\pi \boldsymbol{\sigma} \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \lambda \alpha \sigma \boldsymbol{i}$

ह̇v $\tau \tilde{\varphi} \mathfrak{a i} \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{v} \mathbf{v}$. Temporal.

$\zeta \omega \grave{v} v$ aíw viov. Accusative direct object of [á $\pi \mathrm{o}] \lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta$. Leaving the direct object to the end of the clause, in contrast to the preceding clause, likely lends it some prominence.

## Luke 18:31-34

${ }^{31}$ Then Jesus took the Twelve aside and said to them, "We are going to Jerusalem and everything that has been written through the prophets regarding the Son of Man will be fulfilled! ${ }^{32}$ Indeed, he will be handed over to the Gentiles and will be ridiculed, mistreated, and spit on. ${ }^{33}$ After they have whipped him, they will kill him; and on the third day he will rise again." ${ }^{34}$ But they understood none of these things. This matter had been hidden from them and they were not grasping what was being said.



$\Pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ (attendant circumstance).

тov̀s $\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\delta} \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$. Accusative direct object of Пара $\lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\omega} v$.
$\varepsilon \tilde{i} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a u ̉ \tau o v ́ c . ~ I n d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~(s e e ~ 1: 13 ~ o n ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
'ISov̀. See 1:20.
àvaßaivoucv. Pres act ind 1st pl ảvaßaivc.
عic 'İроиба入ǹ $\mu$. Locative.
$\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha 1$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \grave{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon ́ v a$. Prf pass ptc neut nom $\mathrm{pl} \gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \varphi \omega$ (sub-
 of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \tau \alpha$.
$\delta_{\mathbf{t}} \tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\pi} \rho \mathbf{\rho} \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$. Intermediate agency.

$\tau \tilde{\varphi} v i \tilde{\omega}$. Dative of reference.
тoṽ ảv $\theta \rho \dot{\rho} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v}$. Genitive of relationship.


$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$, . Fut pass ind 3rd sg $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
$\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$. The conjunction is best viewed as broadly strengthening the preceding assertion (see also 1:15).

$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \alpha ı \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha a$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \alpha i \zeta \omega$.

$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \tau v \sigma \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \alpha a$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg $\grave{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \tau \dot{v} \omega$.


$\mu \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \gamma \dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom pl $\mu \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \gamma o ́ \omega$ (temporal). $\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \tau \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v} \sigma เ \nu$. Fut act ind 3rd pl à $\pi о к \tau \varepsilon i v \omega$.

$\tau \tilde{\eta} \mathfrak{\eta} \mu \dot{\mu} \rho \underset{\sim}{\alpha} \tau \tilde{n} \tau \rho i \tau \eta$. Temporal.




Luke adds this material to the parallel accounts (Mark 10:32-34// Matt 20:17-19), underscoring the lack of understanding on the part of the disciples by a threefold repetition.
av̉тoì. Nominative subject of $\sigma u v \tilde{\eta} \kappa \alpha v$.
ov̉\&غ̀v. Accusative direct object of $\sigma \cup v \tilde{\eta} \kappa a v$. тoút $\omega v$. Partitive genitive. $\sigma v v \tilde{\kappa} \kappa \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\sigma v v i \eta \mu$. ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$ i.

тò $\dot{\rho} \tilde{\mu} \mu \alpha$ тои̃то. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} v \kappa \varepsilon \kappa \rho \nu \mu \mu \varepsilon$ vov.
$\kappa \varepsilon \kappa \rho v \mu \mu \varepsilon \dot{v} \boldsymbol{o v}$. Prf pass ptc neut nom sg к $\rho \cup \dot{\pi \tau} \omega$ (pluperfect periphrastic).
$\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ’ aủtũv. Separation. Here, à $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ó indicates the ones from whom something is concealed (BDAG, 105.1.d).

$\tau \grave{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\mu} \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$. Pres pass ptc neut acc pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (substantival). Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ रiv $\omega \omega \sigma \kappa 0$.

## Luke 18:35-43

${ }^{35}$ Now it happened as he was approaching Jericho that a blind man was sitting beside the road begging. ${ }^{36}$ When he heard a crowd going by, he asked what was happening. ${ }^{37}$ They informed him that Jesus the Nazarene was going by. ${ }^{38}$ So, he cried out, saying, "Jesus! Son of David! Have pity on me!" ${ }^{39}$ Those who were in the front (of the crowd) were scolding him so that he would be quiet, but he kept on shouting all the more, "Son of David! Have pity on me!" ${ }^{40}$ Then Jesus stopped and ordered him to be brought to him. When he came near, he asked him, ${ }^{41 \text { "What do you want me to do for you?" }}$ He replied, "Lord, that I might see again." ${ }^{42}$ Then Jesus said to him, "See again! Your faith has delivered you." ${ }^{43}$ Immediately, he could see again, and he began following (Jesus) glorifying God. When all the people saw this they gave praise to God.

##  

'Eүéveto. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үívoual. See 1:8 on 'Eүéveto.
 poraneous time (see also 1:8 on iepatعúعıv). When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81).




$\pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ v ~ o ́ \delta o ̀ v . ~ L o c a t i v e . ~$
 circumstance; see 1:24 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \circ 0 \sigma \alpha$ ).
 тоข̃тo.
àкоúбac. Aor act ptc masc nom sg ảkov́ $\omega$ (temporal).
ő $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{o v}$. Genitive complement of ákov́бac.
 Complement in an object-complement double genitive construction (see Culy 2009, 89).


$\tau$ i. Predicate nominative. The interrogative pronoun introduces an indirect question (cf. 8:9; 18:36; 22:23).

عin. Pres opt 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ íuí.
тoṽтo. Nominative subject of ع'ๆ.

$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\eta} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon ı \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \dot{\gamma} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
aủt $\tilde{\omega}$. Dative indirect object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{\eta} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha v$.
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces the clausal complement of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \dot{\gamma} \gamma \varepsilon ו \lambda \alpha \nu$ (indirect or direct discourse: "They told him, 'Jesus the Nazarene is going by'").
'In $\boldsymbol{\sigma o v} \mathbf{v} \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha ı$.

$\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha ı$. Pres mid ind 3rd sg $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ \rho \chi о \mu \alpha ı$.

éßóñevv. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\beta$ oá $\omega$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{v}$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (manner). On the use of a second verb of speech, see $1: 19$ on ámoкрıӨءi¢.
'I $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{0} \tilde{v}_{\text {. Vocative. }}$
viè $\Delta$ avid. The use of the title here and in verse 39 are the only times it is used by a character other than Jesus in the Gospel of Luke (cf. 20:41).
viè. Vocative in apposition to 'Inooṽ. Wallace (70) notes that appositional vocatives typically make the whole vocative expression more forceful.
$\Delta \alpha v i \delta$. Genitive of relationship.
è $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \eta \boldsymbol{\eta}$ óv. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס $\dot{\eta} \eta \sigma i c$.
$\boldsymbol{\mu}$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon ́ \eta \sigma o ́ v$.


oi $\pi \rho$ óá $\gamma \mathbf{o v \tau \varepsilon}$. Pres act ptc masc nom $\mathrm{pl} \pi \rho$ ód $\gamma \omega$ (substantival). Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau i \mu \omega v$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \tau i \mu \omega v$. Impf act ind 3rd pl $\varepsilon$ ह̇ııт $\mu \dot{\alpha} \omega$.
$\alpha v ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega}$. Dative complement of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \tau i \mu \omega v$.
ïva. Introduces a purpose clause. Taking $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \tau \mu \mu \dot{\alpha} \omega$ as a verb of command in this context with îva introducing indirect discourse appears to be driven by English translation concerns rather than the semantics of the verb itself (contra LN 33.331).
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \gamma \eta \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}$. Aor act subj 3rd sg $\sigma \gamma \gamma \dot{\alpha} \omega$. Subjunctive with îva.
av̉兀òc. Nominative subject of ěkpaऍ̌v. The fronted explicit subject pronoun helps highlight the contrast between the blind man's actions and the actions of those scolding him.
$\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varphi}$. Dative degree of difference (Wallace, 166-67; cf. Smyth §1513).
$\mu \tilde{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} v$. Comparative.
દ̇к $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \zeta \varepsilon v$. Impf act ind 3rd sg крд́̌ $\omega$.
Yiè. Vocative.
$\Delta \alpha v i \delta$. Genitive of relationship.


$\mu \varepsilon$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon ́ \eta \eta o o ́ v$.


 stance). On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
ó 'İ $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ũc. Nominative subject of $\grave{\varepsilon} \kappa \varepsilon ่ \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v$.
غ̇к $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg кє入عúw.
aủtòv. Accusative subject of $\mathfrak{\alpha} \chi \theta \tilde{\eta} v a ı$.
$\dot{\alpha} \chi \theta \tilde{\eta} v a l$. Aor pass inf $\ddot{\alpha} \gamma \omega$ (indirect discourse).
$\pi \rho o ̀ ̧ ~ \alpha u ̉ t o ́ v . ~ S p a t i a l . ~$
 (see 2:2 on $\grave{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvعv่ovto؟), temporal.
av̉тoṽ. Genitive subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \gamma i \sigma a v \tau \circ \varsigma$.
غ̇ $\boldsymbol{\eta} \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg غ̇л $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \dot{\alpha} \omega$. aủtóv. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ ह̇ $\eta \eta \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$.

## 

Tí. Accusative direct object of $\pi$ orí $\sigma \omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Dative of advantage, modifying лоıŋ́ $\sigma \omega$.
$\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon เ \varsigma$. Pres act ind 2nd sg $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$.
$\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega$. Aor act subj OR Fut act ind 1 st $\operatorname{sg} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \omega$. The fact that the two forms were often used interchangeably in deliberative questions in the Koine period makes it impossible to say which is intended here (cf. 11:5 on $\varepsilon$ ह́ $\xi \mathrm{\varepsilon})$ ). The indirect question (Tí oot . . .

ó. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon \nu$ (see 1:29 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ).
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
Kúpıc. Vocative.
îva. Modifies an implied $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$ and introduces indirect discourse with a verb of cognition (cf. 1:22 on öti; but see also Wallace, 476). $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \psi \omega$. Aor act subj 1st sg àvaß入غ́n $\pi \omega$. Subjunctive with ivva.

## 

 $\sigma \varepsilon$.ó 'Inбoũc. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \frac{i}{\pi} \varepsilon \varepsilon v$.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av่̉โ̣̆. Dative indirect object of عĩ̃દv.
Àváß $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \psi \mathbf{o v}$. Aor act impv 2nd sg àv $v \beta \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega$.
$\dot{\eta} \pi \mathbf{i} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \iota \varsigma \boldsymbol{\sigma o v} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$. The same exact statement occurs at 7:50; 8:48; and 17:19.
$\dot{\eta} \pi \mathbf{i} \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i ̃ \pi \varepsilon v$.
oov. Subjective genitive.
б่́ $\sigma \omega \kappa \varepsilon ์ v$. Prf act ind 3rd sg $\sigma \underline{\varphi} \zeta \omega$. On the second accent, see 1:13

$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Accusative direct object of $\sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \omega \kappa \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v$.


$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \rho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$ ．See 5：25 and 1：64 on $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \rho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$ ．
$\dot{\alpha} v \dot{\varepsilon} \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \beta \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega$ ．
$\eta$ ŋјколоú $\theta \varepsilon$ ．Impf act ind 3 rd sg $\dot{\alpha} \kappa о \lambda o u \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ ．On the ingressive translation，see 1：59 on غ̇ká $\lambda$ ouv．
av่̉т $\mathfrak{\omega}$ ．Dative complement of $\mathfrak{\eta} \kappa$ о入оú $\theta \varepsilon ı$.
反o $\mathfrak{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega v$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\delta 0 \xi \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$（manner）．
тòv $\theta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ óv．Accusative direct object of $\delta \mathrm{o} \xi \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega v$ ．
$\pi \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma \dot{o}$ 入aòs．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ है $\delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ ．
$i \mathbf{i} \dot{\omega} \mathbf{v}$ ．Aor act ptc masc nom sg ópá $\omega$（temporal）．
है $\delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$ ．
aĩvov．Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon \nu$ ．
$\tau \tilde{\varphi} \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\varphi}$ ．Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon$ है $\delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon \nu$ ．

## Luke 19：1－10

${ }^{1}$ After entering Jericho，Jesus was passing through the city．${ }^{2}$ And a man by the name of Zacchaeus was there．He was a chief tax－ collector－and he was rich！${ }^{3}$ Now，he was trying to see who Jesus was but was unable to because of the crowd，since he was short in stature．${ }^{4}$ So he ran on up ahead and climbed a sycamore tree in order to see him，because he was about to go by there．${ }^{5}$ When he came to that place，Jesus looked up and said to him，＂Zacchaeus， hurry and come down！For I must stay at your house today！＂${ }^{6}$ So， he quickly came down and gladly welcomed him．${ }^{7}$ When everyone saw（this），they began grumbling，saying，＂He has gone in to stay with a sinful man！＂
${ }^{8}$ Now，Zacchaeus stood up and said to the Lord，＂Half of my belongings，Lord，I am giving to the poor！And if I have extorted anything from anyone，I am paying it back fourfold．＂${ }^{9}$ Then Jesus said to him，＂Today，salvation has come to this house，because he too is a son of Abraham！${ }^{10}$ Indeed，the Son of Man came to seek and to save the lost．＂

## 

Kai．The conjunction closely links this pericope with the preced－ ing one，while the rest of the verse marks a shift in scene．
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\theta} \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \mathbf{v}$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi$ Х $\mu a l$ (temporal).
 supply background information for the narrative that follows using
 ク̉סúvato, v. 3).
 he was passing through Jericho.


ídoù. See 1:20.
$\dot{\alpha} v \grave{\jmath} \rho$. Nominative subject of a nominal clause (see 5:12 on $\dot{\alpha} v \grave{\eta} \rho$ ). ỏvó $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau}$. Dative of reference.
$\kappa \alpha \lambda о \dot{\mu} \mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v o c}$. Pres pass ptc masc nom sg к $\alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (attributive).
Zaкхаĩos. Complement in a subject-complement double nominative construction (see 1:32 on viòs).
av̉tòc. Nominative subject of ${ }^{\text {T}} \mathrm{H} v$. The use of conjoined independent clauses with explicit subject pronouns creates a dramatic style. A number of scribes (A G W 1571424 Na al) apparently substituted oũ̃toc for the following aủtòs in order to avoid repetition.

ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ ípi.
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \iota \tau \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\omega} v \eta \varsigma$. Predicate nominative.
av̉兀òs. Nominative subject of an implied $\tilde{\eta} v$.
$\pi \lambda$ ov́бıos. Predicate adjective of an implied $\tilde{\eta} v$.


 ídeĩv. Aor act inf ópá $\omega$ (complementary).
тòv 'Inooṽv. Accusative direct object of ideiv.
tic. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. The interrogative clause, tis غ̇бтiv, stands in apposition to what precedes. Lit. "he was trying to see Jesus-who he was-but was unable."

غ̇бтıv. Pres ind 3rd sg عiцi. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عipu.

 (so Robertson, 579-80; Fitzmyer, 2:1223; Bock, 2:1517; Green, 670;

Klein, 600 , n. 24; BDAG, 106.5.a). It would also be possible, though, to take the PP as indicating the vantage point from which Zacchaeus was trying to see Jesus (cf. v. 39).
ötı. Introduces a causal clause.
$\tau \tilde{\eta} \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i \underset{\alpha}{\mu} \mu$ кко̀s. The meaning of the phrase is debated. It could refer to Zacchaeus' age (Green, 669-70) or his physical stature (Fitzmyer, 2:1223). The phrase probably not only refers to Zacchaeus' height, but also serves to characterize him in a negative fashion (see Parsons 2001, 50-57; 2006, 97-108).
$\tau \underline{1}$ ŋ̀ $\lambda \iota \kappa$ ía. Dative of reference.
$\mu$ ккрòs. Predicate adjective.
ñv. Impf ind 3rd sg عiuí.


 in front." Nolland (3:903) views this phrase as a "pleonasm" and notes that "E F G H L T W $\Psi$ etc. smooth this difficulty by reading $\pi \rho o \sigma \delta \rho a \mu \dot{\omega} v$, 'running to.' Others solve the problem by omitting the PP (D R W $\Psi$ etc.). (Note that $\mathrm{W} \Psi$ do both!)" While scribes clearly found the construction awkward—only $\boldsymbol{\aleph} \mathrm{B} \Theta 157$ have the full form, $\pi \rho o \delta \rho \alpha \mu \grave{\omega} v \varepsilon$ ís tò $\varepsilon$ है $\mu \pi \rho o \sigma \theta \varepsilon v$-the PP is a natural way of specifying the goal of Zacchaeus' sprint.
$\pi \rho о \delta \rho \alpha \mu \grave{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\pi \rho \circ \tau \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \omega$ (attendant circumstance).

عiç тò ê $\mu \pi \rho о \sigma \theta \varepsilon v$. Locative.
$\dot{\alpha} v \dot{\varepsilon} \beta \eta$. Aor act ind 3rd sg àvaßaivco.
غ̇пі̀ бטкоцорє́ $\alpha v$. Locative.
îva. Introduces a purpose clause.
ǐ $\delta \mathfrak{\eta}$. Aor act subj 3rd sg ópáw. Subjunctive with ǐva.
aủtóv. Accusative direct object of ' $\delta$ §!.
őtı. Introduces a causal clause.
 bially meaning, "there" (BDAG, 301). BDF ( $\$ 186.1$ ) identifies غ̇кعivクऽ as a genitive of place (cf. Wallace, 124), while Robertson (709) suggests it modifies an implied óסoṽ.
$\eta \nmid \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v$. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$. On the semantics of $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ with an infinitive, see 21:7 on $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \eta$ !.
$\delta \mathbf{\varepsilon} \rho \boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathbf{a}$. Aor mid inf $\delta \iota \varepsilon ́ \rho \chi о \mu a ı$ (complementary).

 $\delta \varepsilon i ̃ \mu \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \tau \nu \alpha \downarrow$.
$\dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{c}$. Temporal.

ènì tòv tóđov. Locative.
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \psi \alpha c$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\alpha \operatorname{\alpha } \alpha \beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega($ (attendant circumstance).

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ òs av̉ $\boldsymbol{\text { óv}} \mathbf{v}$. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho$ òs aủtòv).

$\sigma \pi \varepsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha$, . Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\sigma \pi \varepsilon v \dot{\delta} \omega$ (attendant circumstance). Attendant circumstance participles take on the mood of the verb they modify (see also $5: 14$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} v$ ).

кат $\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}$. Aor act impv 2nd sg катаßаiv.
$\gamma$ à $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).
غ̇v $\tau \tilde{y}$ oők $\varphi$. Locative.
oov. Possessive genitive.
$\delta \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\delta \varepsilon \tilde{\text { Ĩ }}$ (impersonal).
$\mu \varepsilon$. Accusative subject of $\mu \varepsilon i ̃ v a l$.
$\mu \varepsilon i ̃ v a l$. Aor act inf $\mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega$ (complementary; see also 2:49 on عĩvai').

$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \pi \varepsilon \dot{v} \sigma a c$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\sigma \pi \varepsilon v \dot{\delta} \omega$ (attendant circumstance). Lit. "hurrying, he came down."
$\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \beta \boldsymbol{\eta}$. Aor act ind 3rd pl катаßаivш.


$\chi \alpha i \rho \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\chi \alpha i \rho \omega$ (manner). Lit. "rejoicing."
 $\tau \omega \lambda \tilde{\varphi}$ ảv $\delta \rho i ̀ \varepsilon i ̉ \sigma \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$ ката入ũ$\sigma \alpha ı . ~$
íÓvivec. Aor act ptc masc nom pl ópá $\omega$ (temporal).
$\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\delta \iota \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\gamma} \gamma \gamma \cup \zeta$ о .
 translation, see 1:59 on غ̇ќá $\lambda$ ouv.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \varepsilon \varepsilon$. Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (manner or attendant circumstance; see 1:24 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma o v \sigma \alpha$ ).
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also 1:25 on őтı) of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \circ \vee \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$.

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sigma \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ íoć $\rho \chi o \mu \alpha ı$.
$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \tilde{v} \sigma \alpha \mathbf{\sigma}$. Aor act inf ката入ú $\omega$ (purpose). Here, "to experience the hospitality of someone, with principal focus upon lodging" (LN 34.61).



 stance).

Zaкरaĩoc. Nominative subject of عĩлをv.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\tau}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ ò $\boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{~ t o ̀ v ~ \kappa u ́ \rho ı o v . ~ I n d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ ( s e e ~ 1 : 1 3 ~ o n ~} \pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
'ISov̀. See 1:20.
т $\mathbf{\alpha}$. The article functions as a nominalizer turning the adjective $\dot{\eta} \mu i \sigma \dot{\alpha}$ into the accusative direct object of $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\boldsymbol{i}} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{\alpha} . \mathrm{BDF}$ ( $\$ 164.5$ ) calls this "classical reverse assimilation of gender and number"; i.e., the word has been attracted to the number and gender of $\dot{v} \pi \alpha \rho \chi \dot{v} v \tau \omega v$. One would have expected the neuter singular $\dot{\eta} \mu \sigma v$, which, in fact, is found in a few mss (A W $\Delta$ $1241 p c)$. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\dot{\eta} \delta \varepsilon ́ \eta \sigma i \varsigma$.
$\mu o v$. Possessive genitive, modifying $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \dot{~} \dot{\tau} \alpha \alpha \rho \chi$ óv $\tau \omega v$.
$\boldsymbol{\tau} \tilde{\omega} v \dot{v} \boldsymbol{\tau} \alpha \rho \chi \mathbf{o} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \omega v$. Pres act ptc neut gen $\mathrm{pl} \dot{\operatorname{u} \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \omega \text { (substantival). }}$ Partitive genitive.

кv́pıя. Vocative. Here, the placement of the vocative likely helps convey a sense of deference to a superior (see 4:34 on 'Inбoṽ).

тоĩৎ $\pi \tau \omega \chi$ रĩs. Dative indirect object of $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
$\delta i \delta \omega \mu$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
$\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime}$. Introduces the protasis of a first class condition.
тıvós. Genitive of separation.

દ̇бvкофávтŋ $\sigma \alpha$. Aor act ind 1st sg $\sigma \cup \kappa о \varphi a \nu \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. Here, "to secure someth. through intimidation" (BDAG, 955.2). Louw (63) argues that both $\sigma \cup \kappa о \varphi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \dot{\omega} \omega$ and катаßраßะvं $\omega(\mathrm{Col} 2: 18)$ refer to taking advantage of someone "by illegal or quasi-illegal means," but the former "may focus somewhat more on the misleading that accompanies the event." Louw and Nida (33.434) suggest that the misleading is accomplished through false charges.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{o} \delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{t}$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathrm{o} \delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{I}$.
$\tau \varepsilon \tau \rho a \pi \lambda o \tilde{v}$. Adverbial accusative.


$\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ òs av̉tòv. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a v ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$

ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also

$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega \tau \eta \rho i \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ غ่रह́veto.
$\tau \tilde{\omega}$ ổk $\omega$ тov́ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \omega$. Although we have rendered this PP with a locative translation, in the syntax it may be a dative of advantage or possession: "salvation is for this house" or "salvation belongs to this house."

غ̇ $ү$ モ́vยто. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual.
$\boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{o} \tau$. This causal conjunction appears in the NT only in Luke's writings ( $1: 7$; 19:9; Acts $2: 24,45 ; 4: 35 ; 17: 31$ ).
av̉tòs. Nominative subject of ह̇бтıv.
viòs. Predicate nominative.
A $\beta$ р $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu$. Genitive of relationship.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres ind 3rd sg عíhi. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on $\varepsilon i \mu$.
 à $\pi \mathbf{o} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \omega \lambda \mathbf{o ́ c}^{\text {. }}$
$\tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg êp $\rho \circ \mu a 1$.
$\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$. The conjunction is best viewed as broadly strengthening the preceding assertion (see also 1:15).
ó viòs toṽ ảv $\mathbf{\theta} \boldsymbol{\rho}$ ©́tov. See 5:24.
$\dot{o}$ viòc. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$. то⿱̃兀 $\mathfrak{a} v \theta \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{v}$. Genitive of relationship.
$\zeta \eta \tau \eta ̃ \sigma a l$. Aor act inf $\eta \eta \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (purpose).
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \tilde{\omega} \sigma \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Aor act inf $\sigma \dot{\omega} \zeta \omega$ (purpose).
tò à $\pi \mathbf{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \omega \lambda$ óc. Prf pass ptc neut acc sg àró̀ $\lambda \lambda \nu \mu \mathrm{t}$ (substantival). Accusative direct object of $\zeta \eta \tau \eta \tilde{\eta} \sigma \iota$ кaì $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \sigma \alpha ı$.

## Luke 19:11-28

${ }^{11}$ Now, while they were listening to these things, Jesus went on and told a parable, because he was approaching Jerusalem and they thought that the kingdom of God was going to appear right away. ${ }^{12}$ So he said, "A nobleman went to a distant country to receive a kingdom for himself and then return. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{He}$ (first) called ten of his slaves, gave them ten minas, and said to them, 'Do business (with this money) while I'm gone.'"
${ }^{14{ }^{4}}$ Now, his subjects hated him, and they sent a delegation after him to say, 'We don't want this man to rule over us!' ${ }^{15}$ And it happened that as he returned, after receiving (his) kingdom, he called for these slaves to whom he had given the money to be summoned for him so that he might know what they had earned."
${ }^{16 "}$ The first one came in, saying, 'Master! Your mina has earned ten minas!' ${ }^{17}$ (The master) said to him, 'Well done, good slave! Because you have been faithful in the smallest of things, you are given authority over ten cities!'"
${ }^{18 "}$ Then the second one came, saying, 'Your mina, Master, has made five minas!' ${ }^{19}$ So he said to this one also, 'You will be over five cities!"
${ }^{20}$ "Then another one came, saying, 'Master, (here's) your mina, which I was keeping stored away in a cloth! ${ }^{21}$ For, I was afraid of you, because you are a hardnosed man. You take away what you did not put aside, and you reap what you did not sow.' ${ }^{22} \mathrm{He}$ said to him, 'I will judge you based on what you yourself have said, you wicked slave! You knew that I was a hardnosed man, did you, who takes away what I did not put aside and reaps what I did not sow? ${ }^{23}$ So then, why did you not deposit my money in the bank? Then when I came (back) I could have (at least) gotten it back with interest!' ${ }^{24}$ And to those standing by he said, 'Take the mina away from him and give it to the one who has ten minas!' ${ }^{25}$ They said to
him, 'Master, he has ten minas (already)!' ${ }^{26}$ 'I tell you, to everyone who has (more) will be given, but from the one who does not have (much) even what he does have will be taken away! ${ }^{27}$ However, these enemies of mine who did not want me to rule over them, bring them here and slaughter them before me!"
${ }^{28}$ When he had said these things, he went in front (of them) going up to Jerusalem.



’Aкovóvtcuv. Pres act ptc masc gen pl ảкои́ $\omega$. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvev́ovtoc), temporal.
av̉tũv. Genitive subject of 'Akovóvtcuv. The nearest plural referrent for the pronoun is the group that grumbles at Jesus' decision to dine with Zacchaeus in verse 7.
$\tau \alpha v ̃ \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of 'Akovóvt $\omega v$.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \theta \varepsilon i \bar{c}$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau i \theta \eta \mu$ (attendant circumstance). Lit. "adding (to what he had been saying), he told a parable."
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta o \lambda \eta ̀ v$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon v$.
 preposition with the indeclinable 'Iءpouбa $\lambda \grave{\eta} \mu$ being either genitive or dative (see BDF $\S 184$ ). The fronted position of $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma v \mathbf{c}$, highlights Jesus' proximity to Jerusalem.

عĩvaı. Pres act inf eifil. Used with סıá tò to denote cause.
aủtòv. Accusative subject of عĩval.
סокєĩv. Pres act inf $\delta$ окย่ $\omega$. Used with $\delta$ ı́a tò to denote cause.
av̉тoùc. Accusative subject of סокعiv.
ötı. Introduces the clausal complement (indirect discourse with a verb of cognition; see 1:22 on ötı) of סoкعiv.
$\pi \alpha \rho а х \rho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$. See 1:64.
$\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 3 rd sg $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$. On the semantics of $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ with an infinitive, see 21:7 on $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \eta$.
$\dot{\eta} \beta \boldsymbol{\beta} \sigma \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Nominative subject of $\mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$.
тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ. Subjective genitive (see also 4:43).
àvapaiverӨat. Pres mid inf ảvapaivo (complementary).


$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
oṽ̃v. Here, the conjunction "serves to resume a subject once more after an interruption" (BDAG, 736.2. $\alpha$ ).
 second accent on"A $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi$ óc, see 1:13 on $\dot{\eta}$ d $\varepsilon$ そ́ $\sigma i \varsigma . ~ L e v i n s o h n ~(2000, ~$ 20) notes that "sentences at the beginning of discourses commonly open with a non-verbal constituent" to mark a point of departure for the story that follows.
 "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
عís $\chi \dot{\omega} \rho \alpha \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \rho \boldsymbol{\alpha} v$. Locative.
$\lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \tilde{v} v$. Aor act inf $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ (purpose).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha \cup \tau \tilde{\omega}$. Dative of advantage.
$\beta a \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha v$. Accusative direct object of $\lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} v$.



$\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ́ \sigma \alpha \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (attendant circumstance).

ס́̇ка $\boldsymbol{\delta o u ́ \lambda o v ̧ . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~ к а \lambda \varepsilon ́ \sigma a c . ~}$
غ́avtoũ. Possessive genitive.
है $\delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
av่̉oĩc. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon$ है $\delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu i ̃ v$.

ठ́̇кк $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}} \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ है $\delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$. A $\mu \nu \tilde{\alpha}$ was "a Greek monetary unit worth one hundred denarii" (LN 6.81).
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ́ c . ~ I n d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~(s e e ~ 1: 13 ~ o n ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
$\Pi \rho \alpha ү \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon$. Aor mid impv 2nd $\mathrm{pl} \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon \dot{\prime} \circ \mu \alpha \mathrm{l}$. The verb means, "to be engaged in some kind of business, generally buying and selling" (LN 57.197).
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tilde{\tilde{\omega}}$. The preposition with a dative singular relative pronoun may
be used, as here, to form a temporal expression meaning "while" (see also 5:34; Mark 2:19; John 5:7; 1 Pet 2:12; 3:16; and perhaps Rom 8:3; Culy 1989b, 72-73, 89). On the same construction with a plural relative pronoun, see 12:1.


 ท̀ $\mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$.
oi . . . $\boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{0} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ĩtaı. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \mu i \sigma o u v . ~ L i t . ~ " c i t i z e n s . " ~$ aủtoṽ. Genitive of relationship.

av̉兀óv. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ ėíoouv. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \varepsilon เ \lambda \alpha v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
$\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\alpha} v$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \nu$. The singular noun is likely being used as a class noun here. Thus, "delegation" or "embassy," rather than "ambassador."
ò $\pi \mathbf{i} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega$ av่̉oṽ. Spatial.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \varepsilon \varepsilon$. . Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (purpose).
$\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda o \mu \varepsilon v$. Pres act ind 1st pl $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$. тoṽ̃ov. Accusative subject of $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{v} \sigma \alpha$.
$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon v ̃ \sigma a \iota$. Aor act inf $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon v \dot{\omega} \omega$ (complementary).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \varphi^{\prime} \grave{\eta} \mu \tilde{a} \varphi_{\text {. }}$ Locative. See also 1:12 on غ̇ $\pi^{\prime}$ av̉tóv.




 contemporaneous time (see also 1:8 on iعpatعú $\varepsilon เ v$ ). When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81). On the use of the aorist infinitive, see $3: 21$ on $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} v a l$.

$\lambda \alpha \boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{v} v \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Aor act ptc masc acc sg $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ (temporal). Here, the adverbial participle is accusative in order to match the case of the implied subject of the infinitive (see Culy 2003, 446, n. 34). The
participle could conceivably be taken as attributive: ". . . when he, who had (now) received (his) kingdom, returned. . . ."
$\tau \grave{\eta} v \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \boldsymbol{i}^{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Accusative direct object of $\lambda \alpha \beta$ óvta.
 these slaves to be called to him."
$\varepsilon і ँ \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$.
$\varphi \omega \nu \eta \theta \tilde{\eta} v a \mathbf{a}$. Aor pass inf $\varphi \omega \nu \varepsilon \dot{\omega} \omega$ (indirect discourse).
av̉兀巛̣. Dative of advantage or location.
тov̀s $\delta$ ov́גous tovitovc. Accusative subject of $\varphi \omega v \eta \theta \tilde{\eta} v a$ a.
oíc. Dative indirect object of $\delta \varepsilon \delta \omega \dot{\kappa \varepsilon เ}$.
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\omega} \kappa \varepsilon$. Plprf act ind 3 rd sg $\delta \dot{\delta} \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{L}$.
тò à $\rho \gamma \dot{v} \rho \iota \boldsymbol{\imath v}$. Accusative direct object of $\delta \varepsilon \delta \dot{\omega} \kappa \varepsilon \iota$.
îva. Introduces a purpose clause.
$\gamma$ voĩ. Aor act subj 3rd sg $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \omega$. Subjunctive with ìva.
$\tau i$. Accusative direct object of $\delta ı \varepsilon \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon v \in \alpha v \tau \tau$. The interrogative clause (indirect question) serves as the clausal complement of $\gamma$ voĩ.
 Many manuscripts ( $\mathrm{A} \Theta \Pi 047 f^{1,13} \mathfrak{M p m}$ ) read tic ti $\delta \iota \varepsilon \pi \rho \alpha-$ $\gamma \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \tau o$ (3rd sg) rather than tí $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon v \dot{\sigma} \alpha v \tau 0$, presumably to clarify that the nobleman was interested in how much each of the slaves had gained by trading and not in their collective total. Several translations (REB, NJB) reflect the sense of the variant (which was printed in the text of $\mathrm{NA}^{26}$; cf. Omanson, 143). In the variant reading tic is the nominative subject of $\delta เ \varepsilon \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \tau 0$, with the whole expression reading, lit. "to find out who had earned what."

##  $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta \rho \gamma \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \tau 0 \mu \nu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$.


$\dot{\boldsymbol{o}} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \tilde{\omega} \tau \mathbf{\sigma}$. Nominative subject of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \tau \%$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (manner). Although this participle is typically translated as if it introduces an attendant circumstance, we maintain that such a usage would require $\varepsilon i \pi \omega v$, i.e., a participle with the same aspect as the main verb (see 1:24 on $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \circ \sigma \alpha)$. The difference in this construction is that it portrays the
slave eagerly announcing his success as he is coming in (see the translation), as opposed to waiting until he gets up to the master ("he arrived and said"). Alternatively, we may simply be dealing with a formal scene where the slaves, bunched in a group awaiting their turn, one by one walk forward announcing what they have done with what was entrusted to them.

Kúpıя. Vocative.
$\dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\mu \nu} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Nominative subject of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta \rho \gamma \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \tau 0$.
бov. Possessive genitive.

$\pi \rho о \sigma \eta \rho \gamma \alpha \dot{\sigma} \alpha \tau \mathbf{\alpha}$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \dot{\zeta} \zeta \mu \alpha \iota$.


$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av่̉ $\tilde{\omega}$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$.
Eũ $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. The adverb combines $\varepsilon \tilde{̃}$ and $\gamma \varepsilon$ and means something like, "Bravo!" "Excellent!"
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} 0$ غ̀ $\delta \mathbf{~ o v ̃ \lambda \varepsilon . ~ V o c a t i v e . ~}$
ő tı. Introduces a causal clause.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \alpha \boldsymbol{i} \sigma \tau \omega$. . Reference. The superlative adjective is hyperbolic.
$\pi \iota \sigma \tau \mathbf{o}$ c. Predicate adjective.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} v o v$. Aor mid ind 2nd sg $\gamma i v o \mu a l$.

ű $\sigma \theta$ ı. Pres act impv 2nd sg عíuí.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi$ ovoiav. Accusative direct object of $\bar{\varepsilon} \chi \omega v$.
$\ddot{\varepsilon} \chi \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\check{\varepsilon} \chi \omega$ (present periphrastic).

 $\pi \varepsilon ่ v \tau \varepsilon \mu \nu \tilde{a} \varsigma$.
$\tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg ép $\quad \chi \quad \mu \alpha 1$.
ó $\delta \varepsilon \dot{v} \tau \varepsilon \rho o \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg (manner; see v.16).
'H $\mu \nu \tilde{\alpha}$. Nominative subject of غ̇поí $\sigma \varepsilon v$.
oov. Possessive genitive.

кúpıя. Vocative. Here, the placement of the vocative likely helps convey a sense of deference to a superior (see 4:34 on 'I $\eta$ бoṽ).
$\varepsilon ̇ \pi o i \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi$ oı́̇ $\omega$.
$\pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \tau \varepsilon \mu \nu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $\grave{\varepsilon} \pi o i \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$.

$\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
тоט́тఱ. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon i ̃ \pi \varepsilon v$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Nominative subject of $\gamma^{\text {ivou }}$.

үivov. Pres act impv 2nd sg үivo 1 al. Lit. "Let you be . . ."


$\dot{\delta}$ ह̈tع $\rho \boldsymbol{\rho}$. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$.

$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (manner). If our analysis of the participle is correct (see v. 16), Jesus' portrayal of the lazy slave's presentation is almost comical. It is designed to highlight just how ridiculous such an approach to handling the master's resources was: "Your mina! . . . which I was holding on to for you, keeping it nice and safe in this handkerchief!" The slave's words are the kind of thing you regret saying as soon as the words are out of your mouth.

Kúpıє. Vocative.
ídoù. See 1:20.
$\dot{\eta} \mu v \tilde{\alpha}$. Nominative subject of a nominal clause (see 5:12 on $\dot{\alpha} v \grave{\eta} \rho$ ).
oov. Possessive genitive.
$\eta$ ๆ̄v. Accusative direct object of عĩxov.
عĩðov. Impf act ind 1st sg é $\chi \omega$. Lit. "I was having/holding."
 Complement in an object-complement double accusative construction with the relative pronoun serving as the direct object. The verb means, "to put something away for safekeeping" (LN 85.53). Although there were periphrastic constructions formed with $\varepsilon$ é $\chi \omega$ plus a participle in the classical period, the fact that they almost exclusively involved aorist participles (see Rijksbaron, 130-31) makes such an analysis here unlikely.
ėv oov $\alpha$ apị. Locative. This could refer specifically to a face cloth or handerchief used for wiping away perspiration or less specifically to a small cloth (cf. BDAG, 934; LN 6.159).

##  

$\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\beta o v ́ \mu \eta \nu}$. Impf mid ind 1st sg $\varphi$ о $\beta \dot{\varepsilon} о \mu \alpha ı$.
$\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi o ß$ ov́ $\mu \eta v$.
öтı. Introduces a causal clause.
 occurs only here and in verse 22 in the NT: "pertaining to being strict in requirement" (BDAG, 151). The language points to "a tough, uncompromising, punctilious financier" (BDAG, 152).

عĩ. Pres act ind 2nd sg $\varepsilon$ íhí.
 money, we may well be dealing with a financial metaphor here that refers to "taking out" ( $\alpha$ " $\rho \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma)$ what you did not "put in" ( $\varepsilon \theta \eta \kappa \alpha \varsigma)$ to the bank (see LN 57.218). Marshall (707) argues that the metaphor "is used here to describe a person who seeks a disproportionately high return from his investments."
ailpeıc. Pres act ind 2nd sg ailp $\omega$.
ő. Accusative direct object of ${ }^{ٌ} \theta \eta \kappa \alpha \varsigma$. The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see $6: 2$ on ö), which as a whole (o̊


ह̈ $\theta \eta к а \varsigma . ~ A o r ~ a c t ~ i n d ~ 2 n d ~ s g ~ \tau i \theta \eta \mu . ~$
$\theta \varepsilon \rho i \zeta \varepsilon \varepsilon c^{\prime}$. Pres act ind $2 \mathrm{nd} \operatorname{sg} \theta \varepsilon \rho i \zeta \omega$.
ö. Accusative direct object of हैблєьрac. The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ő), which as a whole


ह̈б $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \iota \rho a \varsigma$. Aor act ind 2nd sg $\sigma \pi \varepsilon i \rho \omega$.

 $\theta \varepsilon \rho i \zeta \omega \nu$ ö ои̉к हैбл $\varepsilon \iota \rho a ;$
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. On the significance of the historical present, see 7:40 on $\varphi \eta \sigma i v$.
av̉兀ธ̣. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \varepsilon$ غ́ $\gamma \varepsilon$.
＇Ек то⿱亠乂，бто́ $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ о́c．Source．Lit．＂out of（your）mouth．＂On the second accent，see 1：13 on $\eta$ j $\delta \dot{\eta} \eta \sigma i \varsigma$.
oov．Possessive genitive．
$\boldsymbol{\kappa} \rho \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Fut act ind 1st sg крive．
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ ．Accusative direct object of крıv $\omega$ ．
 likely helps convey a sense of＂talking down＂to an inferior（see 4：34 on＇I $\eta$ бои̃）．

ไِ $\delta \varepsilon ı \varsigma$. Plprf act ind 2nd sg oô $\delta \alpha$ ．
ötı．Introduces the clausal complement（indirect discourse with a verb of cognition；see 1：22 on ötı）of $\delta \varepsilon ı \varsigma . ~ }$
$\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$ ．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \dot{\jmath} \mu$ ．
 verse 21.
$\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \mathrm{I}$ ．Pres ind 1st sg $\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \mu i$.
$\alpha i ̋ \rho \omega v$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom sg aîp $\omega$（attributive）．
ö．Accusative direct object of $\stackrel{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \theta \eta \kappa \alpha$ ．The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause（see 6：2 on ö），which as a whole （ő oủk $\varepsilon \forall \eta \kappa \alpha$ ）serves as the direct object of aîp $\omega v$ ．

ह̈ө $\boldsymbol{\eta} \kappa \alpha$ ．Aor act ind 1st sg тi $\theta \eta \mu$ ．
$\theta \varepsilon \rho i \zeta \omega v$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\theta \varepsilon \rho i \zeta \omega$（attributive）．
ö．Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \sigma \sigma \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha$ ．The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause（see 6：2 on ö），which as a whole



##  

סıà tí．Causal．Lit．＂because of what？＂
है $\delta \omega \kappa \alpha \dot{c}$ ．Aor act ind 2 nd sg $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$ ．On the second accent，see 1：13 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \delta \varepsilon ́ \eta \sigma i \varsigma$.
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$ ．Possessive genitive．

غ̇ $\pi \grave{i} \tau \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \zeta \alpha v$ ．Locative．Lit．＂on the table，＂but here the expression is used of making a bank deposit（see BDAG，1013．1．c），a sense that is common in both classical and Hellenistic Greek texts（Fitzmyer， 2：1237）．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \boldsymbol{\theta} \dot{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg ép $\rho o \mu a ı$ (temporal). BDF ( $\$ 360.2$ ) notes that this verse "contains in $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} v$ an unreal-temporal protasis as it were."

бùv tóкต̣. Association.
aủtò. Accusative direct object of $\check{\varepsilon} \pi \rho \alpha \xi \alpha$.
$\varepsilon ̈ \pi \rho \alpha \xi \alpha$. Aor act ind 1 st sg $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega$. Here, $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega$ is used in the sense of "collect taxes, duties, interest" (BDAG, 860.2).

19:24 каì тоĩৎ $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \tilde{\omega} \sigma เ v$ عĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$, 'A $\rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ à $\pi$ ' aủtoṽ $\tau \grave{\eta} v \mu \nu \tilde{\alpha} v$ каì


тoĩs $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \tilde{\omega} \sigma เ v$. Prf act ptc masc dat pl $\pi \alpha \rho i \sigma \tau \eta \mu \mathrm{I}$ (substantival). Dative indirect object of عĩtยv. This vague expression (lit. "the ones standing by") could refer to either the king's "attendants" (so Fitzmyer, 2:1238; Nolland, 3:916) or to the other slaves who were present (so Bock, 2:1540).
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
'A $\boldsymbol{\prime} \alpha \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act impv 2nd pl aíp $\omega$.

$\tau \eta ̀ v \mu \nu \tilde{\alpha} v$. Accusative direct object of 'Apate.
$\delta \mathbf{o ́ t \varepsilon}$. Aor act impv 2nd pl $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
 indirect object of $\delta$ ót $\varepsilon$.

тà̧ $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \alpha \mu \nu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \pi \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3 rd $\mathrm{pl} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. On the form, see 1:61.
av̉т $\tilde{\varphi}$. Dative indirect object of عĩ $\pi \alpha v$.
Kúpı. Vocative.
モौ $\chi \varepsilon เ$. Pres act ind 3rd sg ě $\chi \omega$.



$\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\mu} \nu$. See 3:8.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. The next verse makes it clear that this is the king speaking rather than Jesus adding commentary himself.
$\dot{v} \mu \mathrm{i} v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ı. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also 1:25 on ö $\neq$ ) of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \alpha v \tau i ̀ \tau \tilde{c}$ é $\chi \chi \mathbf{o v \tau ı}$. Pres act ptc masc dat sg ê $\chi \omega$ (substantival; see 1:66 on $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ oi ảkov́бavtec). Dative indirect object of $\delta 0 \theta \eta \dot{\eta}-$ бєтаı.
$\delta \mathbf{\delta} \theta \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \varepsilon \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{I}$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg $\delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


кaì. Ascensive.
ö. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ éxı. The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ö), which as a whole (ő है $\chi \varepsilon ı)$ serves as the subject of $\dot{\alpha} \rho \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$.

$\dot{\alpha} \rho \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \alpha \mathrm{c}$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg ai̋ $\rho \omega$.



$\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$. Louw and Nida (89.130) define $\pi \lambda \eta \eta v$ as a marker "of contrast, implying the validity of something irrespective of other considerations."
tov̀s é $\chi \theta$ poús . . . tov́tovc. This should probably be taken as the accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$, rather than an accusative topic of what follows (see $1: 36$ on ' $\mathrm{E} \lambda \iota \sigma \dot{\alpha} \beta \varepsilon \tau$ ), since there is no resumptive pronoun (aủtov̀s would not qualify). We have used a topic construction in the English translation, however, to try to capture the force of the fronted, lengthy direct object.
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Genitive of relationship.
тoùs. . . $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \boldsymbol{\alpha} v \tau \alpha \dot{c}$. Aor act ptc masc acc $\mathrm{pl} \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$ (attributive).
On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \delta \dot{\eta} \eta \sigma i \varsigma$.
$\mu \varepsilon$. Accusative subject of $\beta a \sigma \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{v} \sigma a$. .
$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{v} \sigma \alpha 1$. Aor act inf $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon u ́ \omega$ (complementary).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi^{\prime}$ av่̇oùc. The prepositional phrase functions as a "marker of power, authority, control of or over someone or something" (BDAG, 365.9).
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act impv 2nd pl ä $\gamma \omega$.
$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \varphi \dot{́} \zeta \alpha \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act impv 2nd pl катаб९á $\zeta \omega$. The verb indicates "to slaughter, either animals or persons; in contexts referring to persons, the implication is of violence and mercilessness" (LN 20.72).
av̉тov̀s. Accusative direct object of катабүа́ $\xi \alpha \tau \varepsilon$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \rho o \sigma \theta \dot{\varepsilon} v \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{v}$. Locative. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\dot{\eta}$ ठغ́ $\eta \sigma i \varsigma$.

##  

Kaì. Given the presence of this conjunction and the lack of clear boundary markers until the next verse (Kaì $\overline{\gamma \varepsilon} v \varepsilon \tau \frac{\omega}{\omega}$ ), verse 28 is best taken as the conclusion to this pericope rather than the start of the next one (so Nolland, 3:917; de la Potterie, 627-29; contra the vast majority of scholars and versions).
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \pi \grave{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (temporal).
$\tau \alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon i \pi \omega \dot{v}$.
 could either refer to Jesus going in front of those with him (so Plummer, 444; Marshall, 711; Nolland, 3:917; Fitzmyer's note, $2: 1247$ ) or Jesus continuing his movement forward (so Bock, 2:1552; Fitzmyer's translation, 2:1247).

ảvaßaiv $\omega v$. Pres act ptc fem nom sg ávaßaivo (attendant circumstance or manner).

عis'İробó入v $\boldsymbol{\mu}$. Locative.

## Luke 19:29-40

${ }^{29}$ And it happened when he came near to Bethphage and Bethany at the hill called (the Mount) of Olives that he sent two of his disciples, ${ }^{30}$ saying, "Go into the village across (from here) where you will find a colt tied up when you enter, on which no person has ever sat. Untie it and bring (it here). ${ }^{31}$ And if anyone should ask you, 'Why are you untying (it)?' say this: 'The Lord needs it.'"
${ }^{32}$ So, those who had been sent went away and found (it) just as he had told them. ${ }^{33}$ Then, while they were untying the colt, its masters said to them, "Why are you untying the colt?" ${ }^{34}$ They replied, "The

Lord needs it." ${ }^{35}$ So, they brought it to Jesus and after throwing (their) garments on the colt they helped Jesus mount (it).
${ }^{36}$ Now, as he was going along, they were spreading their garments under (him) on the road. ${ }^{37}$ Then, when he had already come near to the descent from the Mount of Olives, the whole throng of disciples joyfully began to praise God with a loud voice concerning all the incredible miracles they had seen, ${ }^{38}$ saying, "Blessed is the Coming One, the king (who comes) in the name of the Lord! Peace in heaven and glory in the highest places!" ${ }^{39}$ Then some of the Pharisees from the crowd said to him, "Teacher, reprimand your disciples!" ${ }^{40} \mathrm{He}$ responded and said, "I tell you, if these become silent, the stones will cry out!"

## 19:29 Kaì éץ 

éरéveto. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual. As is common, here kaì $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon ่ v \varepsilon \tau \frac{1}{c}$ helps mark tne beginning of a new pericope (see also 1:8 on 'Eүéveto).
$\dot{\omega} \varsigma$. Temporal. Although $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \tau \frac{\omega}{\omega} \varsigma$ followed by a finite verb occurs frequently in the LXX (Gen 27:30; Deut 5:23; Judg 2:4; 1 Sam 4:18; cf. Nolland, 1:66), the construction is limited to Luke's gospel in the NT (1:23, 41; 2:15; cf. 11:1).

ท̋ $\gamma \gamma เ \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma i \zeta \omega$.

$\pi \rho \mathbf{o ̀ ~} \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{o ̀ ~ o ̋ \rho o c ̧ . ~ S p a t i a l . ~}$
$\kappa \alpha \lambda o v ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v$. Pres pass ptc neut acc sg кa入غ่́ (attributive).
 fying an implied tò őpos, which has been omitted by ellipsis and would have functioned as the complement in a subject-complement double accusative construction (see 1:32 on viòs): "the mount (called) Olives/Olive Trees."
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
$\delta \dot{v} o$. Accusative direct object of à $\pi \varepsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v$.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. Partitive genitive.



$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (means).
'Y $\boldsymbol{\pi} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd pl ú $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \alpha \boldsymbol{\gamma} \omega$.
 lage."
ėv ท̂̃. Locative. Lit. "in which." Levinsohn (2000, 191; emphasis in original) argues that with nonrestrictive relative clauses that describe an event that occurs subsequent to the preceding event or situation, "characteristically, the information preceding the relative pronoun is backgrounded vis-à-vis what follows. . . . The clause preceding the relative pronoun often contains a state or activity verb, which tends to correlate with background information in narrative, while the clause that follows the relative pronoun contains an achievement or accomplishment verb, which tends to correlate with foreground information." (On verb types and information status, see also Levinsohn 2000, 173; Foley and Van Valin, 371). He goes on to suggest that " $\tau$ he rhetorical effect of using a continuative relative clause in narrative is apparently to move the story forward quickly by combining background and foreground information in a single sentence" (2000, 192). Here, the preceding clause contains
 achievement verb ( $\varepsilon \cup ์ \rho \eta \mathfrak{\sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon ) . ~}$
 poral).

$\pi \tilde{\omega} \lambda o v$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \dot{\cup} \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \varepsilon$.
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{o v}$. Prf pass ptc masc acc sg $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. Complement in an object-complement double accusative construction (see 2:12 on

$\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \varphi^{\prime}$ öv. Locative.

$\dot{\alpha} v \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \tau \omega v$. Partitive genitive.

$\lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma a v \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act ptc masc nom pl $\lambda$ ú $\omega$ (attendant circumstance). Attendant circumstance participles take on the mood of the verb they modify (see also $5: 14$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} v$ ).
aủtòv. Accusative direct object of $\lambda$ úбavtec.
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act impv 2nd pl ${ }^{\alpha} \gamma \omega$.


$\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v$ ．Introduces the protasis of a third class condition．
$\tau \iota \varsigma$ ．Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \omega \tau \tilde{\alpha}$ ．
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{a} \varsigma$ ．Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \tau \underline{a}$ ．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \omega \tau \tilde{a}$. ．Pres act subj 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ ह́ $\omega \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ ．Subjunctive with $\varepsilon$ żáv．
$\Delta$ ıà tí．Causal．Lit．＂because of what？＂
入úยtє．Pres act ind 2nd pl $\lambda \dot{\sim} \omega$ ．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \tau \varepsilon$ ．Fut act ind 2nd pl $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$（imperatival future）．Marshall （713）calls this use of the future tense＂a polite imperative．＂
 it．＂The clause is actually quite ambiguous（see further below）．One alternative translation would be＂say this：＇Because its master needs （it）．＇＂
ötı．Introduces the clausal complement（direct discourse；see also 1：25 on ötı）of $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \varepsilon i ̃ \tau \varepsilon$ ．Alternatively，it could be causal．
＇O кúpıoc．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ عै $\chi \varepsilon$ ．This could be a reference to Jesus（＂the Lord＂）or to the colt＇s master（or perhaps to Jesus as ＂the［real］Master＂；see Marshall，713）．
av่̉oṽ．Objective genitive（modifying $\chi \rho \varepsilon \dot{\prime} \alpha v$ ）or genitive of sub－ ordination（modifying＇O кúpıo̧）．
$\chi \rho \varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \alpha v$ ．Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ है $\chi \varepsilon$ ．
モ̈ $\chi \varepsilon$ เ．Pres act ind 3rd sg ě $\chi \omega$ ．

 circumstance）．
oi $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{v o l}$ ．Prf pass ptc masc nom pl $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ oot $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$（substan－ tival）．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \tilde{̃} \rho o v$ ．

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
aủ兀oĩc．Dative indirect object of عĩ爪ยv．


$\lambda \boldsymbol{v o ́ v} \boldsymbol{\tau} \omega \boldsymbol{v}$. Pres act ptc masc gen $\mathrm{pl} \lambda \dot{v} \omega$. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\grave{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvev́ovтоऽ), temporal.

тòv $\pi \tilde{\omega} \lambda \boldsymbol{o} v$. Accusative direct object of $\lambda \nu o ́ v \tau \omega v$.
عĩ $\pi \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. On the form, see 1:61.
oi kúpıot. Nominative subject of عĩtav.
av่̉ouv. Genitive of subordination.
$\pi \rho$ ò $\boldsymbol{a}$ aủtov́c. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
入úєtє. Pres act ind ind pl $\lambda \dot{v} \omega$.
тòv $\pi \tilde{\omega} \lambda o v$. Accusative direct object of $\lambda u ́ \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$.

oi. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ĩtav (see 1:29 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ).
$\varepsilon i ̃ \pi \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. On the form, see 1:61.
 see verse 33 .
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also 1:25 on őtı) of عĩ̃สav (but see v. 33).
'O ки́pıoc. Nominative subject of
aủtoṽ. Objective genitive (but see v. 33).
$\chi \rho \varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \alpha v$. Accusative direct object of é $\chi \varepsilon$ เ.
ë $\chi \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ ê $\chi \omega$.



ท̋ $\gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \gamma \mathbf{o v}$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \ddot{\alpha} \gamma \omega$.

$\pi \rho$ òs tòv 'İ $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ oũv. Spatial.

aủtũv. Possessive genitive.
 outer garments.
ènì tòv $\pi \tilde{\omega} \lambda \mathbf{\lambda o v}$. Locative.
 cause to mount, as in the case of an animal" (LN 15.98).
tòv 'İбoũv. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ غ́ $\varepsilon \beta i \beta a \sigma \alpha v$.
 тก̃̃ ò ộ．
 absolute（see 2：2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvعv́ovtoc），temporal．
aủ่oṽ．Genitive subject of $\pi о \rho \varepsilon \cup o \mu \varepsilon ่ v o v . ~$
 ural subject is Jesus＇disciples，though in Matthew＇s account（21：8）it is the large crowd that spread their garments on the road．

aủt $\tilde{\omega} v$ ．Possessive genitive．




 （see 2：2 on $\grave{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvعv́ovto؟），temporal．

$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \tau n ̃ ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \varepsilon เ$ ．Spatial．
то⿱亠乂，＂Opovc．Genitive of separation．
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v{ }^{\prime}$ E $\lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\iota} \tilde{\omega} v$ ．Genitive of identification（see $2: 4$ on $\mathrm{Na} \zeta \alpha \rho \varepsilon ̀ \theta$ ）：＂the mountain（called）Olives／Olive Trees．＂
$\eta \eta^{\eta} \rho \xi a v \tau 0$ ．Aor mid ind 3rd pl ä $\rho \chi \omega$ ．
$\alpha \ddot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \nu \tau$ ò $\pi \lambda \tilde{\eta} \theta o c$, ．Nominative subject of $\eta \not \rho \xi \alpha \nu \tau 0$ ．
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ ．Partitive genitive．
$\chi \boldsymbol{\alpha} \rho \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \varepsilon$ ． ．Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\chi \alpha i \rho \omega$（manner）．The plural participle agrees with the sense of its syntactically singular subject： ä $\pi \alpha \nu$ tò $\pi \lambda \tilde{\eta} \theta$ oc（McKay，18）．
aiveĩv．Pres act inf aivé $\omega$（complementary）．
tòv $\theta$ عòv．Accusative direct object of aiveĩv．
$\varphi \omega v \prod_{n} \mu \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ．In terms of syntax，dative of instrument．In terms of semantics，the manner in which they shouted（cf．1：42；4：33；8：28； 23：46；Acts 7：57，60；8：7）．
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \grave{̀} \pi \alpha \sigma \tilde{\omega} \nu . . . \delta \nu v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \omega v$ ．Reference．
$\tilde{\omega} v$ ．The feminine genitive plural relative pronoun $\tilde{\omega} v$ introduces an internally headed relative clause（see 1：4 on $\pi \varepsilon \rho \grave{~} \tilde{\omega} v \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \chi \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \varsigma$
 $\mu \varepsilon \omega \nu$ being the "antecedent," which intensifies the expression (captured in the translation with "incredible").

عĩ $\delta o v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl عĩ $\delta$ ov.


$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{o v \tau \varepsilon}$. Pres act ptc masc nom $\mathrm{pl} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (means).
 Predicate nominative of a verbless equative clause.
 Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
ó $\beta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ v̀̀s. Nominative in apposition to ó $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi$ о́ $\mu \varepsilon v o c$. Nolland (3:921) notes that the "loss of a definite article makes this 'the coming king' in $\boldsymbol{\aleph}^{c}$ A K L $\Delta \Theta \Pi \Psi f^{1,13}$ etc.; 063) $\aleph$ ) etc. have simply 'the king'; (D) W (579) 1216 etc. have only 'the coming one'" (cf. Omanson, 144).

ह̉v ỏvó $\mu a \tau ı$. Manner.
кvрiov. Possessive genitive.
દ̇v oủpav(̣̃. Locative.
عíp $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\imath}$. Nominative subject of a nominal clause (see 5:12 on àvض̀p).
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\boldsymbol{\prime}} \boldsymbol{\xi}$. Nominative subject of a nominal clause (see 5:12 on àvท̀̀p).
ėv $\mathbf{v i \psi i ́ \sigma \tau o t c . ~ L o c a t i v e . ~}$



тıvec. Nominative subject of عĩtav.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v$ Фapıoaicov. Partitive genitive.
 from which the Pharisees speak (cf. v. 3), though some call it partitive (BDAG, 105.1.f).

عĩ $\pi \alpha v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. On the form, see 1:61.
$\pi \rho$ òs aủtóv. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho$ òs aủtòv).
$\Delta \mathrm{t} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Vocative.


тоĩs $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha i ̃ \varsigma$. Dative complement of $\grave{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \tau i \mu \eta \sigma o v$. oov. Genitive of relationship.


 circumstance; see also $1: 19$ on àmoкрı $\theta \varepsilon i \varsigma)$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$.
$\Lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\omega}{ }^{`} \theta \mu \mathrm{i} \nu$. See 3:8.
$\Lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v$. Introduces the protasis of a third class condition.
oṽंto. Nominative subject of $\sigma \omega \omega \pi \dot{\eta} \sigma o v \sigma \iota v$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega \pi \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v}$. Fut act ind 3rd $\mathrm{pl} \sigma \omega \pi \dot{\alpha} \omega$. The future is occasionally used in place of the subjunctive with $\bar{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v$ in a third class condition, as here (cf. Acts 8:31; see also 14:10 on $\varepsilon$ ह่ $\varepsilon \tilde{1}$, where the future is used with îva, and 11:5 on $\tilde{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \varepsilon$, where the future is used in a deliberative question). Many scribes ( $\Theta \Psi f^{1,13} \mathfrak{m p m}$ ) replaced the future ( $\boldsymbol{\aleph}$ A B L N W $\Delta$ ) with the increasingly common aorist subjunctive (cf. Caragounis, 188).
oi $\lambda i \theta$ ot. Nominative subject of к $\rho \dot{́} \xi$ ouøıv.
крáそovoıv. Fut act ind 3rd pl крá̧ $\boldsymbol{\omega}$. The UBS ${ }^{4}$ reading occurs in only a few manuscripts ( $\boldsymbol{N}$ B L). The majority (A R W $\Theta \Psi 063$ $f^{1,13} \mathfrak{M} p m$ ) have кєкра́ $\xi o v \tau \alpha u$. There is no difference in meaning since the future perfect sometimes functioned like a simple future (Caragounis, 159-60, n. 97).

## Luke 19:41-44

${ }^{41}$ Now, when he drew near, he saw the city and wept over it, ${ }^{42}$ saying, "If you, yes you, had known on this day the things that lead to peace-but as it is they have been hidden from your eyes! ${ }^{43}$ For the days will come upon you (when) your enemies will put up a barricade against you, surround you, and hem you in from every side. ${ }^{44}$ They will completely destroy you and your children within you, and will not leave one stone on another stone within you, because you did not recognize the time of your visitation."

$\dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \boldsymbol{c}$. Temporal.
ท̋ $\gamma \gamma เ \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma i \zeta \omega$.
$i \delta \dot{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg ópá $\omega$ (attendant circumstance).
$\tau \grave{\eta} v \pi \dot{\mathbf{o}} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \stackrel{v}{ }$. Accusative direct object of í $\delta \omega \dot{v}$.
غ̈к $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg к $\lambda$ аí $\omega$.
è $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ' av̉t̀̀̀. The PP functions as a "marker of feelings directed toward someone" (BDAG, 366.15).


$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (manner).
ö $\tau$ ı. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also $1: 25$ on ötı) of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \nu$.

Ei. BDAG (278.4) maintains that $\varepsilon$ ci can function as a "marker of strong or solemn assertion." It is likely better to follow McKay (88), who points out that a conditional protasis with the apodosis omitted (here, something like "If you had recognized . . . it would have been good") can be used to produce an effect similar to an excluded wish: "If only you had recognized!"
$\varepsilon \nLeftarrow \nu \omega c$. Aor act ind 2nd sg $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \omega$.

кai. Ascensive.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{v}$. Following the $\kappa \alpha i$, the nominative $\sigma \mathbf{v}$ represents the reiterated subject of $\varepsilon$ है $\gamma v \omega c$.
$\tau$ à. The article functions as a nominalizer, changing the PP into the accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \not \gamma v \omega \varsigma$.
$\pi \rho \grave{c} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\eta} \eta \boldsymbol{\imath} \boldsymbol{\eta}$. The PP represents a "marker of movement or orientation toward someone/someth." (BDAG, 874.3). Thus, lit. "things (leading) toward peace" (cf. BDAG, 874.3.c. $\gamma$ ).
vũv $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$. The translation follows Marshall (718; cf. Plummer, 450).

غ̇крúß $\boldsymbol{\eta}$. Aor pass ind 3rd sg крú $\boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \omega$. Neuter plural subjects (here đà $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \varepsilon i ̀ \rho \eta \dot{\imath} \eta v$ ) characteristically take singular verbs (see Wallace, 399-400), though the singular could point to a generic "it has been hidden."
 verbs of concealing to indicate from whom something is hidden (see BDAG, 105.1.d).
oov. Possessive genitive.

 $\pi \alpha ่ \nu \tau 0 \theta \varepsilon v$,
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces a causal clause that provides the reason for Jesus' grief-stricken exclamation, "If you had only known . . . because ..."

ท̋そovotv. Fut act ind 3rd pl $\eta \kappa \omega$.


$\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \mu \beta \alpha \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \tilde{v} \sigma เ v$. Fut act ind 3rd pl $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$. Bock (2:1561) notes that "Jesus uses siege terminology to picture the city's destruction: $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ (paremballō) describes the placing of barricades or earthen mounds ( $\chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \alpha \xi$, charax) around the city; $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı к \cup \kappa \lambda o ́ \omega$ (perikykloō) describes the surrounding of the beleaguered city, perhaps even the building of a wall around it; and $\sigma v v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \omega$ (synech $\bar{o}$ ) describes the enemy pressing the attack against the city."
oi $\varepsilon$ $\chi \theta \rho o i$. Nominative subject of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \mu \beta \alpha \lambda$ oṽ $\sigma \iota v$.
oov. Genitive of relationship.
$\chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \dot{ }$. Accusative direct object of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \mu \beta \alpha \lambda$ oṽ $\sigma เ v$. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\eta \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\eta} \eta \sigma i c$. The term refers to "a fence of poles or stakes used to fortify entrenchments" (LN 7.60).
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ o. Given the transitive use of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \mu \beta \alpha \lambda$ oṽ $\sigma \tau v$, this should probably be viewed as a dative of disadvantage, a sense that is conveyed in the LXX using $\varepsilon \pi i$ plus an accusative (e.g., Eccl 9:14; Ezek 4:2; 26:8).
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota к и к \lambda \dot{\omega} \sigma о и \sigma i v$. Fut act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \pi \varepsilon \rho เ \kappa \cup ́ \kappa \lambda \omega$. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\eta$ ŋ $\delta \varepsilon ́ \eta \sigma i c . ~$
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Accusative direct object of $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı к \cup к \lambda \omega \dot{\omega}$ оvoiv.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \mathbf{\xi} \boldsymbol{o v o i v}$. Fut act ind 3rd pl $\sigma u v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \omega$. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\eta$ ŋ $\delta \varepsilon ́ \eta \sigma i \varsigma . ~$
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Accusative direct object of $\sigma v v \varepsilon ́ \xi o u \sigma i v$.
$\pi \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \boldsymbol{\sigma} \theta \varepsilon v$. Locative. Lit. "from every direction."



 destroy or tear down, by causing something to be brought down to the level of the ground" (LN 20.57). On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\eta$ ŋ́ $\delta$ ह́ךбic.
$\sigma \varepsilon \kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \varepsilon ́ \kappa v \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ ह̇סaبıoṽoiv.
бov. Genitive of relationship.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v$ ooi. Locative.
 pointing to utter destruction (also at 21:6).
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi \eta \eta^{\sigma} 0 v \sigma ı v$. Fut act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varphi \dot{\eta} \mu$.
$\lambda i \theta o v . A c c u s a t i v e ~ d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~ a ̀ ~ \varphi \eta ं \sigma o v \sigma ı v . ~$
ènì 入i $\theta$ ov. Locative.
èv ooí. Locative.
$\dot{\alpha} v \theta^{\prime} \tilde{\omega} v$. The preposition $\dot{\alpha} v \tau i$ with a genitive plural relative pronoun forms a causal idiomatic expression (see also 1:20; 12:3; Acts 12:23; 2 Thess 2:10; Culy 1989b, 72-74, 89).
épv $\omega c$. Aor act ind 2nd sg $\gamma \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \omega$.
tòv кaı९òv. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ है $\gamma v \omega \varsigma$.
$\tau \eta ̃ \varsigma$ ह̀льஎкопи̃ऽ. Modifying a time word, the genitive introduces the action that occurs at the specified time: "the time when God visited you" (see also 1:5 on 'H $\rho \hat{\varrho} \delta o v$ ).
oov. Objective genitive.

## Luke 19:45-48

${ }^{45}$ Then he entered the temple and began to throw out those who were selling (things there), ${ }^{46}$ saying to them, "It is written, 'My house will be a house of prayer,' but you have made it a den of thieves!"
${ }^{47} \mathrm{He}$ was teaching day by day in the temple. And the chief priests and scribes, along with the (other) prominent ones of the people, were trying to kill him. ${ }^{48}$ But they could not figure out what to do, for all the people were captivated listening to him.
 таৎ

Kai. The conjunction links this pericope very closely with the preceding one.
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\theta} \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\varepsilon$ ह̇எ $\sigma \dot{\rho} \rho \chi \circ \mu a ı$ (attendant circumstance or temporal).

عís tò ícpòv. Locative.
$\eta ้ \rho \xi \alpha \tau$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg ä $\rho \chi \omega$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \iota v$. Pres act inf $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ (complementary).
тoùৎ $\pi \omega \lambda$ oũv $\alpha \alpha$. Pres act ptc masc acc $\mathrm{pl} \pi \omega \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (substantival). Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon ı v$.


$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (manner).
$\alpha$ ủtoĩc. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$.
$\Gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau \alpha 1$. Prf pass ind 3rd sg $\gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varphi \omega$. On the force of the perfect, see 2:23.

हैбтаı. Fut ind 3rd sg عiuí.
 1:13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס $\varepsilon$ そ́ $\sigma$ ic.
$\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{0}$. Possessive genitive.
oĩkoc. Predicate nominative.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon v \chi \tilde{\eta} c$. "A house where people pray."
$\dot{v} \mu \varepsilon \pi \bar{c}$. Nominative subject of غ̇лопŋ́батє. The fronted explicit subject pronoun helps convey an accusatory tone.
av̉tòv. Accusative direct object of غ̇moıŋ̆ซate.

$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \pi \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathbf{o v}$. Complement in an object-complement double accusative construction.
$\lambda_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. "A den where thieves reside."

入aov,

ท̃v．Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ ìui．Luke uses imperfect verbs here to sum－ marize subsequent events and draw this scene to a close．
$\delta \mathbf{\delta} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega v$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\delta \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega$（imperfect peri－ phrastic）．
tò．Two processes are at work here，one involving the article and one involving its gender and case．The article functions as a nomi－ nalizer（see 1：48 on árò toṽ vṽv），changing the PP каӨ＇$\dot{\mu} \mu \dot{\rho} \rho \alpha v$ into a substantive，while the use of the neuter accusative makes it an adverbial accusative modifying $\delta \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega \nu$（see also 11：3；Acts 17：11 $v . l$.$) ．The phrase \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho a v$ regularly functions adverbially with－ out the article（e．g．，9：23；16：19；22：53；Acts 2：46，47；3：2；16：5；17：11； 19：9）and can also be used with an adjectivizer（see 2 Cor 11：28）．
$\kappa \alpha \theta$＇$\eta \mu \varepsilon ́ \rho a v$ ．Distributive．
غ̇v $\tau \tilde{\varrho}$ í $\varepsilon \rho \tilde{̣}$ ．Locative．
 غ̇とそ̇touv．

غ̇そֹֹ่ouv．Impf act ind 3rd pl $\zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ ．
aủtòv．Accusative direct object of ảro入र́бのa．
$\dot{\mathbf{a}} \pi \mathbf{o} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathrm{I}$ ．Aor act inf $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\prime} \hat{\lambda} \lambda \nu \mu \mathrm{I}$（complementary）．Note that since Jesus is teaching in plain view，the point is not that they were trying to find Jesus in order to kill him，in which case áno入éбaı would introduce a purpose clause and aútòv would be the direct object of $\mathfrak{\varepsilon}$ そ＇ $\mathfrak{\eta}$ тouv．
oi $\pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau 01$. Lit．＂the first ones．＂This could be viewed as part of
 $\pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau o l)$ ，but is better viewed as the nominative subject in an ellipti－ cal clause：＂and the prominent ones were also doing this．＂

тoṽ $\lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{o v}$ ．Partitive genitive or genitive of subordination．
 $\kappa \rho \varepsilon ́ \mu \alpha \tau о ~ a u ̉ \tau o v ̃ ~ a ̀ k o v ́ \omega v . ~$

عűpıбкоv．Impf act ind 3rd pl عúpíซк $\omega$ ．
tò．The article functions as a nominalizer（see 1：48 on ànò toṽ $v \tilde{v}$ ），changing the interrogative clause，$\tau i \pi o \neq \eta \omega \sigma \tau v$ ，into the accu－ sative direct object of cúpıбкov（see also 1：62 on tò）．
$\tau i$ ．Accusative direct object of $\pi$ oı $\sigma \omega \sigma \sigma v$ ．
$\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \eta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega \sigma เ \nu$ ．Aor act subj 3rd pl $\pi$ oıż $\omega$（deliberative subjunctive）．
ó $\lambda$ aòs . . . ä $\pi \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\grave{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \kappa \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \alpha \tau$.
үà $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).
 means to "persist in doing something" (LN 68.15) or "to pay close attention to someone or someth." (BDAG, 305.2).
av่̉oṽ. Genitive subject of ákov́ $\omega v$.
$\dot{\alpha} \kappa \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \omega \boldsymbol{v}$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg ákov́ $\omega$. If the main verb means to "persist in doing something," then the participle would be complementary (cf. ákoúsıv in D M $\Lambda 69$ ). If it means, "to pay close attention to something," then the participle would have temporal force: "as they were listening to him."

## Luke 20:1-8

${ }^{1}$ And it happened on one of the days as he was teaching the people in the temple and preaching the gospel that the chief priests and scribes came up with the elders ${ }^{2}$ and said to him, "Tell us by what sort of authority you are doing these things, or who the person is who gave you this authority!" ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ responded and said to them, "I too will ask you something, and you answer me: ${ }^{4}$ Was John's baptism from heaven or from people?" ${ }^{5}$ So, they discussed it with each other, saying, "If we say, 'From heaven,' he will say, 'Why didn't you believe him?' 'But if we say, 'From people,' all the people will stone us." For, they are convinced that John was a prophet. ${ }^{7}$ So they answered that they did not know from where (it came). ${ }^{8}$ Then Jesus said to them, "Neither will I tell you by what authority I am doing these things."

 $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon і ̃ \varsigma ~ \sigma \grave{v}$ тоі̃ৎ $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \varepsilon$ роเя
éyéveto. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual. As is common, here kaì $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{y} v \varepsilon \tau \frac{1}{2}$ helps mark the beginning of a new pericope (cf. 5:12; see also 1:8 on 'Eүع́veto).
غ̇v $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{1}$ ã̃ $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$. See 5:12.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \mu i \tilde{a}$. Temporal.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \mathfrak{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \rho \tilde{\omega} v$. Partitive genitive.
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{c}$, . Pres act ptc masc gen sg $\delta \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega$. Genitive abso-

av่̉า0ũ. Genitive subject of $\delta \iota \delta$ áбкоขтоऽ.

$\varepsilon ̇ v \tau \tilde{\varrho} \mathfrak{i} \varepsilon \rho \tilde{\omega}$. Locative.
 absolute (see 2:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvعúovtos), temporal.

 $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$.
$\sigma$ v̀v toĩৎ $\pi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \varepsilon ́ \rho o t c . ~ A s s o c i a t i o n . ~$



عĩ̃av. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. On the form, see 1:61.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v \tau \varepsilon}$. Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (manner). Lit. "and spoke, saying." Runge (\$7.3.1) argues that the use of the "redundant quotative frame" (see $1: 19$ on àroкрı $\theta \varepsilon i \varsigma)$ here attracts "more attention to the 'turning of the tables' as Jesus refuses to answer their question unless they first answer his."
$\pi \rho$ òs aủtóv. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho$ òs aủtòv).
Eínòv. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i} v$. Dative indirect object of Einòv.

т $\alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of đoเદĩc. The antecedent of the demonstrative pronoun is ambiguous. It could refer to Jesus' entry into Jerusalem (19:37-40), the cleansing of the temple (19:45-46), Jesus' subsequent teaching in Jerusalem (19:47-20:1a), or his actions in general.
$\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ ¢. Pres act ind 2nd sg поє่̇ $\omega$.
tic. Predicate nominative.
غ̇бтıv. Pres ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$. On the loss of accent, see $1: 18$ on $\varepsilon i \mu$.
ó $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ ov́c. Aor act ptc masc nom sg (substantival). Nominative subject of $̇$ ह̇бтıv.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma o t}$. Dative indirect object of $\delta$ ov́c.
$\tau \grave{\eta} v$ ह̇そovoiav $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta v$. Accusative direct object of $\delta$ ov́s.
 $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v, ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \varepsilon \ell ้ \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ ~ \mu o \cdot \cdot ~$
 circumstance; see also $1: 19$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \kappa \rho(\theta \varepsilon i \varsigma)$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ ò $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ảtov́c. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \alpha u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$

$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{a} \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of 'E $\rho \omega \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega$. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{v} v$.
$\boldsymbol{\kappa} \alpha \mathfrak{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Nominative subject of 'E ${ }^{\prime} \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$. A shortened form (crasis) of каì $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$.

גójov. Accusative of respect. Lit. "I will ask you about a matter."
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$. Aor act impv 2nd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\grave{\eta} \delta \varepsilon ́ \eta \sigma i \zeta$.
$\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{o t}$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon$ eltaté.

## 

Tò $\beta \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\eta{ }^{\eta} \nu$.
'I $\omega$ ávvov. Subjective genitive.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi$ ov̉pavoṽ. Source.
ñv. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ i $\mu i$ i.
$\grave{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\alpha} v \theta \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \pi \omega v$. Source.
20:5 oi $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ ovve入oүíбavto $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ̀ a v \tau o v ̀ \varsigma ~ \lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ o ̈ \tau ı ~ ' E a ̀ v ~$

oi. Nominative subject of $\sigma 0 v \varepsilon \lambda$ o $\gamma \boldsymbol{i} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau 0$ (see $1: 29$ on $\dot{\eta}$ ).

$\pi \rho$ òs £̇avtov̧̀. Dative of association. Caragounis (150, n. 60) notes that the plural of the reflexive pronoun was already being used in Attic times for the reciprocal pronoun, but became increasingly popular in post-classical times.
$\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (manner).
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also 1:25 on öтı) of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \circ \vee \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$.
'Eàv. Introduces the protasis of a third class condition.

＇E $\xi_{\text {ov̉pavoũ．Source．}}$
$\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \varepsilon \tilde{1}$. Fut act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
$\Delta ı$ à $\tau i$ ．Causal．Lit．＂because of what？＂




$\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v$ ．Introduces the protasis of a third class condition．
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\pi} \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Aor act subj 1st pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．Subjunctive with $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v$ ．
${ }^{\prime} E \xi \mathfrak{a} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\rho} \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$ ．Source．
ó $\lambda$ aòs $\check{\alpha} \pi \alpha c$ ．Nominative subject of ката入ı $\theta$ á $\sigma \varepsilon$ ．
$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda_{\imath} \theta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \varepsilon \iota$ ．Fut act ind 3rd pl ката $\lambda_{\imath} \theta \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$ ．
$\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{a} \varsigma$ ．Accusative direct object of ката入ı $\theta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \varepsilon \iota$ ．
$\pi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v o c$ ．Prf pass ptc masc nom sg $\pi \varepsilon i \theta \omega$（perfect periphras－ tic）．

үó $\rho$ ．Causal．

＇I $\omega \dot{\alpha} v \nu \eta v$ ．Accusative subject of عĩval．
$\pi \rho о \varphi \eta \dot{\tau} \eta \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ．Predicate accusative of عĩvaı．
عivva．Pres act inf $\varepsilon$ il í（indirect discourse with a verb of cogni－ tion）．On the loss of the accent，see 1：18 on $\varepsilon i \mu \mathrm{l}$ ．

## 

 indicates＂that the authorities have ceased to deliberate among themselves and are now answering Jesus＂（Levinsohn 2000，233；cf． 1：19 on á $\pi$ окрı $\theta \varepsilon i \varsigma)$ ．On the voice，see＂Deponency＂in the Series Introduction．
$\mu \grave{~}$ عídéval．Prf act inf oĩ $\delta \alpha$（indirect discourse）．On the use of the perfect tense with this verb，see $4: 34$ on oĩ $\delta \dot{\text { a }}$ ．
$\pi o ́ \theta \varepsilon v$ ．Interrogative adverb．
 ovoía таṽта 兀otต̃．
$\dot{\mathbf{o}}$＇Inбoṽ．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$ ．
$\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av̉тoĩc. Dative indirect object of عĩกعv.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v} \mu \mathrm{v} \nu$. See 3:8.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$. Nominative subject of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
ن́ $\mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.

$\tau \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$. Accusative direct object of $\pi$ ot $\tilde{\omega}$. See 20:2 on $\tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha$ regarding the antecedent.
$\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\omega} \tilde{\omega}$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\pi 0 เ \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.

## Luke 20:9-19

${ }^{9}$ Then he began to tell this parable to the people: "A man planted a vineyard and rented it out to farmers, and then went away on a journey for a long time. ${ }^{10} \mathrm{At}$ harvest time, he sent a slave to the farmers so that they could give him (his portion of) the vineyard's crop. But the farmers sent him away empty handed after beating him. ${ }^{11}$ So, he proceeded to send another slave. And that one, after they had beaten and shamed him, sent him away empty handed. ${ }^{12}$ So, he proceeded to send a third. But this one, after injuring him, they threw him out also. ${ }^{13}$ Then the owner of the vineyard said, 'What shall I do? I will send my beloved son. They will surely respect him.' ${ }^{14}$ But when the farmers saw him, they conferred with one another, saying, 'This is the heir! Let's kill him so that the inheritance will be ours!' ${ }^{15}$ So they threw him out of the vineyard and killed him. What, then, will the owner of the vineyard do to them? ${ }^{16} \mathrm{He}$ will come and wipe out those farmers and give the vineyard to others." When they heard (this), they said, "May it never be!"
${ }^{17}$ Then he looked at them and said, "What, then, does this mean where it says, 'The stone that the builders rejected, this one has become the cornerstone'? ${ }^{18}$ Everyone who falls on that stone will be broken in pieces; and on whomever it falls, it will crush him." ${ }^{19}$ So the scribes and chief priests were trying to lay (their) hands on him at that time-yet they were afraid of the people-for they knew that he had spoken the parable against them.
 "AvӨן үоі̧̃ каì à $\pi \varepsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ र $\rho$ óvovs íкаvov́ৎ.

$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \lambda a o ̀ v . ~ I n d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~(s e e ~ 1: 13 ~ o n ~ \pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \iota v$. Pres act inf $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (complementary).
$\tau \grave{v} \tau \pi \alpha \rho \beta \mathbf{0} \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \alpha v \dot{\tau} \eta \eta$. Accusative direct object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \iota v$.
"Av $\theta \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\pi}$ о́ $[\tau \iota \varsigma]$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi u ́ \tau \varepsilon \cup \sigma \varepsilon v$. On the second accent, see $1: 13$ on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \eta \sigma i \varsigma$. Levinsohn $(2000,20)$ notes that "sentences at the beginning of discourses commonly open with a non-verbal constituent" to mark a point of departure for the story that follows.

$\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{\omega} v \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \dot{\prime} \tau \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v$.

av̉兀òv. Accusative direct object of $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\xi} \delta \varepsilon \tau \tau$.
$\gamma \varepsilon \omega \rho \gamma \mathbf{0} \varsigma$. Dative indirect object of $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\varepsilon} \delta \varepsilon \tau \tau$.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg à $\pi o \delta \eta \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
Xpóvous íkavov́c. Accusative extent of time.
20:10 каì каı $\rho \tilde{a}$ à $\pi \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \varepsilon เ \lambda \varepsilon v \pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \tau o v ̀ \varsigma ~ \gamma \varepsilon \omega \rho \gamma o v ̀ \varsigma ~ \delta o v ̃ \lambda o v ~ i ̂ v a ~$



кaıן $̣$. Dative of time. Lit. "in season."
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\sigma} \tau \varepsilon ו \lambda \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg à $\pi$ oбт $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ \varsigma ~ \gamma \varepsilon \omega \rho \gamma o u ̀ c . ~ S p a t i a l . ~$
סoṽไov. Accusative direct object of ả $\tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \varepsilon \iota \lambda \varepsilon v$.
îva. Introduces a purpose clause.
à $\boldsymbol{\text { ò }}$ тои̃ карлой. Source. Lit. "from the fruit (of the vineyard)." The actual direct object of $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma o v \sigma \iota v$ (something like, "his portion") is left implicit. Alternatively, the construction could be read as partitive (Klein, 626, n. 13).
$\tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \tilde{\alpha} \mu \pi \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{\omega} v o c$. Genitive of source.
$\delta \boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma o v \sigma t v$. Fut act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \delta i \delta \omega \mu$. The future is occasionally used in place of the subjunctive with îva (see 14:10 on $\varepsilon$ हो $\overline{\mathrm{I}}$ ). Many scribes (C D W $\Theta \Psi f^{1} \mathfrak{m} p m$ ) replaced the future ( $\mathcal{N}$ A B L N W $\Delta$ ) with the increasingly common aorist subjunctive ( $\delta \tilde{\omega} \sigma \iota v$; cf. Caragounis, 188).

oi . . . $\gamma \varepsilon \omega \omega \rho \gamma$ ò. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \nu$.
$\varepsilon ̇ \xi \alpha \pi \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha v a u ̉ t o ̀ v \delta \varepsilon i \rho a v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. This word order is only found in a limited number of manuscripts ( N B L 5791241 pc). Most manuscripts (A C W $\Theta \Psi f^{1,13} \cong>p m$ ) use a word order that reflects the chronological order of the events: $\delta \varepsilon i p a v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \alpha u ̉ t o ̀ v ~ \varepsilon ̇ \xi \alpha \pi \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha v . ~$ If the word order found in $\mathrm{NA}^{27} / \mathrm{UBS}^{4}$ is genuine, it would be an example of hysteron proteron, that is, "an arrangement reversing the natural order of time in which events occur. It is used when an event, later in time, is regarded as more important than one earlier in time" (Smyth $\S 3030$ ). Put another way, this word order conveys a sense of adding insult to injury. Although the external evidence is limited, it is likely that most scribes have smoothed out the harder reading found in the $\mathrm{NA}^{27} / \mathrm{UBS}^{4}$ reading.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha \pi \circ \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
aủtòv. Accusative direct object of $\grave{\xi} \xi \alpha \pi \varepsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha v$.
$\delta \varepsilon i \rho a v \tau \varepsilon c$. Aor act ptc masc nom pl $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \omega$ (temporal). In the manuscripts where the participle precedes the main verb (see above), the participle likely introduces an attendant circumstance.
$\boldsymbol{\kappa \varepsilon v o ́ v}$. Complement in an object-complement double accusative construction.

##  

$\pi \rho о \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \theta \varepsilon \tau \cos$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau i \theta \eta \mu$. On the use of the verb here, see BDAG, 885.1.c and verse 12 on $\pi \varepsilon \dot{\mu} \mu \alpha$ a.

$\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \psi \boldsymbol{a}$. Aor act inf $\pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \omega$ (direct object). Lit. "he added to send another slave."
oi. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \alpha v$ (see also $1: 29$ on $\dot{\eta}$ ).
кảкєĩvov. Accusative direct object of $\grave{\xi} \xi a \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \alpha v$. A shortened form (crasis) of каì غ̇кعĩvov.
$\delta \varepsilon i \rho a v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom pl $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \omega$ (temporal).
$\dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom pl $\dot{\alpha} \tau \mu \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$ (temporal). This verb refers to depriving someone of honor or respect, "an especially grievous offense in the strongly honor-shame oriented Semitic and Gr-Rom. societies" (BDAG, 148).
$\grave{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \varepsilon ่ \lambda \lambda \omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\kappa \varepsilon v o ́ v . ~ C o m p l e m e n t ~ i n ~ a n ~ o b j e c t - c o m p l e m e n t ~ d o u b l e ~ a c c u s a t i v e ~}$ construction.

20：12 каì $\pi \rho о \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \theta \varepsilon \tau о ~ \tau \rho i ́ \tau o v ~ \pi \varepsilon ́ \mu \psi a l \cdot ~ o i ~ \delta غ ̀ ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̃ \tau o v ~ \tau \rho a v-~$

$\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon ́ \theta \varepsilon \tau \%$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg $\pi \rho о \sigma \tau i \theta \eta \mu$ ．See also verse 11. $\tau \rho i \tau o v$ ．Accusative direct object of $\pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \psi a \iota$ with $\delta$ oũ $\lambda o v$ implied．
$\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \psi \boldsymbol{a}$ ．Aor act inf $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \omega$（direct object；see also v．11）．
oi．Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\varepsilon} \beta \alpha \lambda$ ov（see also 1：29 on $\dot{\eta}$ ）．
тoṽ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\varepsilon} \beta a \lambda o v$ ．
$\tau \rho \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \tau \boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ．Aor act ptc masc nom pl $\tau \rho \alpha \cup \mu \alpha \tau i \zeta \omega$（tempo－ ral）．
$\grave{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\varepsilon} \beta \alpha \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ ．


$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
ó ки́pıoc．Nominative subject of عĩ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \varepsilon$ ．Lit．＂the master．＂
то⿱亠乂刂 $\mathfrak{\alpha} \mu \pi \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{\omega} v o c$, Genitive of subordination．
Ti．Accusative direct object of $\pi$ oın＇$\sigma \omega$ ．
$\pi \mathbf{\pi} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega$ ．Aor act subj OR Fut act ind 1st sg $\pi$ oté $\omega$ ．The fact that the two forms were often used interchangeably in deliberative questions in the Koine period makes it impossible to say which is intended here（cf．11：5 on $\varepsilon \check{\xi} \xi \mathrm{\varepsilon})$ ．
$\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \psi \omega$ ．Fut act ind 3rd sg $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \omega$ ．
тòv víóv ．．．Tòv $\mathfrak{\alpha} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \eta$ ๆóv．Accusative direct object of $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \psi \omega$ ．
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$ ．Genitive of relationship．
l＇$\sigma \omega \mathbf{c}$ ．Only here in the NT：＂pertaining to an event having a high degree of probability＂（LN 71．11）．

тoṽтov．Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \rho a \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$ ．Lit．＂this one．＂
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \rho \alpha \pi \mathfrak{j} \sigma \mathbf{o v \tau \alpha} \mathbf{1}$ ．Fut act ind 3rd pl $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega$ ．Here，＂to show def－ erence to a pers．in recognition of special status＂（BDAG，341．2）．

 $\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} v \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} v \eta \tau \alpha ı \dot{\eta}$ к $\lambda \eta \rho о$ ооціа．
ídóvtec．Aor act ptc masc nom pl ópá $\omega$（temporal）．
aủtòv．Accusative direct object of ídóvteৎ．
oi $\gamma \varepsilon \omega \rho \gamma \mathbf{\lambda}$ ．Nominative subject of $\delta i \varepsilon \lambda 0 \gamma i \zeta$ ov $\tau$ ．

$\pi \rho$ ò $\mathfrak{a} \lambda \lambda$ ŋ̀ $\lambda$ ovc．Association．
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{o v \tau \varepsilon}$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$（manner）．
Oũ̃óc．Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$ ．On the second accent，see 1：13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס $\varepsilon$ そ́ $\sigma$ ic．

غ̇бтıv．Pres ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ íhi．On the loss of accent，see 1：18 on $\varepsilon i \mu$ ． о́ к $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\rho o v o ́} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\rho}$ ．Predicate nominative．
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\nu} \omega \mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Aor act subj 3rd pl à $\pi о \kappa \tau \varepsilon i v \omega$（hortatory sub－ junctive）．
aủtóv．Accusative direct object of ả $\pi$ октعiv $\omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ．
îva．Introduces a purpose clause．
$\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ ．Possessive genitive．The genitive occasionally appears as the sole element in the predicate of an equative verb，such as si $\mu \mathrm{i}$ or $\gamma i v o \mu \alpha l$ ，when the predicate nominative is left implicit because it is the same as the subject（here $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o v o \mu i \alpha ;$ cf． 1 Cor 14：33；Rev 20：12）．

үモ́vŋтaı．Aor mid subj 3rd sg үivoual．Subjunctive with îva．




غ̇к $\beta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \lambda \mathbf{o ́ v \tau \varepsilon}$ ．Aor act ptc masc nom pl $\varepsilon$ غ̇к $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$（attendant cir－ cumstance or temporal）．
av̉tòv．Accusative direct object of ả $\pi \varepsilon$ ह́k $\tau \varepsilon เ v a v$.
हैそ $\omega$ тоṽ $\mathfrak{\alpha} \mu \pi \varepsilon \lambda \omega \tilde{\omega} v o c$. Spatial．

$\tau i$ ．Accusative direct object of $\pi$ or̀ $\sigma \varepsilon$ ．With no indication of a switch in speaker in verse 16 ，this interrogative pronoun must intro－ duce a rhetorical question．
oũv．Inferential．

av่̉oĩc．Dative indirect object of đoıŋ்бعı．On the word order，see 1：2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu i \pi v$.
ó кúpıoc．Nominative subject of $\pi \mathbf{r} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon$ ．Lit．＂the master．＂
$\tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \tilde{\alpha} \mu \pi \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{\omega} v \mathbf{v} \boldsymbol{c}$ ．Genitive of subordination．



à $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} เ$. Fut act ind 3rd sg àró̀ $\lambda \lambda \nu \mu$.

$\delta \boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Fut act ind 3rd sg $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
tòv $\alpha \mathfrak{\mu} \mu \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{\omega} v \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon$.
ä $\lambda \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\prime} \varsigma$. Dative indirect object of $\delta \omega \sigma \varepsilon$.
àкоט́баvtє¢. Aor act ptc masc nom pl ảкov́ف (temporal).
عĩ̃av. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. On the form, see 1:61.
Mク̀ $\gamma$ ह́voıto. Aor mid opt 3rd sg $\gamma$ रivouau.

 عíc кєфа入ŋ̀̀ $\nu \gamma \omega v i \alpha \varsigma ;$
$\dot{\text { on }}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$ (see 1:29 on $\dot{\eta} ; c f .8: 21$ on $\dot{o}$ ).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \psi \alpha c$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega \omega$ (attendant circumstance).
av่̉oĩc. Dative complement of $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \psi \alpha c$. Since the transitive $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega$ requires a complement, this pronoun cannot be the indirect object of عĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
Tí oṽ̃ $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$ тò $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \varepsilon ̇ v o v ~ \tau o v ̃ \tau o . ~ L i t . ~ " W h a t, ~ t h e n, ~ i s ~ t h i s ~$ that has been written?" Rhetorically, the force is comparable to the English "How then do you explain this passage?" (Plummer, 462).

Ti. Predicate nominative.
oũv. Inferential.
غ̀бтıv. Pres ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$. . On the loss of accent, see $1: 18$ on $\varepsilon i \mu$.
тò $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v o v}$. Prf pass ptc neut nom sg $\gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varphi \omega$ (substantival). Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$.
$\Lambda \mathbf{i} \theta \mathbf{o v}$. Structurally, the accusative noun introduces the topic of what follows (see 1:36 on 'E $\lambda^{\prime} \sigma \dot{\alpha} \beta \varepsilon \tau$ ) and is picked up by the resumptive pronoun oũtoc. In this instance, the expected "pendent nominative" becomes accusative as a result of inverse attraction (see 1:73 on öркоv). We should, therefore, avoid the questionable category "pendent accusative" (contra Wallace, 198).
öv. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \delta$ окí $\mu \sigma \alpha v$. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \delta о к \dot{\prime} \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl à $\pi о \delta о к ц \mu \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$.
 tival). Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \delta$ окі $\mu \alpha \sigma \alpha v$.

$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon v \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg $\gamma$ ivoual. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
 Parsons (68) note that "The preposition eic with an accusative noun is frequently used as a substitute for a predicate modifier to indicate equivalence. . . . The construction usually occurs in Old Testament quotations, and thus typically reflects a Semitic influence" (see also $3: 5 ; 13: 19$ ). The future tense of $\varepsilon i \mu i$ is typical in this construction (see Wallace, 47; cf. BDAG, 291.8.a. $\beta$ ).
$\gamma \omega v i \alpha c$. Partitive genitive.


$\pi \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma \dot{\mathbf{o}} \pi \varepsilon \sigma \grave{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\pi i \pi \tau \omega$ (substantival;
 $\theta \lambda \alpha \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{L}$.

غ̇兀' غ̇кะĩvov tòv $\lambda i \theta o v$. Locative.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} v \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \alpha \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha u$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg $\sigma u v \theta \lambda \dot{\alpha} \omega$.
$\grave{\varepsilon} \varphi \varphi^{\prime} o ̈ v \ldots$. . äv. Locative. On the indefinite relative pronoun, see 9:48 on 'Oऽ $\varepsilon \dot{\alpha} v$. The whole expression, $\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi$ ' ôv $\delta$ ' äv $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \eta$, introduces the topic of the following clause and is picked up with the resumptive pronoun av̉tóv.
$\pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\eta}$. Aor act subj 3rd sg $\pi i \pi \tau \omega$. Subjunctive with ôv.
$\lambda_{\iota \kappa} \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon$. Fut act ind 3rd sg $\lambda_{\iota \kappa \mu} \dot{\alpha} \omega$.
aủtóv. Accusative direct object of $\lambda_{\text {ıк } \mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \text { ו. }}$




غ̇சŋ่ $\tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\zeta \eta \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.


غ̀ $\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}$ aủ兀òv. Locative.
тàc $\chi \varepsilon i ̃ \rho a c$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ ह่ँı $\beta a \lambda \varepsilon i ̃ v$.
 see 10:21 on 'Ev aủtñ Tñ ©̈ $\operatorname{pac}$. Levinsohn $(2000,16)$ argues that Luke has placed the temporal PP here, rather than in the sentence initial position, to keep the cause-effect relationship between Jesus' parable and the Jewish leaders' actions clear.

кaì. The following clause is parenthetical.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi o \beta \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$. Aor mid ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \varphi o \beta \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \mu \alpha \mathrm{a}$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.

тòv $\lambda \alpha o ́ v$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \rho \beta \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$.
$\varepsilon \nLeftarrow \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \alpha v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \gamma \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \omega$.
 غ̇v aủtñ Tñ êpą.
ötc. Introduces the clausal complement (indirect discourse with a verb of cognition; see 1:22 on ő ót) of $\varepsilon$ है $\gamma v \omega \sigma \alpha v$.
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a u ̉ t o v ̀ c . ~ O p p o s i t i o n . ~$
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$.
 "this parable."

## Luke 20:20-26

${ }^{20}$ They watched him closely and sent spies who pretended to be upstanding men in order that they might catch him saying something wrong and thus hand him over to the power and authority of the governor. ${ }^{21}$ They asked him, "Teacher, we know that you speak and teach rightly, and do not show partiality, but instead truly teach the way of God. ${ }^{22}$ Is it lawful for us to pay tribute tax to Caesar or not?" ${ }^{23}$ Since he detected their craftiness, he said to them, ${ }^{24 \text { "Show }}$ me a denarius. Whose image and inscription does it have?" They replied, "Caesar's." ${ }^{25}$ Then he said to them, "So then, give back to Caesar what belongs to Caesar and to God what belongs to God." ${ }^{26}$ So, they were not able to catch him saying something wrong in front of the people and, amazed at his answer, they fell silent.




Kaì. The initial kai marks this pericope as closely linked to what precedes.
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \eta \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom pl $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \eta \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (attendant circumstance). The verb $\pi \alpha \rho a \tau \eta \rho \varepsilon ่ \omega$ is transitive and usually takes an object (cf. 6:7). Most translations (NRSV, NIV, NASB, NET Bible, ESV) supply an implied aútóv and translate the participle something like "so they watched him closely." The NJB, however, understands the verb to suggest that they were watching for their chance ("So they awaited their opportunity"). Perhaps partially because of this ambiguity, and also to follow the logic of the narrative in 20:19 (in which the chief priests refrain from arresting Jesus because "they were afraid of the people"), some manuscripts replace $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \eta \rho \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ with à $\pi о \chi \omega \rho \eta \dot{\sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~(~} \mathrm{D} \Theta$ ) or $\dot{\pi} \pi о \chi \omega \rho \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ (W)—"after they went away" (cf. Mark 12:12; Willker, 258).
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \dot{\alpha} \pi \mathrm{o} \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$.

 tive).

غ́avtov̀c. Accusative subject of eĩval. Although Caragounis (109) lists this passage as an example of the unnecessary use of the pronoun that stemmed from a "loss of feeling for the true use of the middle," given the fact that the middle verb útoкрıvoцévous is modified by an infinitival complement with the verb عĩval, it is not surprising that the subject pronoun was included.

סıkaiovc. Predicate accusative of عĩvaı. Here, "pert. to being in accordance with high standards of rectitude" (BDAG, 246.1).

عĩval. Aor inf عijui (complementary).
îva. Introduces a purpose clause.

 with ìva.
aủtoṽ. Subjective genitive. See BDAG (374.3) for the suggestion that a double genitive construction is involved here.
$\lambda \dot{\gamma} \gamma o v$. Genitive complement of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota$.
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o v ̃ v a l$. Aor act inf $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta i \delta \omega \mu$. Used with $\omega ̈ \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ to denote result or purpose. In favor of purpose is the fact that many manuscripts (A W $\Psi f^{1,13} \mathfrak{R} p m$ ) actually read عís tò $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o u ̃ v a l, ~ m a k-~$ ing it clear that later scribes tended to read the text as indicating purpose. The only clear use of $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ to denote purpose in the NT, however, appears to be 4:29, where similar textual variation occurs (see note on катакр $\eta \mu v i \sigma \alpha 1$ ). It is probably best to recognize that in this context $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ likely carries its more typical function and refers to action that results from the preceding purpose clause: "in order that they might take hold of his word with the result that they hand him over." The fact that the result clause is embedded in a larger purpose clause likely led most scribes to change $\omega ٌ \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ to $\varepsilon i \varsigma$ tò.
av̉tòv. Accusative direct object of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o u ̃ v a ı$.

тои̃ $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ о́voc. Subjective genitive.




غ̇ $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\rho} \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $̇ \pi \varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$.
aủ $\grave{̀}$ v. Accusative direct object of $\grave{\varepsilon} \pi \eta \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{o v \tau \varepsilon}$. Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (means).
$\Delta \mathrm{t} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \kappa \boldsymbol{\lambda} \varepsilon$. Vocative.
oí $\delta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$. Prf act ind 1st pl oĩ $\delta \alpha$. On the use of the perfect tense with this verb, see $4: 34$ on oĩ $\delta \dot{\text { a }}$.
ö $\tau \mathbf{l}$. Introduces the clausal complement (indirect discourse with a verb of cognition; see $1: 22$ on ötı) of oí $\delta \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon เ \varsigma$. Pres act ind 2nd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\delta \boldsymbol{\iota} \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \varepsilon เ \varsigma$. Pres act ind 2nd sg $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega$.
$\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon ı$. Pres act ind 2nd sg $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$.
$\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi \boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Accusative direct object of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon ı$. The idiom $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon เ \nu \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \nu$ (lit. "to receive the face") was the standard way of referring to showing favoritism.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\eta} \theta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{c}$. The PP (lit. "on the basis of truth") appears to be roughly synonymous with the adverb $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$ (cf. 4:25; 22:59).
 ó ós as a title associated with followers of Jesus in Acts 9:2.

тoṽ $\theta$ عoũ. Something like, "the way God wants people to live." $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\iota} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \varepsilon เ \varsigma$. Pres act ind 2nd sg $\delta \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega$.

## 


$\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{a} \varsigma$. Accusative subject of סoũval.
Kaioapı. Dative indirect object of סoṽvaı.
بó oov. Accusative direct object of סoũval.
סoũval. Aor act inf $\delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{I}$ (complementary; see also 2:49 on ยĩvaí).


$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha v o \eta \dot{\sigma a c}$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg катаvoźف (causal). av̉tũv. Subjective genitive.
т $̀ v \pi \alpha v o v \rho \gamma i \alpha v$. Accusative direct object of катаvoŋ́бaৎ.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a u ̉ \tau o v ́ c . ~ I n d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~(s e e ~ 1: 13 ~ o n ~ \pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \alpha u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$


$\Delta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} i \xi \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\varepsilon}$. Aor act impv 2nd pl $\delta \varepsilon i \kappa v v \mu$. On the second accent, see

$\mu \mathbf{o t}$. Dative indirect object of $\Delta \varepsilon i \xi \alpha \tau \varepsilon$.
$\delta \boldsymbol{\eta} \mathbf{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\imath} \boldsymbol{v}$. Accusative direct object of $\Delta \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\xi} \alpha \dot{\varepsilon}$.
tivoc. Possessive genitive.


oi. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \alpha v$ (see 1:29 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ).
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \pi \alpha v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. On the form, see 1:61.
Kaíбарос. Possessive genitive modifying an implied êरદı عiккóva каì غ̇лıүра甲ŋ́v.


ó. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \varepsilon \nu$ (see 1:29 on $\dot{\eta}$ ).
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ ò $\boldsymbol{a}$ aủtoúc. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \alpha u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
Toivvv. Inferential.
$\dot{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \pi \mathbf{o ́} \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{o} \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act impv 2nd pl $\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathrm{o} \delta \dot{\delta} \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{L}$.
$\tau$ à The article functions as a nominalizer turning Kaíapos into the accusative direct object of á $\pi$ ó $\delta$ ote (lit. "the things of Caesar").

Kaíapoc. Possessive genitive.
Kaíapı. Dative indirect object of à $\pi$ óסote.
тà. The article functions as a nominalizer turning toṽ $\theta$ soṽ into the accusative direct object of an implied ánóסote (lit. "the things of God").

то⿱̃ $\theta$ عoũ. Possessive genitive.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\omega}$. Dative indirect object of an implied ả $\pi o ́ \delta o \tau \varepsilon$.





av̉toṽ. Subjective genitive.
$\dot{\rho} \eta \dot{\mu} \mu \tau \boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Genitive complement of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta a ı$.
évavtiov toṽ 入aoṽ. Locative.
$\theta \alpha \nu \mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom pl $\theta \alpha \nu \mu \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$ (causal).

av่̉าoũ. Subjective genitive.
ह̇бiүך $\sigma \alpha q 1 v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\sigma \iota \gamma \dot{\alpha} \omega$.

## Luke 20:27-44

${ }^{27}$ Then some of the Sadduccees, who say there is no resurrection, came to (him) and asked him, ${ }^{28}$ saying, "Teacher, Moses wrote for us that if the brother of someone who is married dies, and he was childless, 'his brother should marry his wife and produce offspring for his (deceased) brother.' ${ }^{29}$ So then, there were seven brothers. The first one married and died childless. ${ }^{30}$ The second ${ }^{31}$ and (then) the third married her; likewise the (rest of the) seven did not leave (any) children and died. ${ }^{32}$ Last of all, the woman also died. ${ }^{33}$ Therefore, at the resurrection whose wife will the woman be? For (all) seven had her as a wife!"
${ }^{34}$ Jesus said to them, "The people of this age marry and are given in marriage, ${ }^{35}$ but those who are found worthy to experience that age and the resurrection from the dead neither marry nor are given in marriage. ${ }^{36}$ For they are no longer able to die, because they are like the angels and are God's children, since they are children of the resurrection. ${ }^{37}$ But that the dead are raised even Moses made known at the bush when he called the Lord the God of Abraham, and the God of Isaac, and the God of Jacob. ${ }^{38} \mathrm{He}$ is not the God of the dead, but of the living. For all of us are alive in his sight." ${ }^{39}$ Then some of the scribes responded and said, "Teacher, you have spoken well." ${ }^{40}$ Indeed, they did not dare to ask him anything anymore.
${ }^{41}$ Then he said to them, "How is it that they say that the Christ is the son of David? ${ }^{42}$ For David himself says in the Book of Psalms, 'The Lord said to my lord, "Sit at my right hand ${ }^{43}$ until I put your enemies under your feet."" ${ }^{44}$ Therefore, David calls him Lord. So how is he his son?"

##  

 dant circumstance).

тıvec. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ह̇ $\eta \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \Sigma \alpha \delta \delta o u \kappa \alpha i \omega v$. Partitive genitive.
oi [ $\alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha} v \tau 1] \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma . ~ P r e s ~ a c t ~ p t c ~ m a s c ~ n o m ~} \mathrm{pl}[\alpha \dot{\alpha} v \tau \iota] \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (attributive).
àváの $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \alpha \sigma \iota v$. Accusative subject of عĩvaı.
عĩvaı. Aor inf عíhí (indirect discourse). "Because the original direct statement would have contained a negative, $\mu \eta$ is sometimes found with an infinitive representing a statement depending on a verb which contains a negative idea in itself" (McKay, 101), assuming the reading advti入é $\gamma \circ \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. McKay (101) notes an analogous construction without $\mu \eta$ in 22:34, though $\mu \eta$ is added by some scribes.

غ̇ $\pi \eta \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg غ̇л $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$.




##  av̉тoṽ.

$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Pres act ptc masc nom $\mathrm{pl} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \hat{\gamma} \omega$ (means).
$\Delta \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$. Vocative.
M $\omega$ üбŋ̃c. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ है $\gamma \rho a \psi \varepsilon v$.
$\varepsilon \notin \gamma \rho a \psi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \varphi \omega$.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\imath} v$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon$ है $\gamma \rho \alpha \psi \varepsilon v$ or dative of advantage.
ċáv. Introduces the protasis of a third class condition.
tivoc. Genitive of relationship.
$\dot{\alpha} \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi$ òs. Nominative subject of $\alpha \pi o \theta \dot{\alpha} v \eta \eta$.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{o} \theta \dot{\alpha} v \eta \eta$. Aor act subj 3rd sg à $\pi 0 \theta v \underline{1} \sigma \kappa \omega$. Subjunctive with $\varepsilon$ ċáv.
ê $\chi \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$ үvvaĩка. Lit. "who has a wife."
é $\chi \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\begin{gathered} \\ \chi \\ \omega\end{gathered}$ (attributive).
$\gamma \cup v a i ̃ \kappa \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \not \chi \chi \nu$.
oṽtoc. Nominative subject of ñ̃.
ät $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\kappa} v o c$. Predicate adjective.
ก̃. Pres act subj 3rd sg عíui. Subjunctive with $\varepsilon$ záv.
ivva. Introduces a clausal complement of $\varepsilon$ है $\gamma \rho a \psi \varepsilon v$.

$\lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \mathfrak{\eta}$. Aor act subj 3rd sg $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$. Subjunctive with ivva.
ó à $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi$ òs. Nominative subject of $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta$.
av̉тoṽ. Genitive of relationship.
$\tau \grave{\eta} v \gamma v v a i ̃ \kappa \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta$.
 ǐva.
$\sigma \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \mu \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha v \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \eta$.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \tilde{\alpha} \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \tilde{\omega}$. Dative of advantage.
av̉тoṽ. Genitive of relationship.
 Өavev äteкvoc.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \dot{\alpha} . . . \dot{\alpha} \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o ̀$. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha v$.
oṽv. The conjunction marks a transition from the background that has been set forth to the point of their inquiry.

ท̃ซav. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$ i.
$\dot{\mathbf{o}} \pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \theta \alpha v \varepsilon v$.
$\lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega} v \gamma \nu v a i ̃ \kappa \alpha$. Lit. "taking a wife."
$\lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ (attendant circumstance or temporal, or less likely attributive).
$\gamma v v a i ̃ \kappa \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega} v$.
à $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \theta a v \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3 rd sg à $\pi o \theta v$ ทุ́ $\sigma \kappa \omega$.
äteкvoc. Conceptually, the nominative adjective tells us the man's state when he died. Although it could be viewed as the predicate of a verbless clause ("he was childless"), it is probably better to recognize that structurally the adjective is comparable to an adverbial participle that introduces the manner in which the action of the main verb was carried out (see also 24:17 on $\sigma \kappa v \theta \rho \omega \pi o i ;$ cf. Acts $1: 11-\tau i \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\kappa} \alpha \tau \varepsilon \beta \lambda \varepsilon ́ \pi o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \varepsilon i ́ \varsigma ~ t o ̀ v ~ o u ̉ p a v o ́ v, ~ " W h y ~ a r e ~ y o u ~$ standing there looking into the sky?").

## 20:30 каì ó סعv́tepos





ë $\lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3 rd sg $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$. The singular verb has a compound subject.
av่̉ๆŋ่v. Accusative direct object of $\check{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon v$.
oi $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \alpha \grave{\alpha}$. Nominative subject of katé $\lambda \iota \pi o v$.
$\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda ı \pi \frac{1}{2}$. Aor act ind 3rd pl ката入єi $\pi \omega$.
$\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa v a$. Accusative direct object of кatغ́入ıाov.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \theta \boldsymbol{a v o v}$. Aor act ind 3rd pl à $\pi o \theta v \underline{1} \sigma \kappa \omega$.

## 20:32 v̈бтє

$\dot{\eta} \gamma \nu v \grave{\eta}$. Nominative subject of à $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \theta a v \varepsilon v$.
à $\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \theta a v \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3 rd sg à $\pi o \theta v \underline{1} \sigma \kappa \omega$.


ŋो $\gamma \mathbf{v} \boldsymbol{v}$. Nominative subject of $\gamma$ ivetaı (contra Nolland, 3:963, who inadvertently views this as a pendent construction). Many scribes ( $\boldsymbol{\aleph} A D W \Theta \Psi f^{1,13} \mathfrak{\Re}$ ) sought to smooth out the strangeness of having $\gamma \cup v \eta$ as both the subject and predicate in the same clause
by deleting $\dot{\eta} \gamma \cup v \grave{\eta}$. The equative clause literally reads, "The woman, then, is the wife of which of them in the resurrection?"
oṽv. Inferential.

tivos. Genitive of relationship: "the wife of which of them."
av̉̃ $\omega \tilde{v} v$. Partitive genitive.
үivetal. Pres mid ind 3rd sg $\gamma$ ivoual.
$\gamma v v \eta$. Predicate nominative.
oi $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ है $\sigma \chi o v$.
үà $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).
と̈б $\boldsymbol{\chi o v}$. Aor act ind 3 rd pl ě $\chi \omega$.
av̉兀ŋ̀v. Accusative direct object of हैठ $\chi \circ$.
$\gamma$ vaĩka. Complement in an object-complement double accusative construction.
 $\gamma \propto \mu о$ च̃бเv каі̀ $\gamma \alpha \mu і \sigma \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha \iota$,
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av่̉oĩc. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon \frac{\pi}{\pi} \varepsilon \varepsilon v$. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i} v$.

Oí vioi. Nominative subject of $\gamma \alpha \mu o v ̃ \sigma t v$. Lit. "sons of this age." тои̃ aíw̃voc тov́tov. "Sons/people who live during this age." $\gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mu \boldsymbol{\nu} \tilde{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau}$. Pres act ind 3rd pl $\gamma \alpha \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\gamma \alpha \mu i \sigma \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$. Pres pass ind 3rd $\mathrm{pl} \gamma \alpha \mu i \sigma \kappa \omega$.


 Nominative subject of $\gamma \alpha \mu 0$ ṽ $\sigma เ$.

$\tau \cup \chi \varepsilon \tau ̃ v$. Aor act inf $\tau \cup \gamma \chi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega \omega$ (epexegetical, or less likely complementary; see also 7:7 on $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \theta \varepsilon i ̃ v)$.
$\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \dot{\alpha} v a \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$. Genitive complement of $\tau \cup \chi \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} v$.
$\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$. The article functions as an adjectivizer (see 5:36 on tò à $\boldsymbol{\text { ò }}$ тoũ кaıvoũ), changing the PP غ̇к $\nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho \tilde{\omega} v$ into an attributive modifier of $\alpha \dot{v a \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma . ~}$

غ̇к vєкра̃̃. Source.
oűtع . . . ov̉тє. "Neither . . . nor."
$\gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mu \boldsymbol{\nu} \tilde{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau}$. Pres act ind 3rd pl $\gamma \alpha \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\gamma \alpha \mu i \zeta o v \tau \alpha 1$. Pres pass ind 3rd $\mathrm{pl} \gamma \alpha \mu i \zeta \omega$.


$\gamma$ à $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).
à $\pi \mathbf{o} \theta \boldsymbol{a} v \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} v$. Aor act inf à $\pi 0 \theta v \underline{1} \sigma \kappa \omega$ (complementary). The unusual placement of the infinitive before the verb it complements lends prominence to this word, and the marked word order lends prominence to Jesus' dramatic statement that there is no death after the resurrection.

Súvavtaı. Pres mid ind 3rd sg $\delta$ úva $\mu a$. .
í $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$. Predicate adjective of the first $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \sigma \iota v$.
$\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$. Causal.
عíctv. Pres ind 3 rd pl عiui. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on $\varepsilon i \mu \mathrm{l}$.
vioi. Predicate nominative of the second $\varepsilon$ हiovv.
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon i} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v}$. Pres ind 3rd pl ciji. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on $\varepsilon i \mu u$.
$\theta \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. . Genitive of relationship.
$\tau \tilde{\varsigma} \varsigma \dot{\alpha} v a \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \boldsymbol{\omega} \varsigma$ vioì. Lit. "sons of the resurrection," i.e., "resurrected people."
$\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} v a \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega \omega$. Attributive genitive.
vioi. Predicate nominative.
ővicc. Pres act ptc masc nom pl عipi (causal).

 $\theta \varepsilon o ̀ v$ 'Іакふ́ $\beta$.
ő $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces a clausal complement of $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \eta \dot{\eta} v \sigma \varepsilon v$ that has been fronted to put it in focus.

غ̇үعípovtal. Pres pass ind 3rd pl $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon i \rho \omega$.
oi vєкроi. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ घ̇धipovtaı.

$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \dot{\eta} v v \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3 rd sg $\mu \eta v v ́ \omega$. This verb means, "to provide information concerning something, with emphasis upon the
fact that such information is secret or known only to a select few＂ （LN 33．209）．

غ̇nì $\tau \eta ̃ \varsigma \beta \dot{\alpha} \tau \mathbf{o v}$ ．Locative．Since Moses does not call the Lord these things at the bush，the idea is rather＂in the passage about the bush＂ （so BDAG，363．2．a；Marshall，742）．
$\dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{c}$ ．Temporal．
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon$ ．Pres act ind 3 rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．The present tense is naturally used to introduce a quotation（cf．v．42）．

кúpıov．Accusative direct object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \iota$ ．
tòv $\theta$ còv ．．．кaì $\theta$ còv ．．．кaì $\theta$ còv．Complement in an object－ complement double accusative construction．

 そֹ̃๘เข．
$\boldsymbol{\theta}$ عòs．Nominative subject（＂God is not［the God］of the dead＂）or predicate nominative of $\check{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \tau v$ ．

$\boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\omega} \tilde{v}$ ．Genitive of subordination．
$\zeta \dot{\omega} v \tau \omega v$ ．Pres act ptc masc gen $\mathrm{pl} \zeta \dot{\alpha} \omega$（substantival）．Genitive of subordination．
$\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ．Nominative subject of $\zeta \tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau \nu$ ．
үà̀．Causal（see also 1：15）．
aủtuั．Dative of reference．
そֹ̃ซเv．Pres act ind 3rd pl そá $\omega$ ．


 dant circumstance；see also 1：19 on $\dot{\alpha} \pi о к \rho 1 \theta \varepsilon i \varsigma)$ ．On the voice，see ＂Deponency＂in the Series Introduction．
tivec．Nominative subject of عĩ $\pi \alpha v$ ．
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \omega v$ ．Partitive genitive．
عĩ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \alpha \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Aor act ind 3rd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．On the form，see 1：61．
$\Delta t \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ ．Vocative．
عĩtac．Aor act ind 2nd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．On the form，see 1：61．

## 

ov̉кと́tı. Temporal.
$\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$. The conjunction is best viewed as broadly strengthening the preceding assertion (see also 1:15).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \dot{\boldsymbol{o}} \lambda \mu \omega v$. Impf act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \tau o \lambda \mu \dot{\alpha} \omega$. Luke uses the imperfect again to summarize subsequent events and bring this part of the interchange to a close.

غ̇ $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \rho \omega \tau \tilde{a} v$. Pres act inf $̇$ ह̇ $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \dot{\alpha} \omega$ (complementary).
av̉ $\tau o ̀ v$. Accusative direct object of $\grave{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \tilde{a} v$. At the surface level, the accusative case marks the direct object. In this instance, the syntactic role of aủtòv is the result of indirect object to direct object "advancement" (see also 3:18 on tòv $\lambda \alpha o ́ v)$.
ov̉ $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} v$. This accusative element represents the displaced direct object (see Culy 2009, 93-94).

##  $\Delta a v i \delta$ vióv;

Eĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ́ \varsigma . ~ I n d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~(s e e ~ 1: 13 ~ o n ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \alpha u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
$\Pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$. Introduces a direct question.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v o t v}$. Pres act ind 3rd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
tòv Xpıotòv. Accusative subject of عĩval.
عĩvaı. Pres inf ciui (indirect discourse).
$\Delta \alpha v i \delta$. Genitive of relationship.
víóv. Predicate accusative.


aủtòs . . . $\Delta$ avì . Nominative subject of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon$. The pronoun is intensive.

үà $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon เ$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \boldsymbol{\beta i} \boldsymbol{\beta} \lambda \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Locative (see also 3:4).
$\psi \alpha \lambda \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$. The genitive serves to specifically identify the book in view: the book known as/called "Psalms."

Eİ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.

кúpıos．Nominative subject of Eĩ̃દv．
$\tau \tilde{\omega}$ кขрі̣．Dative indirect object of Eĩ̃ $\varepsilon v$ ．
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$ ．Genitive of subordination．
KáӨov．Pres mid impv 2nd sg кд́ $\because \eta \mu \alpha$ ．
غ̇к $\delta \varepsilon \xi!\omega \tilde{v} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{v}$ ．The preposition（probably technically denoting separation）is characteristically used with the plural form of $\delta \varepsilon \xi$ ъó in a locative sense：＂at the right side＂（cf．1：11；22：69；23：33；Acts 2：25）．

## 

$\tilde{\varepsilon} \omega \varsigma \boldsymbol{a} \mathbf{v}$ ．Temporal．
 your enemies as a footstool of your feet．＂The idiom means＂to put someone under someone＇s control＂（Culy and Parsons，42）．
$\theta \tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ ．Aor act subj 1st sg ti $\dagger \eta \mu$ ．Subjunctive in an indefinite tem－ poral clause with $\varepsilon \check{\varepsilon} \omega \varsigma \tilde{\alpha} v$ ．

тov̀s $\varepsilon$ ė $\theta$ 日ovic．Accusative direct object of $\theta \tilde{\omega}$ ．
oov．Genitive of relationship．
v́rođóסıov．Complement in an object－complement double accu－ sative construction．
$\boldsymbol{\tau} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{o} \boldsymbol{\delta} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Genitive of reference．
oov．Possessive genitive．

$\Delta \boldsymbol{\alpha} v i \delta$ ．Nominative subject of $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon i ̃$.
oũv．Inferential．
кv́pıov．Complement in an object－complement double accusa－ tive construction．
av่̉tòv．Accusative direct object of ка入عĩ．
$\kappa \boldsymbol{\kappa} \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}$ ．Pres act ind 3rd sg ка入غ́ $\omega$ ．
$\pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$ ．Introduces a direct question．
aủtoṽ．Genitive of relationship．
vióc．Predicate nominative．
غ̇бтıv．Pres ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$ ．On the loss of accent，see 1：18 on $\varepsilon i \mu ı$ ．

## Luke 20：45－47

${ }^{45}$ Now，as all of the people were listening，he said to his disciples，
${ }^{46 " B}$ Beware of the scribes who like to walk around in long robes and love being greeted in the market place and（receiving）the most important seats in the synagogues and seat of honor at banquets， ${ }^{47}$ who devour the homes of widows and pray on and on for show． These men will receive a more severe judgment（than others）！＂
 ［av่̉าoṽ］，
’Aкov́ovtoc．Pres act ptc masc gen sg ảkoúw．Genitive absolute （see 2：2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvعv́ovtoc），temporal．
$\pi \alpha \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ò $\varsigma$ тoṽ $\lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{o v}$ ．Genitive subject of＇Akov́ovtos．
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
тоĩৎ $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha i ̃ c$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon v$ ．
［av̉兀oṽ］．Genitive of relationship．


 סкínvots，

Пробє́ $\chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ．Pres act impv 2nd $\mathrm{pl} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon ́ \chi \omega$ ．
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{\partial} \tau \tilde{\omega} v \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \omega v$ ．Separation．The preposition $\dot{\alpha} \pi o ́$ is often used with verbs of fearing（see BDF \＄149）．
$\theta \varepsilon \lambda$ óvt $\omega v$ ．Pres act ptc masc gen $\mathrm{pl} \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$（attributive）．
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon i ̃ v$ ．Pres act inf $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \dot{\omega} \omega$（complementary）．
$\dot{\varepsilon} v$ otodaĩs．Manner．The preposition is commonly used to specify how someone is clothed（cf．BDAG，327．2．a）．

кaì $\varphi \lambda \lambda$ oúvt $\omega v$ ．Pres act ptc masc gen $\mathrm{pl} \varphi \lambda \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$（attributive）．
 Accusative direct object of $\varphi \backslash \lambda$ oúvt $\omega v$ ．
 Locative．


oí．Nominative subject of kateб ${ }^{\text {iovoviv．}}$
катєб日iovotv．Pres act ind 3rd pl катєб日í $\omega$ ．Louw and Nida
(57.247) suggest that the verb here means, "to take over by dishonest means the property of someone else" (cf. BDAG, 532.2.c).
tà ¢ oíkiac. Accusative direct object of катع $\sigma$ Hiovoıv.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{\imath} \boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{\rho} \tilde{\omega} v$. Possessive genitive.
$\pi \rho о \boldsymbol{\alpha} \sigma \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Dative of manner. The translation builds on BDAG's (889.2) "for appearances' sake."
$\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \dot{a}$. Accusative extent of time. Lit. "for a long time."

oṽtot. Nominative subject of $\lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \psi$ оvtau.
$\lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \psi \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{t}$. Fut mid ind 3rd pl $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma o ́ \tau \varepsilon \rho o v$. Comparative.
$\boldsymbol{\kappa} і \boldsymbol{\mu}$. Accusative direct object of $\lambda \dot{\prime} \mu \psi о \nu \tau \alpha 1$.

## Luke 21:1-4

${ }^{1}$ Now, when he looked up he saw the rich tossing their gifts into the treasury. ${ }^{2}$ And he saw a poor widow tossing two leptons there ${ }^{3}$ and said, "I tell you for certain, this poor widow put in more than everyone! 4For all of these out of their abundance tossed (money) into the (receptacle for) gifts, but this (widow), out of her meager possessions, put in all the livelihood that she had!"


 cumstance or temporal).

عĩరعv. Aor act ind 3rd sg ó ód $\omega$.
toùs . . . $\pi$ गovoiovc. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ हiठ $\delta v$. The construction literally reads, "the putting-their-gifts-into-the-treasury rich ones."
$\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \mathbf{\lambda} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \alpha \varsigma$. Pres act ptc masc acc pl $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ (attributive).

$\tau \alpha ̀ ~ \delta \tilde{\omega} \rho a$. Accusative direct object of $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ov $\tau \alpha \varsigma$.
aủtũv. Possessive genitive.

## 

عĩठعv. Aor act ind 3rd sg ópá $\omega$.
$\tau ı v a \chi \dot{\eta} \rho a v \pi \varepsilon v ı \chi \rho a ̀ v$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \tilde{i} \delta \varepsilon v$. The adjective $\pi \varepsilon v \iota \chi \rho o ́ \varsigma$ ("pert. to being in need of things relating to
livelihood"; BDAG, 795) occurs only here in the NT. It does not appear to differ in meaning from $\pi \tau \omega \chi \grave{\eta}$ (v. 3).
$\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{v o v o \alpha v}$. Pres act ptc fem acc sg $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$. Complement in an object-complement double accusative construction.
$\lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \mathbf{v} \mathbf{o}$. Accusative direct object of $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ovoav. The lepton was a small copper coin worth $11_{128}$ of a denarius (BDAG, 592.2).
 $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ह̈ $\beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \nu$.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
${ }^{\prime} \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\eta} \theta \tilde{\omega} \varsigma \boldsymbol{\wedge} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\cup} \boldsymbol{v} \mu \mathrm{i} v$. Lit. "truly I say to you" (see also 9:27 and 3:8 on $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{\cup} \mu \pi \imath v)$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also 1:25 on öтı) of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{\eta} \chi \dot{\eta} \rho \alpha \alpha u ̋ \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \pi \tau \omega \chi \grave{\eta}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ है $\beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v$.
$\pi \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{0} \sigma v$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ é $\beta a \lambda \varepsilon v$.
$\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega v$. Genitive of comparison.
$\check{\varepsilon} \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3 rd sg $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$.



$\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. . . oṽtot. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ é $\beta \alpha \lambda$ ov. The fronted subject helps set up the contrast with aútŋ in the second clause.

үà $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).
ย̇к тои̃ $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon$ v̇ovtoc. Source.
то⿱̃ $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v ่ o v \tau o c . ~ P r e s ~ a c t ~ p t c ~ g e n ~ n e u t ~ s g ~ \pi \varepsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v ่ \omega ~(s u b-~$ stantival).
av̉兀oĩc. Dative of possession.
ëßalov. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
عic $\tau \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Locative. The odd expression (lit. "into the gifts")
means something like "into the offering(s)" (Nolland, 3:979).


aủtñc. Possessive genitive.
$\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha$ тòv $\beta$ íov. Accusative direct object of $\check{\beta} \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v$.
öv. Accusative direct object of عĩx\&v. عĩरev. Impf act ind 3rd sg ě $\chi \omega$. $\varepsilon ̈ \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3 rd sg $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$.

## Luke 21:5-19

${ }^{5}$ While some were saying about the temple that it was decorated with beautiful stones and offerings, he said, ""These things that you see-the days will come when not one stone will be left upon another, (not one) that will not be torn down."

To they asked him, "Teacher, when, then, will these thing happen? And what will the sign be when these things are about to take place?" ${ }^{8} \mathrm{He}$ said, "Watch out that you are not deceived! For many will come in my name saying, 'I am (the Messiah),' and 'The time is near.' Do not follow them! ${ }^{9}$ And when you hear of wars and rebellions, do not be alarmed. For it is necessary for these things to happen first; but the end will not immediately (follow)."
${ }^{10}$ Then he proceeded to say to them: "Nation will rise against nation; and kingdom against kingdom. ${ }^{11}$ And there will be powerful earthquakes and famines and plagues all over the place. There will be fearful events and great signs from heaven. ${ }^{12}$ But before all these things, they will lay their hands on you and persecute (you), so that (you) are handed over to synagogues and prisons-(yes, you) who will be brought before kings and governors on account of my name. ${ }^{13}$ This will result for you in (opportunities for) testimony. ${ }^{14}$ So then, determine in your hearts not to prepare in advance to defend yourself. ${ }^{15}$ For I will give you words and wisdom that none of those who are against you will be able to withstand or oppose. ${ }^{16} \mathrm{You}$ will be betrayed even by parents and siblings, relatives and friends. And they will put some of you to death. ${ }^{17}$ And you will be hated by all people because of my name. ${ }^{18}$ But not even a hair of your head will be destroyed. ${ }^{19}$ By your endurance you must gain your lives."

##  

Kai. The kai closely links this scene to the preceding one. $\tau \iota \nu \omega v$. Genitive subject of $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma o ́ v \tau \omega v$.
$\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \dot{o} v \tau \omega v$. Pres act ptc neut gen pl $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvєv่ovтo؟), temporal.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \grave{\text { t }} \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{v}$ í $\varepsilon \rho \frac{1}{}$. Reference.
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces the clausal complement (indirect discourse) of $\lambda \varepsilon ү o ́ v \tau \omega v$.
$\lambda i \theta$ oıs ка入оĩ̧ каì àvaӨ'̆ $\mu \alpha \sigma \iota v$. Dative of instrument.
$\kappa \varepsilon \kappa о ́ \sigma \mu \eta \tau \alpha$. Prf pass ind 3rd sg коб $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.



Taṽta. The demonstrative and modifying relative clause serve as the topic of what follows (see 1:36 on 'E $\lambda \iota \sigma \dot{\alpha} \beta \varepsilon \tau$ ).
ä. Accusative direct object of $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \tau \varepsilon$.
$\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon і ̃ \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act ind $2 \mathrm{nd} \mathrm{pl} \theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon \dot{c} \omega$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma o v \tau \alpha ı$. Fut mid ind 3rd pl है $\rho \chi \circ \mu a ı$.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \rho a \mathrm{a}$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\prime} \sigma 0 \nu \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$.
èv aĩc. Temporal.
 ing to utter destruction (also at 19:44).
oủk ả $\varphi \varepsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha a$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg ả $\varphi$ í $\mu$.
$\lambda i \theta$ oc. Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$.
غ̇ $\pi \grave{\lambda} \lambda i \theta \omega$. Locative.
öc. Nominative subject of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \nu \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha u$.
$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \nu \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg ката入úш.



aủtòv. Accusative direct object of 'Епп $\rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{o v \tau \varepsilon}$. Pres act ptc masc nom $\mathrm{pl} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (means).
$\Delta \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$. Vocative.
oṽv. Inferential.
$\tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha$. Nominative subject of हैбтaı.
દ̇бтаı. Fut ind 3rd pl عiuí.
$\tau i$. Predicate nominative of a verbless equative clause.
тò $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon$ ĩov. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
ö $\tau \boldsymbol{v} v$. Generally, ö $\tau \alpha v$ is translated "whenever" when it is followed by a present verb and "when" when followed by an aorist verb (see 6:22). The semantics of $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$, however, point to a particular event in the future rather than a process. Although the verb $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ does not occur in the aorist subjunctive in the NT, the form is well attested in the NT period.
$\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \eta$. Pres act subj 3rd sg $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$. Subjunctive with ö ôav. McKay (12) argues that "it is not uncommon to find a form of $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \iota v$ with an infinitive in place of a simple future form." This passage appears to be the only instance in Luke where this may be the case. Even here, however, $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ appears to carry its full semantic force, i.e., it is a way of communicating "prospective aspect" (see Comrie, 64-65) or adding a sense of imminency to the future temporal reference (cf. Campbell 2008, 105).
$\tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \eta$.
үivecoal. Pres mid inf $\gamma i v o \mu a ı$ (complementary).

 $\pi о \rho \varepsilon \cup \theta \tilde{\eta} \tau \varepsilon$ ỏ $\pi \mathbf{i} \sigma \omega$ av่̉ $\tilde{\omega} v$.
ó. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon v$ (see 1:29 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ).
عĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
В $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act impv $2 \mathrm{nd} \mathrm{pl} \beta \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega$.
$\pi \lambda \alpha v \eta \theta \tilde{\eta} \tau \varepsilon$. Aor pass subj $2 \mathrm{nd} \mathrm{pl} \pi \lambda \alpha v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$. "The subjunctive with $\mu \eta$ is commonly used after verbs of warning or fearing (Wallace, 477) and indicates concern regarding a potential outcome" (Culy and Parsons, 265).
$\pi \mathbf{o} \lambda \lambda$ oì. Nominative subject of $\dot{\lambda} \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\prime} \sigma o v \tau \alpha ı$.
$\gamma \mathbf{\alpha} \rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).

ėǹ̀ $\tau \tilde{e}$ obvó $\mu a \tau i$. The PP is a "marker in idiom of authorization" (BDAG, 366.17; cf. 24:47). On the second accent, see $1: 13$ on $\dot{\eta}$ סغ́ŋ $\sigma i \varsigma$.
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Possessive genitive.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (manner).
'Е $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\prime}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mu$.
عíut. Pres ind 1st sg عíhi.
＇O каıро̀с．Nominative subject of グ $\gamma \gamma \nless \varepsilon \varepsilon$ ．

$\pi о \rho \varepsilon \cup \theta \tilde{\eta} \tau \varepsilon$ ．Aor mid subj $2 \mathrm{nd} \mathrm{pl} \pi о \rho \varepsilon$ v́oual $^{(p r o h i b i t i v e ~ s u b j u n c-~}$ tive）．On the voice，see＂Deponency＂in the Series Introduction．

ỏniow aủt $\tilde{\omega} v$. Locative．As a whole，the idiom（lit．＂Do not go after them＂）points to association．


ö $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v}$ ．On translating＂when＂rather than＂whenever，＂see 6：22． àкov́ซŋ $\tau \varepsilon$ ．Aor act subj 2nd pl àkov́ $\omega$ ．Subjunctive with ö ơav．

$\pi \tau 0 \eta \theta \tilde{\eta} \tau \varepsilon$ ．Aor pass subj 2nd $\mathrm{pl} \pi \tau \circ \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$（prohibitive subjunctive）．
$\boldsymbol{\delta \varepsilon}$ ．Pres act ind 3 rd sg $\delta \varepsilon \tilde{\imath}$（impersonal）．
$\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ ．Causal（see also 1：15）．
$\tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha$ ．Accusative subject of $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta a$ ．
$\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \mathbf{a}$ ．Aor mid inf $\gamma$ ivo $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{a l}$（complementary；see also 2：49 on عĩvai）．
$\pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau \mathbf{\tau} \mathbf{v}$ ．Adverbial accusative．
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ．Adversative．
$\varepsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \omega \varsigma$ ．The adverb modifies an implied verb，such as $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\prime} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$ ． тò $\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \mathbf{\lambda o c .}$ ．Nominative subject of an implied verb．



Tóte．Temporal．
ह̈ $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$ ．Impf act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
av̉тoĩc．Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon\lceil\varepsilon \varepsilon v$ ．
 ＂Deponency＂in the Series Introduction．

ع̌月 $\theta$ voc．Nominative subject of＇${ }^{\prime} \gamma \varepsilon \rho \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ．
è $\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}$ ह̈ $\theta$ voc．Opposition．
$\beta \alpha \sigma \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \alpha$ ．Nominative subject of an implied $\varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \rho \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha u$ ．
ėnì $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\prime} \alpha v$ ．Opposition．


 of $ٌ$ हैoovtal.
 $\lambda$ oبfoi would have been pronounced identically, cites this as one of the more elegant examples of parechesis-the creative use of homonyms-in the NT.

катà tóлоия. Distributive.

¢ó $\beta \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon$ каì . . . $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha$. Nominative subject of ع̈б $\tau \alpha$. On the second accent of $\varphi \dot{\beta} \beta \eta \tau \rho \alpha$, see $1: 13$ on $\dot{\eta} \delta \varepsilon ́ \eta \sigma i c$. The term $\varphi o ́ \beta \eta \tau \rho o v$ occurs only here in the NT and refers to "someth. unusual that causes fear" (BDAG, 1062).
$\dot{\alpha} \pi^{\prime}$ oủpavoṽ. Source.
モ̌бтal. Fut ind 3rd sg eipi. Neuter plural subjects characteristically take singular verbs (see Wallace, 399-400).


 ỏvó $\mu \alpha \tau$ т́s $\mu$ оv.
$\pi \rho$ ò . . . $\tau \mathbf{v} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \omega \boldsymbol{v} \pi \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \omega v$. Temporal.

$\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \varphi^{\prime} \dot{\nu} \mu \tilde{\mu} \varsigma$. Locative.

aủtũv. Possessive genitive.
$\delta \boldsymbol{\iota} \dot{\xi}$ оибıv. Fut act ind 3rd $\mathrm{pl} \delta \iota \omega \kappa \kappa \omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{o ́ v} \boldsymbol{\tau} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{c}$. The idiomatic expression means, "hand over to someone's power" (BDAG, 1083.2.c).
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \delta \dot{\delta} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{t}$ (result).

$\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \gamma \mathbf{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v o v}$. Pres pass ptc masc acc pl $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega$. Marshall (767) suggests that the accusative participle is loosely attached to the sentence and "it is hard to see why Luke should have altered Mark's easier expression." The fact that the only scribal alteration to the
 that scribes, like Luke himself, did not have a problem with the use of an accusative participle here. So, why did Luke not choose a

use another nominative active participle (like $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \iota \delta o ́ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$, or even use a genitive absolute construction to shift to a different participial subject (see $2: 2$ on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ oveviovtos)? The use of an accusative participle indicates that the participle is adjectival rather than adverbial (see Culy, 2003), and thus provides further comment on $\dot{u} \mu \tilde{a} \varsigma$ above (cf. Nolland 3:996). Unlike using a genitive absolute construction, the choice of an adjectival participle here directs the focus to the referent ( $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma)$ rather than the action (Culy 2003, 449).

غ̀лì $\beta a \sigma ı \lambda \varepsilon i ̃ ৎ ~ к а i ̀ ~ \grave{~ \grave{\gamma \varepsilon \mu o ́ v a c . ~ L o c a t i v e . ~}}$
 ŋ̀ $\delta$ غ́ $\eta \sigma i \varsigma$.
$\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{v}$. Possessive genitive.

## 

 testimony") means, "result in a testimony" (see LN 89.41).
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Fut pass ind 3rd sg à $\pi \mathrm{o} \beta a i v \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative of reference or advantage.
عiç $\mu \alpha \rho \tau$ úpıov. Goal.
21:14 $\theta \dot{\varepsilon ́ \tau \varepsilon ~ o v ̃ v v ~} ̇$ ह̉v таĩৎ ка $\theta \tilde{\eta} v a 1$ -
 hearts") meaning, "decide" or "resolve."
$\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon$. Aor act impv 2nd pl tiӨŋ $\mu$.
oũv. Inferential.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \alpha i ̃ ̧ ~ \kappa \alpha \rho \delta i \alpha ı \varsigma . ~ L o c a t i v e ~ i n ~ a ~ m e t a p h o r i c a l ~ s e n s e . ~$
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Possessive genitive.
$\pi \rho \mathbf{\rho} \mu \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon \tau} \tilde{\alpha} \nu$. Pres act inf $\pi \rho \circ \mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \omega$ (direct object of $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \varepsilon$ ).



$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$. Nominative subject of $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega$.

$\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega$. Fut act ind 1st sg $\delta \dot{\delta} \delta \omega \mu$.
$\dot{v} \mu \mathrm{i} v$. Dative indirect object of $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega$. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu$ ĩ.

бто́ $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ каі̀ бopíav. Accusative direct object of $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega$. The term $\sigma \tau o j \alpha$ here is used figuratively to refer to the "ability to speak" or perhaps "eloquence" (cf. BDAG, 947.2).

กี่. Dative complement of $\dot{\alpha} v \tau \iota \sigma \tau \tilde{v} v a ı$. The antecedent is $\sigma о \varphi i \alpha v$.
סvvŋ́бovtaı. Fut mid ind 3rd pl סúvauaı.
$\dot{\alpha} v \tau \iota \sigma \tau \tilde{\eta} v a \iota$. Aor act inf $\dot{\alpha} v \theta i \sigma \tau \eta \mu \mathrm{I}$ (complementary).
$\dot{\alpha} v \tau \varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} v$. Aor act inf $\dot{\alpha} v \tau \iota \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (complementary).
 tival; see 1:66 on $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ oi àkov́бavtec). Nominative subject of ठuvŋ́бovтaı.
v́nĩv. Dative complement of àvtıкรi $\mu \varepsilon v o$.


$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o \theta \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$. Fut pass ind 2nd pl $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
v̇лò $\gamma \mathbf{\gamma} v \varepsilon ́ \omega v$ каì à $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \tilde{\omega} v$ каì $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \varepsilon v \tilde{\omega} v$ каì $\varphi i \lambda \omega v$. Ultimate agency.

Өavatஸ́oovaıv. Fut act ind 3rd pl $\theta$ avató $\omega$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Partitive. According to BDF (\$164.2.6), this is an example of a partitive genitive phrase that serves as the subject of the verb, with $\tau$ tvę being implicit (cf. Acts 19:33).


$\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v o t}$. Pres pass ptc masc nom pl (future periphrastic; see 1:20 on $\sigma \omega \tau \tilde{\omega} v)$.
víc̀ $\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \omega v$. Ultimate agency.
סıà tò ővouá. Causal. On the second accent, see $1: 13$ on $\dot{\eta}$

$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Possessive genitive.

 idiom speaks of absolute protection, though in the context ( $\theta$ ava-
$\tau \omega \dot{\sigma} 0 v \sigma \iota v \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$, v. 16) it is clear that it does not necessarily refer to physical protection.
$\theta \rho i \xi$. Nominative subject of áró $\lambda \eta \tau \alpha u$.
غ̇к $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \kappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$. Source or partitive.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Possessive genitive.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \eta \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Aor mid subj 3rd sg $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \mu \mu$. The subjunctive is used with ov̉ $\mu$ ', which expresses emphatic negation (see also 1:15 on $\pi i(\eta)$.

## 

$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \mathfrak{1}$ v̇touovñ. Instrumental.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Subjective genitive.
 imperative may appropriately be rendered in English with a future expression: "you will gain your lives" (cf. Fitzmyer, 2:134; NET Bible, n. 10). In fact, some manuscripts ( $\mathrm{A} \mathrm{B} \Theta f^{13} 33$ ) change the aorist to the future tense, $\kappa \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ (cf. Omanson, 146). By using a verb that implies "acquiring" one's life ("to acquire possession of something"; LN 57.58), Luke's account of Jesus' words highlights the personal responsibility to endure even more starkly than the accounts in Matthew or Mark.
$\tau \grave{\alpha} \varsigma \psi v \chi \grave{\alpha} \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $\kappa \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \theta \varepsilon$.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Possessive genitive.

## Luke 21:20-28

${ }^{20}$ "Now, when you see Jerusalem surrounded by armies, then know that its desolation is near! ${ }^{21} \mathrm{At}$ that time, those in Judea must flee to the mountains; those inside (Jerusalem) must get out; and those out in the fields must not go (back) into the city, ${ }^{22}$ because these are days of vengeance: everything that has been written will be fulfilled. ${ }^{23} \mathrm{Woe}$ to those who are pregnant or nursing in those days! For there will be great distress on the earth and wrath for this people. ${ }^{24}$ They will fall by the edge of the sword and be taken captive into all the nations; and Jerusalem will (continue to be) trampled down by the Gentiles until the times of the Gentiles are complete."
${ }^{25 "}$ There will be signs in the sun and moon and stars, and on earth the nations will be distressed (as they have) great anxiety from the noise of the sea and (its) waves, ${ }^{26}$ so that people will be fainting from
fear and from the thought of what is coming upon the world. For the powers of the heavens will be shaken. ${ }^{27}$ And then they will see the Son of Man coming in a cloud with great power and glory. ${ }^{28}$ Now, when these things begin to happen, stand up straight and lift your heads, because your redemption is approaching."


"Otav. On translating "when" rather than "whenever," see 6:22.
" $\delta \eta \tau \tau$. Aor act subj 2nd pl ópá $\omega$. Subjunctive with "Otav.
кик $\boldsymbol{\jmath} \boldsymbol{\nu} \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \eta \eta$. Prf pass ptc fem acc sg кик入ó $\omega$. Complement in an object-complement double accusative construction. Fronted to make it more prominent.
v́nò $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \boldsymbol{\sigma} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \delta \omega v$. Ultimate agency.

то́тє. Temporal.
$\gamma \nu \tilde{\omega} \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act impv 2nd $\mathrm{pl} \gamma \iota \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \omega$.
ö $\tau \mathbf{I}$. Introduces the clausal complement of $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \tau \tau \varepsilon$ (see also 1:22 on ötı).
$\eta ้ \gamma \gamma \nLeftarrow \varepsilon v$. Prf act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ غ $\gamma \gamma i \zeta \omega$.

aủ兀ท̃ৎ. Objective genitive.

 av่̉ท่าข,

тótع. Temporal.
oi $̇ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v \tau \mathfrak{n}$ ’Iovסaiạ. The article functions as a nominalizer (see 1:48 on árò to $\tilde{v} v \tilde{v} v$ ), changing the PP into the nominative subject of $\varphi \varepsilon \cup \gamma \varepsilon ́ \tau \omega \sigma \alpha v$.

દ̇v $\tau$ ñ ’Iovסaiạ. Locative.
$\varphi \varepsilon v \gamma \varepsilon ̇ \tau \omega \sigma a v$. Pres act impv 3rd sg $\varphi \varepsilon u ́ \gamma \omega$.
عiç $\tau$ à ő $\rho \eta$. Locative.
oi $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} v \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sigma \omega$. The article functions as a nominalizer (see 1:48 on $\dot{\alpha} \pi o ̀ ~ \tau o \tilde{v} v \tilde{v} v)$, changing the PP into the nominative subject of غ̇к $\kappa \omega \rho \varepsilon i \tau \omega \sigma \alpha v$.

ह̇v $\mu \varepsilon ̇ \sigma \omega$. Locative.

$\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \chi \omega \rho \varepsilon i \tau \omega \sigma \alpha v$. Pres act impv 3rd sg $̇ \kappa \chi \omega \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. Only here in the NT: "to move out of or away from a place, with a possible implication of a considerable distance separating a person from the earlier location" (LN 15.41).
 on àrò to $\tilde{v} v \tilde{v} v$ ), changing the PP into the nominative subject of عí $\sigma \rho \chi \varepsilon ́ \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \alpha v$.

غ̇v таĩs $\chi$ ஸ́paıc. Locative.


 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v \alpha$.
ötı. Introduces a causal clause.
$\grave{\eta} \mu \dot{\rho} \rho a \mathbf{l}$. Predicate nominative.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \delta \boldsymbol{\kappa} \kappa \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$. The genitive refers to the fact that the "days" will be characterized by vengeance. As a whole, the head noun refers to the time when the event implied by the genitive will occur (cf. Beekman and Callow, 260): "days when vengeance is carried out."
aṽंтai. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ íoıv. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס $\varepsilon$ 亿́ $\sigma$ oic.

عiotv. Pres ind 3rd pl cíhi. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on $\varepsilon i \mu \mathrm{l}$.
тoṽ $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} v a ı$. Aor pass inf $\pi i \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu$ (epexegetical, modifying غ̇к $\delta \kappa \eta \quad \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$.
$\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon ́ v \alpha$. Prf pass ptc neut acc pl $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \varphi \omega$ (substantival; see 1:66 on $\pi \alpha \dot{v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ o i ~ \alpha ̉ ̉ \kappa o v ́ \sigma a v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma) . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ s u b j e c t ~ o f ~}$ $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} v a \mathrm{a}$.



ov̉aì. This interjection does not represent a curse (Bovon, 2:55), but rather introduces "an expression of pity for those who stand under divine judgment" (Marshall, 255).

тaĩc . . . غ̇ $\chi$ ov́oaıç. Pres act ptc fem dat pl है $\chi \omega$ (substantival).
 in the stomach"), is an idiom meaning "to be pregnant."
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \boldsymbol{\rho}$. Locative.
таĩs $\theta \eta \lambda a \zeta$ (ov́бaıc. Pres act ptc fem dat pl $\theta \eta \lambda a ́ \zeta \omega$ (substantival).
Dative of disadvantage.

हैбтаı. Fut mid 3rd pl $\varepsilon$ हiцi.
үà $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).
$\dot{\alpha} v \dot{\alpha} \gamma \kappa \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta$. Nominative subject of हैб $\tau \alpha u$.
غ̇ாì т $ŋ \varsigma \uparrow \eta ̃ \varsigma . ~ L o c a t i v e . ~$
ỏ $\rho \gamma \grave{\eta}$. Nominative subject of an implied हैбтau.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \lambda \alpha \tilde{\omega} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \omega$. Dative of disadvantage.
21:24 каì лєбои̃vтаı бтó $\mu \alpha \tau ı \mu \alpha \chi \alpha i \rho \eta \varsigma ~ к а і ̀ ~ \alpha i ̉ \chi \mu \alpha \lambda \omega \tau เ \sigma \theta \eta ் \sigma o v \tau \alpha ı ~$
 äх $\rho \stackrel{\text { oṽ } \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \tilde{\omega} \sigma \iota v \text { каı } \rho o ̀ ̀ ~}{\varepsilon} \theta v \tilde{\omega} \nu$.
$\pi \varepsilon \sigma o v ̃ v \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Fut mid ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \pi i \pi \tau \omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau$. Dative of instrument. Lit. "by the mouth of the sword."
$\mu \alpha \chi \alpha i \rho \eta$. Possessive genitive.
$\boldsymbol{\alpha} \chi \chi \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha} \omega \tau \boldsymbol{\sigma} \theta \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathrm{a}$. Fut pass ind 3rd pl aix $\mu \alpha \lambda \omega \tau i \zeta \omega$.
$\varepsilon i ́ \varsigma ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \varepsilon ̌ ~ \theta v \eta ~ \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha . ~ L o c a t i v e . ~$

ह̈бтaı. Fut mid 3rd sg eipi.
$\pi \alpha \tau o v \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \eta \eta$. Pres pass ptc fem nom sg $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (future periphrastic; see 1:20 on $\sigma \omega \omega \pi \tilde{\omega} v)$.
vícò $̇ \theta v \tilde{v} v$. Ultimate agency. Or, "the nations."
äxpı oṽ. Temporal. This lexicalized phrase (a phrase that has come to function as a single lexical unit) probably derived from the
 11:26; 15:25; Gal 3:19; Heb 3:13; Rev 2:25; Moule, 82). It typically carries the sense of "until."
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \tilde{\omega} \sigma t v$. Aor pass subj 3rd pl $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o ́ \omega$.
кalpoi. Nominative subject of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \tilde{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \theta \boldsymbol{v} \tilde{\omega} v$. "The time period when the Gentiles exercise power" (cf. 1:5 on 'H $\mathrm{H} \varphi$ ¢́ $\delta o v$ ).



$\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon i ̃ \alpha$ ．Nominative subject of हैбov

غ̇ $\pi \grave{̀} \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \gamma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ ．Locative．
бuvox̀̀．Nominative subject of an implied हैбтaı．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \theta v \tilde{\omega} v$ ．Subjective genitive．Lit．＂distress of nations．＂
ह̇v à $\pi \mathbf{o} \boldsymbol{\rho i}$ ị．Context（see 1：78 on $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ oĩç）．Although a causal read－ ing makes good sense logically，the $̇ v$ more likely simply introduces another context or circumstance．

グXovc．Lit．＂in the perplexity of the sound．＂The sense here appears to be causal（cf．BDAG，119，s．v．à $\pi$ opia）：perplexity stems from the noise of the sea and waves．
$\theta \alpha \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \eta \varsigma$ каì ба́入ov．Genitive of source．

 ба入єvӨŋ่боvт $\alpha$ ．
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{o} \psi \mathbf{v} \chi \mathbf{o} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \omega \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Pres act ptc masc gen $\mathrm{pl} \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \psi v \dot{\chi} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ ．Genitive abso－ lute（see $2: 2$ on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ ovev́ovtoc），result．It is unclear whether the term here refers to actual physical fainting（cf．LN 23．184）or only has a psychological sense：＂to become totally disheartened and thus ready to give up＂（LN 25．293）．
$\dot{\alpha} v \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega v$ ．Genitive subject of à $\pi о \psi v \chi o ́ v \tau \omega v$ ．
à $\pi \mathbf{o ̀}$ بó $\boldsymbol{\beta o v}$ каì $\pi \rho \mathbf{o \sigma \delta o к i a c . ~ C a u s a l . ~ T h e ~ t e r m ~} \pi \rho о \sigma \delta$ окía can refer to expectation of either positive or negative events（cf．LN 30．55）．
 tival）．Objective genitive．

$\alpha i . . . \delta \nu v \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\sigma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon v \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma o v \tau \alpha 1$.

$\boldsymbol{\tau} \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$ oủpav $\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$ ．The genitive appears to refer to the place where $\alpha i . . . \delta \nu v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \varsigma$ are either located（with ai ．．．$\delta v v \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ r e f e r r i n g ~ t o ~$ the sun，moon，and stars）or rule（with ai ．．．$\delta v v \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \iota \varsigma$ referring to spiritual powers）．
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \theta \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Fut pass ind 3rd pl $\sigma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \dot{v} \omega$.



то́tع. Temporal.
ő $\psi \mathbf{o v \tau a ı}$. Fut mid ind 3rd pl ópá $\omega$.

тòv viòv. Accusative direct object of ő $\psi o v \tau \alpha u$.
тoṽ ảv $\theta \rho \dot{\rho} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v}$. Genitive of relationship.
 object-complement double accusative construction.

$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \delta u v \alpha ́ \mu \varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \delta o ́ \xi \eta \varsigma ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda \tilde{\eta} \varsigma . ~ M a n n e r . ~$


$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \boldsymbol{\mu} \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\omega} v$. Pres act ptc masc gen sg ä $\rho \chi \omega$. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvєט́ovtoc), temporal.
$\tau 0 v ่ \tau \omega v$. Genitive subject of $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \rho \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v \omega v$.
$\gamma_{i}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{v} \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \mathrm{a}$. Pres mid inf $\gamma^{i} v o \mu a \boldsymbol{a}$ (complementary).
àvaкv่ $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act impv 2nd pl ảvaкv́nt $\omega$.

$\tau \grave{\alpha} \varsigma \kappa \varepsilon \varphi a \lambda \grave{\alpha} \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $̇ \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho a \tau \varepsilon$.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Possessive genitive.
סıótı. Causal.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{i} \check{\varepsilon}$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \gamma i \zeta \omega$.

$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Objective genitive.

## Luke 21:29-38

${ }^{29}$ Then he told a parable to them: "Look at the fig tree and all the (other) trees. ${ }^{30}$ When they have already sprouted leaves, you see for yourselves and know that the summer is now near. ${ }^{31}$ Thus, you also, when you see these things happening, know that the kingdom of God is near. ${ }^{32}$ I assure you that this generation will certainly not pass away until all these things take place! ${ }^{33}$ Heaven and earth will pass away, but my words will never pass away!"
${ }^{34 \text { "So, guard yourselves so that your hearts will not be weighed }}$ down with carousing and drunkenness and the cares of everyday life, and (so that) that day not come upon you suddenly, ${ }^{35}$ like a trap. For it will come upon everyone who lives on the face of the entire earth. ${ }^{3}$ So, be alert at all times and plead that you might be able to escape all these things that are about to happen and to stand before the Son of Man."
${ }^{37}$ Now, he was teaching in the temple during the days, but at night he was going out and spending the night on the hill called (the Mount) of Olives. ${ }^{38}$ And all the people were coming to him early in the morning to listen to him at the temple.

##  $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} v \delta \rho \alpha$ -

Kaì. The conjunction closely links this scene to what precedes. $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \mathbf{o} \eta \dot{\eta} \nu$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$.
av̉тoĩc. Dative indirect object of عĩлعv.
"Iס\&tع. Aor act impv 2nd pl ópá $\omega$.
$\tau \grave{v} v$ бvкŋ̃v каì $\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha$ тà $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} v \delta \boldsymbol{\rho} \rho$. Accusative direct object of " $1 \delta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$.

##  

ötav. On translating "when" rather than "whenever," see 6:22.
$\pi \rho o ß \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega \sigma t v$. Aor act subj $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \pi \rho \mathrm{o} \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$. Subjunctive with ő ơv. Here, "to begin vegetative growth, with special emphasis upon the sprouting of leaves" (LN 23.195).
$\beta \lambda \varepsilon ́ \pi о \boldsymbol{v} \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Pres act ptc masc nom $\mathrm{pl} \beta \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega$ (attendant circumstance).
 categorizes this metaphorical usage as "to indicate responsible agents for someth." See also 12:57.
$\gamma \iota v \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 2nd pl $\gamma \dot{\imath} \omega \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$.
ötı. Introduces the clausal complement of $\gamma \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ (see also 1:22 on öт兀).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \gamma \dot{v} \varsigma$. The temporal adverb serves as the predicate of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau i v$.
tò $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \mathbf{\rho}$, Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau i v$. غ̀бтiv. Pres ind 3rd sg eípí.


$\dot{v} \mu \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} c$. Nominative subject of $\imath \delta \eta \eta \tau \varepsilon$.
ötav. On translating "when" rather than "whenever," see 6:22.
${ }^{\ell} \delta \eta \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act impv 2nd pl ópá $\omega$. Subjunctive with ő ôav.
$\tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau$. Accusative direct object of $\ell \delta \eta \tau \varepsilon$.
үıvóusva. Pres mid ptc neut acc pl үívouaı. Complement in an object-complement double accusative construction.
$\gamma \iota v \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act ind/impv 2nd pl $\gamma \iota v \omega ் \sigma \omega \omega$. With the exception of the NASB and NET Bible, the vast majority of translations and scholars read the verb as an indicative. As the NET Bible footnote points out, however, the context points to the imperative reading.
ő $\tau \boldsymbol{c}$. Introduces the clausal complement of $\gamma เ \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ (see also 1:22 on öтı).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \gamma \cup \mathfrak{c}$. The temporal adverb serves as the predicate of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau i v$.

$\dot{\eta} \beta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \lambda \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ह̇бтiv.
тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ. Subjective genitive (see also 4:43).
 $\pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \alpha \boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\varepsilon} v \eta \tau \alpha$.
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \grave{\eta} v$. This particle is used to signal "a strong affirmation of what is stated" (BDAG, 53.1). Rhetorically, the whole expression, á $\mu \eta{ }_{\eta} \nu$ $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{u} \mu i \pi \nu$, serves to introduce a statement of high importance (cf. $4: 24 ; 12: 37 ; 18: 17,29 ; 23: 43$ ) by combining both a meta-comment (see 3:8 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\imath} v$ ) and $\alpha \mu \eta\rangle \nu$. It appears to be the Semitic equivalent of $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \tilde{\omega} \varsigma \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\mu} v(9: 27 ; 12: 44 ; 21: 3)$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{v} \mu \tilde{\mu} \nu$. See 3:8.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also 1:25 on ő ot) of $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \theta \mathrm{n}$. Aor act subj 3rd sg $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha \mathrm{a}$. The subjunctive is
used with ov̉ $\mu$ ', which expresses emphatic negation (see also 1:15 on $\pi i \underline{i})$.
$\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha \alpha^{\alpha} \alpha u ̋ \tau \eta$. Nominative subject of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \dot{\lambda} \lambda \theta \eta$.

$\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\gamma \dot{\varepsilon} v \eta \tau \alpha$.
$\gamma \varepsilon ́ v \eta \tau a 1$. Aor mid subj 3rd sg үivoual. Subjunctive in an indefinite temporal clause with $\varepsilon \approx \omega \varsigma$ äv.
 $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \dot{\sigma} о \nu \tau \alpha$.
ó oủpavòs кaì $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \boldsymbol{\eta}$. Nominative subject of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v ่ \sigma o v \tau \alpha 1$.
$\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\sigma} \sigma o v \tau \alpha a$. Fut mid ind 3rd pl $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ \rho \chi о \mu \alpha ı$.
oi . . . $\lambda$ ó $\gamma o$ o. Nominative subject of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon$ v́rov$^{2} \alpha \mathrm{a}$.
$\mu \mathbf{\mu}$. Subjective genitive.
$\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \dot{\sigma} \sigma o v \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Fut mid ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Here, the future tense ( $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ B D L T W $07033892 p c$ ) is used for the expected subjunctive (with ov̉ $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ). This was corrected by many scribes (the aorist subjunctive $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \theta \omega \sigma \sigma$ is found in A C $\left.\Theta \Psi f^{1,13} \Re \approx\right)$. The fact that the future and subjunctive were sometimes used interchangeably during the Koine period (cf. 7:4 on $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \mathrm{\eta} ; 11: 5$ on ह̈ $\varepsilon \varepsilon ા ; ~ 14: 10$ on $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} ; 19: 40$ on $\sigma \omega \pi \pi \dot{\prime} \sigma o v \sigma \iota v)$ suggests that Luke likely chose the future for greater rhetorical effect ( $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \dot{\sigma} \sigma 0 \tau \tau \alpha 1$. . $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v ́ \sigma o v \tau \alpha ı$ ). The sense of oi . . . $\lambda$ ó $\gamma o \iota ~ \mu о v$ ov̉ $\mu \eta$ ŋ̀ $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v ́ \sigma o v \tau \alpha ı$ is "What I say will without doubt come to pass."




Пробغ́ $\chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd pl $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \omega$. Culy and Parsons (103) note that Пробغ́Хєєє غ́autoĩ̧ is an idiomatic expression (lit. "take heed to yourselves") that occurs only in Luke in the NT (also 12:1; 17:3; Acts $5: 35$; 20:28), but is fairly common in the LXX. At times it is used to respond to an unworthy idea (Gen 24:6) or simply to warn against a particular course of action (Exod 34:12).

غ́avtoĩc. Dative complement of Пробغ́久ยтє.
$\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \mathbf{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Introduces a negative purpose clause.
$\beta \alpha \rho \eta \theta \tilde{\omega} \sigma t v$. Aor pass subj 3rd pl $\beta a \rho \varepsilon \dot{\omega} \omega$. Subjunctive with $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi о \tau \varepsilon$.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Possessive genitive.
ai карסíaı. Nominative subject of $\beta \alpha \rho \eta \theta \tilde{\omega} \sigma \iota v$.
 The term крaıл $\dot{\alpha} \eta$, which occurs only here in the NT, refers to "drunken behavior which is completely without moral restraint" (LN 88.286).

$\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \varphi^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$. Here, the preposition likely functions as "a marker of the experiencer, often with the implication of an action by a superior force or agency" (LN 90.57).
aipviסtos. This form is sometimes used as an adverb (see BDAG, 31; cf. BDF §243).



$\dot{\omega}$. Comparative.
$\pi \alpha \gamma i \varsigma$. Nominative subject of an implied $\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi i \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha ৷$ ( $\left.\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi{ }^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma\right)$. Some manuscripts (ACW $\Theta \Upsilon f^{1,13} \mathfrak{\Re}$ ) have the postpositive $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ before غ̇л $\dot{\omega} \varsigma \pi \alpha \gamma i \varsigma$ with what follows. So, e.g., the KJV following the Textus Receptus: "For as a snare shall it come on all them that dwell on the face of the whole earth" (perhaps conforming the thought to LXX Isa 24:17). Most translations, however, follow the $\mathrm{NA}^{27} / \mathrm{UBS}^{4}$ (cf. Omanson, 146-47).

үàp. Causal (see also 1:15).

 tival).
غ̇ $\pi$ ì $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi \mathbf{\sigma}$. Locative.
$\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta \varsigma \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \gamma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$. Partitive genitive.



à $\gamma \rho \cup \pi v \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act impv 2nd pl $\alpha$ र̀ $\rho \cup \pi \nu \varepsilon ́ \omega$.

$\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\jmath} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v o r}$. Pres mid ptc masc nom pl $\delta$ éoual (attendant circumstance; see also 1:24 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma o v \sigma \alpha$ ). Attendant circumstance participles take on the mood of the verb they modify (see also 5:14 on $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} v)$.
îva. Introduces indirect discourse (see also 8:31 on îva). This could also plausibly be viewed as a purpose clause (cf. 18:39 on îva; McKay, 117).
 ǐva.


$\tau \alpha ̀ \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda$ ov $\tau \alpha$. Pres act ptc neut acc $\mathrm{pl} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ (attributive). On the semantics of $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ with an infinitive, see 21:7 on $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \eta$ !.

үiveceal. Aor mid inf $\gamma i v o \mu a l$ (complementary).
 appears to be a figurative way of referring to not being ashamed before the Son of Man or "securing a favourable verdict" before a judge (Marshall, 783).
$\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \tilde{\eta} v a l$. Aor mid inf ír $\tau \eta \mu$ ( complementary). On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.

غ̈ $\mu \pi \rho о \sigma \theta \varepsilon v$ тoṽ vioṽ. Spatial.

то⿱̃ $\mathfrak{a} v \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma} v$. Genitive of relationship.


${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} v$. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \mu \mathrm{i}$. Luke again uses imperfect verbs ( ${ }^{*} \mathrm{H} v$
 events and draw this series of discourses to a close.

тà̧ $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \rho a c$. Accusative extent of time.

$\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \kappa \omega \boldsymbol{v}$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega$ (imperfect periphrastic; see also 1:10 on $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon u \chi$ ó $\mu \varepsilon v o v$ ).
đà̧ . . . vv́ктаఢ. Accusative extent of time. Caragounis (145) lists this as an example of NT writers not distinguishing between genitive and accusative temporal constructions. Although the accusative
extent of time may seem unusual here，however，it is likely moti－ vated by the fact that the verbs it modifies are imperfective，pointing to what Jesus was characteristically doing over time，rather than on or during a single day．
 cumstance；see 1：24 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \quad \gamma \quad \sigma \alpha$ ）．

ఇủ入iそ̌то．Impf mid ind 3rd sg aủ入iそoual．
عís tò ôpoc．Locative．

 fying an implied tò őpos，which has been omitted by ellipsis and would have functioned as the complement in a subject－complement double accusative construction（see 1：32 on viòs）．

##  av̉тoṽ．


$\omega ̈ \rho \theta \rho \iota \zeta \varepsilon v$ ．Impf act ind 3rd sg ỏ $\rho \theta \rho i \zeta \omega$ ．Louw and Nida（67．190） note that this verb means，＂to get up early in the morning and go about one＇s affairs．＂BDAG（722）suggests that when used with $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma$ aủtóv it means，＂seek someone diligently．＂At the very least，the construction implies movement toward someone．
$\pi \rho o ̀ c ~ a u ̉ t o ̀ v . ~ S p a t i a l . ~$
غ̇v $\tau \tilde{\omega}$ í $\varepsilon \rho \tilde{̣}$ ．Locative．
àкоv่ยıv．Pres act inf ảkov́ف（purpose）．
aủtoṽ．Genitive object of ảkov́ยıv．

## Luke 22：1－6

${ }^{1}$ Now，the Feast of Unleavened Bread，which is called Passover， was approaching．${ }^{2}$ And the chief priests and scribes were looking for how they might get rid of him．For they were afraid of the people．
${ }^{3}$ Then Satan entered into Judas，called Iscariot，who was one of the Twelve；${ }^{4}$ and he went off and spoke with the chief priests and temple officers about how he might hand him over to them．${ }^{5}$ They were delighted and agreed to give him money；${ }^{6}$ and he accepted the offer and began looking for a good time to hand him over to them when a crowd was not present．

## 


$\dot{\eta} \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\rho} \tau \grave{\eta}$. Nominative subject of "H $\gamma \gamma \iota \zeta \varepsilon v$. The shift in temporal setting marks this as a new pericope.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$ àไن́ $\mu \omega \boldsymbol{v}$. "The feast associated with unleavened bread."
$\dot{\eta} \lambda \varepsilon \gamma o \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \eta \eta$. Pres pass ptc fem nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (attributive).
$\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \alpha$. Complement in a subject-complement double nominative construction (see 1:32 on viòs).
 av̉兀óv, غ̇ழoßoṽvto $\gamma \alpha ̀ \rho ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \lambda a o ́ v . ~$

غ̇そ่̇นovv. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.

tò. The article functions as a nominalizer (see 1:48 on ánò toṽ $v \tilde{v}$ ), changing the interrogative clause, $\pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma \dot{\alpha} v \dot{v} \lambda \omega \sigma \tau v$ aủtóv, into the direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon}(\eta \dot{\eta}$ тouv (see also 1:62 on tò).
 Here, "to get rid of someone by execution, often with legal or quasilegal procedures" (LN 20.71).
aủtóv. Accusative direct object of ảvغ́ $\lambda \omega \sigma \iota v$.
غ̇ழоßои̃vто. Impf mid ind 3rd sg بоßغ́oبaı.
$\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$. Causal (see also 1:15). The sense here is that the chief priests and scribes could not simply put Jesus to death on some trumped up charge. They had to find ( $\dot{\varepsilon}(\dot{\eta} \tau \operatorname{tovv})$ an approach that would not cause the people to riot.
tòv $\lambda$ aóv. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi o ß \circ$ ũvto.



$\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon}$. After the background information of verses 1-2, the $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ with an aorist verb introduces the first new development in the narrative.
$\Sigma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \boldsymbol{\alpha} v \tilde{a} \varsigma$. Nominative subject of Eioñ $\lambda \theta \varepsilon v$.
عic 'IoúSav. Locative.


accusative construction. Since the conceptual subject of the passive verb is accusative ('Iov́ $\delta \alpha v$ ), the complement must bear the same case (see 1:32 on viò̧; Culy 2009, 91-92).
ővta. Pres act ptc masc acc sg $\varepsilon$ i $\mu i$ (attributive).
غ̇к тоṽ àpıӨ $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ oṽ. Source. Lit. "from the number (of the twelve)." $\tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \kappa \alpha$. Partitive.

22:4 каì à $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} v ~ \sigma v v \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ тоĩৎ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi เ \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \tilde{v} \sigma เ \nu$ каì $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma \circ i ̃ \varsigma$,

$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg à $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha ı$ (attendant circumstance).
$\sigma u v \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \dot{\lambda} \eta \boldsymbol{\sigma} v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\sigma u \lambda \lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
 $\varepsilon \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$.
tò. The article functions as a nominalizer (see 1:48 on á $\pi$ ò toṽ $v \tilde{v})$, changing the interrogative clause, $\pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau o i ̃ \varsigma ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \tilde{\omega}$ aủtóv, into the accusative direct object of $\sigma u v \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ (see also 1:62 on тò). Although $\sigma u \lambda \lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ is generally used intransitively, it can be used with a direct object (see LXX Jer 18:20). Alternatively, the accusative article could introduce an accusative of respect.
av̉тoĩc. Dative indirect object of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \tilde{\omega}$.
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \tilde{\omega}$. Aor act subj 3 rd sg $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{I}$ (deliberative subjunctive).
aủtóv. Accusative direct object of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \tilde{\omega}$.

## 22:5 каì $̇ \chi \alpha ́ \rho \eta \sigma \alpha v$ кaì $\sigma v v \varepsilon ̇ \theta \varepsilon v \tau o ~ a v ̉ \tau \tilde{̣}$ ả $\rho \gamma$ v́pıov סoṽvaı.

$\varepsilon ̇ \chi \alpha ́ \rho \eta \sigma \alpha v$. Aor mid ind 3rd pl $\chi \alpha i \rho \omega$. "The verb $\chi \alpha i \rho \omega$ occurs in the active in the present tense, in the middle in the future tense, and in the 'passive' in the aorist tense. Historically, the variation may be accounted for by noting that the volitional nature of the future tense frequently led to the use of middle morphology (Cooper, 594; cited by Conrad, $8, \mathrm{n} .18$ ), while $-\theta \eta$ - forms (and the less common $-\eta$ forms) were originally aorist intransitive markers, which eventually came to be used to identify the aorist middle/passive" (Culy 2004, 143-44; see also "Deponency" in the Series Introduction).
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} v \varepsilon \dot{\theta} \theta \varepsilon v \tau$. Aor mid ind 3rd pl $\sigma v v \tau i \theta \eta \mu$.
aủtẹ̃. Dative indirect object of $\delta$ oũval. Since the next main verb
refers to Judas' agreement ( $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \omega \mu \mathrm{o}$ ó $\gamma \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$, v. 6), the $\sigma v v$ - here must refer to agreement among the Jewish leaders rather than agreement between them and Judas. Thus av̉t $\underset{~}{\text { s should not be viewed as the }}$ dative complement of $\sigma u v \dot{\varepsilon} \theta \varepsilon \nu \tau$.
à $\rho \gamma \dot{v} \rho ı o v . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~ \delta o u ̃ v a ı . ~$
$\boldsymbol{\delta o v u v a ı . ~ A o r ~ a c t ~ i n f ~} \delta i \delta \omega \mu$ (epexegetical).



$\dot{\varepsilon} \zeta \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \varepsilon$. Impf act ind 3 rd $\operatorname{sg} \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. On the ingressive translation, see 1:59 on ह̇кर́ $\lambda$ ouv.

$\tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \pi \boldsymbol{v} \pi \alpha \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{o v} v a \mathrm{l}$. Aor act inf $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{I}$ (epexegetical to عủkaı $\rho$ iav; see also 10:19 on toṽ $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon i ̃ v) . ~$
av̉̀òv. Accusative direct object of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o v ̃ v a ı$.
ätep ő $\mathbf{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda o v}$. Lit. "without a crowd." The preposition occurs only here and in verse 35 in the NT.
av̉toĩc. Dative indirect object of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o u ̃ v a l$.

## Luke 22:7-13

${ }^{7}$ Now, the Day of Unleavened Bread arrived on which it was necessary to sacrifice the Passover (lamb). ${ }^{8}$ So, he sent Peter and John, saying, "Go and prepare the Passover (meal) for us, so that we can eat it." ${ }^{9}$ They said to him, "Where do you want us to prepare (it)?" ${ }^{10}$ Then he said to them, "After you enter the city, a man carrying a jar of water will meet you! Follow him into the house that he enters, ${ }^{11}$ and say to the owner of the house, 'The teacher says to you, "Where is the guest room where I may eat the Passover (meal) with my disciples." ${ }^{12} \mathrm{He}$ will show you a large upstairs room that is furnished (with what we need). Prepare (the meal) there." ${ }^{13}$ So, they went and found (things) just as he had told them, and they prepared the Passover (meal).
 $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \alpha$ -
${ }^{\pi} \mathrm{H} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg ěp $\chi \circ \mu \alpha \mathrm{a}$.
$\dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \dot{\rho} \rho \alpha$. Nominative subject of ${ }^{*} \mathrm{H} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$. $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \dot{\alpha} \zeta \dot{\iota} \mu \omega v$. "The day associated with unleavened bread." [ $\varepsilon v$ v ท̂̃. Temporal.
モ̋ $\delta \varepsilon ı$. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\delta \varepsilon \tilde{\imath}$ (impersonal).
$\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \mathrm{a}$. Pres pass inf $\theta \dot{\omega} \omega$ (complementary).
тò $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi$. Accusative direct object of $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \sigma \theta a ı$.


$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
$\Pi \varepsilon ́ \tau \rho o v ~ к a i ̀ ~ ’ I \omega \alpha ́ v v \eta \nu$. Accusative direct object of à $\pi \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda \varepsilon v$.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (means).
Пopev日غ́vtec. Aor pass ptc masc nom pl (attendant circumstance). Attendant circumstance participles take on the mood of the verb they modify (see also $5: 14$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} v$ ).

$\dot{\eta} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative of advantage.
tò $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \sigma \chi \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau 0 \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$.
îva. Introduces a purpose clause.
$\varphi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$. Aor act subj 1st pl ह̇ $\sigma \theta i \omega$. Subjunctive with îva.

## 

oi. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \frac{\pi}{\pi} \pi \alpha v$ (see 1:29 on $\dot{\eta}$ ).
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \pi \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. On the form, see 1:61.
$\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\jmath} \tau \tilde{\omega}$. Dative indirect object of عĩाav.
Пoṽ. Introduces a direct question.
$\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varsigma$. Pres act ind 2nd sg $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$.
غ́тоц $\mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon v$. Aor act subj 1st pl $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau o \not \mu \alpha ́ \zeta \omega ~(d e l i b e r a t i v e ~ s u b j u n c-~$ tive). The verb (with Пoṽ) serves as a clausal complement (indirect




$\dot{\text { on }}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon \nu$ (see 1:29 on $\dot{\eta}$ ). عĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av̉тoĩc. Dative indirect object of عĩлદv.
'İoù. See 1:20 on 'Iסov̀.
 lute (see $2: 2$ on $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvev́ovtoc), temporal.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Genitive subject of $\varepsilon \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta$ Óvt $\omega v$.

бuvavtíбยı. Fut act ind 3rd sg бuvavtá $\omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu \mathrm{iv}$. Dative complement of $\sigma \cup v a v \tau \eta \dot{\eta}$. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu i ̃ v$.
äv $\theta \rho \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Nominative subject of $\sigma \cup v a v \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \varepsilon$.

űठatoc. Genitive of content.
$\beta \alpha \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\beta \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\zeta} \zeta \omega$ (attributive).


عís тŋ̀v oỉkiav. Locative.
عic $\mathfrak{\eta} v$. Locative.


 $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \mu о v \varphi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega$;

غ่คعĩтє. Fut act ind 2nd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
 of the household."

т $\mathfrak{\eta} \varsigma$ oikiac. Genitive of subordination.
$\Lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon ı$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{o}$. Dative indirect object of $\Lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma$. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu i ̃ v$.
ó $\delta \mathbf{t} \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \boldsymbol{o c}$. Nominative subject of $\Lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon ı$.
Пои̃. Introduces a direct question. The interrogative adverb functions as the predicate of $\varepsilon$ $\sigma \tau \iota v$.

غ̇бтıv. Pres ind 3rd sg عiji. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on $\varepsilon i \mu u$. тò като́ $\lambda \nu \mu \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$.
тò $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\varphi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega$.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ̀ \tau \tilde{\omega} v \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. Association.
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Genitive of relationship.
$\varphi \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Aor act subj 1st sg $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta i ́ \omega$ (deliberative subjunctive).
 غ̇тоцца́батє.

кảкєĩvoç. Nominative subject of $\delta \varepsilon i \xi \varepsilon$ ı. A shortened form (crasis) of кaì غ̇кะĩvos.
v́uĩv. Dative indirect object of $\delta \varepsilon i \xi \varepsilon \varepsilon$.

$\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \circ \boldsymbol{v} \mu \dot{\mu} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Accusative direct object of $\delta \varepsilon i \xi \varepsilon \varepsilon$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \rho \omega \mu \dot{v} v o v$. Prf pass ptc neut acc sg $\sigma \tau \rho \dot{\omega} v \nu \cup \mu \mathrm{I}$ (attributive).

 тò $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \alpha$.
 circumstance).

عũ $\rho \frac{v}{}$. Aor act ind 3rd pl عúpíø $\kappa \omega$.
каӨシ̀s. Comparative.
عịŋ́кєє. Plprf act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$.

ŋ̀тоі $\mu \boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau о \mu \alpha \dot{\zeta} \zeta \omega$.
тò $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\mathfrak{\eta} \tau o \dot{\mu} \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha v$.

## Luke 22:14-23

${ }^{14}$ And when it was time, he sat down to eat, and the apostles (sat down) with him. ${ }^{15} \mathrm{He}$ said to them, "I have greatly desired to eat this Passover (meal) with you before I suffer. ${ }^{16} \mathrm{For}$ I tell you, I will certainly not eat it (again) until it finds its fulfillment in the kingdom of God."
${ }^{17}$ And when he had received the cup, he gave thanks and said, "Take this and share it among yourselves. ${ }^{18}$ For I tell you, I will certainly not drink from the fruit of the vine from this time until the kingdom of God comes." ${ }^{19}$ And when he had taken the bread, he gave thanks and broke it. Then he gave it to them, saying, "This is my body, which is given for you. Do this in remembrance of me." ${ }^{20}$ (He took) the cup, likewise, after they had eaten the meal, saying, "This cup, which is poured out for you, is the new covenant in my
blood. ${ }^{21}$ Nevertheless, the hand of the one who betrays me is with me at the table! ${ }^{22}$ For the Son of Man will go in accord with what has been determined, but woe to that man through whom he is betrayed!" ${ }^{23}$ Then they began to discuss with each other who among them, in light of what he had said, could possibly be the one who was about to do this.
 av̉าตั.

Kai. The conjunction closely connects what follows with what precedes.
ő $\tau \varepsilon$. Temporal.
غ̇үદ́vยто. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үívoual.
$\dot{\eta}$ ©̈ $\rho a$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \tau 0$. Lit. "when the hour came."

oi $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\pi}$ óбто入ot. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause or an implied $\dot{\alpha} \nu \varepsilon ́ \pi \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \nu$. Placing reference to the disciples at the end of the sentence without an explicit verb keeps the focus on Jesus.
oùv aủtẹ̃. Association.
 $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \alpha$ ¢ $\gamma \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \nu \mu \varepsilon \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o v ̃ ~ \mu \varepsilon \pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} \nu$.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ ò $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ủtov́s. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho$ ò $\alpha$ aủtòv).
'Елı $\theta$ uıía. Cognate dative (dative of manner).

тоṽтo тò $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\varphi$ वүعĩv.
$\varphi a \gamma \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} v$. Aor act inf $\varepsilon$ દ̇ $\sigma i ́ \omega$ (complementary).
$\mu \varepsilon \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Association.
$\pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon i ̃ v$. Aor act $\inf \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \omega$. Used with $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o u ̃ ~ t o ~ d e n o t e ~ s u b s e-~$ quent time (see 2:21 on $\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda \eta \mu \varphi \theta \tilde{\eta} v a 1$ ).
$\mu \varepsilon$. Accusative subject of $\pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon i ̃ v$.


$\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega . .$. vínĩv. See 3:8.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\gamma$ à $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
ő $\tau \mathbf{t}$. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also $1: 25$ on ö öt) of $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\varphi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega$. Aor act subj 1st sg $\varepsilon$ ह̇ $\sigma$ 'í $\omega$. The subjunctive is used with ov̉ $\mu \eta$, which expresses emphatic negation.
av่̉ò. Accusative direct object of $\varphi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega$.
$\varepsilon ँ \omega \varsigma$ ötov. This PP with a genitive relative pronoun (from öбтıৎ) as the object of the preposition (see also 12:50; 13:8) is one of several temporal relative phrases that functions as a fixed expression to denote "the continuous extent of time up to a point" (LN 67.119; cf. BDAG, 730.6; and McKay, 156, who calls them relative adverbial conjunctions). For more on the so-called indefinite relative pronoun, see 1:20 on oïtıvec.
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \tilde{\eta}$. Aor pass subj 3rd sg $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o ́ \omega$. Subjunctive in an indefinite temporal clause with $\tilde{\varepsilon} \omega c$. The implied subject is tò $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \alpha$ ( v . 15). The use of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o{ }^{\omega} \omega$ suggests that the memorial feast known as $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha$ will have some type of final "fulfillment" in the kingdom of God.

غ̇v $\tau \underline{1} \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon$ íạ. Locative.
тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ. Subjective genitive (see also 4:43).

##  

$\delta \varepsilon \xi \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon v o c$. Aor mid ptc masc nom sg $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \circ \mu \alpha u$ (temporal). Louw and Nida (18.1) suggest that the verb could mean, "to take hold of something or someone, with or without force" in this context.
$\pi \mathbf{\pi} \tau \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\circ} \boldsymbol{v}$. Accusative direct object of $\delta \varepsilon \xi \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$.
عủ $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \mathfrak{j} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg عủXapıoté $\omega$ (attendant circumstance).
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\Lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act impv 2nd pl $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$.
тои̃то. Accusative direct object of $\Lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$.
$\delta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act impv 2nd $\mathrm{pl} \delta \iota \alpha \mu \varepsilon \rho i \zeta \omega$. Here, the idea of "distribution" associated with $\delta \iota a \mu \varepsilon \rho i \zeta \omega$ involves passing the cup to each person in turn (cf. LN 22.17).

عís £̇avtoúc. Locative.


$\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega . .$. v́ $\mu i ̃ v$. See 3:8.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$. The conjunction is best viewed as broadly strengthening the preceding assertion (see also 1:15).
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
[ötı]. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse) of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi i \omega$. Aor act subj 1st sg $\pi i v \omega$. The subjunctive is used with ov̉ $\mu \eta$, which expresses emphatic negation (see also 1:15 on $\pi i n$ ).
ànò $\boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{o v}$ vṽv. Temporal. See also 1:48.

$\tau \tilde{\varrho} \varsigma$ à $\mu \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \mathbf{\lambda o v}$. Genitive of producer.
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ ¢ oṽ. Temporal. The preposition and relative pronoun may be combined to form an idiomatic relative phrase (cf. Culy 1989b, 75-76) meaning, "at which time" or "until the time when." It is likely a bit more emphatic than the simple $\tilde{\varepsilon} \omega \varsigma$.

ๆ̀ $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\alpha} \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\check{\varepsilon} \lambda \theta \eta!$.
тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ. Subjective genitive (see also 4:43).





On the difficult textual issues associated with verses 19b-20, see, e.g., Omanson, 147-49; Nolland, 3:1041.
$\lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ (temporal).
ä $\rho \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} v$. Accusative direct object of $\lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\omega} \nu$.
 circumstance).
ěk $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \operatorname{sg} \kappa \lambda \alpha \dot{\omega} \omega$.
है $\delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
av่̉oĩc. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon$ है $\delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (manner).

Toṽtó. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס $\varepsilon$ そ́бic.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \tau v$. Pres ind 3rd sg عíhi. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on $\varepsilon i \mu ı$.
тò $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \dot{\alpha}$. Predicate nominative. On the second accent, see 1:13

$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Genitive of relationship.
$\dot{v} \pi \grave{\varepsilon} \rho \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Representation/Advantage.
$\delta \mathbf{t} \delta \dot{o} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v o v}$. Pres pass ptc neut nom sg $\delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{l}$ (attributive).
тоṽто. Accusative direct object of лоเยĩє.



 रuvvó $\mu \varepsilon$ vov.

$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ̀$ à̀ $\delta \varepsilon \iota \pi v \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \mathrm{l}$. Aor act inf $\delta \varepsilon \iota \pi v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. Used with $\mu \varepsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ tó to denote antecedent time (see 12:5 on àтоктвival). When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81).
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (manner, modifying the implied $\check{c} \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon v)$.

Toṽтo tò đoтńpıov. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
$\dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\kappa \alpha} \boldsymbol{\nu} \mathfrak{\eta} \delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\alpha} 0 \dot{\eta} \kappa \boldsymbol{\eta}$. Predicate nominative of a verbless equative clause.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\omega} \alpha i ̈ \mu \alpha \tau i$. Instrumental. The PP points to the means by which the covenant is initiated (cf. Nolland, $3: 1054$ ). On the second accent,

$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Possessive genitive.
$\dot{v} \pi \grave{\varepsilon} \rho \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Representation/Advantage.
غ̇к $\chi \nu v \nu o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v$. Pres pass ptc neut nom sg $̇ \kappa \chi \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (attributive, with tò, modifying roтńpoov). After noting the neat parallel that
 in verse 19, Nolland (3:1054) argues that "while some have tried to make grammatical sense of this situation, it is perhaps best to see here instead the ungrammatical product of the meeting of liturgical
innovation with liturgical conservatism and delight in tight formal parallelism．Despite the grammar，it must be the blood and not the cup that is poured out．＂Our translation follows the grammar．It appears that what has happened is that Luke has thought of＂this cup＂as＂this cup of my blood．＂

##  $\tau \rho a \pi \varepsilon ́ \zeta \eta \varsigma$.

$\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} v$. Adversative．
ídov̀．See 1：20．
$\mathfrak{\eta} \chi \boldsymbol{\chi} \mathbf{i} \rho$ ．Nominative subject of a nominal clause（see 5：12 on àv $̀ \dot{\rho} \rho$ ）．

тoũ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \iota \delta$ óvtoc．Pres act ptc masc gen sg $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta i \delta \omega \mu$（sub－ stantival）．Possessive genitive．
$\mu \varepsilon$ ．Accusative direct object of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \iota \delta o ́ v \tau o \varsigma$.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau$＇$̇ \mu о$ ṽ．Association．
غ̇лі̀ $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \tau \rho a \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \zeta \eta \zeta$ ．Locative．


ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．Causal．The conjunction（found in $\mathfrak{P}^{75} \boldsymbol{\aleph}$ B D L T 5791241 pc）connects implied information from the preceding clause and the clause it introduces．The fact that there is a betrayer at the table is due to the fact that the Son of Man has been ordained to die（cf． Plummer，500）．Not surprisingly，many scribes smoothed out the grammar by substituting kai for ötı（ $\mathrm{A} W \Theta \Psi f^{f, 13}$ 刃凡）．

ó víòs．Nominative subject of $\pi$ орعט́عтal．
$\mu \varepsilon ̀ v$ ．The $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} v \ldots \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \nu$ construction（rather than the more typical $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} v \ldots \delta \dot{\varepsilon})$ makes the contrast expressed in the second clause more stark（see also 3：18 on $\mu \varepsilon ̀ v$ ）．

то⿱̃乂 $\mathfrak{a} v \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \mathbf{o v}$ ．Genitive of relationship．

тò $\dot{\omega} \rho \iota \sigma \mu$ と́vov．Prf pass ptc neut acc sg ópi $\zeta \omega$（substantival）．
торєv่ยтаı．Pres mid ind 3rd sg торعv่o $\mu a$.
$\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ ．Adversative．
ov̉aì．This interjection does not represent a curse（Bovon，2：55），
but rather introduces "an expression of pity for those who stand under divine judgment" (Marshall, 255).

$\delta \mathbf{l}^{\prime}$ oṽ. Intermediate agency.
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta i \delta o \tau \alpha \mathrm{c}$. Pres pass ind 3rd sg $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
 $\alpha ט ̉ \tau \omega ̃ v$ ó тои̃то $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega v \pi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon เ v$.
av̉тoi. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} \rho \xi \alpha \nu \tau 0$.
ŋ̋ $\rho \xi \alpha \nu \tau 0$. Aor mid ind 3rd pl ä $\rho \chi \omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \tau ̃ v$. Pres act inf $\sigma \cup \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \dot{\omega}$ (complementary).
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ́ \alpha u \tau o u ̀ \varsigma . ~ I n d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~(s e e ~ 1: 13 ~ o n ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \alpha u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
tò. The article functions as a nominalizer (see 1:48 on ánò toṽ
 $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \pi \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \varepsilon เ \nu$, into the accusative direct object of $\sigma \cup \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \pi \nu$ (see also 1:62 on tò).
tic. Predicate nominative. The interrogative pronoun introduces an indirect question (see also $1: 29 ; 8: 9 ; 18: 36$ ).
ä $\rho \alpha$. Inferential, translated "in light of what he had said" above.
عi゙!. Pres opt 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$ i.

$\dot{\text { o }}$. . . $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ (substantival). Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ 'lך. On the semantics of $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ with an infinitive, see 21:7 on $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \eta$.

тoṽтo. Accusative direct object of $\pi \rho \alpha \alpha_{\sigma \sigma \varepsilon ı v . ~ T h e ~ u n u s u a l ~ p o s i-~}^{\text {. }}$ tion of the demonstrative makes it more prominent.
$\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \iota v$. Pres act inf $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega$ (complementary).

## Luke 22:24-38

${ }^{24}$ Now there was, in fact, an argument among them regarding which of them was considered to be the greater. ${ }^{25}$ So, he said to them, "The kings of the Gentiles rule over them and those who exercise authority over them are called 'benefactors.' ${ }^{26} \mathrm{But}$ you are not to be that way. Let the greatest among you be like the youngest, and the leader (among you) like the one who serves. ${ }^{27}$ For who is greater, the one who sits at the table or the one who serves? Is it not the one who sits at the table? But I am among you as one who serves."
${ }^{28}$＂You are the ones who have stuck with me in my trials．${ }^{29}$ So I confer a kingdom on you，just as my Father conferred on me，${ }^{30}$ so that you might eat and drink at my table in my kingdom，and you will sit on thrones judging the twelve tribes of Israel．＂
${ }^{31}$＂Simon，Simon，Satan has asked to sift you（all）like wheat！ ${ }^{32}$ But I have pled for you，（Simon），that your faith may not fail．And you，when you have turned back，strengthen your brothers．＂${ }^{33}$ But he said to him，＂Lord，I am ready to go with you even to prison and to death！＂${ }^{34}$ Then he said，＂I tell you，Peter，a rooster will not crow today until you have denied three times that you know me！＂
${ }^{35}$ Then he said to them，＂When I sent you without a wallet，a travel bag，or（extra）sandals，you didn＇t lack anything，did you？＂ They replied，＂Nothing．＂${ }^{36} \mathrm{He}$ said to them，＂But now，the one who has a wallet，let him take（it along）．Likewise also a travel bag．And the one who does not have（one），let him sell his cloak and buy a sword．${ }^{37}$ For I tell you，it is necessary for this passage that was written to be fulfilled in me：＇He was counted with the lawless．＇For indeed the things（written）about me are coming to an end．＂${ }^{38}$ Then they said，＂Lord，here are two swords！＂And he said to them，＂It is enough．＂

##  عĩvaı $\mu \varepsilon i \zeta \omega v$ ．

＇Eүモ́veto $\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon}$ кaì．Although the structure of this verse is very simi－ lar to 9：46，this is the only place in the NT or LXX，except for 2 Pet
 nation occurs．The unusual construction apparently highlights the content of the dispute among the disciples（so Kwong，196，n．40）．

بı入oveıкia．Nominative subject of＇E $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$＇vecto．Only here in the NT：
＂readiness or desire to argue or quarrel＂（LN 33．449）．
èv aủtoĩc．Association．
tò．The article functions as a nominalizer（see 1：48 on á $\pi o ̀$ to
 $\mu \varepsilon i \zeta \omega v$ ，into a nominative substantive that is in apposition to甲i入oveıкia（see also 1：62 on tò）．
tic．Nominative subject of $\delta$ окعi．
av̉てడ̃v．Partitive．
бокะі̃．Pres act ind 3rd sg $\delta$ ок $\varepsilon$（ $\omega$ ．
عĩval．Pres inf $\varepsilon$ íhi（indirect discourse with a verb of cognition； cf．1：22 on őtı）．
$\mu \varepsilon i \zeta \omega v$. Predicate（comparative）adjective．It is not uncommon for the predicate of an infinitive to appear in the nominative case， rather than the accusative，when there is not an explicit subject of the infinitive．This is particularly true when the infinitival clause modifies $\delta$ oк $\varepsilon \omega$（see also Acts 17：18； 1 Cor 14：37；Gal 2：9；Culy and Parsons，334）．


ó．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$（see 1：29 on $\dot{\eta}$ ）． $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
av̉тoĩc．Dative indirect object of عĩ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \varepsilon$ v．
Oí $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon i ̃ c . ~ N o m i n a t i v e ~ s u b j e c t ~ o f ~ k u p ı \varepsilon v ́ o u \sigma ı v . ~$
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \dot{\varepsilon} \theta v \tilde{\omega} v$ ．Genitive of subordination．
кขрıєv่ovaıv．Pres act ind 3rd pl кขрıะن́ш．

 tival）．Nominative subject of $\kappa \alpha \lambda$ oṽv $\tau \alpha$ ．

عủsp $\boldsymbol{\text { ćtaı．}}$ ．Complement in a subject－complement double nomi－ native construction（see 1：32 on viòs）．

ка入ои̃ขтаı．Pres pass ind 3rd pl ка入غ́ш．


$\dot{\text { v }} \mu \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}$ ．Nominative subject of an implied verb，such as $̇ \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ．
oűtç．Adverb of manner．
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ．Adversative．Introduces a clause that runs contra conven－ tional expectations．
$\dot{\delta} \mu \varepsilon i \zeta \omega v$ ．Nominative subject of $\gamma ı \nu \varepsilon \dot{\sigma} \theta \omega$ ．The comparative form was often used for the superlative in Koine Greek（cf．Marshall， 813）．

ह̉v $v$ úrĩv. Association.
$\gamma \iota v \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta \omega$. Pres mid impv 3rd sg $\gamma$ ívo $\mu a ı$.
$\dot{\omega}$. Comparative.
$\dot{\boldsymbol{o}} \boldsymbol{v \varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\omega} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\circ}$. Nominative subject of an implied $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau i v$. Lit. "Let the greatest . . . be like the youngest (is)."
 Nominative subject of an implied $\gamma \iota v \varepsilon ́ \sigma \theta \omega$.
$\dot{\omega} \mathbf{c}$. Comparative.
ó $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\omega} v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\delta$ ıaкové $\omega$ (substantival). Nominative subject of an implied ह̇бтiv. Lit. "and (let) the one who leads (be) like the one who serves (is)."


tic. Nominative subject of an implied equative verb. үà̀. Explanatory (see also 1:15).
$\mu \varepsilon i \zeta \omega v$. Predicate adjective of an implied equative verb.
 val). Nominative subject of an implied equative verb with $\mu \varepsilon i \zeta \omega v$.
ó $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\omega} v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa 0 v \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (substantival). Nominative subject of an implied equative verb with $\mu \varepsilon i \zeta \omega v$.
 val). Nominative subject of an implied equative verb with $\mu \varepsilon i \zeta \omega v$. $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \grave{\omega}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i \mu$.
غ̇v $\mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \omega$. Locative.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Partitive genitive.
$\varepsilon i \mu \mathrm{t}$. Pres ind 1st sg عiцí.
$\dot{\omega}$. Comparative.
ó $\delta \mathbf{\iota} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v} v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\delta$ ıакоv $\dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (substantival). Nominative subject of an implied ( $\dot{\varepsilon} v \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \omega \mathfrak{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ ) غ̇бтiv.
 $\mu$ оĩs $\mu$ оv.
$\dot{\text { vincic. Nominative subject of }}$ ह̇бтє.
غ̇бтє. Pres ind 2nd pl عíhí.
oi $\delta \mathbf{\delta} \alpha \mu \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \kappa$ о́ $\tau \varepsilon$. Prf act ptc masc nom $\mathrm{pl} \delta ı \alpha \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega$ (substantival). Predicate nominative.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau$ ' $̇ \mu о \tilde{v}$. Association.
 $\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Subjective genitive.

##  $\beta a \sigma \lambda$ cí $\alpha v$,

$\boldsymbol{\kappa} \alpha \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Nominative subject of $\delta ı \alpha \tau i \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha ı$. A shortened form (crasis) of кaì $\varepsilon$ ү'́.

סıati $\theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha \mathbf{l}$. Pres mid ind 1st sg $\delta \iota a t i \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha a$. As Louw and Nida (37.105) point out, the expression, סıatıÁvvaı $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha v$, means "to designate someone in a somewhat formal or official way for the role of ruling." The translation follows BDAG (238.2).

каӨ̀̀s. Comparative.
$\delta$ té $\theta \varepsilon \tau \dot{\prime}$. Aor mid ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \operatorname{sg} \delta \iota \alpha \tau i \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha \mathrm{u}$. On the second accent, see $1: 13$ on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס $\varepsilon$ そ́ oí.
$\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{o}$. Dative indirect object of $\delta \iota \varepsilon \in \varepsilon \tau$ to. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\dot{\eta} \mu i ̃ v$.

$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Genitive of relationship.
$\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha v$. Accusative direct object of $\delta \iota \alpha \tau i \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha \iota$. Placing the direct object at the end of the clause makes it more prominent.

 'Iб $\rho a \dot{\eta} \lambda$.
îva. Introduces a purpose clause.
हैб $\sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act subj 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ モ̇ $\sigma$ i $\omega$. Subjunctive with ìva.
$\pi i v \eta \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act subj 3rd sg $\pi i v \omega$. Subjunctive with îva.

$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Possessive genitive.
غ̇v Tñ $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon$ ía. $_{\text {. Locative. }}$
$\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{v}$. Subjective genitive (see also 4:43).
$\kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$. Fut mid ind 2 nd pl ка́ $\theta \eta \mu \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Although the preceding кaì could indicate that this clause is part of the ivo clause, with the future ( $\boldsymbol{N}^{\mathrm{A} \mathrm{B}^{2} \mathrm{~L} N \mathrm{Q} \mathrm{W} \Theta \Psi f^{13} p c \text { ) being substituted for the more }}$ typical subjunctive (cf. 14:10 on $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \varepsilon \tilde{\imath})$, the context suggests that it
is coordinate with the clause in verse 29 (cf. McKay, 134). Some scribes ( $\mathrm{B}^{*} \mathrm{~T} \Delta p c$ ), however, made a connection to the îva clause explicit by using the aorist middle subjunctive $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon$ (thus, "so that you might eat and drink . . . and sit on thrones . . .").
ėnì $\theta \rho o ́ v \omega v$. Locative.

кріvovtec. Pres act ptc masc nom pl крivف (manner).
тои̃ 'Iбןaŋ́ $\lambda$. Epexegetical genitive.

##  $\dot{\omega}$ ¢ tòv $\sigma \tilde{\tau} \tau 0 v$.

$\Sigma \dot{i} \mu \omega \nu \Sigma \dot{i} \mu \omega v$. Vocative. Kwong (176) points out that only here does Luke change from a collective addressee to a specified one. More importantly, he notes that only here and in 1:76 is there an abrupt shift of addressee that only includes a vocative. Luke typically introduces new addressees with a phrase like "Jesus said to Peter," or at least a phrase with a personal pronoun, such as "Jesus said to them" (Kwong, 176, n. 8). In 1:76, the addressee (the baby John) is already present in the context. The same is true here (contra Kwong, 178, n. 9). Furthermore, the use of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ in 1:76 makes the shift much less abrupt than here. The use of the vocative reference to Simon merely narrows the addressee to an individual within the group that has been in focus.
íoov̀. See 1:20.

$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \eta \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma a \tau 0$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha \iota \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{a} \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $\sigma$ ıvió $\sigma a 1$. Although the addressee is actually singular $(\Sigma i \mu \omega v)$, Jesus' words continue to relate more broadly to the band of disciples (and perhaps others). Thus the plural pronoun is used here, even though Jesus uses the singular pronoun four times in the next verse (cf. Kwong, 176, n. 7).

тoṽ $\sigma \iota v \dot{\alpha} \sigma a \iota$. Aor act inf $\sigma \iota v ı \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$ (indirect discourse).
$\omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Comparative.
兀òv $\boldsymbol{\sigma i \tau} \tau \mathbf{o v}$. Accusative direct object of an implied ( $\tau \iota \varsigma) \sigma ı v ı \dot{\zeta} \zeta \varepsilon ı$.


$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \grave{\omega}$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \delta \varepsilon \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \nu$. Kwong (181-84) notes the recurring presence of redundant subject pronouns in this passage (22:27, 28, 29, 32) and argues that these point to the "Information Focus" of the unit: Jesus' prayer that Simon's faith not fail under Satan's attack (Kwong, 183). He (184) believes that the shift in topic in verse 31 from the disciples to Simon (see v. 31 on $\Sigma i \mu \omega \nu \Sigma i \mu \omega v$ ) also serves to foreground the message in verse 32 .
$\varepsilon ̇ \delta \varepsilon \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \eta v$.Aor mid ind 1st sg $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ oual. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho і ̀ ~ \sigma o v ̃ . ~ R e f e r e n c e . ~$
îva. Introduces indirect discourse (see also 8:31 on îva). This could also plausibly be viewed as a purpose clause (cf. 18:39 on îva; McKay, 117).

$\dot{\eta} \pi i \sigma \tau \iota c$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \lambda i \pi \eta!$.
oov. Subjective genitive.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} v$. . Nominative subject of $\sigma \tau \eta \mathfrak{\rho} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \psi \alpha c$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$ (temporal). The use of the explicit temporal adverb $\pi о \tau \varepsilon$ ( "pert. to generalization of time"; BDAG, 856.1) with the participle may give the whole construction a slightly different sense than had the participle been used alone: "when you have in due course turned back."

бтŋ́ptoov. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\sigma \tau \eta \rho \dot{\zeta} \zeta \omega$.
тov̀s à $\delta \varepsilon \lambda$ ¢ov́c. Accusative direct object of $\sigma \tau \eta \dot{\rho}$ เбov.
oov. Genitive of relationship.


$\dot{\text { on }}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon \nu$ (see 1:29 on $\dot{\eta}$ ).
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av่̉โต̣. Dative indirect object of عĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$.
Kúpı\&. Vocative.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \sigma o v ̃ . ~ A s s o c i a t i o n . ~$
étoццós. Predicate adjective. On the second accent, see 1:13 on ŋ̀ $\delta$ ह́ $ך \sigma i \varsigma$.
$\varepsilon \dot{\ell} \mu$. Pres ind 1st sg $\varepsilon i \mu i ́$.




ó. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$ (see 1:29 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ).
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\tau}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\Lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma o t}$. See 3:8.
$\Lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{o}$. Dative indirect object of $\Lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
Пغ́т $\rho \varepsilon$. Vocative.
$\varphi \omega v \eta \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Fut act ind 3rd sg $\varphi \omega v \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \tau \omega \rho$. Nominative subject of $\varphi \omega v \eta$ ' $\sigma \varepsilon$.
ع̈ $\omega \varsigma$. Temporal.
$\mu \varepsilon$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon i \delta \dot{\delta} v a ı$. The fronting of the pronoun adds force to the statement.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho v \eta \dot{\eta} \eta$. Aor mid subj 2nd sg ả $\pi \alpha \rho v \varepsilon ́ o \mu \alpha$. Subjunctive with ع̈ $\omega \varsigma$.

عí $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} v a \mathfrak{c}$. Prf act inf oĩ $\delta \alpha$ (indirect discourse; cf. Sophocles, Ant. 442). On the use of the perfect tense with this verb, see $4: 34$ on oĩ $\delta \dot{\alpha}$. Some manuscripts (A D W $M$ ) insert $\mu \eta$ before the infinitive. As McKay (101) notes, "because the original direct statement would have contained a negative, $\mu \dot{\prime}$ is sometimes found with an infinitive representing a statement depending on a verb which contains a negative idea in itself."

 OủӨعvós.
$\varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \nu$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av̉兀oĩc. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon$ Ĩ $\pi \varepsilon \nu$.
"Oтє. Temporal.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \varepsilon \iota \lambda \alpha$. Aor act ind 1 st sg ả $\pi \sigma \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{a} \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of ả $\pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \varepsilon เ \lambda \alpha$.
ä่ $\varepsilon \rho \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \nu \tau i ́ o v ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi \eta ่ \rho a \varsigma ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ v ́ \pi o \delta \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$. The preposition occurs only here and in verse 6 in the NT.
$\mu \eta$. The negativizer indicates that a negative answer is expected to this question.

тıvoc. Genitive complement of $\mathfrak{v} \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \varepsilon$.

oi. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \pi \alpha \nu$ (see 1:29 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ).
عĩ $\pi \alpha v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. On the form, see 1:61.
Oủ $\theta \varepsilon v o ́ \varsigma . ~ G e n i t i v e ~ c o m p l e m e n t ~ o f ~ a n ~ i m p l i e d ~ v ́ \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \eta ं \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v . ~$

 $\dot{\alpha} \gamma о \rho \alpha \sigma \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \iota \rho \alpha v$.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av̉тoĩc. Dative indirect object of عĩ̃єv.
'A $\lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\alpha}$. Adversative.
vṽv. Temporal.
 subject of ảpát $\omega$.
$\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} v \tau \iota o v$. Accusative direct object of $\frac{\varepsilon}{} \chi \omega v$.
à $\rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$. Aor act impv 3rd sg aîp $\omega$.
$\pi \dot{\eta} \rho a v$. Accusative direct object of an implied $\varepsilon$ é $\chi \omega v$.
$\dot{\mathbf{o}}$. . . $\ddot{\varepsilon} \chi \omega \boldsymbol{v}$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\ddot{\varepsilon} \chi \omega$ (substantival). The implied object of this verb, given the use of $\pi \omega \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \tau \omega$ with $\dot{\alpha} \gamma о \rho \alpha \sigma \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$, is most likely $\mu \dot{\alpha} \alpha \iota \rho a v$ rather than $\pi \dot{\eta} \rho a v$. For a fuller discussion of the ambiguity here, see Bock, 2:1746-47; Fitzmyer, 2:1431-32.
$\pi \omega \lambda \eta \sigma \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$. Aor act impv 3rd sg $\pi \omega \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
тò í $\mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{o v}$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ ë $\chi \omega v$.
aủ̃oũ. Possessive genitive.
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \sigma \dot{\alpha} \tau \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Aor act impv 3rd sg $\dot{\alpha} \gamma o \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$.
$\mu \alpha ́ \chi \alpha \iota \rho a v$. Accusative direct object of $\alpha \gamma o \rho a \sigma \alpha ́ \tau \omega$.

 غ゙хモ.

Kwong (184-96) presents a detailed argument linking this verse to the three earlier predictions that Jesus has made about his impending suffering and death (9:22, 44; 18:31). He draws attention to both lexical and grammatical parallels in the passages that serve to link them together, though he inadvertantly states that $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} v a \iota$ (22:37) and $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha a(18: 31)$ are both future tense.

Thus, tòv viòv toṽ ảv $\theta \rho \dot{\omega}$ tov is picked up in 9:44 with ó . . viòs тoṽ $\mathfrak{\alpha} v \theta \rho \dot{\sigma} \pi \sigma v$, which at that point is given information (i.e., information that is recoverable from the earlier context or predictable), before the new information is introduced in a clause containing the word $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta i \delta o \sigma \theta a 1$. In the next prediction (18:31-33), the same verb shows up now as given information ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha 1 ;$ v. 32), which Luke builds on to introduce new information: $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon ́ v \alpha$ (v. 31). This expression is then used in the final chain of passion predictions: $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon ่ v o v \delta \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} v a \iota$ (22:37). Kwong (187) maintains that the point of this "information chain" is to highlight the final piece of new information, which occurs in 22:37. The information in this verse is said to be the "focus," a view that is supported by the "topic discontinuity" introduced with the formula $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \gamma$ 人̀ $\rho$ ט́ $\mu i ̃ v$ ö ôt (see above), which also serves to mark this verse as a foregrounded message (Kwong, 187). Kwong (189-94) supports this analysis by noting how Luke builds from less to more specific information. For example, in 9:22 the agent of $\pi о \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon i v ~ i s ~ n o t ~ s p e c i f i e d, ~ w h i l e ~ i n ~ 9: 44 ~ w e ~ f i n d ~$ the generic specification $\dot{\alpha} v \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$, which is made more specific in 18:31 (тоĩ $\begin{gathered} \\ \theta \\ \text { veбıv). Similarly, the content of what will hap- }\end{gathered}$

 $\mu \alpha \sigma \tau เ \gamma \dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ (18:31-32); and the general reference to $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha$ т $\alpha$ $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \varepsilon ́ v \alpha$ סıà $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \pi \rho о \varphi \eta \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ in 18:31 culminates in a reference to an actual OT prophecy in this verse: Kaì $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ̀ \alpha ̉ v o ́ \mu \omega v ~ \varepsilon ̇ \lambda o \gamma i \sigma \theta \eta . ~$ One might object here that Kwong has conveniently overlooked

 $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \rho \theta \tilde{\eta} v a \iota$ in 9:22, but 18:31-32 still remains a more specific articulation of what is to come. (We might also note that Kwong does not take passages like 17:24-25 into account.) Finally, the factors above are supported by the marked grammatical construction in this verse where the demonstrative toũto modifies tò $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon$ vov as the subject of the infinitive, which is then picked up with the epexegetical nominalized OT quotation to which the cataphoric тoũto points: tò Kaì $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ̀ \alpha ~ \alpha ̉ v o ́ \mu \omega v ~ \varepsilon ̇ \lambda o \gamma i ́ \sigma \theta \eta ~(c f . ~ K w o n g, ~ 194-96) . ~$ All of this serves, according to Kwong, to help highlight the focal point of information that Luke is introducing: The identity of the
one who will suffer and die is Jesus himself (the less specific tòv

 غ่ $\mu$ оṽ in 22:37).
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \omega$. . . víñ̃. See 3:8.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
үà $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).
ט̀ $\mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also 1:25 on ő oı) of $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.

тoṽтo. Accusative subject of $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} v a$. The demonstrative pronoun is cataphoric, i.e., it points forward to the Scripture quotation (see also 10:11 on toũтo).

тò $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \dot{v}$ vov. Prf pass ptc neut acc sg $\gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varphi \omega$ (attributive).
$\delta \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}$. Pres act ind 3 rd sg $\delta \varepsilon \tilde{\imath}$ (impersonal).
$\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} v a l$. Aor pass inf $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (complementary).
ėv $\grave{\varepsilon} \mu o i$. Reference. This is the first time that Jesus refers directly to himself as the object of impending persecution rather than using the phrase "the Son of Man" (Kwong, 193, n. 35; see also above).
tò. The neuter accusative article functions as a nominalizer turn-
 is epexegetical to тoṽтo tò $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v$ (contra Caragounis, 205, who calls it "a kind of introductory particle").
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ̀$ àvó $\mu \omega v$. Association.

 an end."
$\gamma$ à $\rho$. Inferential (see also 1:15), used in "self-evident conclusions, esp. in exclamations, strong affirmations, etc." (BDAG, 190.3).
tò. The neuter article functions as a nominalizer turning the PP

$\pi \varepsilon \rho \grave{c}$ c̀ $\mu$ ои̃. Reference.

モ̋ $\chi \varepsilon เ$. Pres act ind 3rd sg é $\chi \omega$.
 av̉toĩ, 'Iкаvóv દ̇のтıv.
oi. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ĩtav (see 1:29 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ).
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. On the form, see 1:61.
Kúpız. Vocative.
ídoù. See 1:20.
$\boldsymbol{\mu} \dot{\alpha} \chi \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\rho a t} .$. . $\boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{o}$. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
$\tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{\delta} \varepsilon$. Locative.
$\dot{\mathbf{o}}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon \nu$ (see 1:29 on $\dot{\eta}$ ).
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\pi} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av่тoĩc. Dative indirect object of عĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$.
'Ikavóv. Predicate adjective. Although the subject of the clause could be an implicit $\mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \alpha \iota \rho a ı$ dúo ("Two swords are enough"), Plummer (507), Marshall (827), and others have suggested that the clause here is an idiom for bringing a discussion to a close or perhaps for rebuking someone (in this case, rebuking the disciples for taking his mention of a sword literally): "Enough!"

غ̇бтıv. Pres ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$. . On the loss of accent, see $1: 18$ on $\varepsilon i \mu$.

## Luke 22:39-46

${ }^{30}$ Leaving (there), he went to the Mount of Olives in accord with his custom, and the disciples followed him. ${ }^{40}$ Now, when he arrived at the place, he said to them, "Pray that you are not overcome by temptation." ${ }^{41}$ Then he withdrew from them about a stone's throw, knelt down and began praying, ${ }^{42}$ saying, "Father, if you are willing, take this cup from me. Nevertheless, may it not be my will but rather yours that is done." [[43 ${ }^{43}$ hen an angel from heaven appeared to him to strengthen him. ${ }^{44}$ And being in agony he was praying all the more earnestly. Indeed, his sweat was like drops of blood falling on the ground.]] ${ }^{45} \mathrm{When}$ he got up from praying he went to the disciples and found them sleeping (because they were worn out) from grief. ${ }^{46}$ And he said to them, "Why are you asleep? Get up and pray so that you do not enter temptation."

##  

$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\dot{\xi} \xi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi o \mu a l$ (attendant circumstance or temporal).

غ̇лорєи́Өŋ. Aor mid ind 3rd sg порعט́oual. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.

катà tò ëもoc．Standard．
عís tò＂Opoc．Locative．
$\boldsymbol{\tau} \tilde{\omega} v$＇Eスaı$\tilde{\omega} v$ ．Genitive of identification（see 2：4 on $\mathrm{Na} \zeta \alpha \rho \grave{\varepsilon} \theta$ ）：
＂the mountain called Olives／Olive Trees．＂

aủtụ．Dative complement of ŋ̇ко入ои́Өŋ $\sigma \alpha v$ ．
oi $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha i$ ．Nominative subject of $\eta \kappa \kappa \lambda$ ov́ $\eta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ．


$\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ ó $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathbf{v o c .}$ ．Aor mid ptc masc nom sg $\gamma$ ívoual（temporal）．Lit． ＂happening upon the place．＂
èrì toṽ tónov．Locative．
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$ ．
av̉тoĩc．Dative indirect object of عĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$ ．

 verb means，＂to begin to experience an event or state＂（LN 90．70）．
 This expression could refer to either being＂tempted＂or facing a ＂trial．＂Given the context，Jesus cannot be instructing them to pray that the imminent trial will be avoided altogether．He knows what is coming．Rather，his concern must be with temptation．The ques－ tion，then，is whether the expression points to＂facing＂temptation or being＂overcome＂by temptation（NLT）．Again，the context sug－ gests that Jesus knows very well that they are about to face tempta－ tion．His concern is not with avoiding the temptation，but rather with them not succumbing to the temptation．He thus here instructs them to pray for strength so that they will not falter in the midst of temptation．

عis $\pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\rho a \sigma \mu o ́ v}$ ．Locative in a metaphorical sense．

##  тà үóvata $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta$ ú $\chi \varepsilon \tau о$

av̉ $\boldsymbol{\text { òs．}}$ ．Nominative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \sigma \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \eta$ ．The explicit subject pronoun＂ensures that attention remains on Jesus，rather than on the disciples＇response to his instruction＂（Levinsohn 2000，13）．
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \sigma \pi \dot{\alpha} \alpha \sigma \eta$. Aor mid ind 3 rd sg $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \sigma \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$. On the voice, see
"Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
$\dot{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{a} \hat{u} \tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$. Separation.
$\dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Marker of approximation.
$\lambda i \theta o v$. Objective genitive.
$\beta \mathbf{o} \lambda \grave{\lambda}$ v. Accusative extent of space (Robertson, 469).
$\theta$ हic. Aor act ptc masc nom sg ti $\theta \eta \mu$ (temporal).

$\pi \rho о \sigma \eta \dot{\chi} \chi \varepsilon \tau 0$. Impf mid ind 3rd sg $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \dot{\chi} \chi \circ \mu a \mathrm{a}$. On the ingressive translation, see 1:59 on غ̇к人́ $\lambda$ ouv.


$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (attendant circumstance).
Пá $\tau \varepsilon \rho$. Vocative.
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ l. Introduces the protasis of a first class condition.

$\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \varepsilon$. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \varphi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \omega$. The apodosis begins with this verb. According to Louw and Nida (90.97), the expression $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon ́ \rho \omega$ tò $\pi 0 \tau \eta \dot{\rho}$ เov à $\pi$ ó means "to cause someone to not undergo some trying experience."

тои̃то тò потท́pıov. Accusative direct object of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \varepsilon$.

$\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$. Adversative.


$\mu \mathbf{\mu}$. Subjective genitive.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$. Adversative (see also 1:60).
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{v} v$. The possessive adjective modifies an implied $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$.
$\gamma \iota v \varepsilon ́ \sigma \theta \omega$. Pres mid impv 3rd sg үivouaı.

Modern translations are divided on whether to omit (RSV), include (NIV, REB, TEV), or bracket (NRSV) verses 43-44. On the basis of both external and internal evidence, the editors of $\mathrm{NA}^{27} /$ UBS ${ }^{4}$ have judged the verses to be secondary, but have placed the
passage within double brackets to indicate "its antiquity and its importance in the textual tradition" (cf. Omanson, 150).
$\ddot{\omega} \varphi \theta \boldsymbol{\eta}$. Aor pass ind 3rd sg ó $\rho \alpha \dot{\omega} \omega$. See also 1:11.
av̉t $\underset{\sim}{c}$. Dative complement of $\ddot{\omega} \varphi \theta \eta$. See also $1: 11$ on aủt $\tilde{\omega}$.
ä $\gamma \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{\lambda}$. Nominative subject of $\ddot{\omega} \varphi \theta \eta$.
à $\pi$ ' oủpavoṽ. Source.

av̉兀óv. Accusative direct object of $̇ v i \sigma \chi u ̛ \omega v$.

 โク̀v $\gamma \tilde{\eta} v$. .]]
$\gamma^{\varepsilon v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o c . ~ A o r ~ m i d ~ p t c ~ m a s c ~ n o m ~ s g ~} \gamma$ ivoual (temporal or perhaps causal).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \gamma \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v i \alpha}$. Here, a "marker of a state or condition" (BDAG, 327.2).
 here.

غ̇үย́vยто. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual.

av่̉oũ. Possessive genitive.
ఉбعì. Comparative.
$\theta \rho \dot{\rho} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{o}$. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
aïцатос. Attributive genitive or "drops consisting of blood."
катаßаivovtec. Pres act ptc masc nom pl катаßаivш (attributive).

غ̇nì $\tau \grave{\eta} v \gamma \tilde{\eta} v$. Locative.


àvaotàc. Aor act ptc masc nom sg ảvíбтŋ $\mu \mathrm{l}$ (temporal).
à $\pi$ ò $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon v \chi \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$. Separation.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg êp $\rho \chi \mu \alpha ı$ (attendant circumstance).
$\pi \rho$ òs $\tau$ ov̀s $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau$ tàs. Spatial.
عũ $\rho \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg ev́píбк $\omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\kappa о} \mu \omega \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v o v s . ~ P r e s ~ m i d ~ p t c ~ m a s c ~ a c c ~ p l ~ к о ц \mu \alpha ́ о \mu \alpha ı . ~ C o m p l e m e n t ~}$ in an object-complement double accusative construction.
av̉兀ov̀s. Accusative direct object of عũpev.

22:46 каì $\varepsilon i ̃ \pi \varepsilon v ~ a v ̉ \tau o i ̃ ৎ, ~ T i ́ ~ \kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon v ́ \delta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon ; ~ a ̉ v \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha ́ v \tau \varepsilon ৎ ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon v ่ \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon, ~$


عĩ兀ยv. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{u} \tau 0 \tilde{c}$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$.
к $\alpha \theta \varepsilon \dot{\delta} \delta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 2nd pl $\kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon v ่ \delta \omega$.
 also 1:39 on 'Avaбтã $\sigma a$. . . غ่ $\pi \circ \rho \varepsilon v ่ \theta \eta \ldots \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \sigma \pi o v \delta \tilde{\eta} \varsigma)$.

íva. As the text is punctuated in $\mathrm{NA}^{27} / \mathrm{UBS}^{4}$ with a break after $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon u ́ \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$, the ǐva introduces a purpose clause (cf. NIV). If there is no break after the imperative, then the itva may introduce a content clause (cf. Wallace, 475): "Get up and pray that you do not enter temptation" (cf. NJB; Omanson, 150).
 $\mu$ óv.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act subj 2nd pl $\varepsilon \dot{\jmath} \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi o \mu a \mathrm{a}$. Subjunctive with ǐva.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varsigma} \boldsymbol{\pi \varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \rho \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\prime} \boldsymbol{v}$. Locative in a metaphorical sense.

## Luke 22:47-53

${ }^{47}$ While he was still speaking a crowd (arrived)! The one called Judas, one of the Twelve, was in front of them, and he came up to Jesus to kiss him. ${ }^{48}$ Jesus said to him, "Judas, are you betraying the Son of Man with a kiss?"
${ }^{49}$ When those around him saw what was going to happen, they said, "Lord, should we actually strike with (our) sword(s)?" ${ }^{50}$ And a certain one of them struck the slave of the high priest and cut off his right ear. ${ }^{51}$ Jesus responded and said, "Stop this!" And he touched (his) ear and healed him.
${ }^{52}$ Then Jesus said to the chief priests, officers from the temple, and elders who had come to him, "Have you come with swords and clubs like (you would come) after a criminal? ${ }^{53}$ Day after day while I was with you in the temple you did not lay hands on me; but this is your hour and the authority of darkness (is at work)."

 aủtóv.
"Etı. Temporal.
av่̉าข̃. Genitive subject of $\lambda \alpha \lambda$ oṽvтoc.
$\lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{o v ̃ v \tau o}$. Pres act ptc masc gen sg $\lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvعv́ovto¢), temporal.
íoov̀. See 1:20.
ö $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ oc. Nominative subject of a nominal clause (see $5: 12$ on àvท̀p).
$\dot{\boldsymbol{o}} \boldsymbol{\lambda \varepsilon \gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v o c}$ 'Iov́סac. The use of this expression to introduce Judas here, after he had already been referred to in the immediate context (v. 3), may rhetorically serve to portray him as ultimately unimportant even though he is one of the Twelve.
ó $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{\prime} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v o c}$. Pres pass ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (substantival). Nominative subject of $\pi \rho \circ \dot{\eta} \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \sigma$.
'Iov́סac. Complement in a subject-complement double nominative construction (see 1:32 on viòs).

عĩc. Nominative in apposition to 'Iov́סac.
$\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \kappa \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Partitive genitive.
$\pi \rho о \grave{\rho \chi \varepsilon \tau о . ~ I m p f ~ m i d ~ i n d ~ 3 r d ~ s g ~ \pi \rho о \varepsilon ́ \rho \chi о \mu \alpha ı . ~}$
av̉̃ov̀s. Accusative direct object of $\pi \rho \circ \grave{\rho} \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \circ$.
ท̋ $\gamma \gamma เ \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon \gamma \gamma i \zeta \omega$.

$\varphi\rangle \lambda \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha ు$. Aor act inf $\varphi \backslash \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (purpose).
av̉兀óv. Accusative direct object of $\varphi \backslash \lambda \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \mathrm{L}$.
 $\alpha \mathfrak{\alpha} v \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \tau v \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta i \delta \omega \varsigma ;$
'İбoũc. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \tilde{i} \pi \varepsilon v$.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \varepsilon$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av่̉โัฺ. Dative indirect object of عĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$.
'Iov́סa. Vocative.
$\varphi i \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \iota$. Dative of instrument. The fronted elements lend force to the question.
 тòv viòv. Accusative direct object of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta i \delta \omega c$.

тoṽ $\mathfrak{\alpha} v \theta \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{0}$. Genitive of relationship.
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta i \delta \omega \varsigma$. Pres act ind 2nd sg $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
 ૬оцعv $\varepsilon$ ह̀v $\mu \alpha \chi \alpha i \rho \eta!;$
ídóvtec. Aor act ptc masc nom pl ópá $\omega$ (temporal).
oi. The article functions as a nominalizer (see 1:48 on á (ò to võ $v \tilde{v} v$ ), changing the prepositional phrase, $\pi \varepsilon \rho \mathrm{l}$ aútòv, into the nominative subject of عĩ $\pi \alpha v$ (cf. 1:62).
$\pi \varepsilon \rho i$ av̉tòv. Spatial.
 direct object of idóvtec. This is one of only thirteen future participles in the NT, with five of the others occurring in Acts (8:27; 20:22; 22:5; 24:11, 17).

عĩ $\pi \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3 rd $\mathrm{pl} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. On the form, see 1:61.
Kúpıs. Vocative.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. The vocative Kúpı makes it clear that the clause introduced by $\varepsilon \grave{\imath}$ is direct discourse. Contra popular thinking, however, this does not mean that $\varepsilon i$ itself is being used to introduce a direct question. It is more likely that $\varepsilon i$ has been written in place of its homonym, the confirmatory adverb $\tilde{\eta}$ (thus the translation; see the full discussion at 6:9; cf. 13:23).
$\pi \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \xi$ о $\mu \varepsilon v$. Fut act ind 1st $\mathrm{pl} \pi \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega$. "The future is sometimes found in deliberative questions in place of the subjunctive" (McKay, 95; see also 11:5 on $\varepsilon$ ह゙ $\xi$ ı).
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \mu \alpha \chi \alpha i \rho \eta \mathrm{n}$. Instrumental. Citing this passage as an example, Caragounis (145, n. 25) notes that the instrumental use of $\varepsilon v$ was particularly common in biblical Greek, Christian authors, and authors of Semitic origin.


$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \xi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega$.
عĩৎ $\tau \iota c$. Nominative subject of $\grave{\pi} \pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \xi \varepsilon v$. Caragounis (113) argues that "in the NT the cardinal numerals, $\varepsilon i ँ ¢, \mu i \alpha, ~ \varepsilon ̌ v$, are losing their numerical value and are being reduced to an indefinite pronoun. ... Occasionally the indefinite significance of عĩc is strengthened by
the addition of $\tau \iota \varsigma$." This does not seem to be the case here, however, where $\varepsilon i \check{\varsigma} \tau \tau \varsigma$ refers to a single representative of a group ( $\dot{\xi} \xi$ av̉t $\tilde{\omega} v$ ). The same appears to be true in the examples that Caragounis cites (Mark 14:47; John 11:49). See also 15:15 on $\varepsilon v i$ i.

દ̇そ aủtธ̃v. Partitive.
тои̃ $\mathfrak{\alpha} \rho \chi เ \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon ́ \omega c$, . Possessive genitive.
тòv $\boldsymbol{\delta o v ̃ \lambda} \boldsymbol{o v}$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\pi} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \xi \varepsilon v$.
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon \tilde{\lambda} \lambda \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg à $\varphi a \iota \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.

av่̉oũ. Possessive genitive.
 à $\psi \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon v o c ~ \tau o v ̃ ~ \grave{\omega t i o v ~ i ̉ a ́ \sigma a t o ~ a v ̉ \tau o ́ v . ~}$
 circumstance; see also $1: 19$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \kappa \rho ı \varepsilon \varepsilon \grave{c})$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.

$\varepsilon і ँ \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$.
'Eã $\tau \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} \omega c$. This idiom (lit. "leave off until") means, "to cease from what one is doing, with the implication of strong admonition" (see LN 68.35).
'Eã̃e. Pres act impv 2nd pl દ̇á $\omega$.
$\dot{\alpha} \psi \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon v o c$, Aor mid ptc masc nom sg $\ddot{\alpha} \pi \tau \omega$ (attendant circumstance).

тoṽ $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ tiov. Genitive object of $\dot{\alpha} \psi \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon v o c$.
iáбato. Aor mid ind 3rd sg ìóouaı.
aủtóv. Accusative direct object of ảáaato.



$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
'İбoṽc. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$.

Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi$ toòs aủtòv).
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v c$. Aor mid ptc masc acc pl $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma i v o \mu \alpha l$ (attributive).
èn' aủtòv. Locative.
$\Omega \boldsymbol{\Omega}$. Comparative.
 "robber" or a "revolutionary" in this context (cf. BDAG, 594; LN 57.240; 39.37).

$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ̀ \mu \alpha \chi \alpha!\rho \tilde{\omega} v$ каì $\xi \dot{v} \lambda \omega v$. Accompaniment. Here, the subject is accompanied by "concrete objects, which serve as equipment" (BDAG, 637.A.3.c).

 тои̃ бко́тоvৎ.
$\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \eta \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \alpha v$. Distributive.
övtoc. Pres ptc masc gen sg عipi. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvะv́ovтоৎ), temporal.
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Genitive subject of ővtoc.
$\mu \varepsilon \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Association.

$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon i v a \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act ind 2nd pl غ̇ктعiv
$\tau \grave{\alpha} \varsigma \chi \varepsilon \tau ̃ \rho a \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon i v a \tau \varepsilon$.
غ̇ $\pi^{\prime}$ غ̇ $\mu \dot{\text { ć. Spatial. }}$
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ '. The adversative conjunction introduces a clause that runs counter expectation.

غ̇бтìv. Pres ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ ilui. On the retention of the accent, see 1:36 on દ̇бтì.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Here, the genitive points to the agent of an unexpressed event: "This is the time when you are in control" (cf. 1:5 on 'Hpẹ́סov).
ŋ̀ ©̈pa. Predicate nominative.
 seems to be something like: "The power of darkness has come" or "Darkness is exercising authority."

тoṽ бкótovc. Subjective genitive.

## Luke 22:54-65

${ }^{54}$ Then they arrested him, led him (away), and brought him into the house of the high priest. And Peter was following at a distance. ${ }^{55}$ Now, they had lit a fire in the middle of the courtyard and sat down together, and Peter was sitting among them. ${ }^{56} \mathrm{When}$ a servant girl saw him sitting toward the light (of the fire) and looked closely at him, she said, "This man was also with him!" ${ }^{57}$ But he denied it, saying, "I don't know him, Woman!" ${ }^{58}$ Then, after a short time, another person who saw him said, "You are one of them too!" But Peter said, "Man, I am not!" ${ }^{59}$ After about an hour had passed, another person starting insisting (it was so), saying, "This man was surely also with him! For he too is a Galilean." ${ }^{60}$ But Peter said, "Man, I don't know what you are talking about!" And immediately, while he was still speaking, a rooster crowed, ${ }^{61}$ and the Lord turned around and looked straight at Peter, and Peter remembered the statement of the Lord, when he said to him, "Before a rooster crows today you will deny me three times." ${ }^{62}$ And he went outside and wept bitterly.
${ }^{63}$ Meanwhile, the men who were holding (Jesus) in custody were ridiculing him and beating (him). ${ }^{64} \mathrm{After}$ they had blindfolded him, they began asking (him), saying, "Prophesy! Who is the one who hit you?" ${ }^{65}$ And they were saying many other things against him, slandering (him).

##  

$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{v} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{o ́ v \tau \varepsilon}$. Aor act ptc masc nom $\mathrm{pl} \sigma \nu \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ (attendant circumstance).
av̉tòv. Accusative direct object of $\sum \cup \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta$ óvteৎ.


عís tìv oikióv. Locative.
тоṽ ảpxıعן $\dot{\varepsilon} \omega \varsigma$. Possessive genitive.
ó . . . Пغ́т $\boldsymbol{\rho o \varsigma}$. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} \kappa о \lambda o u ́ \theta \varepsilon$.

$\mu \boldsymbol{\kappa} \rho \mathbf{O} \theta \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\theta}$. Spatial adverb.


$\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \alpha \psi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \omega v$. Aor act ptc masc gen $\mathrm{pl} \pi \varepsilon \rho \neq \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \omega$. (1) This could be a fairly rare case where no subject is specified for the genitive absolute (temporal) participle. (2) Alternatively, the entire participial clause could have been fronted for focus, and actually be an attributive modifier of aủt $\tilde{v} v$ ("Peter was sitting among those who had kindled a fire in the middle of the courtyard and were sitting together"); or less likely (3) this could be viewed as a topic construction with the participles being substantival and aủt $\tilde{\nu} v$ being resumptive ("Those who had kindled a fire in the middle of the courtyard and had sat down together . . . Peter was sitting among them"). The awkward construction and the semantics of the two participles led to several scribal attempts to smooth out the language (see Fitzmyer, 2:1464).
$\pi \tilde{v} \rho$. Accusative direct object of $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \alpha \psi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega v$.
ह̇v $\mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \omega$. Locative.
$\tau \tilde{c} \varsigma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$. Partitive genitive.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \kappa \boldsymbol{\kappa} \theta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \omega v$. Aor act ptc masc gen $\mathrm{pl} \sigma \cup \gamma \kappa \alpha \theta i \zeta \omega$. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\grave{\gamma} \varepsilon \mu \circ$ vev́ovtoc), temporal (but see above on $\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \propto \psi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu)$.


$\mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$. The nominative adjective appears to be in the predicate position modifying ó Пغ́t $\rho o \varsigma$ ("the middle of Peter"; cf. Smyth $\$ 1172$ ). This, however, is ruled out by the semantics and by the fact that $\mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma o c$ itself is modified by $\alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. Instead, $\mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma o c$ av̉ $\tau \tilde{\omega} v$ functions as a locative adverbial expression (cf. John 1:26).
av̉兀ธ̃v. Partitive genitive.


iסoṽoa. Aor act ptc fem nom sg ópáw (temporal or attendant circumstance).
av̉tòv. Accusative direct object of iסoũ $\sigma a$.
$\pi \alpha \iota \delta i \sigma \kappa \eta \tau \iota c$. Nominative subject of عĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$.
$\kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v o v}$. Pres mid ptc masc acc sg кá $\theta \eta \mu \alpha$. Complement in an object-complement double accusative construction.
$\pi \rho$ ò $\boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{o ̀} \varphi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$. Spatial. The PP likely indicates Peter's orientation with respect to the fire, i.e., he was facing the fire, rather than simply being "near" or "by" the fire.
$\dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon v i \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha$. Aor act ptc fem nom sg $\dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon v i \zeta \omega$ (temporal or attendant circumstance).
 $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$.
oṽ̃oc. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} v$.
oùv aủtạ. Association.
ก̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg عiui.

o. Nominative subject of $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \rho v \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \tau 0$ (see $1: 29$ on $\dot{\eta}$ ).

$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (means).
oĩ $\delta \alpha$. Prf act ind 1st sg oĩ $\delta \alpha$. On the use of the perfect tense with this verb, see 4:34 on oĩ ${ }^{\text {dad }}$.
aủtóv. Accusative direct object of oĩ $\delta \alpha$.
$\gamma$ v́vaı. Vocative. Here, the placement of the vocative likely helps convey a sense of rebuke to an inferior (see 4:34 on 'İбоо̃).


$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \beta \rho a \chi$ v̀. Temporal.
غ́tг
$\dot{i} \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \mathbf{v}$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg ópá $\omega$ (attendant circumstance).
aủtòv. Accusative direct object of ì $\delta \grave{\omega} v$.
$\varepsilon ̌ \varphi \eta$. Aor/Impf act ind 3rd sg $\varphi \eta \mu \mathrm{i}$. On the tense, see 7:44.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{v}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ĩ.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{u} \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. Partitive. This PP is sometimes used in place of an NP:
$\tau \iota \varsigma$ ėк $\tau \iota v \omega v$ (cf. 11:49). Here, the partitive expression serves as the predicate of $\varepsilon$ ĩ.

عĩ. Pres act ind 2nd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$ í.
$\dot{\mathbf{o}} .$. . Пغ́т $\boldsymbol{\rho o s}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ है $\varphi \eta$.
है $\varphi \eta$. Aor/Impf act ind 3rd sg $\varphi \eta \mu i$. On the tense, see 7:44.
＇A $\boldsymbol{v} \theta \rho \omega \pi \varepsilon$ ．Vocative．
oủk $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \dot{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}$ ．Pres ind 1st sg عíhi．

 غ̇бтıv．
 （see 2：2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ ovev́ovtoc），temporal．Only in Luke／Acts in the NT，and with this meaning only here：＂to mark the passage of time＂ （LN 67．84）．
$\dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon$ ．Marker of approximation．
©̈pac $\mu$ Iãc．Genitive subject of $\delta$ เaбт $\alpha \sigma \eta \varsigma$.


$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$（manner）．
＇E $\boldsymbol{\pi}$＇ $\mathfrak{a} \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \boldsymbol{c} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{c}$ ．The PP（lit．＂on the basis of truth＂）appears to be roughly synonymous with the adverb $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \eta \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$（cf．4：25；20：21）．The use of this phrase strengthens the force of the accusation（Runge §5．4．2）．
oṽ̃oc．Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} v$ ．
$\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ av̉兀oṽ．Association．
ท̃v．Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$ i．
ү⿳亠㐅⿸厂⿰⿱丶㇀⿱㇒丶幺十 $\rho$ ．Causal（see also 1：15）．
$\Gamma \alpha \lambda ı \lambda a i ̃ o ́ c . ~ P r e d i c a t e ~ n o m i n a t i v e . ~ O n ~ t h e ~ s e c o n d ~ a c c e n t, ~ s e e ~ 1: 13 ~$

$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \tau v$ ．Pres ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$ ．On the loss of accent，see 1：18 on $\varepsilon i \mu$ ．


$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．

＇A $\boldsymbol{v} \theta \rho \omega \pi \varepsilon$ ．Vocative．
oĩ $\delta \alpha$ ．Prf act ind 1st sg oin $\delta \alpha$ ．On the use of the perfect tense with this verb，see 4：34 on oî ${ }^{\text {add }}$ ．
ö．Accusative direct object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ ．The relative pronoun intro－ duces a headless relative clause（see $6: 2$ on ö），which as a whole（ö $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma)$ serves as the direct object of oĩ $\delta a$ ．
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon เ \varsigma$. Pres act ind 2nd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \rho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$. See 5:25 and 1:64 on $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \rho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$.
ह̈tı. Temporal.
$\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{o v ̃ v} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{c}$. Pres act ptc masc gen sg $\lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvعv́ovtoc), temporal.
aủtoṽ. Genitive subject of $\lambda a \lambda$ ภoũvtoc.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega ் v \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\varphi \omega v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \tau \omega \rho$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega \dot{\varphi} \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu$.



$\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon i \varsigma$. Aor mid ptc masc nom sg $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$ (attendant circumstance). The participle should likely be viewed as middle rather than passive (see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction).
ó кv́pıos. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} v \dot{\varepsilon} \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon v$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \dot{\varepsilon} \beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \operatorname{sg} \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \beta \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega$.

$\dot{v} \pi \varepsilon \mu \nu \mathfrak{\eta} \sigma \theta \eta$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg $\dot{\sim} \pi о \mu \mu \nu \eta \mathfrak{q} \sigma \kappa \omega$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
ò Пغ́т $\boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\rho}$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\sim} \pi \varepsilon \mu \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta \eta$.

тoṽ кupíov. Subjective genitive.
$\boldsymbol{\omega}$. Temporal.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av่̉ $\tilde{\omega}$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$.
ő $\tau$ t. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also 1:25 on őtı) of عĩโยv.
à $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \tau о \rho \alpha$. Accusative subject of $\varphi \omega v \eta ̃ \sigma a u$.
$\varphi \omega v \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \mathbf{\alpha}$. Aor act inf $\varphi \omega v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. Used with $\pi \rho i v$ to denote subsequent time (see 2:21 on $\sigma u \lambda \lambda \eta \mu \varphi \theta$ п̃ val).
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{o v}$. Temporal adverb.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho v \eta \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}$. . Fut mid ind 3rd sg à $\pi \alpha \rho v \varepsilon ́ o \mu \alpha ı$.
$\mu \varepsilon$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho v \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma!$.

$\varepsilon ̇ \xi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi o \mu \alpha u$ (attendant circumstance).

ع̌к $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg к $\lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} i \omega$ ．
 ovtes，

бuvغ́ $\chi o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma . ~ P r e s ~ a c t ~ p t c ~ m a s c ~ n o m ~ p l ~ \sigma u v \varepsilon ́ \chi \omega ~(a t t r i b u t i v e) . ~$.
av่̉ $\mathbf{o ̀ v . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~} \sigma v v \varepsilon ́ \chi o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ．


$\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \boldsymbol{\rho o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma . ~ P r e s ~ a c t ~ p t c ~ m a s c ~ n o m ~ p l ~} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \omega$（attendant circum－ stance；see 1：24 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma o v \sigma \alpha)$ ．
 $\tau \varepsilon v \sigma o v, \tau i \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota v ~ o ́ ~ \pi \alpha i ́ \sigma \alpha ৎ ~ \sigma \varepsilon ;$
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \kappa \alpha \lambda \cup ́ \psi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ．Aor act ptc masc nom pl $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \kappa \alpha \lambda \cup ́ \pi \tau \omega$（tem－ poral）．
aủ่òv．Accusative direct object of $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \kappa \alpha \lambda u ́ \psi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\omega} \tau \boldsymbol{\omega} \nu$ ．Impf act ind 3rd pl $\varepsilon \in \tau \varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ ．On the ingressive translation，see 1：59 on $\varepsilon$ غ́к $\dot{\lambda} \lambda o u v$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$（attendant circum－ stance）．

Прорŋ́тєvбоv．Aor act impv 2nd sg $\pi \rho о \varphi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon v ่ \omega$.
tic．Predicate nominative．

$\dot{\mathbf{o}} \pi \alpha i \boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha$ ．Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\pi \alpha i \omega$（substantival）．Nomi－ native subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$ ．
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ．Accusative direct object of $\pi \alpha i \sigma a \varsigma$.

## 22：65 каì $̇ \tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha ̀ ~ \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu о \tilde{v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ̌ \lambda \varepsilon \gamma o v ~ \varepsilon i ́ \varsigma ~ \alpha v ̉ \tau o ́ v . ~}$

ย̈тєра ло入入入̀̀．Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ है $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ v$ ．
$\boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \varphi \eta \mu \mathbf{0} \tilde{v} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$（man－ ner）．
ě $\lambda \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \gamma \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Impf act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．The imperfect is used to sum－ marize subsequent events and bring this scene to a close．
ciç aủtóv．Disadvantage．

## Luke 22:66-71

${ }^{66}$ When it was day, the ruling body of elders of the people gathered, both the chief priests and the scribes, and they brought him into their council, ${ }^{67}$ saying, "If you are the Christ, tell us!" Then he said to them, "If I should tell you, you would certainly not believe (me). ${ }^{68} \mathrm{And}$ if I should ask you, you would certainly not answer. ${ }^{69}$ From now on the Son of Man will be seated at the right hand of the power of God." ${ }^{70}$ Then all of them said, "Are you, then, the Son of God?" And he said to them, "You are saying that I am." 71They replied, "Why do we still need witnesses? For we ourselves have heard (it) from his own mouth."



$\dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \boldsymbol{c}$. Temporal.
غ̇үย́vยтo. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \rho a$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ย̇ย̇veto.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} v v^{\eta} \chi \boldsymbol{\theta} \eta$. Aor pass ind 3rd sg $\sigma u v \alpha ́ \gamma \omega$.

тoṽ $\lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{0} \tilde{v}$. Genitive of subordination.
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi เ \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} \varsigma \tau \varepsilon$ каі̀ $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon \tilde{c}$. Nominative in apposition to tò

$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\jmath} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \gamma \mathbf{o v}$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega$.
av̉tòv. Accusative direct object of á $\pi \dot{\eta} \gamma \alpha \gamma 0 v$.
عís tò $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{v}$ と́סpıov. Locative.
aủtũv. Possessive genitive.
 'Eàv $\mathfrak{v} \mu i ̃ v ~ \varepsilon i ้ \pi \omega, ~ o v ̉ ~ \mu \grave{~} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v ́ \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (manner).
Ei. Introduces the protasis of a first class condition.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{v}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ĩ.
عĩ. Pres act ind 2nd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$ í.
ó Xpıбтós. Predicate nominative.
عỉлòv. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i} v$. Dative indirect object of عinòv.
$\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av̉тoĩc. Dative indirect object of عĩл $\pi$ v.
'Eàv. Introduces the protasis of a third class condition.
$\dot{v} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon$ il $\pi \omega$.
$\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \pi \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Aor act subj 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Subjunctive with $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{a} v$.
$\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \dot{\sigma} \eta \tau \varepsilon$. Aor act subj $2 \mathrm{nd} \mathrm{pl} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \dot{v} \omega$. The subjunctive is used with ov̉ $\mu$ ', which expresses emphatic negation (see also 1:15 on $\pi i(\eta)$.

## 

$\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v$. Introduces the protasis of a third class condition.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$. Aor act subj 1st sg $\varepsilon$ ह́p $\omega \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$. Subjunctive with $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v$. The implied question is presumably related to Jesus' role as Christ or his authority (so Bock, 2:1796).
 is used with ov̉ $\mu \eta$, which expresses emphatic negation (see also 1:15 on $\pi i \underline{1})$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
 $\delta \varepsilon \xi!\omega ̃ \nu \tau \eta ̃ \varsigma \delta \nu v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ тоṽ $\theta \varepsilon \frac{1}{}$.

हैбтаı. Fut ind 3rd sg عiuí.
óv viòs тoũ àvӨ $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ ©́tov. See 5:24.
ó viòs. Nominative subject of हैбтaı.
то⿱̃ $\mathfrak{a} v \theta \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{0}$. Genitive of relationship.
 phrastic; see 1:20 on $\sigma \omega \pi \tilde{\omega} v)$.

غ̇к $\delta \varepsilon \xi \iota \tilde{\omega} v$. The preposition (probably technically denoting separation) is characteristically used with the plural form of $\delta \varepsilon \xi$ ૬os in a locative sense: "at the right side" (see also 1:11; 20:42; 22:69; 23:33; Acts 2:25).
 attributed genitive with the entire expression perhaps serving as a circumlocution for "God": "the right side in relation to the powerful God."

##  

$\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3 rd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ aủtòv).
$\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \frac{i ̃ \pi}{\pi} \alpha$.
$\Sigma \mathbf{v}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ĩ.
oũv. Inferential.
عĩ. Pres act ind 2nd sg $\varepsilon$ i $\mu$ í.
$\dot{\text { of }}$ viòs rov $\theta$ عov. The fact that this question follows up on the question in verse 67 (Eỉ đù عĩ ó Xpıotóc) and is found on the lips of staunch monotheists strongly suggests that it is a messianic title rather than an ontological claim.
ó viòc. Predicate nominative.
тoṽ $\theta \varepsilon$ oṽ. Genitive of relationship.
ó. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ है $\varphi \eta$ (see 1:29 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ).
$\pi \rho$ ò $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ủtov̀c. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho$ òs aủtòv).
है $\varphi \eta$. Aor/Impf act ind 3rd sg $\varphi \eta \mu$ i. On the tense, see 7:44.
 rhetorical force of Jesus' words here. Bock (2:1802) suggests that since the Jewish leaders are not asking a sincere question but are rather trying "to elicit a condemning confession . . Jesus is intentionally ambivalent" in his answer: lit. "You say that I am." He agrees with Marshall (851) who maintains that "the form of expression is not a direct affirmation; but it is certainly not a denial, and is best regarded as a grudging admission with the suggestion that the speaker would put it otherwise or that the questioners fail to understand exactly what they are saying" (cf. Fitzmyer, 2:1468). Nolland (3:1111), on the other hand, argues that "the drift of vv 67-68 suggests that it might be better to punctuate Jesus' answer here as a question: 'Do you say/ are you saying that I am?' Jesus' antagonists are not prepared to face and answer this question" (see also 23:3 on $\sum$ vì $\left.\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma\right)$ ). Nolland's view, however, seems to be ruled out by the fact that his inquisitors treat Jesus' words as a blasphemous claim (see v. 71).

$\lambda \dot{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon}$. Pres act ind 2nd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
ő $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also $1: 25$ on őтı) of $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\gamma}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i \mu$.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mu \mathrm{t}$. Pres ind 1st sg عiцi.


oi. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \alpha v$ (see 1:29 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ).
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. On the form, see 1:61.
ย̌tı. Temporal.
ě $\chi$ о $\mu \varepsilon v$. Pres act ind 1st pl है $\chi \omega$. Lit. "Why do we still have need of witnesses?"
$\mu \alpha \rho \tau и \rho i \alpha c$. Objective genitive.

av̉тoì. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} \kappa o v ́ \sigma a \mu \varepsilon v$.
$\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).

à $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ò toṽ $\sigma \tau$ ó $\mu \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Source.
aủtoṽ. Possessive genitive.
Luke 23:1-5
${ }^{1}$ The whole group of them got up and brought him to Pilate. ${ }^{2}$ And they began to accuse him, saying, "We found this man misleading our people, forbidding (them) to pay tribute to Caesar, and claiming himself to be the Messiah, a king!" 3So Pilate asked him, saying, "Are you the king of the Jews?" He responded and said to him, "You are saying so." ${ }^{4}$ Then Pilate said to the chief priests and the crowds, "I find no cause (for legal action) relating to this man." ${ }^{5}$ But they persisted, saying, "He is stirring up the people by teaching throughout all of Judea, starting from Galilee all the way to here!"

##  Пı入а̃тоv.

Kai. Although there is a shift in location from the preceding passage, Luke's use of kai shows that he is presenting what follows as part of the same episode.
 stance). The use of a participial form of $\dot{\alpha} v i \sigma \tau \eta \mu \mathrm{may}$ point to haste (see 1:39 on 'Avaбтãбa . . . غ̇лорعن́ $\forall \eta$. . . $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \sigma \pi о v \delta \tilde{\eta} \varsigma) . ~$
ä $\pi \alpha \nu \tau$ ò $\pi \lambda \tilde{\eta} \theta o c$ ．Nominative subject of ${ }^{\eta} \gamma \alpha \gamma-v$ ．
av̉tãv．Partitive genitive．
グ $\gamma \boldsymbol{a} \gamma \mathbf{o v}$ ．Aor act ind 3rd pl $\alpha \not \gamma \omega$ ．
aủtòv．Accusative direct object of $ク$ グү $\gamma \gamma 0 v$ ．
ènì tòv Пı入ãtov．Locative．




ク̋ $\rho \xi \alpha v \tau 0$ ．Aor mid ind 3rd pl ä $\rho \chi \omega$ ．

aủ่ои̃．Genitive object of катпүорعiv．
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \varepsilon$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$（manner）．
Toṽтov．Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \cup \check{\rho} \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$ ．
$\varepsilon \underset{\rho}{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \mu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v$ ．Aor act ind 1st pl $\dot{\varepsilon} \cup \boldsymbol{\rho i} \sigma \kappa \omega$ ．
$\delta ı \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi о \boldsymbol{v} \tau \alpha$ ．Pres act ptc masc acc sg $\delta ı \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$ ．The con－ joined participial clauses（ $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \varphi o v \tau \alpha$ ．．．кaì $\kappa \omega \lambda$ v́ov $\tau \alpha$ ．．． каì $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathrm{\gamma}$ ovta ．．．）serve as a complement in an object－complement double accusative construction．

$\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$ ．Genitive of relationship．
$\kappa \omega \lambda \dot{\operatorname{cov}} \boldsymbol{\tau} \alpha$ ．Pres act ptc masc acc sg $\kappa \omega \lambda$ ú $\omega$ ．Complement in an object－complement double accusative construction．

بópovc．Accusative direct object of $\delta, \delta$ óval．
Kaía$\alpha \rho$ ．Dative indirect object of $\delta \iota \delta o ́ v a l$.
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{v a c a}$ ．Pres act inf $\delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{~L}$（indirect discourse，with $\kappa \omega \lambda$ v́ov $\tau \alpha$ ）．
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{o v \tau a}$ ．Pres act ptc masc acc sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．Complement in an object－complement double accusative construction．

غ́avtòv．Accusative subject of عĩvaı．
X $\boldsymbol{\text { orotòv．Predicate accusative．}}$
$\beta \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{\iota} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha$ ．Accusative in apposition to Xpıбтòv．
عĩvaı．Pres act inf $\varepsilon \mathfrak{i l} \mu$ í（indirect discourse）．
 ＇Iov

$\eta ̉ \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \dot{\omega} \omega$ ．
aủtòv．Accusative direct object of $\eta \mathfrak{j} \rho \dot{\tau} \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ．
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$（manner）．
$\Sigma \mathbf{v}$ ．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ĩ．
عĩ．Pres act ind 2nd sg eipi．
ó $\beta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{c}$ ．Predicate nominative．
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$＇Iovסaic $\omega v$ ．Genitive of subordination．
$\dot{\text { on }}$ ．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ है $\varphi \eta$（see 1：29 on $\dot{\eta}$ ）．
àлокрı日とic．Aor mid ptc masc nom sg àtокрivoual（attendant circumstance；see also $1: 19$ on $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \kappa \rho ı \theta \varepsilon \grave{c})$ ．On the voice，see ＂Deponency＂in the Series Introduction．
av̉тఱ̣．Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon$ 乞 $\varphi \eta$ ．
ह̇ $\varphi \eta$ ．Aor／Impf act ind 3rd sg $\varphi \eta \mu$ i．On the tense，see 7：44．
$\Sigma \dot{v} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ ．On the rhetorical function of this expression，see 22：70
 translations punctuate this sentence as an affirmative statement， Codex W renders it as a question，＂Are you saying（it）？＂（Omanson， 151；cf．also comments in Omanson，48，49，on the parallel state－ ments in Matt 26：64，27：11）．
$\Sigma \mathrm{v}$ ．Nominative subject of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ ．
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon เ \varsigma$ ．Pres act ind 2nd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．



$\varepsilon і ँ \pi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
 on $\pi \rho$ òs aủtòv）．

Oủðèv ．．．aïtıov．Accusative direct object of عĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$ ．The front－ ing of Oủס ̇̀v emphasizes Pilate＇s negative finding（cf．v．14）．Here， the substantival form of ailtıos refers to a＂ground for legal action＂ （BDAG，31．2）．



 $\tilde{\omega} \delta \varepsilon$ ．
oi．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ह̇ $\boldsymbol{i} \sigma \chi$ vov．See $1: 29$ on $\dot{\eta}$ ．
 ＂to do something with persistence，implying both continuity and strong effort＂（LN 68．71）．
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \varepsilon$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$（attendant circum－ stance or means）．
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．Introduces the clausal complement（direct discourse；see also 1：25 on öтı）of $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma о v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$.
’Avaocíc．Pres act ind 3rd sg àvaocí $\omega$ ．
tòv $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathbf{o} v$. Accusative direct object of＇Avaбzíz．
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega($ means）．
$\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ö $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\eta} \varsigma \tau \eta ̃ \varsigma$＇Iovסaíac．Distributive．Given the following parti－ cipial clause，where $\Gamma \alpha \lambda_{ı} \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \alpha$ is most likely read as a part of＇Iov $\delta$ aía， the term＇Ioudaía here refers to the Roman province of Judea，i．e．， the entire land the Jews．

кai．Epexegetical．
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \xi \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v o c}$ ．Aor mid ptc masc nom sg äp $\rho \neq \mu \alpha ı$（manner）．In the epexegetical clause，the participle modifies an implicit idea， something like $л \varepsilon \pi о i \eta \kappa \varepsilon \nu ~(\tau о ⿱ ㇒ t о 七): ~ " a n d ~ h e ~ h a s ~ d o n e ~ t h i s ~ b e g i n-~$ ning．．．＂
à $\pi \mathbf{o ̀} \tau \tilde{c} \varsigma$ Гa入ı入aíac．Here，à $\pi$ ó marks a starting point（cf．BDAG， 105．2．a）．
$\tilde{\varepsilon} \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\tilde { \omega }} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ ．Spatial．The PP marks an end point．

## Luke 23：6－12

${ }^{6}$ Now when Pilate heard（this），he asked if the man was a Galilean； ＇and when he found out that he was from Herod＇s jurisdiction，he sent him to Herod，who himself was also in Jerusalem at that time． ${ }^{8}$ When Herod saw Jesus，he was very glad，for he had been wanting to see him for some time because he had heard about him and was hoping to see some sign performed by him．${ }^{9}$ So he questioned him at length，but he did not answer him at all．
${ }^{10}$ Now the chief priests and scribes had been standing（there） vehemently accusing him．${ }^{11}$ Then，after Herod had［also］treated him with contempt，along with his soldiers，and made fun of him， he put elegant clothes on him and sent him back to Pilate．${ }^{12}$ And so Herod and Pilate became friends with one another on that day．For previously they had been in a state of enmity with each other．
 غ̇б兀ıv，

Пı入ã̃ос．Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \eta \rho \dot{́} \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ． àкои́бac．Aor act ptc masc nom sg ảkov́ $\omega$（temporal）．

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ ．Introduces the protasis of a first class condition．
$\delta \dot{o}$ äv $\theta \rho \omega \pi \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ．Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$ ．
Га入ı入аĩós．Predicate adjective．On the second accent，see 1：13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס $\varepsilon$ そ́ $\sigma$ бic．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$ ．Pres ind 3rd sg عíhi．On the loss of accent，see 1：18 on $\varepsilon i \mu \mu$ ．

 таĩৎ $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \varepsilon ́ \rho a ı \varsigma$.

ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．Introduces the clausal complement of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \gamma$ voùs．
غ̇к $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ ह̇ $\mathfrak{\xi}$ ovoíac．Source．The PP indicates that Jesus was from a region under the authority of Herod．
＇Hp̣̂́Oov．Subjective genitive．
غ̇бтìv．Pres ind 3rd sg عiцi．On the retention of the accent，see 1：36 on દ̇бтì．
àvé $\pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg àvané $\mu \pi \omega$ ．As Marshall（855） notes，although $\dot{\alpha} v a \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \omega$ can be used to refer to sending someone ＂up＂to a higher authority，the fact that Herod was not a higher authority than Pilate and the same verb is used of Herod sending Jesus back to Pilate in verse 11 rules out the technical sense．
aủtòv．Accusative direct object of àvغ́ $\tau \varepsilon \mu \psi \varepsilon v$ ．
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' H \rho \varphi ̣ \delta \eta \nu$ ．Spatial．
övta．Pres act ptc masc acc sg عỉ $\mu i$（attributive）．
кai．Ascensive．
aủtòv．Intensive．






ídòv. Aor act ptc masc nom sg ópá $\omega$ (temporal).
тòv 'Inбoṽv. Accusative direct object of í $\delta \dot{\omega} v$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \eta$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg $\chi \alpha i \rho \omega$. On the voice, see $22: 5$ on غ̇ $\chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \eta \sigma \alpha v$.

ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg عi $\mu i$ i.
үà $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).

$\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$ (imperfect periphrastic).
ídeĩv. Aor act inf ó $\rho \alpha \dot{\omega} \omega$ (complementary).
av̉兀òv. Accusative direct object of ídeĩv.
àкоúधıv. Pres act inf $\dot{\alpha} \kappa o v ́ \omega$. Used with $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha}$ tó to denote cause.
When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81).
$\pi \varepsilon \rho i ̀ ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ̃ . ~ R e f e r e n c e . ~$
$\eta ̋ \lambda \pi \iota \zeta \dot{\varepsilon} v$. Impf act ind 3 rd sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \pi i \zeta \omega$. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ סغ́ $\overline{\sigma i c}$.

тı $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \pi ̃ 0 v$. Accusative direct object of ideĩv.
ídeĩv. Aor act inf ó $\rho \alpha \dot{\omega} \omega$ (complementary).
ט́ $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ' aủtoṽ. Agency.
$\gamma \iota v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v$. Pres mid ptc neut acc sg $\gamma$ ivoual. The participle functions as a complement in an object-complement double accusative construction (cf. 2:12 on $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \gamma \alpha v \omega \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v o v)$ ): lit. "he was hoping to see some sign happening by him."
 крі́vato aủtẹ.
 with many words."

غ̇ $\pi \eta \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \alpha$. Impf act ind 3rd sg غ̇пєр $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tau \dot{\alpha} \omega$. The imperfect is natu-
 process.
av̉̃òv. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \eta \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \alpha$.
ėv $\lambda$ ó $\boldsymbol{\text { ots }}$ íkavoĩc. Instrumental.
 to him."
aủtòc. Nominative subject of à á\&крivato.
ov̉§èv. Accusative direct object of à $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon \kappa \kappa \text { рivato. Caragounis (231) }}$ notes that during NT times it was becoming increasingly common to use the more emphatic construction verb plus oú $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} v$ rather than ov̉ plus verb.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon к \rho i v a t o . ~ A o r ~ m i d ~ i n d ~ 3 r d ~ s g ~ a ̀ т о к р i v o \mu a ı . ~$
av̉t $\tilde{\omega}$. Dative indirect object of $\mathfrak{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \kappa \rho i v a \tau o$.
 катๆүорои̃ขтєৎ аย̉тоข̃.

 тŋ́кєાбаv.

عủtóvwc. Adverb of manner. Only here and in Acts 18:28 in the NT: "a relatively high point on a scale of extent and implying tension and opposition" (LN 78.18).

катпүорои̃ขтє¢. Pres act ptc masc nom pl катๆүорغ́ف (manner). av่̉าoṽ. Genitive object of катๆүорои̃ขєєৎ.



$\varepsilon ̇ \xi o u \theta \varepsilon v \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma a$, . Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\grave{\varepsilon} \xi$ ou $\theta \varepsilon v \varepsilon ́ \omega$ (temporal).

[kai]. The force of the conjunction is unclear. It could be intensive or emphatic (cf. NRSV: "Even Herod with his soldiers treated him with contempt"). Although included by $\mathfrak{P}^{75} \mathcal{\aleph}$ L N TX X, it is omitted by a variety of witnesses (A B D Г $\Delta \Theta \Lambda \Pi \Re$ ).
ó $\mathrm{H} \rho \dot{\omega} \delta \eta \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\alpha v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \varepsilon v$.
бv̀v тoĩৎ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \varepsilon v ่ \mu \alpha \sigma เ v$. Association.
aủtoṽ. Possessive genitive.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \alpha i \xi \alpha \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\varepsilon \dot{\mu} \mu \alpha i \zeta \omega$ (temporal).
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \beta \alpha \lambda \dot{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ (attendant circumstance of àvغ́л $\varepsilon \mu \psi \varepsilon v$; so Fitzmyer, 2:1478; Bock, 2:1818; CEV, GW, NCV, NET Bible, NLT, NRSV, TEV). The participle could conceivably express the means of $\grave{\varepsilon} \xi o v \theta \varepsilon v \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \varsigma ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ к a i ̀ ~ \varepsilon ̇ \mu \pi \alpha i \xi \alpha \varsigma, ~$ ("after Herod had [also] treated him with contempt . . . and made fun of him by putting elegant clothes on him"; cf. Marshall, 857,
who calls it "epexegetic of the preceding participles"), or less likely refer to an event that temporally precedes the events of the other two participles (cf. Nolland, 3:1124, who suggests that "the mock investiture was the beginning point for the treatment with contempt and the ridicule"): "Then, after putting elegant clothes on him, when Herod had [also] treated him with contempt, along with his soldiers, and made fun of him, he sent him back . . ."

ह̇ $\sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \alpha ̀ v$. Accusative direct object of $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \beta \alpha \lambda \omega ̀ v$.
$\dot{\alpha} v \dot{v} \pi \varepsilon \mu \mu \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3 rd sg $\alpha \dot{v} a \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \omega$. On the meaning, see verse 7.
aủtòv. Accusative direct object of ảvغ̇ $\pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \varepsilon v$.
$\tau \tilde{\varphi} \Pi \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$. Dative indirect object of $\alpha v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \varepsilon v$.

 aủtov́s.

甲í入ot. Predicate nominative.

 see 10:21 on 'Ev aủtñ $\tau \tilde{\text { ñ }}$ öpạ.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega v$. Association.
 previously were being in enmity with themselves."
$\pi \rho о \ddot{\partial} \pi \tilde{\eta} \rho \chi \circ v$. Impf act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \pi \rho о \ddot{\pi} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$.
үà $\rho$. Explanatory (see also 1:15).
દ̇v éx $\boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\rho} \mathfrak{a}$. Manner.
övtec. Pres act ptc masc nom pl عíhi. This appears to be an example of $\varepsilon i \mu i$ being used as the participial part of an imperfect periphrastic construction with $\pi \rho \circ \ddot{\pi} \pi \tilde{\rho} \rho \chi \circ v$ (cf. Robertson, 1121; BDF $\$ 414$; McKay, 65; see also Culy and Parsons, 153, on Acts 8:9).
$\pi \rho$ òs av̉тov́c. Association. BDAG (874.3.d) notes that the preposition can be used of a relationship (either hostile or friendly), as here.

## Luke 23:13-25

${ }^{13}$ Then Pilate summoned the chief priests, the (other) officials,
and the people ${ }^{14}$ and said to them, "You brought this man to me as one who was leading the people astray. I have examined (him) before you and found no cause (for legal action) relating to this man with respect to the things you are accusing him (of doing)! ${ }^{15}$ Then again, neither did Herod, for he sent him back to us! Obviously, nothing worthy of death has been done by him! ${ }^{16}$ So then, I will have him punished and then release him." ${ }^{18}$ But they shouted all together, saying, "Take this man away! Release Barabbas to us!" ${ }^{19}$ (He was the one who on account of a revolt that occurred in the city and murder had been thrown in prison.) ${ }^{20}$ Once again Pilate called out to them wanting to release Jesus. ${ }^{21}$ But they kept shouting (back), saying, "Crucify! Crucify him!" ${ }^{22}$ So, he said to them a third time, "What has this man done wrong? I have found no grounds for (the) death (sentence) relating to him! Therefore, I will have him punished and then release him." ${ }^{23}$ But they kept up the pressure, with loud voices asking for him to be crucified; and their voices prevailed. ${ }^{24}$ So Pilate decided that their request should be granted. ${ }^{25} \mathrm{He}$ released the one who had been thrown in prison for the revolt and murder, whom they had been asking for, and he handed Jesus over to their will.

##  ä $\rho \chi$ оvта¢ каì тòv $\lambda \alpha o ̀ v$

$\Pi \lambda \lambda \tilde{\alpha} \tau \mathbf{c}$, . Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon \nu$ (v. 14).
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{c}$. Aor mid ptc masc nom sg $\sigma \cup \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (attendant circumstance or temporal).
 direct object of $\sigma \cup \gamma к а \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon$ оऽ.


 Пүорєі̃тє кат' аủtои̃.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\gamma} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ ò $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ảtov́s. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \alpha u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
 accent, see $1: 13$ on $\eta$ ŋ $\delta \varepsilon ́ \eta \sigma i c . ~$
$\mu \mathbf{o t}$. Dative indirect object of Пробךvغ́ $\gamma \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i} v$.
 катغ́.
$\dot{\omega} \mathbf{c}$. Comparative.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{o} \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \boldsymbol{\varphi} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \alpha$. Pres act ptc masc acc sg à $\pi$ об $\tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$ (substantival). Accusative direct object of an implied ( $\tau \iota \varsigma) \pi \rho о \sigma \grave{v} v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \varepsilon$. тòv $\lambda \boldsymbol{a} o ́ v$. Accusative direct object of à $\pi \sigma \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi o v \tau \alpha$.
íoù. See 1:20. As in verse 15 , the use of kaì ìסoù lends significant force to the statement it introduces.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \grave{\omega}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \tilde{̃} \rho o v$.
 or public judicial examination.

દ̇vஸ́rıov $\mathfrak{v} \mu \omega \tilde{v} v$. Locative. See also 1:15.
àvaкрivac. Aor act ptc masc nom sg àvoкрivoraı (attendant circumstance or temporal).
oủ $\theta \grave{v} v .$. aỉtıov. Accusative direct object of عũpov. The dramatic fronting of oủ $\theta \grave{v} v$ emphasizes Pilate's negative finding (cf. v. 4). The substantival form of ailtıo̧ refers to a "ground for legal action" (BDAG, 31.2).

عũ $\rho o v$. Aor act ind 1st sg عúpí $k \omega$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\omega} \tilde{\alpha} v \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \omega$. Reference.
$\tilde{\omega} \nu$. Genitive of reference. The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause (see 6:2 on ö), which as a whole ( $\tilde{\omega} v$ катпүорعі̃тє кат’ aủtoṽ) serves as a genitive of reference or perhaps a genitive complement of an implied $\alpha \mathfrak{\xi}$ ıov (cf. v. 22).

катпүорєі̃тє. Pres act ind 2nd pl катпүорє́ш.
кат' av̉тои̃. Opposition.


$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$. Adversative. Here rendered "then again."
 тои́te aîtıov.
$\dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon ̇ \pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3 rd sg $\alpha$ 人 $v a \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \omega$.
үà $\rho$. Explanatory (see also 1:15).
av̉tòv. Accusative direct object of ảvغ́ $\pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \varepsilon v$.
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{a} \varsigma$. Spatial.

кaì. The conjunction, used with ioov, forcefully introduces the final summation of Pilate's position, nicely captured by "obviously" (following Fitzmyer, 2:1485).
ídoù. See 1:20.


غ̇бтiv. Pres ind 3rd sg عipi. On the retention of the accent, see 1:36 on દ̇бтìv.
$\pi \varepsilon \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v o v$. Prf pass ptc neut nom sg $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega$ (perfect periphrastic).
av̉tẹ̃. Dative of agency. Although "With the perfect middle-passive the agent is commonly expressed [in Greek literature] by means of a dative case" (McKay, 25), this usage is very rare in the NT. BDF ( $\$ 100$ ) views this passage as the only valid example, while Wallace (165) considers James 3:7 to be a second clear example.

## 23:16 $\pi \alpha เ \delta \varepsilon v ่ \sigma \alpha \varsigma ~ o u ̃ ̃ v ~ a u ̉ t o ̀ v ~ a ̀ ~ \pi o \lambda v ́ \sigma \omega . ~$

$\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon v \dot{\sigma} \alpha c$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon v ́ \omega$ (temporal). Pilate is not the actual agent of this action. Rather, he means, "I will (order someone to) punish him and then release him" (cf. 9:9).
oṽv. Inferential.
av̉̀tòv. Accusative direct object of ả $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \dot{v} \sigma \omega$.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega$. Fut act ind 1st sg à $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \dot{\operatorname{con}} \omega$.

## 

This verse (lit. "Now, he had the necessity to release one [prisoner] to them each feast") is omitted in a number of early manuscripts ( $\left.\mathfrak{P}^{75} \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{Bal}\right)$. For a brief rationale for its omission, see Omanson (152).
$\dot{\alpha} v \dot{\alpha} \gamma \kappa \boldsymbol{\gamma} \eta$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \frac{i ̃}{\chi} \chi \varepsilon v$.
عĩxยv. Impf act ind 3rd sg eै $\chi \omega$.
$\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{o} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon เ v$. Pres act inf $\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \dot{\omega} \omega$ (epexegetical).
av่̉oĩc. Dative indirect object of ả̃o入úعıv or dative of advantage.
катà દop
éva. Accusative direct object of àroגúعıv.

##  


$\pi \alpha \mu \pi \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \dot{\lambda}$. This adverb occurs only here in the NT: "the totality of a relatively large group" (LN 59.28).
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (manner).
Aĩpe. Pres act impv 2nd sg aǐp $\omega$.
toṽтov. Accusative direct object of Aĩpe.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{v} \sigma o v$. Aor act impv 2nd sg à $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \dot{v} \omega$.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\mathrm{i}} v$. Dative indirect object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ó ${ }^{\lambda}$ voov or dative of advantage.
tòv Bapaßßãv. Accusative direct object of ảлó入voov.

##  $\beta \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon i ̀ \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ̇ v ~ \tau ท ̃ ~ \varphi ט \lambda \alpha \kappa \tilde{n}$.

ö $\sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\eta \tilde{\eta} v$. For more on the so-called indefinite relative pronoun, see 1:20 on oïtıvec.

ท๊̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg عi $\mu i$ i.
סıà бтáбıv tıvà . . . кaì póvov. Causal.
$\gamma^{\varepsilon} v o \mu \varepsilon ̇ v \eta \nu$. Aor mid ptc fem acc sg $\gamma$ خivoual (attributive).
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{n} \pi \dot{\text { ód }} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Locative.
$\beta \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon i ̀ c$. Aor pass ptc masc nom sg $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ (periphrastic). Although Boyer (1984, 172, n. 17) argues that it is doubtful that aorist participles can be used in periphrastic constructions with $\tilde{\eta} \nu$, they are not unattested (see Rijsbaron, 128). The textual history, in fact, suggests that although one scribe $\left(\boldsymbol{N}^{*}\right)$ apparently deleted the participle because of the strangeness of the construction, a great many ( ${ }^{1} \aleph \mathrm{AD} \mathrm{W} \Theta \Psi \mathrm{f}^{1,13} \mathfrak{M}$ ) appear to have read it as equivalent to a pluperfect periphrastic construction and thus substituted the
 tion here is not periphrastic, we would likely have to take the anarthrous participle as substantival: "who was . . . one who had been thrown in prison."

غ̇v $\tau \underline{1} \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa n ̃$. Locative.

##  тòv＇Iŋбoõv．

ó Пı入ãтос．Nominative subject of $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \varphi \omega \dot{\omega} \eta \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ． $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \varphi \dot{\omega} v \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi \rho о \sigma \varphi \frac{v \varepsilon}{} \omega$ ．
av̉тoĩc．Dative complement of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \varphi \dot{\omega} v \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ．
$\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega \nu$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega$（causal）．



oi．Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \varphi \dot{́}$ vouv（see 1：29 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ）．

$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \varepsilon$ ．Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$（attendant circum－ stance or manner）．
$\Sigma \tau \alpha u ́ \rho o v . ~ P r e s ~ a c t ~ i m p v ~ 2 n d ~ s g ~ \sigma \tau \alpha u \rho o ́ \omega . ~ . ~$
aủtóv．Accusative direct object of $\Sigma \tau \alpha u ́ \rho o v$.

 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{\alpha} \lambda \dot{v} \sigma \omega$ ．
$\dot{\text { on }}$ ．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon v$（see 1：29 on $\dot{\eta}$ ）．
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
$\pi \rho$ ò $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ảtov́s．Indirect object（see 1：13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \alpha u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
Tí．．．какòv．Accusative direct object of દ̇זоí $\bar{\sigma} v$.
$\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ ．Inferential（see also 1：15），used in＂self－evident conclusions， esp．in exclamations，strong affirmations，etc．＂（BDAG，190．3）．

oṽ̃oc．Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \mathrm{oi} \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ ．
ov̉סと̀v aỉtıov．Accusative direct object of cũ̃pov．
$\theta \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \mathbf{c} \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Genitive of reference or perhaps genitive complement of an implied ä $\xi$ เov．

عũ $\rho o v$. Aor act ind 1st sg عúpiбK $\omega$ ．
モ̇v aủtụ．Reference．
$\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha$, ．Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon v \dot{\omega} \omega$（temporal）．See also verse 16 ．
oṽv．Inferential．
aủtòv. Accusative direct object of à $\pi \mathrm{o} \hat{\nu}^{v} \sigma \omega$. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega$. Fut act ind 1st sg à $\pi 0 \lambda \dot{\omega} \omega$.
 $\rho \omega \theta \tilde{\eta} v a \iota$, каì катíб $\chi$ vov ai $\varphi \omega v a i ̀ ~ \alpha v ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v . ~$

 argue that the verb here means, "to keep on doing something with presumed insistence" (cf. BDAG, 373.4). Our translation attempts to capture more of the force of this figurative use of the verb.
$\varphi \omega$ vaĩ $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha ı s$. Dative of instrument (see also 1:42 on крavүñ $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta$ ).
$\boldsymbol{\alpha i t o v ́ \mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon v o l}$. Pres mid ptc masc nom pl aitén (means).
av̉ $\tau$ òv. Accusative subject of $\sigma \tau \alpha v \rho \omega \theta \tilde{\eta} v a u$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha \boldsymbol{\rho} \rho \omega \theta \tilde{\eta} v a 1$. Aor pass inf $\sigma \tau \alpha v \rho o ́ \omega$ (indirect discourse).
кatioquov. Impf act ind 3rd pl кatıoұv́ف.
$\alpha i \varphi \omega v a i$. Nominative subject of katio $\chi$ vov.
aủtũv. Possessive genitive.



$\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota$ тò aït $\tau \mu \alpha$ av่̉ $\tilde{\omega} v$. Lit. "for their request to happen."
$\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma$ al. Aor mid inf $\gamma$ ivoual (indirect discourse with a verb of cognition; cf. 1:22 on ötı).

тò aït $\eta \mu \alpha$. Accusative subject of $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma a \sim$.
av̉tũv. Subjective genitive.

 aט่̉ธัข.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \dot{c} \lambda v \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg à $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda u ́ \omega$.
 The repetition of so much information that has already been intro-


could have simply written $\alpha$ áċ $\lambda v \sigma \varepsilon v ~ \delta \grave{\varepsilon}$ tòv Bapaßßãv, tòv $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ 'I $\eta \sigma 0$ ṽv $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ̇ \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v \tau \tilde{̣} \theta \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\mu} \mu \alpha \tau \iota ~ a v ̉ \tau \omega ̃ \nu$, but the extended description of Barabbas effectively draws a sharp contrast between the criminal being released and the innocent person being condemned (cf. Runge §17.3).

тòv... $\beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v o v$. Prf pass ptc masc nom sg $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ (substantival). Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda u \sigma \varepsilon v$.

عíc $\varphi$ идакŋ̀v. Locative.
öv. Accusative direct object of ற่̣ $\mathfrak{\imath}$ ṽvo.
ที่าoṽvтo. Impf mid ind 3rd pl aitéc.

$\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ̇ \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta i \delta \omega \mu$.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \theta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \lambda \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \tau$. Dative indirect object of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon v$.
av่̉นั̃v. Subjective genitive.

## Luke 23:26-43

${ }^{26}$ And when they had led him away, they seized Simon, a Cyrenian who was coming in from (working in) the field, and put the cross on him to carry behind Jesus. ${ }^{27}$ Now, a large crowd of people was following him, including women who were beating their breasts and wailing for him. ${ }^{28}$ Jesus turned toward them and said, "Daughters of Jerusalem! Do not cry for me. Instead, cry for yourselves and for your children, ${ }^{29}$ because days are coming in which (people) will say, 'Blessed are the barren, and the wombs that have not given birth, and the breasts that have not nursed!' ${ }^{30} \mathrm{At}$ that time, (people) will start saying to the mountains, 'Fall on us!' and to the hills, 'Cover us!' ${ }^{31}$ since 'if they are doing these things to green wood, what will happen to the dry (wood)'?"
${ }^{32}$ Now, two other criminals were also being brought to be executed with him. ${ }^{33}$ When they came to the place called "The Skull," they crucified him and the two criminals there, one on (his) right and one on (his) left. ${ }^{34}$ [[Then Jesus proceeded to say, "Father, forgive them, for they do not know what they are doing."]] Then, as they separated his garments they cast lots (for them); ${ }^{35}$ and the people stood (there) watching.

The (Jewish) officials were also sneering at (him) and saying, "He saved others! Let him save himself, if this man (really) is God's

Messiah, the Chosen One!" ${ }^{36}$ Even the soldiers ridiculed him by coming up (to him), offering sour wine to him, ${ }^{37}$ and saying, "If you are the king of the Jews, save yourself!" ${ }^{38}$ There was, in fact, an inscription above him: "This is the king of the Jews."
${ }^{39}$ One of the criminals who had been hung (there) was berating him and saying, "You're the Messiah, are you? Save yourself and us (too)!" ${ }^{40}$ But the other one responded and said to him in rebuke, "Don't you fear God, since you are under the same sentence? ${ }^{41} \mathrm{We}$, in fact, (have been) rightly (condemned), for we are receiving the consequences that are appropriate for what we did; but this man has done nothing wrong." ${ }^{42}$ Then he proceeded to say, "Jesus, remember me when you come into your kingdom." ${ }^{43}$ (Jesus) said to him, "I assure you, today you will be with me in paradise!"

 ő $\boldsymbol{\pi} เ \sigma \theta \varepsilon v$ тоṽ 'İбoṽ.
$\dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{c}$. Temporal. Although most commentators and translators render the first clause, "as they led Jesus away," implying that it was while they were leading Jesus away that they spotted Simon, the use of $\dot{\omega} \varsigma$ with the aorist verb and the fact that Simon is coming in from the field both suggest that $\dot{\omega} \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\eta} \gamma \alpha \gamma \quad v$ aủtóv is describing an event that is distinct from the one that follows. In other words, the syntax and semantics point to a shift in scene as the narrative fastforwards from Jesus being led away from Pilate (the Kaì closely links this clause with what precedes) to a point well along the Via Dolorosa where they encounter Simon.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\jmath} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \gamma \mathbf{o v}$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega$.
av̉тóv. Accusative direct object of àn $\mathfrak{\gamma} \gamma \alpha \gamma \mathrm{\gamma}$ v.
 dant circumstance, modifying $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \theta \eta \kappa \alpha \nu)$.
$\Sigma \dot{i} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v} \dot{\alpha}$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i \lambda \alpha \beta$ ó $\mu \varepsilon v o l$. On the second


тıva Kıpŋvaĩov. Accusative in apposition to $\sum \dot{\prime} \mu \omega v \alpha$ d.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \mathbf{o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v . ~ P r e s ~ m i d ~ p t c ~ m a s c ~ n o m ~ s g ~ e ́ p \chi o \mu a ı ~ ( a t t r i b u t i v e ) . ~}$
$\dot{\alpha} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \rho \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tilde{v}$. Source. It is unclear whether the reference is to a piece of land or more generally "the countryside."

غ̇лغ் $\theta \eta \kappa \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $̇ \pi ı \tau i \theta \eta \mu$.
av̉t（̣̂．Dative of location．On the word order，see 1：2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \tilde{\imath} v$.
тòv otavoòv．Accusative direct object of $\grave{\varepsilon} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \theta \eta \kappa \alpha v$ ．
$\varphi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\imath} v$. Pres act inf $\varphi \varepsilon \dot{\rho} \rho \omega$（purpose）．
ö $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \theta \varepsilon v$ тои̃＇İбoṽ．Spatial．

##  غ̇ко́ттоvто каì દ̀ $\theta \rho \dot{\text { ́vouv aủtóv．}}$

＇Hко入oú $\theta \varepsilon$ ．Impf act ind 3rd sg àко入ou $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ ． av̉t（̣̃．Dative complement of＇Нко入оú $\theta \varepsilon เ$.
$\pi \mathbf{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{v} \pi \lambda \tilde{\eta} \theta \mathbf{o}$ ．Nominative subject of＇Hко入oú $\theta \varepsilon ı$ ．
 people and women．＂

غ̇ко́лтогто．Impf act ind 3 rd pl ко́л $\tau \omega$ ．Here，to＂beat one＇s breast as an act of mourning＂（BDAG，559．2）．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \theta \rho \dot{\eta} v o v v$ ．Impf act ind 3 rd $\mathrm{pl} \theta \rho \eta v \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ ．
aủtóv．Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \theta \rho \eta \dot{\eta} v o u v$.

 $\tau \alpha ̀ \tau \varepsilon ̇ \kappa v \alpha \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$ ，
$\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \grave{c}$ ．Aor mid ptc masc nom sg $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$（attendant circum－ stance）．The participle should likely be viewed as middle rather than passive（see＂Deponency＂in the Series Introduction）．
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ t a ̀ c ̧ . ~ S p a t i a l . ~$

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
$\Theta v \gamma a \tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$. Vocative．
＇İpovøa $\lambda \dot{\eta} \mu$ ．This is a locative use of the genitive：＂Daughters／ Women who live in Jerusalem＂（cf．Beekman and Callow，255）．
$\boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tau \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ ．Pres act impv 2nd pl $\kappa \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\omega} \omega$（prohibition）．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi$＇$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \dot{\varepsilon}$ ．The PP functions as a＂marker of feelings directed toward someone＂（BDAG，366．15）．
$\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} v$. Adversative．

$\kappa \lambda \alpha i \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ．Pres act impv 2nd pl $\kappa \lambda \alpha i \omega$ ．

$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{v} v$ ．Genitive of relationship．


ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．Introduces a causal clause．
ídoù．See 1：20．
غ̈p

èv aĩc．Temporal．
غ̇poṽбıv．Fut act ind 3rd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
Maка́pıaı．Predicate adjective of a verbless equative clause．

verbless equative clause．
$\alpha i$ ．Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\gamma} v \nu \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ．

oil．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ है $\theta \rho \varepsilon \psi a v$ ．
$\ddot{\varepsilon} \theta \rho \varepsilon \psi \boldsymbol{\alpha} v$ ．Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \tau \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$ ．
 тоі̃ৎ $\beta$ оиvoĩৎ，Ка入ט́ $\psi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\mu} \varsigma$ ．

то́tع．Temporal．

$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \iota v$ ．Pres act inf $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$（complementary）．
тоĩৎ ő $\rho \varepsilon \sigma \iota v$ ．Dative indirect object of $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} เ v$ ．
Пغ́бとтє．Aor act impv 2nd pl $\pi i \pi \tau \omega$ ．
$\grave{\varepsilon} \varphi \varphi^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{a} \varphi$ ．Locative．
тoĩc $\beta$ ovvoĩc．Dative indirect object of an implied（ả $\rho \xi_{o v \tau \alpha ı}$ ） $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon เ v$ ．Only here and in 3：5 in the NT：＂a relatively low elevated land formation，in contrast with őpoc＂（LN 1．48）．

Kadúqate．Aor act impv 2nd pl ка入ú $\pi \tau \omega$ ．
$\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\varrho} \varsigma$ ．Accusative direct object of Ka入ú $\psi a \tau \varepsilon$ ．

##  үع́vŋта兀；

ötı．Introduces a causal clause．The proverbial clause that fol－ lows utilizes a lesser to greater argument（＂If X is true，how much
more true is $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime \prime}$ ）that emphasizes the severity of the fate awaiting Jerusalem，a fate that will lead to exclamations like those found in verse 30．If God allows Jesus（＂wet wood＂）to suffer such a fate，what chance is there for Jerusalem（＂dry wood＂；cf．Fitzmyer，2：1498）．
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ ．Introduces a first class condition．
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\varphi} \mathfrak{v} \gamma \rho \tilde{\varphi} \tilde{\varphi} \dot{v} \lambda(\underline{\varphi}$ ．Context（see 1：78 on $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ oĩ $)$ ）．Lit．＂in wet wood．＂
$\tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha$ ．Accusative direct object of toooṽбוv．
$\pi \mathbf{\pi} \boldsymbol{o v} \sigma เ v$ ．Pres act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \pi o เ \varepsilon ่ \omega$ ．
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{v} \tilde{\xi} \eta \rho \tilde{\varphi}$. ．Context（see $1: 78$ on $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ oĩ $)$ ）．
$\tau i$ ．Nominative subject of $\gamma \varepsilon \dot{v} \eta \boldsymbol{\tau} \alpha \mathrm{a}$ ．Introduces a rhetorical ques－ tion．
$\gamma^{\varepsilon} v \eta \tau \alpha a$ ．Aor mid subj 3rd sg $\gamma$ ivoual．Deliberative subjunctive．

##  $\theta \tilde{\eta} v a 1$.

＂Hүovto．Impf pass ind 3rd pl $\alpha \not \gamma \omega$ ．
ётєрот какои̃ $\rho \gamma$ о七 $\delta$ v́o．Nominative subject of＂Hүovтo．
oùv aủtạ．Association．




őtc．Temporal．
$\tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta$ ov．Aor act ind 3rd pl हैp $\chi o \mu \alpha ı$.
ėnì tòv tónov．Locative．
тòv ка入oú $\mu \varepsilon v o v$ ．Pres pass ptc masc acc sg кa入غ́c（attributive）．
K $\rho \boldsymbol{a} v i \boldsymbol{i o v}$ ．Complement in a subject－complement double accusa－ tive construction．Since the conceptual subject of the passive verb is accusative（ $\tau o \mathrm{v}$ тó $\boldsymbol{\pi o v}$ ），the complement must bear the same case （see 1：32 on viòc；Culy 2009，87－91）．

غ̇бтav́p $\omega \sigma \alpha v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd pl $\sigma \tau \alpha v \rho o ́ \omega$ ．
 $\omega \sigma \alpha v$ ．
ôv ．．．ôv．Accusative direct objects of an implied $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha u ́ \rho \omega \sigma \alpha v$ ． The relative pronouns both introduce＂headless relative clauses＂
(see 6:2 on ö) that stand in apposition to toù какои́рүоис: "there they crucified . . . the two criminals, one (they crucified) on the right and one (they crucified) on the left." Robertson (695-96) calls the use of the relative pronoun with $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} v$ and $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ a demonstrative use.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \xi เ \tilde{\omega} v . . . \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \mathfrak{\alpha} \rho เ \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \tilde{\omega} v$. The preposition (probably technically denoting separation) is characteristically used with the plural form of $\delta \varepsilon \xi \iota o ́ \varsigma$, and here also the plural form of ápıбтع $\rho$ ó $\varsigma$, in a locative sense: "at the right side" (cf. 1:11; 20:42; 22:69; Acts 2:25).



Omanson (152) argues that the first portion of the verse "is placed within double brackets in its traditional place, where it had been added by unknown copyists relatively early in the transmission of the Third Gospel" (cf. Willker, 306; Whitlark and Parsons). It is found in ${ }^{2} \boldsymbol{N}^{*} \aleph$ A C D ${ }^{2} \Psi{ }^{f, 13} \mathfrak{n} p m$, but omitted by $\mathfrak{P}^{75}{ }^{1} \aleph$ B D* W $\Theta p c$.
ó . . . 'II $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ õ̃. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ है $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$.
$\ddot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
Па่тєр. Vocative.
ä $\varphi \varepsilon$. Aor act impv 2nd sg à $\varphi$ i $\eta \mu$.
av่̉oĩc. Dative complement of ä $\varphi \varepsilon \varsigma$ or dative of advantage ("forgive (sins) for them").
ô $\delta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \sigma \boldsymbol{v}$. Prf act ind 3 rd pl oĩ $\delta \alpha$. On the use of the perfect tense with this verb, see $4: 34$ on oĩ $\delta \dot{\text { a }}$.

үà $\rho$. Causal (see also 1:15).
$\tau i$. Accusative direct object of notoṽotv. The pronoun introduces an interrogative clause that functions as the direct object of oi̋Kactv.

$\delta ı \alpha \mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\iota} \zeta \mathbf{o ́ \mu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{v o t}$. Pres mid ptc masc nom pl $\delta \iota \alpha \mu \varepsilon \rho i \zeta \omega$ (temporal; cf. Nolland, 3:1141). Although $\operatorname{Kwong}(73, n .31)$ lists this passage as the only example of a purpose participle preceding the main verb in Luke (cf. Bock, 2:1841), the word order suggests that as the soldiers were separating Jesus' clothing into piles they cast lots to see who would get each item. The word order may reflect an effort to make the allusion to Ps 22:18 (LXX 21:19) more obvious.
 av̉тoṽ. Possessive genitive. $\ddot{\varepsilon} \beta \alpha \lambda o v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$. $\boldsymbol{\kappa \lambda} \boldsymbol{\eta} \rho o v c$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ é $\beta \alpha \lambda o v$.





$\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \tilde{\omega} v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (manner).
 ing their noses up."

б́̀ кaì. See 2:4.
oi ä $\rho \chi \mathbf{\rho} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \varepsilon$. Nominative subject of $\grave{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \mu \nu \kappa \tau \eta \dot{\rho} \rho \zeta$ оv.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{o v \tau \varepsilon}$. Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (attendant circumstance or manner).
'A $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{o v}$. Accusative direct object of $\check{\varepsilon} \sigma \omega \sigma \varepsilon v$.
हैб $\sigma \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\sigma \hat{\varphi} \zeta \omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega \boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$. Aor act impv 3rd sg $\sigma \dot{\omega} \zeta \omega$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha v \tau \dot{\delta} v$. Accusative direct object of $\sigma \omega \sigma \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Introduces the protasis of a first class condition.
oũ̃óc. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\eta$ ๆ $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \eta \sigma$ í.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \boldsymbol{\tau} \imath v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg eimi. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عíp.
ó Xpıotòs. Predicate nominative.
тoṽ $\theta$ عove. Genitive of source.
ó éклєкто́s. Nominative in apposition to ó Xpıбтòs.



 oi $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \iota \omega ̃ \tau \alpha ı$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon ́ \pi \alpha ı \xi \alpha v$.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \rho \chi$ о́ $\mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v o t}$. Pres mid ptc masc nom pl $\pi \rho о \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi о \mu a \iota$ (means).
ő $\boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{o}$. Accusative direct object of $\pi \rho 0 \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \dot{\rho} \rho \mathbf{v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma . ~}$
$\pi \rho о \sigma \varphi \varepsilon ́ \rho o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Pres act ptc masc nom $\mathrm{pl} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \varphi \varepsilon ́ \rho \omega$ (means). aủt $\omega$. Dative indirect object of $\pi \rho о \sigma \varphi \varepsilon ์ \rho о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$.
 oعavtóv.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{o v \tau \varepsilon}$. Pres act ptc masc nom $\mathrm{pl} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (means).
Ei. Introduces the protasis of a first class condition.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{v}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ĩ.
عĩ. Pres act ind 2nd sg عíui.
ó $\beta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \dot{v} \boldsymbol{c}$. Predicate nominative.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v$ 'Iov $\alpha$ aic $\omega v$. Genitive of subordination.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{o v}$. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\sigma \dot{\varphi} \zeta \omega$.
oعavtóv. Accusative direct object of $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \sigma o v$.
 oṽtoc.

ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ i $\mu i$ i.
$\delta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. See 2:4.
غ̇лıүрачף̀. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} \nu$.
غ̇п' av̉тฺ̣. Locative.
© $\beta \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{c}$. Predicate nominative of a verbless equative clause.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v{ }^{\prime} I o v \delta a i \omega v$. Genitive of subordination.
oṽtoc. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.



Eĩc. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \varphi^{\prime} \mu \varepsilon$.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$. . . какои́ $\rho \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ v. Partitive genitive.
$\kappa \rho \varepsilon \mu \alpha \sigma \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \tau \omega v$. Aor pass ptc masc nom pl к $\rho \varepsilon \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu v v \mu \mathrm{~L}$ (attributive).
$\grave{\varepsilon} \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta^{\prime} \mu \varepsilon$. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \mu \varepsilon ́ \omega$.
av̉兀òv. Accusative direct object of $\begin{gathered}\beta \\ \lambda \alpha \sigma \varphi \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon .\end{gathered}$
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (attendant circumstance or means).

Oủxì. The negativizer introduces a question that expects a positive response.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{v}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ĩ.
عĩ. Pres act ind 2nd sg عiцi.
ó Xpıotós. Predicate nominative.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{o v}$. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\sigma \omega \mathscr{\zeta} \zeta \omega$.



à $\pi \mathbf{o \kappa \rho ı \theta \varepsilon і ̀ . ~ A o r ~ m i d ~ p t c ~ m a s c ~ n o m ~ s g ~ a ̉ \pi о к р i v o \mu a ı ~ ( a t t e n d a n t ~}$ circumstance; see also 1:19 on átoкрı $\theta \varepsilon i \varsigma ;$ or temporal: "When the other one responded, he said to him in rebuke . .."). On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
ó ह̈т $\varepsilon \rho \frac{c}{}$. Nominative subject of $\check{\varepsilon} \varphi \eta$.

av̉t $\tilde{\omega}$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon$ ย̌ $\varphi \eta$.
๕ौ $\varphi \eta$. Aor/Impf act ind 3rd sg $\varphi \eta \mu i$. On the tense, see 7:44.
$\varphi о \beta \tilde{n}$. Pres mid ind 2nd sg $\varphi о \beta$ ह́oual.
$\sigma$ vi. Nominative subject of $\varphi o \beta \tilde{\eta}$.
tòv $\theta$ عóv. Accusative direct object of $\varphi o \beta \tilde{\eta}$.
ötı. Introduces a causal clause.

عĩ. Pres act ind 2nd sg عiцí.


$\dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{c}$. Nominative subject of an implied verb that is semantically related to крінатı (v. 40).
$\mu \grave{v}$. See 3:18.
סıкаíws. Manner.
á $\xi \mathbf{\iota}$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v o \mu \varepsilon v$. Here, "pertaining to being fitting or proper in corresponding to what should be expected" (LN 23.41). The direct object is fronted to emphasize the appropriateness of their punishment in contrast to what Jesus has received.
$\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$. The conjunction is best viewed as broadly strengthening the preceding assertion (see also 1:15).
$\tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$. The relative pronoun introduces a headless relative clause
(see 6:2 on ö), which as a whole ( $\tilde{\omega} v ~ \varepsilon ̇ \pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v) ~ m o d i f i e s ~ o ̈ \xi ı \alpha . ~$ Although the relative pronoun is the syntactic direct object of $\varepsilon ̇ \pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$, it has taken its case from its unexpressed antecedent, which would have been the genitive complement of $\not \approx \xi \iota \alpha$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \rho \alpha \dot{\xi} \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 1st pl $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega$.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{o} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v o \mu \varepsilon v$. Pres act ind 1st pl $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$.
oṽ̃тoc. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ è $\pi \rho \alpha \xi \varepsilon v$.
ov̉סغ̀v ả̛топоv. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ ह̈ $\pi \rho \alpha \xi \varepsilon v$.
है $\pi \rho \alpha \xi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \omega$.
入є́av oov.
$\varepsilon \ddot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v$. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
'I $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{0} \tilde{v}_{\text {. Vocative. }}$
$\mu \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta \eta \tau i$. Aor mid impv 2nd sg $\mu \mu v \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \kappa о \mu \alpha$. . On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction. On the second accent, see

$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Genitive object of $\mu v \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta \tau i$.
ötav. On translating "when" rather than "whenever," see 6:22.

$\varepsilon i \varsigma ~ \tau \grave{\imath} v \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha v$. Locative.
oov. Subjective genitive (see also 4:43).

##  $\tau \tilde{\omega} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \varepsilon i \sigma \omega$.

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av่̉ $\tilde{\omega}$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon \frac{i ̃ \pi \varepsilon v . ~}{\text {. }}$
${ }^{\prime} \boldsymbol{A} \mu \dot{\eta} v$. This particle is used to signal "a strong affirmation of what is stated" (BDAG, 53.1). Rhetorically, the whole expression, 'A $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu$ $\sigma o \iota \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$, serves to introduce a statement of high importance (cf. $4: 24 ; 12: 37 ; 18: 17,29 ; 21: 32$ ) by combining both a meta-comment (see 3:8 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\imath} v$ ) and $\alpha \mu \eta\rangle \nu$. It appears to be the Semitic equivalent of $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \tilde{\omega} \varsigma \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ бot (cf. 9:27; 12:44; 21:3).
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{o}$. Dative indirect object of $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{v}$. Temporal. A dot was placed by a secondary hand between $\sigma \eta \dot{\mu} \mu \rho \circ v$ and $\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ in Codex B. If intentional, it may
represent an attempt to solve through punctuation a difficult theological issue that emerged in the early church: How could Jesus descend to Hades (e.g., the Apostles' Creed) and also go to Paradise? The resulting text would read, "I say to you today, you will be with me in Paradise," thus shifting the temporal marker to when Jesus spoke and away from his ascent to Paradise. That change, of course, creates its own problem, since it results in a tautology (when else would Jesus speak?). Still, a similar solution was known among early Christian sources. Hesychius of Jerusalem observed, "Some indeed read this way: 'Truly I tell you today,' and put a comma; then they add: 'You will be with me in Paradise'" (PG 93.1432-33; cited by Willker, 312; cf. also Theophylact PG 123.1104). Some scribes (L 892) resisted this solution and inserted ö $\tau \iota$ after $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ to clarify that $\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \varepsilon \rho o v$ goes with what follows. In any case, the punctuation in the critical Greek editions is "NEVER based on a punctuation in a MS. It is ALWAYS a decision based on grammar, syntax, linguistics and exegesis" (Willker, 312).
$\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{v}$. Association.
ع̌on!. Fut ind 2nd sg عiui.
غ̇v $\tau \tilde{T} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \varepsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega$. Locative.

## Luke 23:44-49

${ }^{44}$ Now, it was already the sixth hour and darkness covered the whole land until the ninth (hour), ${ }^{45}$ because the sun gave out. And the curtain of the sanctuary was torn down the middle. ${ }^{46}$ Then Jesus cried out with a loud voice and said, "Father, into your hands I commit my spirit." And when he had said this, he breathed his last.
${ }^{47}$ Now, when the centurion saw what had happened, he began glorifying God, saying, "Surely this man was upright!" ${ }^{48}$ And all the crowds that had come together for this spectacle, when they saw the things that had happened, returned (home) beating their chests (in grief). ${ }^{49}$ All those who knew him had been standing at a distance, and the women who had followed him from Galilee (were also standing there) watching these things.

##  

[^0]$\eta ้ \delta \eta$ ．Temporal．
$\dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ ．A marker of approximation（BDAG，1106．2）．
©̈ $\rho \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ع̈ктๆ！．Predicate nominative．The sixth hour would be noon．
бко́тос．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ غ่ $\dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \tau \frac{1}{}$
غ̇үモ́vยто．Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual．
 here with $\varepsilon$ èzvecto（lit．＂happened／came upon＂）to refer to motion that takes place in or across a particular area．

 vaoṽ $\mu$ と́бov．

 （see 2：2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvعv́ovtoc），causal．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \chi i \sigma \theta \eta$ ．Aor pass ind 3rd sg бкiگん．
тò катал $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha$ ．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ह̇ $\sigma \chi i \sigma \theta \eta$ ．
toṽ vaov．Partitive genitive or perhaps＂curtain located in the sanctuary．＂See also 1：9 on عỉs tòv vaòv．
$\mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma o v$. Adverbial accusative（＂in the middle＂；cf．siç $\delta$ v́o in Matt 27：51 and Mark 15：38）．

 ขยขбยข．
$\varphi \omega v \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha c$ ．Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\varphi \omega v \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$（attendant circum－ stance）．
$\varphi \omega v \underset{n}{n} \mu \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta$ ．In terms of syntax，dative of instrument．In terms of semantics，the manner in which he shouted（cf．4：33；8：28；19：37； Acts 7：57，60；8：7）．

$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
Пג́ $\tau \varepsilon \rho$ ．Vocative．
 （see 1：46 on $\dot{\eta} \psi v \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{ov}$ ）for＂to you．＂On the second accent，see 1：13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס $\varepsilon$ そ́біс．
oov．Possessive genitive．
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau i \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha \mathrm{l}$. Pres mid ind 1 st sg $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau i \theta \eta \mu$. Here, to "entrust someone to the care or protection of someone" (BDAG, 772.3.b).

тò $\pi v \varepsilon \tilde{v} \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha}$. Accusative direct object of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau i \theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha$. On the second accent, see 1:13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס ס́nбic.
$\mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$. Possessive genitive.
тoṽто. Accusative direct object of عitùv.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\pi} \grave{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (temporal).
$\varepsilon ̇ \xi \dot{\varepsilon} \pi v \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $̇ \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \pi v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. One of many euphemisms for dying in Greek.


'I $\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg ópá $\omega$ (temporal).
ó غ́катоvта́ $\rho \chi \eta \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \delta \dot{\delta} \xi \alpha \zeta \varepsilon v$.
тò $\gamma \varepsilon v$ ó $\mu \varepsilon$ vov. Aor mid ptc masc acc sg (substantival). Accusative direct object of 'I $\delta \dot{\omega} v$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \delta \dot{\delta} \xi \alpha \zeta \varepsilon v$. Impf act ind 3 rd sg $\delta o \xi \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$. On the ingressive translation, see 1:59 on غ̇к人́入ouv.
đòv $\theta$ عòv. Accusative direct object of $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \delta \dot{\delta} \dot{\xi} \alpha \zeta \varepsilon v$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{v}$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (manner or attendant circumstance; see 1:24 on $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma o v \sigma \alpha$ ).

סíkaıoc. Predicate adjective. The use of Síkatos in this context may well suggest that the centurion viewed Jesus as "innocent" (so NET Bible). The term itself, though, seems to refer to living in accord with accepted standards. So, "righteous" or "upright" may be more appropriate.
ñv. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ ípi.
23:48 каì $\pi \alpha \dot{v} \nu \tau \varepsilon$ oí $\sigma v \mu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o t ~ o ̋ \chi \lambda o t ~ \varepsilon ̇ \pi i ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} v ~ \theta \varepsilon \omega \rho i ́ a \nu ~$
 $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi o v$.
 ن́ $\pi \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \varphi \circ$.
 (attributive).

$\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom pl $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (temporal).
 Accusative direct object of $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. тט́лтоvte¢. Pres act ptc masc nom pl tút $\tau \omega$ (manner). $\tau \alpha ̀ \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\theta} \theta \boldsymbol{\eta}$. Accusative direct object of $\tau \cup \mathfrak{\pi \tau o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma . ~}$


 $\tau \alpha$ ข̃ $\alpha$.



$\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ט̉t $\tilde{\tilde{c}}$. When used of a relationship, $\gamma v \omega \sigma \tau$ ós can take a genitive (John 18:16) or dative complement, as here (cf. John 18:15): lit. "all those who were known to him."
à $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ò $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \dot{\theta} \theta \varepsilon v$. Source.
ai $\sigma u v a \kappa o \lambda o v \theta o v ̃ \sigma a l . ~ P r e s ~ a c t ~ p t c ~ f e m ~ n o m ~ p l ~ \sigma u v a \kappa о \lambda o u \theta \varepsilon ́ \omega ~ \omega ~$ (attributive).
av่̉โฺ̣. Dative complement of бuvako入ouӨoṽбaı.

$\dot{\delta} \rho \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha \mathrm{a}$. Pres act ptc fem nom pl ó $\rho \dot{\alpha} \omega$. Given the absence of an article, it is unlikely that the participle is attributive. More likely, it is an adverbial modifier (manner) of an implied $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \kappa \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha v$, which has been left out by ellipsis. It is worth noting that in the syntax it is the women who are specified as watching the things that happened, not the others who were standing with them at a distance. The women are part of this larger group, but for some reason Luke wants to highlight the fact that they were observing what was taking place. It is likely that the literary function of using the feminine participle $\dot{o} \rho \tilde{\omega} \sigma \alpha$-rather than a masculine form, which would have also encompassed oi $\gamma v \omega \sigma \tau 01-$ is to set up the account of what follows. In 24:1, we find at least some of these very same women going to the tomb, where they find an empty tomb and two angels reporting that Jesus had been raised. The women had seen him die (óp $\tilde{\sigma} \sigma a \iota$ ); now they see that he is alive. The shift from $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ in verse 48 to ópá $\omega$ may simply be motivated by Luke's desire to avoid the implication
that the women had come to see the spectacle ( $\tau \grave{v} v \theta \varepsilon \omega \rho i \alpha v$ ); they had come because they loved Jesus.
$\tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of ópẽ $\sigma \alpha$. .

## Luke 23:50-56

${ }^{50}$ There was a man by the name of Joseph, who was a council member, a good and just man ${ }^{51}$ (this man had not consented to their plan or actions) from Arimathea, a city of the Jews, who was anticipating the kingdom of God. ${ }^{52}$ This man went to Pilate and requested the body of Jesus. ${ }^{53} \mathrm{Then}$ he took down (the body from the cross), wrapped it in linen, and placed him in a rock-hewn tomb where no one had ever been laid. ${ }^{54}$ It was the Day of Preparation and the Sabbath was approaching. ${ }^{55}$ The women who had come with him from Galilee followed along behind and observed the tomb and how his body was placed (in it). ${ }^{56}$ Then they returned (home) and prepared aromatic oils and scented ointments. But they rested during the Sabbath in accord with the command.

##  

## ídov̀. See 1:20.

$\dot{\alpha} v \grave{\eta} \rho$. The nominative noun could be viewed as either the topic of what follows (see 1:36 on 'E $\lambda \iota \sigma \dot{\alpha} \beta \varepsilon \tau$ ), being picked up by the resumptive pronoun oũ̃oc in verse 52 (not v. 51), or as the nominative subject of a nominal clause (see 5:12 on àvク̀ $\rho$ ).

ỏvó $\mu \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Dative of reference.

$\beta o v \lambda \varepsilon v \tau \grave{c}$. Predicate nominative, modifying ' $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \omega \nu$.
$\dot{v} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\dot{v} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \chi \omega$ (attributive, modifying àv̀̀p).
 part of a predicate nominative NP if кaì is included ( $\beta$ ou $\lambda \varepsilon \cup \tau \eta \grave{\varsigma} .$.




 ñv. Impf ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon$ i $\mu i$ i.
 (pluperfect periphrastic). Only here in the NT: "to work out a joint arrangement" (LN 31.18).

тñ $\beta$ ou入ñ $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau n ̃ ~ \pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \varepsilon ı . ~ D a t i v e ~ c o m p l e m e n t ~ o f ~ \sigma ט ү \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon ı-~$ $\mu$ ह́voc.
av̉โฮ̃v. Subjective genitive.
à $\pi$ ò A $\boldsymbol{A} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \theta$ aiac. Source. The PP modifies the material in verse 50.
$\pi \dot{\mathbf{o}} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \omega \varsigma$. Genitive in apposition to A $\rho \mu \mu \theta$ aiac.
$\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$ 'Iovסaicov. "A city in located in Jewish territory."
öc. Nominative subject of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \varepsilon \tau 0$.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \varepsilon \tau 0$. Impf mid ind 3rd sg $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \circ \mu a \mathrm{u}$.
$\tau \eta ̀ v \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha v$. Accusative direct object of $\pi \rho 0 \sigma \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon ́ \chi \varepsilon \tau 0$.
тoṽ $\theta$ عoṽ. Subjective genitive (see also 4:43).

 $\pi \rho о \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \circ \mu a l$ (attendant circumstance).
$\tau \tilde{\varphi} \Pi \iota \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$. . Dative complement of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} v$.

тò $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$. Accusative direct object of ŋ̉ $\tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau 0$.
тoṽ 'I $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ oṽ. Possessive genitive.


$\kappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon \lambda \grave{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg каӨaıp $\dot{\omega} \omega$ (attendant circumstance or temporal).


$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\delta}$ óv. Dative of instrument.
ह̈ $\theta \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg ti $\theta \eta \mu$.
av̉tòv. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \theta \eta \kappa \varepsilon v$. The shift from neuter (aủtò) to masculine reflects a subtle shift from a focus on the corpse to a focus on Jesus.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \mu \nu \eta \dot{\mu} \mu \tau \iota \lambda \alpha \xi \varepsilon v \tau \underset{\omega}{c}$ ．Locative．The adjective $\lambda \alpha \xi \varepsilon v \tau o ́ c ~ a p p e a r s$ only here in the NT：＂pertaining to having been hewn out of rock＂ （LN 19．26）．
oṽ．The genitive relative pronoun without an antecedent func－ tions as a locative adverb：＂where＂（cf．4：16，17；10：1；24：28）．

ท̃v．Impf ind 3rd sg eíuí．
ov̉סعic．Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} \nu ~ к \varepsilon \dot{\mu} \mu \varepsilon$ ос．
ov̉ாt $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ ．Temporal．
$\kappa \varepsilon \dot{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v o c .}$ ．Pres pass ptc masc nom sg кعí $\alpha$（imperfect periphras－ tic）．

## 

$\dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \alpha$ ．Predicate nominative．
ñv．Impf ind 3rd sg عi $\mu i$ i．
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \cup \eta ̃ \varsigma . ~ " T h e ~ d a y ~ a s s o c i a t e d ~ w i t h ~ p r e p a r a t i o n ~ f o r ~ a ~ S a b b a t h ~$ or feast．＂
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{\alpha} \beta \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ घ̇ $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v$ ．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v$ ．Impf act ind 3rd sg $̇$ ह̇лı甲ஸ́бк $\omega$ ．Lit．＂was dawning．＂

 غ̇t $\varepsilon$ $\theta \eta \eta$ тò $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$ av̉тoṽ，
 （attendant circumstance）．
ai $\gamma \mathbf{v} \boldsymbol{v a i ̃ \kappa \varepsilon \varsigma . ~ N o m i n a t i v e ~ s u b j e c t ~ o f ~} \dot{\varepsilon} \theta \varepsilon \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha v \tau 0$.
aïtıves．Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} \sigma \alpha v \sigma u v \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda u \theta v i \alpha a$ ．For more on the so－called indefinite relative pronoun，see 1：20 on oïtıves．

 periphrastic）．

غ̇к тŋ̃ৎ Га入ı入aíac．Source．
av่̉ $\tilde{\varphi}$ ．Dative complement of $\sigma u v \varepsilon \lambda \eta \lambda u \theta v i ̃ a ı$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \theta \varepsilon \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha v \tau \tau$ ．Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \theta \varepsilon \alpha \dot{\alpha} \circ \mu \alpha \mathrm{a}$ ．
тò $\mu \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} o v$ ．Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ है $\theta$ á $\sigma \alpha v \tau o$.
 to tò $\mu v \eta \mu \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} o v$ as part of the direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \theta \varepsilon \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau$ т．
$\dot{\omega} \varsigma$ ．Like ötı，$\dot{\omega} \varsigma$ may be used to introduce a complement clause
(part of the direct object here). It likely, however, places more focus on manner than ótı would convey (Culy and Parsons, 212; cf. BDAG, 1105.5; see also 6:4; 8:47; 24:5).

غ̇t $\dot{\varepsilon} \theta \eta$. Aor pass ind 3 rd sg ti $\dagger \eta \mu \mathrm{u}$.
тò $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \varepsilon \dot{\theta} \eta \eta$.
av่̉oũ. Possessive genitive.

##  

 circumstance).

$\dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\omega} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \mu v ́ \rho \alpha . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~ \eta ं \tau o i \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha v . ~$
тò ... $\sigma \dot{\alpha} \beta \beta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{v}$. Accusative extent of time.
$\mu \dot{v} v$. Levinsohn $(2000,170)$ argues that "the presence of $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} v$ anticipates the material introduced with $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ in 24:1 and implies that, in comparison, the information of verse 56 b is of secondary importance" (see also 3:16 on $\mu \varepsilon ̀ v ~ . ~ . ~ \delta ~ \delta \grave{c}$ ).
ŋ் $\sigma \dot{\chi} \chi \alpha \sigma \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\dot{\sigma} \sigma \chi \alpha \dot{\zeta} \zeta \omega$.
катà тŋ̀v દ̇vтo入̀̀v. Standard.

## Luke 24:1-12

${ }^{1}$ On the first (day) of the week, very early in the morning, they came to the tomb carrying the same aromatic oils that they had prepared. ${ }^{2}$ They found the stone rolled away from the tomb, ${ }^{3}$ but when they entered (it), they did not find the body of the Lord Jesus. ${ }^{4}$ And it happened that while they were perplexed about this two men in bright shining clothing (suddenly) stood by them! ${ }^{5}$ And as they were very frightened and were falling down to the ground (in fear), (the two men) said to them, "Why are you looking for the living among the dead? ${ }^{6} \mathrm{He}$ is not here, but has been raised. Remember how he told you while he was still in Galilee, ${ }^{7}$ saying that the Son of Man must be handed over into the hands of sinful men, be crucified, and on the third day rise again." ${ }^{8}$ Then they remembered what he had said, ${ }^{9}$ and when they returned from the tomb they reported all these things to the Eleven and all the rest. ${ }^{10}$ Now, Mary Magdalene, Joanna, Mary the (mother) of James, and the rest (of the women) with them were (the ones involved). They
were telling these things to the apostles, ${ }^{11}$ but these words seemed like complete nonsense to them; and they would not believe them. ${ }^{12}$ Then Peter got up and ran to the tomb. When he bent over (to look inside), he saw the pieces of linen cloth (laying there) alone. Then he went home, amazed at what had happened.

## 


$\tau \tilde{1} \ldots \mu(\underset{a}{c}$. Dative of time. The feminine gender comes from an implied $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \varepsilon ́ \rho a$.
$\delta \grave{\text { g }}$. The $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} v$. . . $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ construction links this verse closely to the preceding verse: ${ }^{23: 56} \mathrm{Th}$ hey rested during the Sabbath in accord with the command; ${ }^{24: 1}$ but on the first (day) of the week, very early in the morning, they came to the tomb."
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \beta \boldsymbol{\beta} \dot{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$. Partitive genitive. There does not appear to be any difference in meaning between the plural (see also 4:16; Acts $13: 14 ; 16: 13)$ and the singular forms of $\sigma \dot{\alpha} \beta \beta \alpha$ тov $(13: 14,16 ; 14: 5)$ in this type of construction (cf. BDAG, 910.2).
ő $\boldsymbol{\rho} \theta \rho \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\theta} \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Genitive of time. Lit. "during deep dawn." The translation follows BDAG (722).

غ̇лì tò $\mu \nu \tilde{\mu} \mu \alpha$. Locative.

$\varphi \varepsilon ́ \rho o v \sigma a l$. Pres act ptc fem nom $\mathrm{pl} \varphi \varepsilon \varepsilon_{\rho} \omega$ (manner).
$\ddot{\alpha}$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\eta} \tau o i \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha v$. The relative pronoun introduces an internally headed relative clause (see $1: 4$ on $\pi \varepsilon \rho \mathrm{i} \dot{\omega} v$
 which likely produces an intensive statement: "the very spices."

$\dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\rho} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\varphi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho o v \sigma \alpha$.

## 


тòv $\lambda i \theta o v$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \tilde{̃} \rho o v$.
$\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \varepsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v$. Prf pass ptc neut acc sg кúк $\lambda \omega$. Complement
in an object-complement double accusative construction (see 2:12

à $\boldsymbol{\text { ò }} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tilde{v} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathbf{i o v}$. Separation. There does not appear to be any
distinction between the use of $\mu \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon \tau o v$ here and $\mu \nu \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$ in the previous verse.

 عũ̉pov. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\varepsilon \dot{\sim} \rho i \sigma \kappa \omega$. тò $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \tilde{v} \rho o v$. тои̃ кvpiov. Possessive genitive.
'İ $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ oṽ. Genitive in apposition to toṽ kvpiov.


é $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ éveto. Aor mid ind 3rd sg $\gamma$ үivoual. When used within a pericope, кaì $̇$ रéveto tends to mark an immediate sequence of events (Decker, 85; cf. 1:23; see also 1:8 on 'Eүध́veтo).
 temporaneous time (see also $1: 8$ on iepatcúciv). When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81).
av̉̃àc. Accusative subject of àmo
$\pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\jmath}$ тои́тоv. Reference.
íoù. See 1:20.
$\alpha \ddot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\delta} \rho \varepsilon \varsigma \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{0}$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$.
غ̇л $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $̇ \varphi i \sigma \tau \eta \mu$.

$\dot{\varepsilon} v \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} \tau 1$. Manner. As a marker of "state or condition," the PP indicates what the two men were wearing (see BDAG, 327.2.a).
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \pi \tau \boldsymbol{u} \sigma \underline{\eta}$. Pres act ptc fem dat $\mathrm{pl} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \omega$ (attributive).

 ขєк $\rho \tilde{\omega} v$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \varphi o ́ \beta \omega v$. Predicate genitive.
$\gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega v$. Aor mid ptc fem gen pl $\gamma$ ivo $\mu a 1$. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvعv́ovtoc), temporal or causal.
$\alpha \cup ̉ \tau \omega \tilde{v}$. Genitive subject of $\gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon ่ v \omega v$.
$\boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\imath} \boldsymbol{v o v o} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$. Pres act ptc fem gen $\mathrm{pl} \kappa \lambda i v \omega$. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvev́ovtoc), temporal or causal. The expression, $\kappa \lambda ı v o v \sigma \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi \alpha \alpha$ عic $\tau \eta ̀ \nu \gamma \tilde{\eta} \nu$ (lit. "they were bowing their faces to the ground") refers to prostrating oneself before someone as an act of reverence, fear, or supplication (LN 17.21).
$\tau \alpha ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\kappa \lambda \iota v o v \sigma \tilde{\omega} v$.
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{\varsigma} \tau \mathfrak{\eta} v \gamma \tilde{\eta} \nu$. Locative.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \alpha \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. On the form, see 1:61. The implied subject of the verb is äv $\delta \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$ ©úo (v. 4).
$\pi \rho$ ò $\boldsymbol{a}$ ủtác. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho$ òs aủtòv).

тòv $\zeta \tilde{\omega} v \tau \alpha$. Pres act ptc masc acc sg $\zeta \dot{\alpha} \omega \omega$ (substantival). Accusative direct object of $ŋ \uparrow \tau \varepsilon \tau \tau \varepsilon$.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ̀ \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho \tilde{v} v$. Association.



$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda}$. Adversative (see also 1:60).
 mean either "has risen" or "has been raised" (see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction).
$\mu \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$. Aor mid impv 2nd pl $\mu \mu \nu \grave{\eta} \sigma \kappa о \mu \alpha l$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
$\dot{\omega} \varsigma$. Introduces a clausal complement of $\mu \nu \eta \dot{\sigma} \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ (see also 6:4; 8:47; cf. Acts 10:38). It likely places more focus on manner than ö $\tau$ would convey (cf. Culy and Parsons, 212).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \operatorname{sg} \lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\imath} v$. Dative indirect object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$.
غ̈tı. Temporal.
$\tilde{\omega} v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg eíhí (temporal).
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \underline{1} \Gamma\lceil\lambda \iota \lambda \alpha i a ̣$. Locative.



$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (manner).

tòv víòv. Accusative of respect. Although tòv viòv could be viewed as the accusative subject of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta 0 \theta \tilde{\eta} v a ı \ldots$ kaì $\sigma \tau \alpha v \rho \omega \theta \tilde{\eta} v a ı$ каì . . . ávaбт $\mathfrak{\eta} v a ı$, this is unlikely given the fact that there are two intervening clause boundaries (marked by ö $\tau \iota$ and the infinitive). McKay (103) notes that the conceptual subject of the verb in an indirect statement is at times "given prominence by being expressed as the object of the leading verb or brought in some other way into close association with it." Such a construction involves "anticipatory emphasis" or "prolepsis" (see also 4:34; 13:25, 27; Matt 25:24; John 9:8; 1 John 4:3).

## 

ő $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also 1:25 on őтı) of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$.
$\delta \varepsilon \tilde{\mathrm{i}}$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\delta \varepsilon \tilde{\imath}$ (impersonal). Lit. "it is necessary (for him/the Son of Man) to be handed over . . ."
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o \theta \tilde{\eta} v a l$. Aor pass inf $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{t}$ (complementary; see also 2:49 on عĩvaí).

عic रeĩpac. Locative. The idiomatic expression denotes being under the power of another (cf. 9:44; 23:46).
$\dot{\alpha} v \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda \tilde{\omega} \nu$. Possessive genitive.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\omega} \rho \boldsymbol{\omega} \theta \tilde{\eta} v a \mathrm{a}$. Aor pass inf $\sigma \tau \alpha v \rho o ́ \omega$ (complementary; see also 2:49 on عĩvai').
$\tau \underline{\eta} \tau \rho i \tau \eta \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \underline{\text { a }}$. Dative of time.
àvaбт $\mathfrak{\eta} v a \mathbf{a}$. Aor act inf $\dot{\alpha} v i \sigma \tau \eta \mu \mathrm{I}$ (complementary; see also 2:49 on عĩvai).

## 

$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta \quad \eta \alpha \nu$. Aor mid ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \mu \mu \nu \eta \eta^{\sigma} \sigma \kappa о \mu \alpha \mathrm{l}$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
$\tau \tilde{\tau} v \mathfrak{\rho} \eta \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega v$ av̉ $\tau \boldsymbol{0}$. Lit. "his words."
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \dot{\rho} \eta \mu \alpha \dot{\tau} \omega \boldsymbol{v}$. Genitive object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu v \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta \sigma \alpha v$.
aủtoṽ. Subjective genitive.



à $\pi$ ò $\tau 0 \tilde{v} \mu \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon i o v$. Source.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\eta} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \iota \lambda \alpha v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \dot{\gamma} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
$\tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha \pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\eta} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha v$.
 à $\pi \eta \dot{\gamma} \gamma \varepsilon \wedge \lambda \alpha$.

 т $\alpha$ ṽ $\alpha$,
$\tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha v$. Impf ind 3 rd pl عi $\mu \mathrm{i}$. The fact that this equative verb lacks a predicate and is followed by a list of conjoined nominatives, some of which could be the subject of the following verb ( ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ v$ ), led to various scribal attempts to simplify the grammar. Some manuscripts (A D W $\Gamma$ ) omit $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$; others ( $\mathrm{K} \mathrm{U} \Pi^{*} \Psi p c$ ) read $\tilde{\eta} \nu \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$, thus "singling out Mary Magdalene for special mention" (Omanson, 154). Still others add the relative pronoun aï before $\varepsilon$ है $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ \sim\left(\boldsymbol{N}^{c} \mathrm{~K}\right.$ $\Theta \Psi \Omega 33565$ al): "It was Mary Magdalene, Joanna . . . who were telling. . . ." For a fuller discussion of the issues here, see Marshall, 887; Nolland, 3:1191.
 Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha v$. The three-fold use of kai suggests that this is one large NP, rather than the final element (ai $\lambda$ oıtai) serving as the subject of $\varepsilon \bar{\lambda} \varepsilon \gamma \circ v$ (cf. Bock, 2:1897). This reading is supported by the scribal tradition that includes the relative pronoun ail before है $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \circ v$ (see above) and the tradition that omits $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha v \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$.
$\dot{\eta}$. The article should be read with an implied $\mu \eta \tau \eta \rho$, and stands in apposition to the second Mapia. On the referent, see Mark 6:3; 15:40.
'Iak $\omega$ ' $\mathbf{\beta o v}$. Genitive of relationship.
oùv av̉taĩc. Association.
è $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mathbf{o v}$. Impf act ind 3rd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
 $\tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\ddot{\text { é }}$ ع $\gamma \circ$ ov.
 каì ŋ̀тíatouv aủtaĩs.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \dot{\alpha} v \eta \sigma a v$. Aor mid ind 3rd pl paivc. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{o v}$ aủt $\tilde{\omega} v$. Here, the preposition is likely not locative, but rather introduces "a participant whose viewpoint is relevant to an event-'in the sight of, in the opinion of, in the judgment of'" (LN 90.20; cf. 1:15).
$\dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon \dot{1}$. Comparative.
$\lambda \tilde{\eta} \rho \mathbf{\rho}$. Nominative subject of an implied paiveı. Only here in the NT: "speech which is complete and utter nonsense" (LN 33.380).
$\tau \alpha \dot{~} \rho \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \dot{\alpha} v \eta \sigma \alpha v$.
$\dot{\eta} \pi i \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau 0 u v$. Impf act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
aủtaĩc. Dative complement of ŋ̇ríatouv.

 $\theta a \nu \mu \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega v$ тò $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma 0 v o ́ s$.
'O . . . Пغ́ $\tau \rho \boldsymbol{\rho}$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ है $\delta \rho \alpha \mu \varepsilon v$.
 stance). It is quite possible that $\alpha v i \sigma \tau \eta \mu \mathrm{i}$ is being used as a helping verb to indicate haste here (see 1:39 on 'Avaбтãба . . . غ̇лорєv́Өŋ . . . $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi o v \delta \tilde{\eta} \varsigma)$ : "Then Peter quickly ran to the tomb . . ."

हैठ $\boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\mu} \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \chi \omega$.
ènì tò $\mu \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon \tilde{o} o v$. Locative.
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \dot{\psi} \psi \alpha \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg лараки́лтн (temporal).
$\beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \mathrm{l}$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega$. This is one of only three instances of historical presents in Luke's gospel that are not associated with a speech (see also $8: 49 ; 16: 23$; cf. Acts $10: 11,27$ ). In each case, they mark the information associated with the historical present as significant and give prominence to what follows (Levinsohn 2000, 208; see also 7:40 on $\varphi \eta \sigma i v$ ).

тà ò $\theta$ óvıa. Accusative direct object of $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon ı$.
$\mu$ óva. Complement in an object-complement double accusative construction.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg à $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi o \mu \alpha u$.
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \varepsilon ́ \alpha v \tau o ̀ v . ~ S p a t i a l . ~ A l t h o u g h ~ t h i s ~ P P ~ c o u l d ~ m o d i f y ~ \theta a v \mu a ́ \zeta \omega v ~$ ("wondering to himself"), given the use of the same language in John 20:10 ( $\alpha \pi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta$ ov oṽv $\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda ı v \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \alpha u ́ t o v ̀ \varsigma ~ o i ~ \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha i) ~ a n d ~ e l s e-~$ where, where the PP clearly goes with $\dot{\alpha} \pi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta$ ov, it appears that when this PP is used with $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \circ \mu a \iota$ it carries the sense of "to his home"
(so Fitzmyer, 2:1548; Köstenberger, 565; Carson 1991, 639; contra Barrett, 564).
$\theta a \nu \mu \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\theta \alpha \nu \mu \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$ (manner).
тò $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{o v o ́ c}$. Prf act ptc neut acc sg (substantival). Accusative direct object of $\theta a v \mu \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega v$.

## Luke 24:13-35

${ }^{13}$ Now, two of them on that same day were going to a village about seven miles away from Jerusalem named Emmaus, ${ }^{14}$ and they were talking with each other about all these things that had happened. ${ }^{15}$ And it happened that while they were talking and debating Jesus himself came up and began accompanying them; ${ }^{16}$ but their eyes were obstructed, that is, they did not recognize him.
${ }^{17}$ Then he said to them, "What are these matters that you are discussing with each other as you walk?" And they stopped (walking), (being) gloomy. ${ }^{18}$ The one named Cleopas responded and said to him, "Are you the only one staying in Jerusalem and (yet) not knowing what has happened there in these (past few) days?" ${ }^{19} \mathrm{He}$ said to them, "What sort of things?" They said to him, "The things related to Jesus the Nazarene, who was a man-(actually) a prophet!-powerful in word and deed before God and all the people, ${ }^{20}$ and how our chief priests and officials handed him over for the death sentence, and they crucified him. ${ }^{21} \mathrm{We}$ had been hoping that he was the one who was going to liberate Israel. But instead, along with all these things, it is now the third day since these things happened! ${ }^{22}$ More than that, some women from our group astounded us. After being at the tomb early in the morning ${ }^{23}$ and not finding his body, they came saying, in fact, that they had seen a vision of angels, who said that he is alive! ${ }^{24}$ Some of the men with us went off to the tomb and found (it) that way, just as, in fact, the women had said! But they did not see him." ${ }^{25}$ Then he said to them, "How foolish and slow in heart (you people are) to believe all that the prophets have said! ${ }^{26}$ Wasn't it necessary for the Christ to suffer these (very) things and (then) enter into his glory?" ${ }^{27} \mathrm{And}$ beginning with Moses and all the prophets, he explained to them the things (written) about himself in all the Scriptures.
${ }^{28}$ They came near to the village where they were going, and he pretended like he was going farther. ${ }^{29}$ But they urged him, saying, "Stay
with us, since it is almost evening and the day is already ending." So, he went in to stay with them. ${ }^{30} \mathrm{And}$ it happened that as he was seated with them he took bread and blessed it. Then, after breaking (it), he began giving it to them. ${ }^{31}$ After that, their eyes were opened and they recognized him. But he disappeared from their sight. ${ }^{32}$ Then they said to one another, "Weren't our hearts burning [in us] as he was speaking to us on the road, as he opened the Scriptures for us?" ${ }^{33} \mathrm{And}$ they got up at that hour and returned to Jerusalem. They found the Eleven gathered together and those with them ${ }^{34}$ saying that the Lord had truly been raised and appeared to Simon. ${ }^{35}$ And they proceeded to explain the things (that had happened) on the road and how he was made known to them in the breaking of bread.

 ővo $\mu$ ' 'Е $\mu \mu \alpha о \tilde{c}$,
íoov̀. See 1:20.
סúo. Nominative subject of $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha v$ по $\rho \varepsilon v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o$.
$\grave{\xi} \xi \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{u} \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. Partitive genitive.
 see 10:21 on 'Ev aủtñ $\tau \tilde{n}$ ढ̈pac.

ท̃ซav. Impf ind 3rd pl $\varepsilon i \mu i$.
$\pi о \rho \varepsilon v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o t$. Pres mid ptc masc nom pl $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi o \mu \alpha t$ (imperfect periphrastic).

$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \chi$ ovoav. Pres act ptc fem acc sg ả $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \omega$ (attributive). Here, "to be at some distance away from" (LN 85.16; cf. 15:20).


ñ. Dative of possession. Lit. "to which the name was Emmaus" (cf. 1:26, 27; 2:25; 8:41).
ővoua. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
' $Е \mu \mu \alpha o v ̃ c . ~ P r e d i c a t e ~ n o m i n a t i v e ~ o f ~ a ~ v e r b l e s s ~ e q u a t i v e ~ c l a u s e . ~$
24:14 каì av̉toò $\dot{\omega} \mu \mathrm{i} \lambda$ ovv $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \eta ́ \lambda o v ৎ ~ \pi \varepsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega v ~ \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ $\sigma \nu \mu \beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa$ ќт $\omega \nu$ тои́т $\omega \nu$.
av̉тoì. Nominative subject of $\omega \mu i \lambda o u v$.
$\dot{\omega} \mu \mathrm{i} \lambda \mathbf{\lambda} \boldsymbol{v} v$. Impf act ind 3rd pl $\dot{\delta} \mu \lambda \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ ò $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\lambda} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda o v}$. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \alpha u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \grave{i} \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \sigma \nu \mu \beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \omega \nu \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \omega v$. Reference.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \sigma v \mu \beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \omega v$. Prf act ptc neut gen pl $\sigma \cup \mu \beta \alpha i v \omega$ (attributive).


ėץと́veto. Aor mid ind 3rd sg $\gamma$ үivoual. When used within a peri-
 (Decker, 85; cf. 1:23; see also 1:8 on 'Eү $\varepsilon$ v̇ยто).
$\dot{o} \mu \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{v} v$. Pres act inf $\dot{o} \mu \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. Used with $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\omega}$ to denote contemporaneous time (see also 1:8 on iepatzv่ยเ). When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81). Luke uses a tail-head construction here, i.e., he repeats material from the preceding clause (aủtoì $\omega \mu i \lambda o u v / / \delta \mu i \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} v$ aủtov̀c). The rhetorical effect of this device is "to slow the story and highlight a significant event that follows, viz., Jesus' joining the disciples and his subsequent interaction with them" (Levinsohn 2000, 290).
av̉тov̀c. Accusative subject of ó $\mu \lambda$ हiv.
$\sigma \nu \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon i ̃ v$. Pres act inf $\sigma \cup \zeta \eta \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. Used with $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\omega}$ to denote contemporaneous time (see also $1: 8$ on iepatcúsiv).
av̉tòs 'İбoṽc. Nominative subject of бuveropعú\&тo. The pronoun is intensive.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \gamma \dot{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha \varsigma$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \gamma^{i} \zeta \omega$ (temporal).


aủtoĩc. Dative complement of ouveropsúعto.
 av̉tóv.
oi . . . ỏ $\varphi \theta \boldsymbol{a} \lambda \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$. Nominative subject of $̇$ ह̇кратои̃vтo.
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon}$. Here, the conjunction with the imperfect verb introduces background material that is particularly important for advancing Luke's purpose (see also 1:6).
aủt $\omega \boldsymbol{v}$. Possessive genitive.

غ̇кратои̃vто. Impf pass ind 3rd pl кратє́ف. Here, "to control in such a way that something does not happen" (BDAG, 564-65.5).

тoṽ . . . غ่ $\pi \iota \gamma \nu \tilde{\omega} v a l$. Aor act inf $\grave{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \gamma \iota \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \omega$. The infinitive could be viewed as epexegitical (see the translation) or result (lit. "their eyes were seized so that they did not recognize him").
av̉tóv. Accusative direct object of $\grave{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \gamma v \tilde{v} v a l$.


$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\tau}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon}$. The conjunction introduces the first new development in this episode after the scene has been set (see also Levinsohn 2000, 286).
$\pi \rho \mathbf{o ̀} \boldsymbol{\varphi}$ aủtoúc. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho$ òs aủtòv).
Tivec. Predicate nominative of a verbless equative clause.
oi $\lambda \mathbf{~ o ́} \gamma \mathbf{y}$ ı oṽ̃ot. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
oüc. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} v \tau \iota \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$.
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 2nd pl $\dot{\alpha} v \tau \iota \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$. Only here in the NT: "to discuss, implying conflicting opinions" (LN 33.160).
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \grave{\lambda} \lambda o u s$. Association.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau о \tilde{v} \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Pres act ptc masc nom $\mathrm{pl} \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (temporal).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$. Aor pass ind 3 rd pl ï $\sigma \tau \eta \mu$. On the voice, see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma \kappa v} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{i}$. Manner. Marshall (894), citing Wilcox, suggests that غ̇бт $\dot{\alpha} \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \sigma \kappa v \theta \rho \omega \pi o i ~ c o u l d ~ b e ~ v i e w e d ~ a s ~ a ~ v e r b ~ p h r a s e ~ a n d ~ r e n-~$ dered, "they were downcast." Structurally, however, the adjective here is comparable to an adverbial participle that introduces the manner in which the action of the main verb was carried out (see
 "Why are you standing there looking into the sky?").




 "Deponency" in the Series Introduction.

عĩ. Nominative subject of عĩ爪cv.

ỏvó $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau}$. Dative of reference.
K $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\pi} \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}} \boldsymbol{c}$. Nominative in apposition to $\varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \varsigma$.
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ òs av̉tóv. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho$ òs aủtòv).
 $\pi \alpha \rho о ь к \varepsilon i ̃ c . ~ P r e s ~ a c t ~ i n d ~ 2 n d ~ s g ~ \pi \alpha \rho о ь к \varepsilon ́ \omega . ~$

 others add عiç (G 28788 1346) in an attempt to clarify the syntax. As the text stands, we could take the indeclinable 'Iqpovбa $\lambda \grave{\eta} \mu$ as either dative of location (see BDAG, 779.1) or as an accusative complement of тароькєĩৎ (lit. "Are you the only one inhabiting Jerusalem [as a temporary resident]"; cf. LXX Gen 17:8).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\gamma} \nu \omega \varsigma$. Aor act ind 2nd sg $\gamma \iota v \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \omega$. Lit. "you did not know."
$\tau \grave{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{o ́} \mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{a}$. Aor mid ptc masc acc pl үivouaı (substantival). Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ z $\gamma v \omega c$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \boldsymbol{a} u \dot{\tau} \tilde{n}$. Locative. Lit. "in it." The antecedent is 'Iع $\rho o v \sigma \alpha \lambda \eta ̀ \mu$. غ̇v таĩৎ $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \varepsilon ́ \rho a ı s ~ \tau a v ́ \tau a ı c . ~ T e m p o r a l . ~$

 $\lambda o ́ \gamma \varphi$ évavtiov toṽ $\theta$ عoũ кaì $\pi \alpha v \tau o ̀ \varrho ~ \tau o v ̃ ~ \lambda \alpha o v ̃, ~$
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av̉тoĩc. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$.
Пoĩa. The syntax of the interrogative adjective depends on what is thought to be implicit in this elliptical clause. The neuter adjective could be the accusative direct object of an implied $\varepsilon \not \varepsilon \nu \omega \omega$ ("I do not know what things?") or the nominative subject of an implied
 happened there in these days?"). The use of ö $\pi \omega \varsigma$ in verse 20 points to the direct object view. As a unit, the interrogative clause serves as the structural direct object of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \varepsilon v$.
oi. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ĩtav (see 1:29 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ).
عĩ̃ $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. On the form, see 1:61.
av̉т $\tilde{\varphi}$. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon \tilde{i} \pi \alpha v$.
Tà. The article functions as a nominalizer, changing the PP $\pi \varepsilon \rho i$ 'Inooṽ into either the nominative subject of an elliptical clause ("The things about Jesus the Nazarene that happened there") or accusative
direct object of an elliptical clause ("You do not know the things about Jesus the Nazarene"), which as a whole serves as the direct object of $\varepsilon i \pi \pi \alpha v$. The use of ö $\pi \omega \varsigma$ in verse 20 points to the direct object view.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \grave{̀}$ 'İбои̃. Reference.
тои̃ $\mathbf{N a \zeta} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{v o v}$. Genitive in apposition to 'I $\eta \sigma o \tilde{v}$.
öc. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ย̇غ่vยтo.
غ̇ $\gamma \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \tau т$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual.
àv $\grave{j} \rho$. Predicate nominative.
$\pi \rho о \varphi \grave{\eta} \tau \eta \varsigma$. Nominative in apposition to $\alpha$ व̀̀ $\rho$.
 with the description of Moses in Acts 7:22 (סuvatòs ह̇v $\lambda$ ó हैp $ز$ оıs av̉тoṽ).

反uvatòs. Attributive modifier of $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \eta$ ŋ́ $\tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$ or perhaps substantival in apposition to $\pi \rho о \varphi \eta$ 'п $\uparrow$ ( ("who was a man, a prophet, one who was powerful in deed and word").

 is likely not locative, but rather introduces "a participant whose viewpoint is relevant to an event-'in the sight of, in the opinion of, in the judgment of'" (LN 90.20).


ö $\pi \omega \varsigma$. This should likely be viewed as introducing an indirect question (cf. McKay, 109; Marshall, 895), "indicating how something took place" (LN 89.86), that is conjoined to Tà $\pi \varepsilon \rho \mathrm{l}$ 'I $\eta \sigma 0 \tilde{v}$ тoũ $N a \zeta \alpha \rho \eta$ voũ (v. 19; cf. v. 35). Its use with the indicative is rare (see BDAG, 718.1).
$\tau \varepsilon$. This is the only use of $\tau \varepsilon$ solitarium ( $\tau \dot{\varepsilon}$ without a subsequent corresponding кai or $\tau \dot{\varepsilon}$ ) in Luke. Levinsohn $(2000,109)$ argues that this verse "provides a non-narrative instance of $\tau \dot{\varepsilon}$ used to add a proposition that is of particular significance for what follows. The speech of vv. 19-24 refers in general to the things that their addressee must surely be aware of (v. 19b); t $\varepsilon$ adds the specific event that is leading them to reexamine their expectations about Jesus (v. 21a)."
$\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \dot{\delta} \omega \omega \kappa \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd $\mathrm{pl} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{~L}$.
aủ̃òv. Accusative direct object of $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\delta} \delta \omega \kappa \alpha v$.
 $\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$. Genitive of subordination.
عís кріна. The PP functions as a marker of "entry into a state of being" (BDAG, 290.4.a).

Oavátov. Epexegetical or attributive genitive. Lit. "a judgment of death."

غ̇бтav́p $\omega \sigma \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl otavpó $\omega$.
aủtóv. Accusative direct object of $̇$ ह̇бтav́ $\rho \omega \sigma a v$.
 тòv 'Iб 1

$\dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} \varsigma$. Nominative subject of $\grave{\eta} \lambda \pi i \zeta$ о $\mu \varepsilon v$.
$\grave{\eta} \lambda \pi i \zeta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mu \varepsilon v$. Impf act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \pi i \zeta \omega$.
ö $\tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces the clausal complement of $\mathfrak{\eta} \lambda \pi i \zeta о \mu \varepsilon v$. This construction could also be viewed as indirect discourse with a verb of cognition (cf. 6:31 on îva; 8:31 on îva; McKay, 113).
av̉́óc. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ ह̇бтıv.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon i \mu i$. On the loss of accent, see 1:18 on عíp.
$\dot{\boldsymbol{o}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc nom sg $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ (substantival). Predicate nominative. On the semantics of $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ with an infinitive, see 21:7 on $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \eta$ !.
$\lambda \nu \tau \rho о \tilde{\sigma} \theta a \mathrm{a}$. Pres mid inf $\lambda \nu \tau \rho o ́ o \mu a ı$ (complementary). тòv 'I $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\eta} \eta$ 入. Accusative direct object of $\lambda \nu \tau \rho \circ \tilde{0} \sigma \theta a \mathrm{a}$.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \dot{l}$. This expression appears to introduce an emphatic statement that runs counter the speaker's expectations.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} v ̀ v \pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota v$ tov́totc. Here, the preposition is a "marker of linkage" that is used "when a new factor is introduced" (BDAG, 962.3.b).
$\tau \rho i \tau \eta \nu \tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta \nu \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \alpha v$. Accusative direct object of $\ddot{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon$.
$\ddot{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon \mathrm{c}$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\ddot{\alpha} \gamma \omega$. The sense of the expression (lit. "he/it brings/leads this third day") is difficult to decifer. Many take it as impersonal ("it is the third day"), but there is no evidence to support this analysis (BDAG, 16.4). Others view Jesus as the subject, and take the verb to mean, "to make use of time for a specific purpose, spend, observe" (BDAG, 16.4; cf. BDF $\$ 129$; Klein, 731, n. 49): "He is spending the third day." The evidence for this view, however, is very sparse. It may be best to supply a different subject,
"The sun(rise) brings this third day," and view the expression as an idiom meaning, "This is now the third day."
$\dot{\alpha} \varphi \varphi^{\prime} \mathbf{o v}$. On the form and function of this temporal relative phrase, see 7:45. The gender of the relative pronoun rules out taking $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \rho a v$ as its antecedent.
$\tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha$. Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ غ่रह́veтo.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon ̇ v \varepsilon \tau \%$. Aor mid ind 3rd sg $\gamma$ ivoual.

##  ỏ $\rho \theta \rho ı v a i ̀ ~ \varepsilon ̇ \pi i ̀ ~ t o ̀ ~ \mu \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon i ̃ o v, ~$

$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\alpha}$. The adversative conjunction introduces a clause that runs counter expectation (see also 1:60).

$\bar{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{v} v$. Partitive genitive. Lit. "from us."
$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\dot{\xi} \xi i \sigma \tau \eta \mu$.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{a} \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\xi} \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$.
$\gamma \varepsilon v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v a ı$. Aor mid ptc fem nom pl $\gamma$ ívoual (temporal).
ỏ $\rho \theta \rho ı v a i$. . Although this looks like a predicate adjective, this term is adverbial in its semantics (see BDF $\$ 243$; see also v . 17 on $\sigma \kappa \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi$ oi and 20:29 on ätєкvоৎ) and the PP should probably be viewed as the predicate of $\gamma \varepsilon v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v a u$.

غ̇лì tò $\mu \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon \tilde{\pi} o v$. Locative.



عúpoṽбal. Aor act ptc fem nom pl عúpíซk (temporal).
тò $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \dot{\rho} \rho o v ̃ \sigma a ı$.
av่̉oũ. Possessive genitive.


кaì. Ascensive.
ò $\pi \tau \alpha \sigma i \alpha v$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \omega \rho \alpha \kappa \varepsilon ́ v \alpha ı$.
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \dot{\chi} \lambda \omega v$. Objective genitive.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \omega \rho a \kappa \varepsilon ́ v a ı$. Prf act inf ópáw (indirect discourse).
oil. Nominative subject of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \quad$ ouøıv.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} v$. Pres act ind 3rd pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
av̉兀òv. Accusative subject of $\zeta \tilde{\eta} v$.

そֹ̃v．Pres act inf $\langle\dot{\alpha} \omega$（indirect discourse）．


$\dot{\alpha} \pi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta$ óv．Aor act ind 3rd pl $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi o \mu \alpha$ ．On the second accent， see $1: 13$ on $\dot{\eta} \delta \varepsilon ́ \eta \sigma i ́ \varphi$.

тivec．Nominative subject of à $\pi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta$ óv．
$\boldsymbol{\tau} \tilde{\omega} v$ ．The article functions as a nominalizer（see 1：48 on árò $\tau$ oũ $v \tilde{v})$ ，changing the PP ov̀v $\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{v} v$ into a partitive genitive modifier of tivec．

غ̇̃ì tò $\mu \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon \pi ̃ o v$. Locative．
عũ $\rho o v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl عúpiok $\omega$ ．
oüt $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ c．Manner．
каӨ⿳亠口冋c．Comparative．

عĩ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{o v}$ ．Aor act ind 3rd pl $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$ ．
av่̉òv．Accusative direct object of عĩరov．The fronting of the pro－ noun makes it more prominent．

عĩסov．Aor act ind 3rd pl ópá $\omega$ ．


aủtòc．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \frac{\pi}{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$ ．The overt subject pronoun helps mark a shift in speaker after an extended discourse by the other participant（s）．
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3 rd sg $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$ ．
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ́ \varsigma . ~ I n d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~(s e e ~ 1: 13 ~ o n ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \alpha u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
 with the vocative to convey deep emotion（Wallace，68－69）．Lit．＂O， foolish and slow ．．．＂
$\tau \underline{1} \kappa \kappa \rho \delta i a ̣$ ．Dative of reference．
тоข̃ $\pi เ \sigma \tau \varepsilon v ่ \varepsilon เ ข$ ．Pres act inf $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup ่ \omega$（epexegetical）．
غ̀mì $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota v$ ．Typically，Luke uses the complementary dative to denote the object of belief（see 1：20；20：5；Acts 5：14；8：12；16：34； 18：8a；24：14；27：25）．The prepositions عic（Acts 10：43；14：23）and $̇ \pi i$ （here；Acts 9：42；11：17；16：31；22：19），however，sometimes serve as a substitute for this construction（cf．BDAG，364．6．b）．
oĩc. Dative by attraction to $\pi \tilde{\sigma} \sigma เ v($ see $5: 9$ on $\tilde{\omega} v)$. Without attraction we would have expected oũ $\varsigma$, since the relative pronoun is the syntactic direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $\lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
oi $\pi \rho \mathbf{\rho} \varphi \tilde{\eta} \tau \alpha \mathbf{\alpha}$. Nominative subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha v$.
 סóそav aủtoũ;
oủxì. The negativizer indicates that a positive answer is expected to this question.
$\tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha$. Accusative direct object of $\pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon i ̃ v$. Fronted to make it more prominent.

हैס $\delta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\delta \varepsilon \tilde{\imath}$ (impersonal).
$\pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} v$. Aor act $\inf \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \omega$ and $\varepsilon \dot{\jmath} \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha \iota$ (complementary; see also 2:49 on عĩvai).
tòv X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau$ òv. Accusative subject of $\pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau ̃ v$.
 on عĩvai).
 refers to Jesus beginning to experience (see LN 90.70) his glory. av่̉oṽ. Subjective genitive.

 غ̇avtoũ.
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \xi \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mu v v_{o c}$. Aor mid ptc masc nom sg áp $\chi \omega$ (manner).


$\delta เ \varepsilon \rho \mu \eta \dot{\imath \varepsilon v} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\delta \iota \varepsilon \rho \mu \eta \nu \varepsilon v ่ \omega$. Here, "to explain on a more extensive and formal level the meaning of something which is particularly obscure or difficult to comprehend" (LN 33.148).
av̉toĩc. Dative indirect object of $\delta เ \varepsilon \rho \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v$.

$\tau$ à. The neuter article functions as a nominalizer (see 1:48 on à $\boldsymbol{\text { ò }}$ тoṽ vũv), changing the $\mathrm{PP} \pi \varepsilon \rho \mathrm{i}$ غ́autoũ into the accusative direct object of $\delta เ \varepsilon \rho \mu \eta \dot{\nu \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v . ~}$
$\pi \varepsilon \rho 1$ モ̇avtoṽ. Reference.



$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varsigma} \tau \eta ̀ \nu \kappa \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \eta \boldsymbol{\nu}$. Locative.
oṽ. The genitive relative pronoun without an antecedent functions as a locative adverb: "where" (cf. 4:16, 17; 10:1; 23:53).

 tended to go farther."
av̉tòs. Nominative subject of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon \pi о$ ท́бато.
 NT: "to engage in an action or gesture that gives the appearance of conveying specific intent" (BDAG, 884.1).

торрйтєроv. Comparative.


 $\mu \varepsilon i ̃ v a l ~ \sigma v ̀ v ~ a u ̉ \tau o i ̃ c . ~$
$\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \beta \iota \alpha \dot{\sigma} \alpha v \tau \tau$. Aor mid ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta เ \alpha ́ \zeta \rho \mu \alpha ı$. Only here and in Acts 16:15 in the NT: "to speak in such a way as to encourage a particular type of behavior or action" (LN 33.299).
aủtòv. Accusative direct object of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \beta$ เáбavio.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (manner).
Meĩvov. Aor act impv 2nd sg $\mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega$.
$\mu \varepsilon \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Association.
ő otı. Introduces a causal clause.
$\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ́ \sigma \pi \varepsilon ́ \rho a v . ~ T e m p o r a l . ~ L i t . ~ " t o w a r d ~ e v e n i n g . " ~ " ~$
غ̇oтìv. Pres ind 3 rd sg $\varepsilon$ ilui. On the retention of the accent, see 1:36 on $̇$ ह̇бtiv.

кย́к $\lambda_{\iota \kappa \varepsilon v . ~ P r f ~ a c t ~ i n d ~ 3 r d ~ s g ~}^{\kappa} \lambda i v \omega$. Here, likely, "to begin to come to an end, with particular reference to the period of a day (a figurative meaning dependent upon the position of the sun)" (LN 68.51; cf. 9:12).
$\dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\rho} \rho a$. Nominative subject of кદ́к入ıкєv.

тоṽ $\mu \varepsilon і ̃ v a l$. Aor act inf $\mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega$（purpose）．
oùv aủtoĩc．Association．



غ̇үย̇veto．Aor mid ind 3rd sg $\gamma$ रivoual．When used within a peri－ cope，kaì $\dot{\gamma \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \tau o ~ t e n d s ~ t o ~ m a r k ~ a n ~ i m m e d i a t e ~ s e q u e n c e ~ o f ~ e v e n t s ~}$ （Decker，85；cf．1：23；see also 1：8 on＇Eүध́veto）．Here，the phrase is combined with a temporal phrase that indicates a change of scene．

катак $\lambda$ ө $\boldsymbol{\eta} v \alpha \mathrm{l}$ ．Aor mid／pass inf катак $\lambda i v \omega$ ．The verb means＂to cause someone to assume a reclining（or possibly sitting）position as part of the process of eating＂（LN 17．24）．Used with $\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \tau \tilde{\omega}$ to denote contemporaneous time（see also 1：8 on iepatev́siv）．It is unclear whether this form should be viewed as middle（＂as he took his seat＂） or passive（see the translation），though the semantics of the verb make the passive reading more likely．The verb occurs only in Luke in the NT（also 7：36； $9: 14,15 ; 14: 8$ ）．When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition，they are always articular（Burk，81）．On the use of the aorist infinitive，see 3：21 on $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \tilde{\eta} v a l$ ．
av̉tòv．Accusative subject of катак入1 $\theta \tilde{\eta} v \alpha u$ ．
$\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ av่̉ $\tilde{\omega} v$. Association．
$\lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$（attendant circum－ stance of عủ入ó $\gamma \eta \sigma \varepsilon v)$ ．
tòv äptov．Accusative direct object of $\lambda \alpha \beta \omega ̀ v$ ．
عủ入óүๆбعv．Aor act ind 3rd sg عủ入oүદ́ $\omega$ ．
$\kappa \lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \varsigma$ ．Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\kappa \lambda \dot{\alpha} \omega$（temporal，modifying غ̇л $\varepsilon \delta i \delta o u)$ ．
 lation，see 1：59 on ह̇ка́入ouv．
aủtoĩc．Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon$ ह̇r $\varepsilon \delta i \delta o u$ ．


av̉tũv．Possessive genitive．
$\delta \grave{\text { e }}$ ．The conjunction introduces the next significant development in the episode．Levinsohn $(2000,286)$ argues that＂the absence of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$
in vv. 25-30 suggests that Luke's purpose in relating the episode is only advanced as the two disciples recognize the fact of Jesus' resurrection for themselves." The pause in development, then, effectively sets up the return to the theme that was introduced in the episode's
 aủtóv.
$\delta ı \eta v o i \chi \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$. Aor pass ind 3rd pl $\delta \iota \alpha v o i \gamma \omega$.
oi ò $\varphi \theta$ a $\lambda \mu \boldsymbol{i}$. Nominative subject of $\delta$ ı $\eta$ voi $\chi \theta \eta \sigma a v$.
غ̇л $\dot{\gamma} \gamma \omega \sigma \sigma v$. Aor act ind 3rd pl $̇ \pi เ \gamma เ \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \omega$.
aủtóv. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \alpha v$.
 invisible from them."

ä $\varphi$ avtoc. Predicate adjective.
غ̇үغ́veto. Aor mid ind 3rd sg үivoual.
$\dot{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \boldsymbol{\pi}$ ' av̉̃ $\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v}$. Separation.

 $\gamma \rho \alpha \varphi \dot{\alpha}$;
$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \pi \alpha v$. Aor act ind $3 \mathrm{rd} \mathrm{pl} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. On the form, see 1:61.

Oủxì. The negativizer indicates that a positive answer is expected to this question.

$\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Possessive genitive.
каıонє́vŋ. Pres pass ptc fem nom sg кai $\omega$ (imperfect periphrastic). The position of the participle before the main verb likely strengthens the force of the verb phrase.

ท̃v. Impf ind 3rd sg eijui.
[ $\dot{v} v \dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\mu} v]$. Locative.
©́. Temporal.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Impf act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\dot{\eta} \mu i ̃ v$. Dative indirect object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon$.
èv $\tau \underline{n}$ ódẹ̃. Locative.
$\dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\varsigma}$. Temporal. This $\dot{\omega} \varsigma$ clause stands in apposition to the preceding one.
 thing which has been previously hidden or obscure" (LN 33.142). $\dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{\mu} v$. Dative indirect object of $\delta$ ıŋ́voı $\gamma \varepsilon v$. On the word order, see 1:2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu i \pi v$.
tà $\varsigma ~ \gamma \rho \alpha \varphi a ́ c . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~ \delta ı \eta ́ v o r ~ \gamma \varepsilon v . ~$



ảvactávtec. Aor act ptc masc nom pl $\alpha$ viotn $\mu$ (attendant circumstance). Although the participle likely indicates that they got up from their seated position, the expression could possibly indicate

av่̉ñ̃ $\tau \tilde{1}$ ©̈ $\rho \underline{a}$. Dative of time. On the demonstrative use of aủtóৎ, see 10:21 on 'Ev aủtñ Tñ ©̈pạ.

عic 'İpovoa入ŋ̀ $\mu$. Locative.
عũpov. Aor act ind 3rd pl घúpíok $\omega$.
$\dot{\eta} \theta \rho o t \sigma \mu \dot{v}$ vovc. Prf pass ptc masc acc $\mathrm{pl} \dot{\alpha} \theta \rho o i \zeta \omega$. Complement in an object-complement double accusative construction. The position of the participle helps connect it to toùs $\varepsilon$ हैv $\delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ alone rather than to


$\kappa \alpha i ̀$. The conjunction links the two participial phrases ( $\eta \theta \rho o \iota \sigma-$ $\mu \varepsilon ́ v o u \varsigma ~ t o u ̀ \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ̌ v \delta \varepsilon к \alpha ~ a n d ~ t o v ̀ s ~ \sigma u ̀ v ~ a u ̉ t o i ̃ ৎ) ~ r a t h e r ~ t h a n ~ t h e ~ t w o ~ N P s ~$
 $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma o v \tau a \varsigma$.
 on á $\pi o ̀ ~ \tau o \tilde{v} v \tilde{v} v$ ), changing the PP into the second half of the accusative direct object of $\varepsilon \tilde{v} \rho o v$.
oùv aủtoĩc. Association.

## 24:34 入غ́үоขтаৎ öтı övт

$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \alpha c$. Pres act ptc masc acc pl $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Complement in an object-complement double accusative construction. Given the lack of a conjunction preceding this participle, it likely modifies only tov̀s oùv aủtoiç. Thus, the comma before the participle in $\mathrm{NA}^{27 /}$ UBS ${ }^{4}$ should be removed.
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also 1:25 on őтı) of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \quad$ оvтac.
$\eta \mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \boldsymbol{\eta}$. Aor mid/pass ind 3rd sg $\varepsilon \nmid \varepsilon i \rho \omega$. The verb form could mean either "has risen" or "has been raised" (see "Deponency" in the Series Introduction).
ó кúpıoc. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\rho} \rho \theta \eta$.
$\ddot{\omega} \varphi \theta \eta$. Aor pass ind 3rd sg ópó $\omega$. See also 1:11.
$\Sigma \dot{\prime} \dot{\mu} \omega \mathbf{v}$. Dative complement of $\ddot{\omega} \varphi \theta \eta$. See also 1:11 on $\alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega}$.

##  

aủtoì. Nominative subject of $\grave{\varepsilon} \xi \eta \gamma \circ u ̃ v \tau o$.

 $v \tilde{v} v)$, changing the prepositonal phrase $\varepsilon \in v \tau \tilde{\eta}$ ó $\delta \tilde{\varphi}$ into the accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \eta \gamma$ วõvтo.

غ̀v $\tau \underline{1}$ ó ódẹ. Locative.
$\dot{\omega} \varsigma$. Introduces a clausal complement of $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \eta \gamma$ оũvto (see also 6:4; 8:47; cf. Acts $10: 38$ ) that is conjoined with the direct object tà $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ $\tau \tilde{\eta}$ ód $\tilde{\omega}$. The use of $\dot{\omega} \varsigma$ likely places more focus on manner than ő $\tau \iota$ would convey (cf. Culy and Parsons, 212).
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \omega \theta \eta$. Aor pass ind 3rd sg $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \omega$.
av̉тoĩc. Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon \not \gamma v \omega \dot{\sigma} \theta \eta$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \underline{1} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Rather than attempting to locate a specific adverbial nuance like temporal ("he was made known to them when the bread was broken") or instrumental ("he was made known to them by the breaking of the bread"), it may be better to maintain that the PP simply points to the context (see 1:78 on $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ oĩs) for the action of the verb.

тoṽ ảp $\boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\tau}$. Objective genitive.

## Luke 24:36-49

${ }^{36}$ Now, while they were saying these things, he (suddenly) stood among them and said to them, "Peace be with you!" ${ }^{37}$ But being startled and terrified, they began to think they were seeing a ghost. ${ }^{38}$ Then he said to them, "Why are you troubled? And why do doubts arise in your hearts? ${ }^{39} \mathrm{Look}$ at my hands and my feet; (see)
that it is me. Touch me and see (that it is true), because a ghost does not have flesh and bones, as you (can) see I have." ${ }^{40}$ When he had said this, he showed them (his) hands and feet. ${ }^{41}$ And while they were still unable to believe (what was happening) because of (their) joy, and were amazed, he said to them, "Do you have anything to eat here?" ${ }^{42}$ So, they gave him a piece of broiled fish, ${ }^{43}$ and he took it and ate it in front of them.
${ }^{44}$ Then he said to them, "These were my words that I spoke to you while I was still with you, that it is necessary for everything that was written about me in the Law of Moses and the Prophets and the Psalms to be fulfilled." ${ }^{45}$ Then he opened their minds to understand the Scriptures ${ }^{46}$ and said to them, "Thus it was written, that the Christ would suffer, rise from the dead on the third day, ${ }^{47}$ and repentance for the forgiveness of sins would be preached in his name in all nations, beginning at Jerusalem. ${ }^{48} \mathrm{You}$ are witnesses of these things. ${ }^{49} \mathrm{I}$ am sending you what my Father promised. So, stay in the city until you are clothed with power from on high."

##  

Taṽta. Accusative direct object of $\lambda \alpha \lambda$ ov́v $\tau \omega v$.
av่̉ $\tilde{\omega} v$. Genitive subject of $\lambda \alpha \lambda$ ov́v $\tau \omega \nu$.
$\lambda \alpha \lambda \operatorname{cov} v \tau \omega v$. Pres act ptc masc gen pl $\lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$. Genitive absolute (see 2:2 on $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvعv́ovtoc), temporal.
aủtòs. Nominative subject of हैбтๆ.

$\dot{\varepsilon} v \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \omega$. Locative.
av่̉ $\tilde{\omega} v$. Partitive genitive.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. On the significance of the historical present, see 7:40 on $\varphi \eta \sigma i v$.
av̉toĩc. Dative indirect object.
Eīpŋ́vŋ $\dot{\text { viñv. This verbless clause functions as the clausal direct }}$ object of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon$.

Eipŋ́vŋ. Nominative subject of a verbless clause.
v́nĩv. Dative of advantage.

##  $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon$ ĩv．

$\pi \tau 0 \eta \theta \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ．Aor pass ptc masc nom $\mathrm{pl} \pi \tau \circ \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \circ \mu \alpha ı$（causal；see fur－ ther below on $\gamma \varepsilon$ vó $\mu \varepsilon v o t)$ ．If the kai joins the participle and adjective rather than the two participles，then $\pi \tau о \eta \theta \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ к а i ̀ ~ e ́ \mu \varphi o \beta o 七 ~$ would function as the compound predicate of $\gamma \varepsilon v o \dot{\prime} \mu \varepsilon$ vol．
$\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon}$ каì．Here，$\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ introduces a new development in the narrative while kai joins the two participles（cf．2：4）．

غ́ $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{o}$ ．Predicate adjective．
$\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v o ́} \boldsymbol{\mu} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathbf{o t}$ ．Aor mid ptc masc nom pl $\gamma$ ivouaı（causal）．Kwong lists this participle（along with $\pi \tau 0 \eta \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$ as the only example in Luke where a result construction precedes the main verb．Although thinking one is seeing a ghost would lead to fear，the text should probably be read as indicating an initial alarm and fear that settles into the belief that they must be seeing a ghost．

غ́ $\delta$ óкovv．Impf act ind 3rd pl $\delta$ oкé $\omega$ ．On the ingressive transla－ tion，see 1：59 on غ̇к人́入ouv．
$\pi \nu \varepsilon \tilde{u} \mu \alpha$ ．Accusative direct object of $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon \tilde{v} v$ ．
$\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon \tilde{v} v$ ．Pres act inf $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$（indirect discourse with a verb of cognition；cf．1：22 on ötı）．


$\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
av̉тoĩc．Dative indirect object of عĩл
$\tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \rho a \gamma \mu \varepsilon ́ v o l$ ．Prf pass ptc masc nom pl $\tau \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \omega$（perfect peri－ phrastic）．

غ̇бтと̀．Pres ind 2nd pl $\varepsilon$ íhí．
סıà ti．Causal．Lit．＂because of what？＂
$\delta \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\imath} \iota \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\circ} \mathrm{i}$ ．Nominative subject of ảvaßaivovoıv．
$\dot{\alpha} v a \beta a i v o v \sigma ı v$ ．Pres act ind 3rd pl ávaßaive．

$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ ．Possessive genitive．



̂̋ঠete．Aor act impv 2nd pl ópá $\omega$ ．

On the second accent on $\chi \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} \rho \dot{\rho} \varsigma$ ，see $1: 13$ on $\dot{\eta}$ dé $\eta \sigma i \varsigma$.
$\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} . . . \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{v}$ ．Possessive genitives．
ötı غ̇ $\gamma \dot{\omega}$ घíuı av̉tóc．Lit．＂that I am he＂or＂I am myself．＂
ö $\tau$ ı．Introduces the clausal complement of $1 \delta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ that stands
 Alternatively，the ötı could be viewed as epexegetical．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$ ．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon \dot{\ell} \mu$ ．
عiut．Pres ind 1st sg عipi．
av̉兀óc．Predicate nominative．
$\psi \eta \lambda \alpha \varphi \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \dot{\varepsilon}$ ．Aor act impv 2nd pl $\psi \eta \lambda \alpha \varphi \alpha ́ \omega$ ．
$\mu \varepsilon$ ．Accusative direct object of $\psi \eta \lambda \alpha \varphi \eta \quad \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ ．On the second accent，see 1：13 on $\eta$ ŋ $\delta \dot{\eta} \eta \sigma i \varsigma$.
«ঠعtє．Aor act impv 2nd pl ópác $\omega$ ．
ötı．Introduces a causal clause．
$\pi \nu \varepsilon v ̃ \mu \alpha$ ．Nominative subject of $\varepsilon$ é $\chi \varepsilon$ ．

モ̌ $\chi \varepsilon$ เ．Pres act ind 3rd sg é $\chi \omega$ ．
каӨ⿳亠口冋c．Comparative．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \varepsilon ̀ . ~ A c c u s a t i v e ~ d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~ \theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon i ̃ \tau \varepsilon . ~$
$\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \tau$ ．Pres act ind 2nd $\mathrm{pl} \theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ ．
モ̇ $\chi \mathbf{o v \tau \alpha}$ ．Pres act ptc masc acc sg ê $\neq \omega$ ．Complement in an object－ complement double accusative construction．

тoṽтo．Accusative direct object of $\varepsilon$ einùv．
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \pi \grave{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$（temporal）．
モ̌ठ $\delta \iota \xi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\delta \varepsilon і к \nu \cup \mu$ ．
av่̉oĩc．Dative indirect object of $\varepsilon$ हैסıı $\xi \varepsilon v$ ．On the word order，see 1：2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i} v$.




हैtı．Temporal．
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 \cup \dot{v} \tau \omega v$ ．Pres act ptc masc gen $\mathrm{pl} \dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ ．Genitive abso－
 not believing．＂
$\alpha$ ヘ่̉ $\tilde{\omega} v$ ．Accusative subject of $\dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \sigma \tau o v ́ v \tau \omega v$ ．
à $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ò $\tau \tilde{q} \varsigma \chi \alpha \rho \tilde{a} \varsigma$ ．Causal．
$\theta \alpha v \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ óvt $\omega v$ ．Pres act ptc masc gen pl $\theta \alpha \nu \mu \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$ ．Genitive abso－ lute（see $2: 2$ on $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu$ оvعúovtoc），temporal．
$\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
av̉тoĩc．Dative indirect object of عĩ $i \pi \varepsilon$ ．
＂Ex\＆té．Pres act ind 2nd pl $\check{\varepsilon} \chi \omega$ ．On the second accent，see 1：13 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ס と́クбíc．
 $\beta \rho \omega \sigma \not \mu о \varsigma$ occurs only here in the NT：＂pertaining to what can be eaten＂（LN 23．4）．

## 

oi．The nominative article functions as the subject of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \delta \delta \omega \kappa \alpha v$ （see also 1：29 on $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ）．

غ̇л $\dot{\varepsilon} \delta \omega \kappa \alpha v$ ．Aor act ind 3 rd pl ह̇ $\pi \iota \delta i \delta \omega \mu$ ．
av่̉ $\tilde{\tilde{c}}$ ．Dative indirect object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \delta \omega \kappa \alpha v$ ．On the word order， see 1：2 on $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i} v$ ．

$\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \rho o \varsigma$ ．Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \delta \omega \kappa \alpha v$ ．

## 

$\lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega} v$. Aor act ptc masc nom sg $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \omega$（attendant circum－ stance or temporal）．






Eĩ $\pi \varepsilon v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ ．
$\pi \rho$ ò $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ủtov́c．Indirect object（see 1：13 on $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \alpha u ̉ t o ̀ v) . ~$
Oṽ̃to．Predicate nominative of a verbless equative clause．The demonstrative is cataphoric，pointing forward to the ötı clause（see also 10：11 on toṽтo）．Lit．＂My words that I spoke ．．．were these．＂
oi $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{~ o ́} \boldsymbol{\gamma o t}$. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
$\mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$. Subjective genitive.
oüc. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha$. Aor act ind 1st sg $\lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$.
$\pi \rho$ ò $\mathfrak{v} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$. Indirect object (see 1:13 on $\pi \rho$ ò̧ aủtòv).
ह̈́tı. Temporal.
$\ddot{\omega} v$. Pres ptc masc nom sg eiluí (temporal).
бùv víũv. Association.
örı. Introduces a clause that is epexegetical to Oṽtot.
$\delta \varepsilon \tilde{\pi}$. Pres act ind 3rd sg $\delta \varepsilon i ̃$ (impersonal).
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \tilde{\eta} v a \mathrm{l}$. Aor pass inf $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o ́ \omega$ (complementary; see also 2:49 on عĩvai).
$\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v \alpha$. Prf pass ptc neut acc $\mathrm{pl} \gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \varphi \omega$ (substantival). Accusative subject of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \tilde{\eta} v a l$.
 Locative.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \grave{c}$ દ̀ $\mu$ ой. Reference.

то́ $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Temporal.
$\delta \mathbf{1} \boldsymbol{v o ı} \xi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\delta \iota \alpha v o i \gamma \omega$.
aủtũv. Possessive genitive.
тòv voṽv. Accusative direct object of $\delta$ ıŋंvoıそ̌v.
тoṽ $\sigma v v i \varepsilon \dot{v} \alpha a$. Pres act inf $\sigma v v i \eta \mu$ (purpose). Given the fact that the opening of their minds is followed by an explanation from the Scriptures, it is less likely that the infinitive introduces result.

тà $\varsigma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \varphi \dot{\alpha} \varsigma$. Accusative direct object of $\sigma \cup v i \varepsilon ́ v a ı$.


$\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$.
aủtoĩc. Dative indirect object of عĩ $i \not \varepsilon v$.
ö $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Introduces the clausal complement (direct discourse; see also


Oüt $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. The demonstrative adverb of manner is cataphoric, pointing forward to the epexegetical infinitives (see also 10:11 on тои̃то).
$\gamma \varepsilon \dot{\gamma} \gamma \boldsymbol{\rho} \pi \tau \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathbf{t}$. Prf pass ind 3rd sg $\gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varphi \omega$. On the force of the perfect, see 2:23.
$\pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} v$. Aor act inf $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \omega$ (epexegetical to Ov̋ $\tau \omega \varsigma$ ).
тòv X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau$ òv. Accusative subject of $\pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau v$.

غ̇к ขєкра̃̃. Source.



$\kappa \eta \rho v \chi \theta \tilde{\eta} v a \mathbf{v}$. Aor pass inf $\kappa \eta \rho v ́ \sigma \sigma \omega$ (epexegetical to $\mathrm{Ov̌} \mathrm{\tau} \mathrm{\omega} \mathrm{\varsigma)}$.
غ̇nì $\tau \tilde{\varphi}$ ỏvó $\mu \alpha \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$. The PP is a "marker in idiom of authorization" (BDAG, 366.17; cf. 21:8).
av่̉oṽ. Possessive genitive.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} v o \iota a v$. Accusative subject of $\kappa \eta \rho \cup \chi \theta \tilde{\eta} v a ı$.
عiç äp $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v}$. Purpose.
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau t \omega ̃ v$. Objective genitive.
عís $\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \check{\varepsilon} \theta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \eta$. Locative: "of direction toward something without ref. to bodily motion" (BDAG, 289.1.b. 3 ).
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \xi \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon v o t$. Aor mid ptc masc nom pl ä $\rho \chi \omega$ (manner, modifying $\kappa \eta \rho \cup \chi \theta \tilde{\eta} v a \iota)$. If one accepts the punctuation of $\mathrm{NA}^{27} / \mathrm{UBS}^{4}$, then the participle would go with the following clause (e.g., " ${ }^{47}$ and that repentance and forgiveness of sins is to be proclaimed in his name to all nations. Beginning from Jerusalem ${ }^{48}$ you are witnesses of these things"; NRSV footnote). On the whole, however, it is unlikely that the participle would go with a verbless clause. Furthermore, the
 point quite natural. It is preferable, then, to place the period after 'Iع $\rho 0 v \sigma \alpha \lambda \eta ̀ \mu$ (see the translation; cf. NRSV; Omanson, 157).
 (cf. BDAG, 105.2.c).

## 

ט́uعĩc. Nominative subject of a verbless equative clause.
$\mu \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Predicate nominative of a verbless equative clause.
$\tau \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$. Objective genitive or genitive of reference.



[ỉסoù]. See 1:20.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$. Nominative subject of à $\pi$ oбt $\dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{\sigma} \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\lambda} \lambda \omega$. Pres act ind 1st sg $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ oбt $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$.

$\tau \grave{\nu} v \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \bar{\varepsilon} \lambda i ́ a v$. Accusative direct object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \omega$.
то⿱̃乂 $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \dot{o}$. Subjective genitive.
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Genitive of relationship.

$\dot{\text { úreĩc. Nominative subject of } \kappa \alpha \text { Өíoate. The explicit subject pro- }}$ noun adds force to the command.
$\kappa \alpha \theta$ ía $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Aor act impv $2 \mathrm{nd} \mathrm{pl} \mathrm{\kappa} \mathrm{\alpha} \mathrm{\theta i} \mathrm{\zeta} \mathrm{\omega}. \mathrm{Here}, \mathrm{"to} \mathrm{remain} \mathrm{for} \mathrm{some}$ time in a place, often with the implication of a settled situation" (LN 85.63).

غ̇v $\tau$ ñ $\pi o ́ \lambda \varepsilon ı$. Locative.
$\varepsilon \approx \omega \varsigma \mathfrak{o v}$. The preposition and relative pronoun may be combined to form an idiomatic relative phrase (cf. Culy 1989b, 75-76) meaning, "at which time" or "until the time when." It is likely slightly more emphatic than the simple $\varepsilon$ है $\omega$.
 Lit. "you put on power."

غ̇६ v̋zovc. Source. Here, ű uoc is likely a euphemism for "God" (cf. LN 1.13).


## Luke 24:50-53

${ }^{50}$ Then he brought them out as far as Bethany, and, raising his hands, he blessed them. ${ }^{51}$ And it happened that while he was blessing them, he departed from them and was brought up into heaven. ${ }^{52}$ And they, after they had worshiped him, returned to Jerusalem with great joy; ${ }^{53}$ and they were continually in the temple praising God.


'E $\xi^{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v$. Aor act ind 3rd sg $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega$. av̉tov̀s. Accusative direct object of ' ${ }^{\prime} \zeta \eta \eta \gamma \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v$. [ $\check{\varepsilon} \xi \boldsymbol{\omega}$ ]. Locative.
ह̈ $\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{c}$. Here, used with $\pi \rho$ ós, the preposition is a "marker of limit reached" (see BDAG, 423.3.c).
$\pi \rho \mathbf{c} \varsigma \mathrm{B} \mathrm{\eta} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\alpha v i \alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Spatial.
 stance).

aủtoṽ. Possessive genitive.

av่̉ov́c. Accusative direct object of عủ入ó $\gamma \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$.



عv̉ไo temporaneous time (see also $1: 8$ on íppateveıv). When infinitives serve as the object of a preposition, they are always articular (Burk, 81).
aủ̃òv. Accusative subject of eủ
av̉тoùc. Accusative direct object of عủ入oүعĩv.
 and Nida (15.50) argue that the verb carries the nuance, "to move away from, with the possible implication of definitiveness of separation."
à $\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\prime}$ av̉t $\tilde{\omega} v$. Separation.
àveழغ́peto. Impf pass ind 3rd sg ảvaب̨́p $\omega$.
عís tòv oủpavóv. Locative.
 $\sigma \alpha \lambda \grave{\eta} \mu \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \chi \alpha \rho \tilde{a} \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\lambda} \lambda \eta \varsigma$
av̉toì. Nominative subject of $\mathfrak{u ́ \pi} \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \alpha v$.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa v v \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ．Aor act ptc masc nom $\mathrm{pl} \pi \rho о \sigma \kappa v ่ v \varepsilon \omega$（tempo－ ral）．
aủtòv．Accusative direct object of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \nu \nu \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ．
$\dot{v} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \boldsymbol{\alpha} v$ ．Aor act ind 3rd pl ט́toбт $\rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$ ．
عic＇İpovoa入ŋ̀ $\mu$ ．Locative．
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \chi \alpha \rho a \tilde{\varsigma} \mu \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \varsigma$ ．Manner．


סıà $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\iota} \mathbf{o ̀}$ ．Temporal．A fairly common idiomatic expression （see，e．g．，Matt 18：10；Acts 2：25；10：2；24：16），meaning＂always，＂ that may have come from סıà đavtòs vuктòs кaì ŋ̀ $\mu \dot{\rho} \rho a \varsigma$（Culy and Parsons，38）．

غ̇v $\tau \tilde{\omega}$ ie iepụ．Locative．
عủ入oүoũvtec．Pres act ptc masc nom pl $\varepsilon \cup \cup \lambda o \gamma \varepsilon ่ \omega$ ．Although the participle could be part of an imperfect periphrastic construc－ tion with $\eta \pi \sigma \alpha \nu$（see also 1：10 on $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \varepsilon u \chi o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v$ ），given the fact that $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\omega} \tilde{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \rho \tilde{\tilde{c}}$ is a natural predicate for $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu$ ，it is better to view عủไoүoṽvteৎ as either an attendant circumstance（see 1：24 on $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma 0 \sigma \alpha$ ）or introducing how they spent their time in the temple （manner）．
tòv $\theta$ عóv．Accusative direct object of عủ入oүoũvโءc．

## GLOSSARY

Adjectivizer-In Greek syntax, this term refers to an article that is used to change a non-adjective into an adjectival modifier. Thus, in the phrase, á à $\pi \alpha v \tau o ̀ s ~ ह ै \theta v o u s ~ \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ ט́nò tòv oủpavóv, the article $\tau \tilde{\omega} v$ changes the prepositional phrase, útò tòv oủpavóv, into an attributive modifier of $\pi \alpha v \tau o ̀ \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ̈ \theta v o v \varsigma . ~$

Anaphoric-Referring back to, i.e., coreferential with, a preceding word or group of words. Thus, pronouns are anaphoric references to participants that have already been introduced into the discourse.

Anarthrous-Lacking an article.
Antecedent-An element that is referred to by another expression that follows it. Thus, the antecedent of a relative pronoun is that element in the preceding context to which the relative clause provides additional information.

Apodosis-The second part ("then" clause) in a conditional construction.

Arthrous/Articular-Including an article.
Ascensive-In Greek, this term is most often used in relation to conjunctions, especially kai. It refers to a usage that is intensive or expresses a final addition or point of focus. In such instances, the conjunction is typically translated, "even."

Aspect-This term is used in relation to verb tense and refers to the writer's/speaker's subjective choice of how to portray the verbal action, e.g., perfective or imperfective.

Asyndeton-Linking clauses without the use of a conjunction.
Attraction-Relative pronouns at times take on or "attract" to the case of their antecedent. For example, in the text, П $\dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \omega \nu \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$
 ("While everyone was marveling at all that he was doing, he said
to his disciples"), the expected case for the relative pronoun would be accusative (oũ¢), since it functions as the direct object of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi o i \varepsilon$. Instead, it has been attracted to the case of its antecedent ( $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \nu)$ ).

Background-This term is used to refer to information that is off the event line, or storyline, i.e., those events or material that do not move the narrative forward. Instead, background information comments on, amplifies, or otherwise supports the narration.

Cataphoric-Referring forward to, i.e., coreferential with, a following word or group of words. The demonstrative oũ toc is frequently used in this manner.

Causative-Causative verbs or constructions denote that a new state of affairs is brought about or "caused" by the action of the verb or construction. Both $\delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{~L}$ and $\pi$ ot $\dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ are examples of verbs that can be used to form a causative construction. For example, in the text, סòs тoĩৎ סoúไoıৎ бov $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma i ́ a \varsigma ~ \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \eta \varsigma ~ \lambda a \lambda \varepsilon i ̃ v ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~$ oov (lit. "Give to your servants to speak your word with all boldness") the imperative and infinitive verbs ( $\delta$ ò $\varsigma$ and $\lambda a \lambda \varepsilon \tau v$ ) form a causative verb phrase ("cause to speak").

Clausal complement-This type of complement is structurally a direct object, but since it is a clause rather than a noun phrase scholars often use the language of "complement" rather than "direct object." For example, ötı is often used to introduce complement clauses with verbs of speech that represent what was said: $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$
 $\tau \tilde{\sim}$ 'A $\beta \rho \alpha \alpha \dot{\mu}$ ("For I tell you that God is able to raise up children for Abraham from these stones.")

Cognition-A verb of cognition is a verb that refers to some sort of mental process.

Complement-In the handbook, this term is used in two ways in addition to its use in the phrase, "clausal complement": (1) A constituent, other than an accusative direct object, that is required to complete a verb phrase. Verbs that include a prepositional prefix often take a complement whose case is determined by the prefix. For example, verbs with the prefix $\sigma v v$ - characteristically take a dative complement. (2) The second element in a double accusative construction, which completes the verbal idea. In the sentence, "I call my son Superman," Superman would be the complement.

Constructio ad sensum-Lit. "construction according to sense." A construction that follows the sense of the expression rather than strict grammatical rules, e.g., the use of a plural verb with a subject that is syntactically singular but refers to a group of people.

Crasis-The merging of two words through the use of contraction, e.g., kảuoi for кaì è $\mu \mathrm{o}$.

Doublet-Two near synonyms that are joined by a kai and used to express a single idea (often also referred to as hendiadys). Doublets in Greek, such as t $\varepsilon$ pata кaì $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ tend to serve as a way of intensifying the semantics of the conjoined terms.

Enclitic-A clitic is a word that appears as a discreet word in the syntax but is pronounced as if it were part of another word. Enclitics "give" their accent to the preceding word.

Equative verb/clause-An equative verb, like $\varepsilon i \mu i$ i, $\gamma i v o \mu \alpha ı$, or ט́rd́ $\rho \chi \omega$, is a verb that joins a subject and predicate to form an equative clause ("something is something"), e.g., 'H $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha ̀ ~ \alpha u ̋ \tau \eta ~ \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \alpha ̀ ~$ тоvŋpá $\varepsilon$ と̇бтıv ("This generation is a wicked generation").

Foreground-This term is used to refer to information that is on the event line, or storyline, i.e., those events that move the narrative forward.

Fronting-Placing a constituent earlier in the sentence than its default order, most commonly in a pre-verbal position.

Genitive of relationship-Wallace (83) prefers to limit this label to familial relationships, but we have followed Young (25-26) in applying it to a variety of social relationships as well, including slaves, friends, and enemies.

Headless relative clause-A relative clause with no expressed antecedent, e.g., "He is doing that which is not lawful."

Inclusio-An "envelope" or "bookend" structure in which the same or similar language is used to begin and end a unit of discourse.

Internally headed relative clause-A relative clause in which the antecedent (head noun) is located inside the relative clause that modifies it.

Litotes-A figure of speech in which a statement is made by negating the opposite idea. For example, "she is not a bad tennis player" means "she is a good tennis player."

Marked-Departing from the normal or neutral pattern, or having no additive features. At various levels of grammar, speakers/ writers have a choice between various options. One option will typically be viewed as the "default" or "unmarked" member of the set. The other members are "marked." Something that is "marked" may be more prominent, in focus, emphatic, etc.

Metonymy/Metonym-Metonymy is a figure of speech in which one term is used in place of another with which it is associated. In the expression, "he was reading the prophet Isaiah," the writer ("the prophet Isaiah") is used as a metonym for his writings ("the book that the prophet Isaiah wrote").

Nominal (clause)-A nominal is a noun or something that functions like a noun. In a nominal clause, a nominative noun stands alone in the clause without a verb, and sometimes without any other elements.

Nominalizer-In Greek syntax, this term refers to an article that is used to change a word, phrase, or clause into a substantive. Most commonly, nominalizers are used to make an adjective or participle substantival.

Periodic sentence-One extended sentence or "period," which BDF ( $\$ 464$ ) defines as "the organization of a considerable number of clauses and phrases into a well-rounded unit."

Point of departure-This expression refers to constituents that occur at the beginning of a clause or sentence and provide a starting place for a communication (Levinsohn 2000, 42).

Prominence-The "semantic and grammatical elements of discourse that serve to set aside certain subjects, ideas or motifs of the author as more or less semantically or pragmatically significant than others" (Reed, 75-76).

Protasis-The first part ("if" clause) in a conditional construction.

Redundant quotative frame-"The use of extra verbs of speaking to 'frame' or introduce a speech, which are meant to draw attention to a surprising or important speech that follows" (Runge $\S 7$ ).

Solitarium-The phrase, $\tau \varepsilon$ solitarium, refers to the use of $\tau \varepsilon$ without an accompanying conjunction, such as кai.

Synecdoche-A figure of speech in which one term is used in place of another with which it is associated, specifically involving a part-whole relationship. In the sentence, "Do you have your own wheels?" the word "wheels" stands for the entire "vehicle" of which it is a part.

Tail-head construction-This literary device uses the same content at the end of one discourse segment and at the beginning of the next to tie the two together. It is typically used to slow down the narrative before something surprising or important (Runge $\$ 8.2$ ).

Tautology-An unnecessary repetition of material that is readily available in the immediate context.

Tendential-Used of verb tense to refer to something that is intended or about to occur.

Topic construction-In the handbook, this term is used in relation to the phenomenon that linguists refer to as left-dislocation. This literary device introduces "the next primary topic of the discourse" (Runge $\$ 14.2$ ) by placing it at the beginning of the sentence and then picking it up with a resumptive pronoun in the actual sentence. For example, "The struggling student in my Greek class, he passed his mid-term exam with flying colors."

Unmarked-The unmarked or default choice between two or more options refers to a writer choosing not to signal the presence of some feature (Runge $\$ 9.2$ ).

Zeugma-A construction in which two constituents modify a single verb even though only one of them literally makes sense as a modifier of that verb.
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## GRAMMAR INDEX

| accusative direct object, $1: 1,4,13^{2}$, | $9: 1^{3}, 2^{3}, 3^{2}, 5^{2}, 7,9^{3}, 10,11^{3}, 12^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $15,16,17^{3}, 18,19,21,22,25,30$, | 13, $14,15,16^{2}, 18,21,22,23^{2}$, |
| $31^{2}, 32,34,36,40,41,46,48$, | $24^{4}, 25^{3}, 26^{2}, 27,28,31^{2}, 32,33^{2}$, |
| 49, 50, 51, 52 ${ }^{2}, 53^{2}, 57,58,59^{2}$, | $34^{2}, 36,39^{3}, 40,41,42^{3}, 44,45^{3}$, |
| $62,63,64,68,69,72,73,76,77$, | $47^{3}, 48^{4}, 49^{3}, 51,52,53,54,58^{3}$, |
| 78,$79 ; 2: 7^{3}, 10,12,13,15^{2}, 16$, | 59, $60^{2}, 62 ; 10: 1^{2}, 2,3^{2}, 4^{4}, 8^{2}, 9$, |
| $19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26^{2}, 27$, | 10, $11^{2}, 16^{5}, 18^{2}, 19^{2}, 21^{2}, 23,24^{2}$, |
| $28^{2}, 29,30,31,34,35,38,39^{2}$, | $25^{3}, 26,27^{3}, 28,29,30^{2}, 31,34^{4}$, |
| $43,45,46,48^{2}, 49,50^{2}, 51 ; 3: 3$, | 37, 38, 39, 40, 42; 11:12, 3, 42, $5^{2}$, |
| $4^{2}, 6,8^{3}, 9,10^{2}, 11^{2}, 12,13,14^{3}$, | $6,7,11,12^{2}, 13^{3}, 14,15,16,17$, |
| $16^{3}, 17^{3}, 18,20^{2} ; 4: 2,5^{2}, 6^{2}, 8,9^{2}$, | 18, 19, 20, 21, 22 ${ }^{3}, 24,25,26$, |
| $10,11^{2}, 12,13,17^{2}, 18^{5}, 19,20$, | $27^{4}, 28,29,31^{2}, 32,33^{2}, 36^{2}, 37$, |
| $23^{3}, 28,29^{2}, 31,33,34^{2}, 35^{2}, 38$, | 39, $40^{2}, 41,42^{4}, 43^{2}, 45^{2}, 47^{2}, 48$, |
| $39,40^{4}, 42^{2}, 43 ; 5: 1,2^{2}, 3^{2}, 4,5^{2}$, | 49, $52^{2}, 53,54^{2} ; 12: 1,3^{2}, 4^{2}, 5^{4}, 9$, |
| $6^{2}, 7,9,10^{2}, 11^{2}, 12^{2}, 13,14,18^{2}$, | $10,11^{3}, 12,13,14,16,17^{2}, 18^{4}$, |
| $19^{2}, 20,21^{2}, 22,24^{3}, 25,26^{3}, 27$, | 19, $20^{2}, 22^{2}, 24^{2}, 25,26,27,28^{2}$, |
| $28,29,31,32^{2}, 33,36^{3}, 37^{2}, 38$, | $29^{2}, 30,31,32,33^{3}, 36,37^{2}, 39$, |
| 39²; 6:1, $3^{2}, 4,7,8^{2}, 9^{2}, 10^{2}, 11$, | 41, $42^{2}, 43,44,45,46^{2}, 47,48^{2}$, |
| $13^{3}, 14,19,20,22^{4}, 24,27^{2}, 28^{2}$, | $49^{2}, 50,51^{2}, 54,55,56^{2}, 57,58^{4}$, |
| $29^{4}, 30^{2}, 32^{4}, 33^{3}, 34,35^{2}, 38,39^{2}$, | 59; 13:1, $4^{2}, 6^{3}, 7^{3}, 8^{2}, 9^{2}, 11,12$, |
| $41^{2}, 42^{4}, 43^{2}, 44^{2}, 45^{2}, 46^{2}, 47$, | $13^{2}, 15,16,17,18,19,20,21$, |
| $48^{3}, 49 ; 7: 1,3^{3}, 4^{2}, 5^{2}, 6,7,8^{2}, 9^{3}$, | 22, 253, 27, 282, 31, 32 ${ }^{2}, 34^{4}, 35$; |
| $10,13,15,16^{3}, 18,19,20^{2}, 21$, | $14: 1^{2}, 4,7^{2}, 9^{3}, 10,11^{2}, 12^{4}, 13^{2}$, |
| 22, $24^{2}, 25^{2}, 26^{2}, 27^{2}, 29,30,31$, | $15^{2}, 16^{2}, 17,18^{5}, 19^{4}, 20,21^{2}, 22$, |
| $33^{3}, 36,37,38^{2}, 39,40,41^{2}, 42$, | $26^{2}, 27,28^{2}, 29,32^{2}, 35^{2}, 15: 2,3$, |
| 43, $44^{3}, 45^{2}, 46,49,50 ; 8: 1,5^{2}, 6$, | $4^{4}, 6^{2}, 7,8^{4}, 9^{3}, 11,12^{2}, 13^{2}, 14$, |
| $7,8^{3}, 9,10,12,13^{2}, 15,16^{3}, 18$, | $15^{2}, 19,20^{2}, 22^{4}, 23,26,27^{2}, 28$, |
| 20, 21, 24, $27^{2}, 28^{2}, 29^{2}, 30,31$, | $29^{2}, 30^{2} ; 16: 1^{2}, 2^{3}, 3^{2}, 4^{2}, 5^{2}, 6^{3}$, |
| $32,34,35^{2}, 37,38,39^{2}, 40^{2}, 42$, | $7^{4}, 8,9^{2}, 11,12,13^{2}, 14^{2}, 15^{2}$, |
| 43, 45, 46², 47, 48, 49, 52, 56; | $18^{3}, 19,21,23^{3}, 24^{4}, 25^{2}, 27^{2}, 28$, |


| $13^{2}$ | $5: 1,7,12,17,34 ; 6: 1,4,6,12$, |
| :---: | :---: |
| $14,15,18,19,22,25,27,29^{2}, 31$, | 48; 8:7, 40, 41, 42, 512\%; 9:182, 20, |
| $33^{3} ; 18: 1,2^{2}, 3,4^{2}, 5^{3}, 6,7,8^{2}, 9^{2}$, | 22, 29, $33^{2}, 34,36,51,54,60$; |
| $11,12^{2}, 13^{2}, 14^{2}, 15,16^{2}, 17,18^{3}$, | 10:35, 38, 11:1, 18, 27, 12:39; |
| $19,20^{2}, 21,22^{3}, 23,24^{2}, 28,29$, | 13:16, $33^{2}$; 14:1; 16:17², $22^{2}$; |
| $30^{2}, 31,33,34^{2}, 38,39,40,41$, | 17:1, 14, 25, 18:1, 5, 16, 25 ${ }^{2}, 35$, |
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genitive of reference, $1: 33 ; 2: 4,32^{2}$, 42; 3:1; 8:10, 14; 11:26; 15:17; 16:2; 20:43; 23:14, 22; 24:48
genitive of relationship, $1: 5,13$,
$15,16,18,24,32^{2}, 35,36,38$,
$43,54,55^{2}, 58,59,60,61,62$,
$67,68,69,71,72,73,77 ; 2: 7$,
$32,33,34,36,41,43,48^{2}, 49$,
51; 3:1, 2, 7, 19², 22, 23; 4:3, 22, $34,38,41 ; 5: 10,24,30^{2}, 33^{2}, 34$;
$6: 1,5,13,14,15,16,17,20,22$, $23,26,27,35^{2}, 36,40,41,42^{2}$;
$7: 5,11,12,15,16,18^{2}, 34^{2}, 35$;
$8: 3^{2}, 9,20,21,22^{2}, 28,49,51$,
56; 9:14, 22, 26, 35, 38, 40, 41,
42, 43b, 44, 58, 59, 61; 10:6, 22,
$27,29,36,40,11: 1^{2}, 6,7,8,13$, $19,30,47,48 ; 12: 1,4,8^{2}, 9,10$, $13,14,22,30,32,40,42,53,58$;
$13: 16,34 ; 14: 5,12,26^{2}, 27,33$;
$15: 10,17^{2}, 18,19^{2}, 20^{2}, 21,24$,
$25,27^{2}, 28,29^{2}, 30,32 ; 16: 3,18$,
27; 17:1, 3, 22, 24, 26, 30, 32;
$18: 3,8,20,31,38,39 ; 19: 9,10$, $14,27,39,43,44 ; 20: 13,28^{3}$, 33, 36, 41, 43, 44, 45; 21:27, 36; 22:11, 19, 22, 29, 32, 48, 69, 70; 23:2, 28; 24:7, 10, 49
genitive of separation, 2:37; 10:42;
13:12; 19:8, 37
genitive of source, $1: 35,42,44,51$;
$2: 9^{2}, 13,23,24,26,27,39,40 ;$
3:2, 4; 4:14; 5:1, 17; 7:24, 27, 28, 38; 8:5, 11, 14, 21, 37; 9:8, 19, 20, 47; 10:6; 11:28, 31²; 13:19²; 20:10; 21:25; 23:35
genitive of subordination, $1: 5,16$, 43, 68, 78; 2:2; 3:1²; 4:8, 12; 6:5; $8: 41 ; 10: 2,21,27 ; 11: 15,30$;
$12: 36,43,45,46,47 ; 14: 1,21$;
16:5²; 19:31, 33, 47; 20:13, 15, 37, 38; 22:11, 25, 66; 23:3, 37, 38; 24:20
genitive subject, 2:2, 42; 3:1 ${ }^{2}, 15^{2}$, 21; 4:2, 40, 42; 6:48; 7:6, 24, 42; 8:4, 23, 45, 49; 9:34, 37, 42, 43, 57; 11:14, 29, 53; 12:1; 13:17;

14:29, 32; 15:14, 20; 17:12;
18:40; 19:11, 33, 36, 37, 48;
20:1, 45; 21:5, 26, 28; 22:10, 47,
53, 59, 60; 23:45; 24:5, 36
Granville Sharp Construction, 1:2
headless relative clause, 4:6, 23;
5:25; 6:2, 46; 7:2, 4, 22, 23; 7:21,
43, 47; 8:13², 15, 182, 392; 9:4, 5,
$10,24^{2}, 26,33,36,48^{2}, 50 ; 10: 5$,
8, 10, 22, 23, 24²; 11:6, 8; 12:3 ${ }^{2}$,
12, 20, 48; 14:15, 22, 27; 17:1,
$10,31,33^{2} ; 18: 17,29 ; 19: 21^{2}$,
22 ${ }^{2}$, 26; 22:60; 23:14, 33, 41
hendiadys, 2:47; see also doublet
hortatory subjunctive, 9:60; 20:14
hyperbole, 2:37; 3:6; 4:13, 15, 22,
25, 40; 11:46; 15:1; 16:16; 17:10
îva (clausal complement), 4:3;
6:31; 20:28
iva (content), 22:46
ǐva (epexegetical), 1:43; 7:6
iva (indirect discourse), 6:31; 7:36;
8:31, 32; 9:40; 16:27; 18:39, 41; 21:36; 22:32
ǐva (purpose), 1:4; 4:3; 5:24; 6:7, 34;
8:10, 12, 16; 9:12; 10:40; 11:33;
12:36; 14:10, 23, 29; 15:29; 16:4,
9, 24, 28; 18:5, 15, 39; 19:4, 15;
20:10, 14, 20; 21:36; 22:8, 30,
32, 46
ǐva (result), 8:10; 9:45; 11:50
îva (subject), 17:2
inclusio, 4:13, 14; 17:24
infinitive (apposition), 5:23
infinitive (cause with סià tò), 2:4;
6:48; 8:6; 9:7; 11:8; 18:5; 19:11²; 23:8
infinitive (complementary), 1:1,3,
$20,22,62 ; 2: 49 ; 3: 8^{2}, 16 ; 4: 21$, 41, 43; 5:12, 182, 21², 342; 6:4, $9^{4}, 19,34,39,42^{2}, 48 ; 7: 2,15$, 24, 38, 49; 8:19, 20, 32, 43, 51; $9: 9,12,22^{4}, 23,24,31,44,45$, 60; 10:1, 22, 242 2 , 29, 36; 11:7, 13, 29, $42^{2}, 53^{2}$; 12:1, 12, 25, 32, 39, 45 ${ }^{5}, 56^{2}$; 13:11, 14, 16, $24^{2}$, $25^{2}, 26,31,33,34,14: 3,6,18$, $20,23,26,27,28,29^{2}, 30^{2}, 33$; 15:14, 16, 24, 28, 32²; 16:2, 3, 13², 21, 26; 17:10, 22, 25², 33; 18:1, 13, 16, 26; 19:3, 4, 5, 11, 14, 27, 37, 45, 47; 20:9, 19, 20, 22, 26, 36, 40, 46; 21:7, 9, 14, 15², 28, 36³; 22:7, 15, 23², 37; 23:2, $8^{2}, 20,30 ; 24: 7^{3}, 21,26^{2}$, 28, 44
infinitive (direct object), 1:74; 3:7; 6:7; 7:21, 40, 42; 8:32; 9:13, 59, 61; 11:1; 12:4; 14:14; 20:11, 12; 21:14
infinitive (epexegetical), 1:9, 54, 57, 72, 73,77, 79; 2:1, 6, 21; 4:10; 5:24; 7:7; 8:8; 9:1, 3, 51; 10:19, 40; 12:5, 50; 14:18, 31, 35; 15:19, 21; 16:3; 17:1; 20:35; 21:22; 22:5, 6, 33; 23:17; 24:16, $25,46^{2}, 47$
infinitive (impersonal), 18:1
infinitive (indirect discourse), 2:26, 44; 4:41; 5:3, 14; 8:18, 29, 31, 37, 38, 41, 55, 56; 9:18, 20, 21, 38, 54²; 11:18; 12:13; 18:40; 19:15; 20:6, 7, 27, 41; 22:24, 31, 34,$40 ; 23: 2^{2}, 23,24 ; 24: 23^{2}, 37$
infinitive (means), 1:77
infinitive (purpose), $1: 17^{2}, 19^{2}, 25$, 59, 76, 79; 2:3, 5, 22, 24, 27; 3:7, $12,17^{2} ; 4: 10,16,18^{3}, 19,34 ;$
$5: 15^{2}, 32 ; 6: 12,18^{2} ; 7: 24,25,26 ;$
8:35; 9:2 $2^{2}, 3,16,28,52 ; 11: 31$, $54 ; 12: 49,51,58 ; 14: 1,17,19$, $31 ; 15: 1,15 ; 17: 18,31 ; 18: 10$; $19: 7,10^{2}, 12^{2} ; 21: 38 ; 22: 47 ;$ 23:26, 32
infinitive (purpose with عiৎ tó), 5:17
infinitive (purpose with $\pi \rho$ ò $\uparrow$ тó), $18: 1$
infinitive (purpose with тoṽ), 2:24; $4: 42 ; 5: 7 ; 12: 42 ; 24: 29,45$
infinitive (purpose with $\omega$ $\sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ), $4: 29 ; 20: 20$
infinitive (result), 1:25, 72 ${ }^{2}$; 9:3; 24:16
infinitive (result with $\omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ), 5:7;
12:1; 20:20
infinitive (subject), 2:49; 3:21, $22^{2}$; $6: 1,6^{2}, 12 ; 8: 10,55 ; 9: 33 ; 13: 33$; $16: 17^{2}, 22^{2} ; 18: 25^{2}$
infinitive (temporal with $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\omega})$, $1: 8,21 ; 2: 6,27,29,43 ; 3: 21 ;$ $5: 1^{2}, 12 ; 8: 5,40,42 b ; 9: 18,29$, $33,34,36,51 ; 10: 35,38 ; 11: 1$, 27,$37 ; 12: 15 ; 14: 1 ; 17: 11,14$; $18: 35 ; 19: 15 ; 24: 4,15^{2}, 30,51$
infinitive (temporal with $\pi \rho$ ò $\tau 0 \tilde{\text { ) }}$ ), $2: 21 ; 22: 15$
infinitive (temporal with $\mu \varepsilon \tau$ à $\tau$ ò), 12:5; 22:20
infinitive (temporal with $\pi \rho^{i} \nu$ ), 22:61
internally headed relative clause, $1: 4,20 ; 3: 19 ; 6: 38 ; 8: 47 ; 9: 4 ;$ $10: 5,8,10 ; 12: 40 ; 13: 34 ; 17: 27$, 29, 30; 19:37; 24:1

каӨஸ́¢ (analogy), 1:2, 70; 2:20, 23 каӨஸ́ৎ (comparative), 1:55; 2:23;
$6: 31 ; 11: 30 ; 17: 26,28 ; 22: 13,29$;
24:24, 39
катá (distributive), $8: 1,4 ; 9: 6$;
$13: 22 ; 15: 14 ; 21: 11 ; 23: 17$
ката́ (spatial), 8:33; 10:4, 32
катá (standard), $1: 9,38, ; 2: 22,24$,
$27,29,39,42 ; 4: 16 ; 6: 23,26 ;$
$10: 31 ; 17: 30 ; 22: 22,39 ; 23: 56$
litotes, 15:13
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ́\left(\right.$ accompaniment), 11:23 ${ }^{2}$;
$14: 31 ; 22: 52$
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ́($ association), $1: 28,58,66,72$;
$2: 36,51 ; 5: 29,30,34 ; 6: 3,4,17$;
$7: 36 ; 9: 39,49 ; 10: 37 ; 11: 7,23^{2}$, 31,$32 ; 12: 13,46,58 ; 13: 1 ; 14: 9$,
$31 ; 15: 29,30,31 ; 22: 11,15,21$, $28,33,37,53,59 ; 23: 12,43$;
$24: 5,29,30$
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ́$ (manner), $1: 39 ; 8: 13 ; 10: 17$;
$14: 9 ; 17: 15,20 ; 21: 27 ; 24: 52$
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ́$ (other uses), 1:72; 10:37
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ́$ (temporal), $1: 24 ; 2: 46 ; 5: 27$;
$9: 28 ; 10: 1 ; 12: 4 ; 15: 13 ; 17: 8$;
$18: 4 ; 22: 58$
metaphor, $1: 69 ; 6: 38 ; 10: 21 ; 11: 52$;
$12: 29,57 ; 18: 5 ; 19: 21 ; 21: 14,30$;
22:40, 46
metonymy, $1: 17,32^{2}, 51^{2}, 66,71$;
$3: 15 ; 9: 44 ; 11: 19,20,50 ; 12: 25 ;$
$16: 29,31$
$\mu \varepsilon ́ \chi \rho ı$ (temporal), 16:16
neuter plural subject with singular verb, $1: 65 ; 3: 5 ; 4: 41 ; 5: 6 ; 7: 32$; $8: 5,30,33,35,38 ; 10: 17,20^{2}$; $11: 21,26,41 ; 12: 27,30,31$;
$13: 19 ; 17: 1 ; 19: 42 ; 21: 11$
nominalizer, $1: 2,48,62 ; 2: 39,49$;

5:9, 33²; 6:3, 4; 7:11; 8:4, 12, 13, 15; 9:32, 46; 9:61; 10:7; 11:3, $39^{2}, 40^{2}$; 14:32; 15:4; 17:24, 31; 19:8, 42, 47, 48; 20:25²; 21:21 ${ }^{3}$; 22:2, 4, 23, 24, 37², 49; 24:19, 24, 27, 33, 35
nominative absolute, 7:34
nominative in apposition, $1: 5,13$, $24,26,32,36,67,68 ; 2: 3,11$, 21, 36; 3:19; 4:27, 34; 7:12, 20, $33,34^{2}, 37 ; 8: 2,3^{4} ; 9: 7,17,54$; $10: 38 ; 11: 32,39 ; 12: 52^{2}$; 16:20;
18:19, 37; 19:38; 22:47, 66;
23:35, 50²; 24:18, 19
nominative subject, $1: 1,2,5,6,7^{3}$, $10,11,12^{2}, 13^{3}, 14^{2}, 17,18^{2}, 19^{2}$, $20^{2}, 21,22,23,24,25,26^{2}, 29^{2}$, $30,32^{2}, 33,34^{2}, 35^{4}, 36^{2}, 37,38^{3}$, $41^{3}, 43,44^{2}, 46,47,48,49,56$, $57,58^{2}, 60,61,63^{2}, 64^{2}, 65,66^{3}$,
$67,68,76,78,80 ; 2: 1,2,3,4^{2}$, $6,7,8,9^{2}, 10^{2}, 11^{2}, 13,15^{3}, 18$, $19,20,21^{2}, 22,23,25^{2}, 28,30$, $33,34^{2}, 35^{2}, 36,37,40^{2}, 41,43^{2}$, $47,48^{2}, 50,51,52 ; 3: 2,5^{3}, 6,7$, $8,9^{2}, 10,11^{2}, 13,14,15,16^{4}, 19^{2}$, 22,$23 ; 4: 1,3,4^{2}, 6,7,8,12,13$, $14^{2}, 15,17,18,20,21,22^{2}, 24$, $25^{3}, 26,27^{2}, 28,29,30,32,33$, $35^{2}, 36,37,38,40^{2}, 41^{2}, 42,43$; $5: 1,2,3,5,6,8,9,10^{2}, 13,14^{2}$, $15^{2}, 16,17^{4}, 18^{2}, 20,21^{4}, 22,23^{2}$, $24,26,29^{3}, 30,31^{2}, 33^{3}, 34^{2}, 35^{2}$, $36^{3}, 37^{3}, 39^{2} ; 6: 1,2^{2}, 3^{3}, 5,6^{2}, 7$, $8,9,10,11,13,16,17,18^{2}, 19^{2}$, $20^{3}, 22,23^{2}, 26^{2}, 31,32^{2}, 33,34$, $35^{2}, 36,39^{2}, 40^{2}, 42,43^{2}, 44,45^{3}$, 47, $48^{2}, 49^{4} ; 7: 2^{2}, 4,5,6^{2}, 7,8,9$, $10,11,12^{4}, 13,14,15,16^{3}, 17$,
$18^{2}, 19,20^{3}, 23,25,27^{2}, 28^{2}, 29$,
$30,32,33,34,35,36,37,39^{3}$, $40^{2}, 41^{2}, 42,43^{2}, 44,45,46,47^{2}$, $48,49^{2}, 50 ; 8: 1,2^{2}, 3,5^{2}, 6,7^{2}$, $8,9^{2}, 10,11^{2}, 12^{2}, 13^{3}, 14,15^{2}$, $16,17^{4}, 18,19,20,21^{2}, 22,23$, $24^{2}, 25^{2}, 26,27,28,30^{4}, 32,33^{2}$, $34,35,36^{2}, 37^{2}, 38^{2}, 39^{2}, 40^{2}$, $41^{2}, 42 \mathrm{a}^{2}, 42 \mathrm{~b}, 43^{2}, 44,45^{3}, 46^{2}$, $47,48^{2}, 49^{2}, 50,52^{2}, 54,55,56^{2}$; $9: 5,7^{2}, 8^{2}, 9^{3}, 10,11,12^{2}, 13^{4}$, $14,17^{2}, 18^{2}, 19^{2}, 20^{2}, 21,23,24^{3}$, $25,26^{2}, 27^{2}, 28,29^{2}, 30^{2}, 31,32$, $33,34,35^{2}, 36^{2}, 37,38,39,41$, $42^{3}, 43,44^{2}, 45,46^{2}, 47,48^{3}, 49$, $50^{2}, 51,53,54,57,58^{3}, 59,60$, $61,62^{2} ; 10: 1^{2}, 6^{2}, 7,9,11,13$, $15,16^{2}, 17^{2}, 19,20^{2}, 22^{7}, 23,24^{2}$, $25,26,27,29^{2}, 30^{3}, 31,32,33$, $35,36,37^{3}, 38^{2}, 39^{2}, 40^{2}, 41,42^{3}$; $11: 1^{2}, 2^{2}, 4,5,6,7^{3}, 9,10^{2}, 13^{2}$, $14^{3}, 15,16,17^{3}, 18^{2}, 19^{3}, 20^{2}$, $21^{2}, 22,23^{2}, 24,26,27,28,29^{2}$, 30, 31, 32, $33^{2}, 34^{3}, 35,36^{2}, 37$, $38,39^{3}, 40,41,44,45,46^{2}, 47$, $48^{2}, 49,50,52,53 ; 12: 1,2^{3}, 6^{2}, 7$, $8^{2}, 9,10,12,13,14^{2}, 15,16,20$, $23,24^{3}, 25,27,28,29,30^{2}, 31$, $32,33^{2}, 34^{2}, 35^{2}, 37,38,39^{2}, 40^{2}$, $41,42^{3}, 43,45^{2}, 46,47,48^{4}, 52$, $53,54,55,58^{2} ; 13: 1^{2}, 2^{2}, 3,4^{2}$, $5,6,8,11,12,14^{3}, 15^{2}, 16,17^{2}$, $18,19^{2}, 21^{2}, 23^{2}, 24,25,28,30^{4}$, $31^{2}, 35 ; 14: 1,2,3,5,8,9,10^{2}$, $11^{2}, 12^{2}, 15^{2}, 16^{2}, 18^{2}, 19,20$, $21^{2}, 22^{2}, 23^{2}, 24,25,26,27,28$, $29,30,31,33^{2}, 34,35 ; 15: 1,2^{2}$, $4,7^{2}, 8,10,11,12,13,14^{2}, 16^{2}$, $17^{2}, 20,21,22,24,25,26,27^{3}$, $28,29,30,31^{3}, 32 ; 16: 1^{3}, 3^{2}, 6^{2}$, $7^{2}, 8^{2}, 9,10^{2}, 11,12,13,14,15^{2}$,
$16^{2}, 18,19,20,21,22,24,25^{2}$, $26^{2}, 28,29,30^{2}, 31 ; 17: 2,3,5,6$, $7,8,10,11,12^{2}, 13,15,16,17^{2}$, $19,20^{2}, 21,22,24^{2}, 27^{2}, 29^{3}, 30$, $31^{2}, 33^{2}, 34^{3}, 35^{3}, 37^{2} ; 18: 2,3,6^{2}$, $7,8,10,11,13,14^{2}, 15,16^{2}, 17$, $18,19,21,22^{2}, 23,24^{2}, 26^{2}, 27^{2}$, $28^{2}, 29^{3}, 30,31,34^{2}, 35,36,37$, $39^{2}, 40,41,42^{2}, 43 ; 19: 2^{2}, 3,5,7$, $8,9^{3}, 10,11,12,14,15,16^{2}, 18^{2}$, $19,20^{2}, 22,30,31^{2}, 32,33,34^{2}$, $37,38^{2}, 39,40^{2}, 43^{2}, 46^{2}, 47^{2}$, 48; 20:1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, $8^{2}, ~ 9, ~ 10$, $11,12,13,14^{3}, 15,17^{4}, 18,19$, $24,25,27,28^{4}, 29^{2}, 30,31^{2}, 32$, $33^{2}, 34^{2}, 35,37^{2}, 38^{2}, 39,42^{2}, 44$, 47²; 21:3, $4^{2}, 6^{3}, 7^{2}, 8^{4}, 10,11^{2}$, $15^{2}, 18,20,21^{3}, 22,23,24^{2}, 25^{2}$, $26,28,30,31^{2}, 32^{2}, 33^{2}, 34^{2}, 38$; $22: 1,2,3,7,9,10^{2}, 11^{2}, 12,14$, $18,19,21,22,23^{2}, 24^{2}, 25^{3}, 26^{2}$, $27,28,29^{2}, 31,32^{3}, 33,34^{2}, 35$, 36, 37, $38^{2}, 39,41,42,43,44$, $47^{2}, 48,49,50,51,52,53,54$, $55,56^{2}, 57,58^{3}, 59^{2}, 60^{2}, 61^{2}, 63$, $64,66^{2}, 67,69,70^{5}, 71^{2} ; 23: 1,3^{4}$, $4,5,6^{2}, 8,9,10,11,12,13,14$, $15,19,20,21,22^{2}, 23^{2}, 24,27^{2}$, $28,29^{3}, 31,32,34,35^{3}, 36,37$, $38,39^{2}, 40^{2}, 41,44,45,46,47^{2}$, $48,49,50,51^{2}, 52,53,54,55^{3}$; $24: 4,10,11,12,13,14,15,16$, $18^{2}, 19^{3}, 20,21^{3}, 22,23,24^{2}, 25^{2}$, $28,29,31^{2}, 32,34,35,36,38$, 39², 49², 52
nominative subject of an implied verb, $1: 18,64 ; 2: 36 ; 3: 5 ; 4: 18$, 27, 36; 5:12, 21, 31, 33; 6:17, 40; 7:41; 9:19², 58; 10:22², 37; 11:29, 30, 34, 44; 12:23, 27, 53²; 13:34;

15:19; 16:1, 25; 17:18; 18:11, 17, 19; 19:2; 21:9, 10, 23, 25, 35; $22: 14,26^{2}, 27^{5}, 53 ; 23: 15,41$; 24:11, 19
nominative subject of a verbless equative clause, $1: 5,26,27^{2}$, $28,42^{2}, 43,45^{2}, 46,49,50,68$; $2: 12,14^{2}, 25,37 ; 3: 4,17 ; 4: 34$, 41; 6:20, $21^{2}, 23 ; 7: 34,37 ; 8: 1$, $13,25,28,45 ; 9: 29^{2} ; 10: 2^{2}, 5,23$; $11: 27,28,31,36 ; 12: 21^{2}, 36,37$, 43; 13:23, 35; 14:34; 16:2, 15, $16 ; 17: 7,17,31,37 ; 18: 10^{2}, 19$; 19:38; 21:7; 22:14, 20, 38, 44; 23:29, 38; 24:13, 17, 36, 44, 48
objective genitive, $1: 2,14,47,48$, $77^{2} ; 2: 22,25,38 ; 3: 3 ; 5: 9,14,31 ;$ $6: 12,24,49$; 9:11, $51 ; 10: 34,42$; 11:19, 42, 50; 13:27, 28; 14:13, $14 ; 15: 7 ; 16: 5 ; 18: 7,8$; 19:31, 34,$44 ; 21: 20,26,28 ; 22: 41,71$; 24:23, 35, 47, 48
őtı (causal), 1:48, 49, 68; 2:30; 4:6, $32,36,41,43 ; 5: 8 ; 6: 19,20,21^{2}$, 24, 25², 35; 7:47; 8:25, 30, 37, 42a; 9:12, 38, 49, 53; 10:13, 20, 21²; 11:18, 31, 32, 38, 42, 43, 44, $46,47,48,52 ; 12: 15,17,32,40$; 13:2, 14, 24, 31, 33; 14:14, 17; $15: 6,9,24,27,32 ; 16: 3,8^{2}, 15$, $24 ; 17: 9 ; 18: 14 ; 19: 3,4,17,21$, 43; 21:22; 22:22; 23:29, 31, 40; 24:29, 39
őtı (clausal complement), 1:45; $8: 47 ; 10: 40 ; 16: 25 ; 17: 15 ; 18: 9 ;$ 20:37; 21:20, 30, 31; 23:7; 24:39
ő $\tau$ ( clausal complement; direct discourse), 1:25, 61; 3:8; 4:4, 10, $11,12,21,24,41,43 ; 5: 26,36$;

7:4, 16²; 8:49; 9:22; 10:12, 24;
12:37, 44, 54, 55; 13:14; 14:24,
$30 ; 15: 2,7 ; 17: 10 ; 18: 8,11,29$;
19:7, 9, 26, 31, 34, 42; 20:5;
21:3, 32; 22:16, 18, 37, 61, 70;
23:5; 24:7, 34, 46
ö $\tau \iota$ (clausal complement; indirect discourse), 1:58; 9:7, $8^{2}, 19$; 10:20, 21; 18:37; 21:5
ő $\tau \iota$ (clausal complement; indirect discourse with a verb of cognition), 1:22; 2:49; 5:24; 7:37, 43;
8:53; 10:38; 12:30, 51; 13:2, 4; 19:11, 22; 20:19, 21; 24:21
őtı (epexegetical), 2:11, 23, 49;
4:36; 7:39; 10:11, 20; 12:24, 39;
14:11; 24:39, 44
oũv (inferential), 3:8, 9; 11:35, 36;
12:26; 13:7, 14; 14:34; 16:11,
27; 20:15, 17, 33, 44; 21:7, 14;
22:70; 23:16, 22
oũv (resumptive), 3:7, 18; 19:12
oũv (transitional), 20:29
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ ~($ association), 11:37; 19:7
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́$ (comparison), 3:13; 13:2, 4
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́$ (contrast), 18:4
тара́ (locative), 1:30; 5:1, 2; 7:38;
8:5, 12, 35, 41; 9:47; 17:16;
18:35
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́$ (source), $1: 45 ; 2: 1 ; 6: 19,34$;
8:49; 10:7; 11:16; 12:48
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́$ (ultimate agency), 1:37
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́$ (viewpoint), 1:30; 2:52;
18:37²
participle (attributive), $1: 1,3,11$, $17,19,27,35,36,37,66 ; 2: 5$, $8^{2}, 13^{2}, 15,16,17,23,34 ; 3: 7^{2}$, 9, 23; 4:22, 33, 41²; 5:2, 18, 39;
$6: 8,16,27,38^{3}, 47^{3}, 48,49 ; 7: 2$,
$8^{2}, 9,11,24,25,32^{2}, 39 ; 8: 2,27^{2}$,
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#### Abstract

This volume in the popular series provides students with a comprehensive guide through the Greek text of the Gospel of Luke. Culy, Parsons, and Stigall explain the text's critical, lexical, grammatical, and linguistic aspects while revealing its carefully crafted narrative style. In all, they show the author of Luke to be a master communicator, well at home within the Greek biographical tradition.
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